PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 4

August 29, 2017

INDIANA UTILITY

IURC CAUSE NO. 44953 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED AUGUST 29, 2017

REGULATORY COMMISSION

617973

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS DIRECTOR, RATES AND REGULATORY PLANNING DUKE ENERGY BUSINESS SERVICES LLC ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC CAUSE NO. 44953 BEFORE THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

1 I. INTRODUCTION 2 PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. Q. 3 A. My name is Diana L. Douglas and my business address is 1000 East Main Street, 4 Plainfield, Indiana 46168. 5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY? 6 A. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC, an affiliate of Duke Energy 7 Indiana, LLC ("Duke Energy Indiana" or "Company"), as Director, Rates and 8 Regulatory Planning. Duke Energy Indiana is a wholly owned, indirect subsidiary 9 of Duke Energy Corporation. 10 PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS DIRECTOR, RATES & Q. 11 REGULATORY PLANNING. 12 A. As Director, Rates & Regulatory Planning, I am responsible for the preparation of 13 financial and accounting data used in Company rate filings. 14 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND 15 PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND. 16 A. I am a graduate of Indiana University, holding a Bachelor of Science Degree in 17 Business, with a major in Accounting, with additional post-graduate course-work

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 4

IURC CAUSE NO. 44953 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED AUGUST 29, 2017

1		within the MBA program of Indiana University. Since my employment with the
2		Company or its affiliates as a permanent employee in 1980, I have held various
3		financial and accounting positions supporting the Company and its affiliates. My
4		position prior to Director, Rates & Regulatory Planning, was that of manager
5		responsible for fuel and joint ownership accounting. I have also had management
6		responsibility for emission allowance accounting, general accounting for the
7		Commercial Business Unit, and power marketing and trading settlements and back
8		office operations. I have also held positions in Corporate Accounting, Budgets and
9		Forecasts, and Payroll. I am a Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") and a member
10		of the Indiana CPA Society.
11	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS
12		PROCEEDING?
13	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to briefly respond to a recommendation
14		made by Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") witness
15		Mr. Leon A. Golden regarding required reporting related to the Staunton Solar PPA
16		and also to a recommendation made by OUCC witness Ms. Chrystal L. Thacker
17		regarding reporting treatment in the Company's quarterly Rider No. 68 filings of
18		any non-fuel related MISO charges or credits related to the Staunton Solar PPA.
19		II. RECOMMENDED REPORTING REQUIREMENTS
20	Q.	WHAT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS WERE RECOMMENDED BY
21		MR. GOLDEN RELATED TO THE STAUNTON SOLAR PPA?

IURC CAUSE NO. 44953 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED AUGUST 29, 2017

1	A.	Mr. Golden recommended Duke Energy Indiana file an initial Solar Project Report
2		containing certain information detailed in his testimony on page 8 and subsequent
3		annual updates (1) detailing any changes to the information provided in the initial
4		report or previous annual reports and (2) including a monthly summary of actual
5		solar generation output for the facility.
6	Q.	DOES DUKE ENERGY INDIANA AGREE TO PROVIDE THE
7		RECOMMENDED REPORTING?
8	A.	Yes. As to the initial Solar Project Report, Duke Energy Indiana will make a filing
9		with the requested information within one month of a final order in this proceeding.
10		Thereafter Duke Energy Indiana will also make an annual filing that details any
11		changes to the initial report as well as a monthly summary of actual output.
12		III. RECOMMENDED RIDER NO. 68 TREATMENT
13	Q.	WHAT DID MS. THACKER RECOMMEND RELATED TO NON-FUEL
14		RELATED MISO CHARGES INCLUDED IN RIDER NO. 68?
15	A.	Ms. Thacker recommended that any non-fuel related MISO charges or credits
16		related to the Staunton Solar PPA that are included in the Company's Rider No. 68
17		filing be identified in testimony and listed as separate line items in the filed exhibits
18		in that case. She further recommended that any and all supporting documentation
19		be provided to the OUCC for auditing.
20	Q.	WHAT IS RIDER NO. 68?
21	A.	Rider No. 68 refers to the Company's Standard Contract Rider No. 68 –
22		Midcontinent Independent System Operator (MISO) Cost and Revenue Adjustment.

IURC CAUSE NO. 44953 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED AUGUST 29, 2017

2		below amounts included in base rates and is filed quarterly in Cause No. 42736
3		RTO-xxx.
4	Q.	DOES THE COMPANY ANTICIPATE RECEIVING ANY SPECIFICALLY
5		IDENTIFIABLE NON-FUEL –RELATED MISO CHARGES AND CREDITS
6		ASSOCIATED WITH THE STAUNTON SOLAR PPA THAT WOULD BE
7		INCLUDED IN RIDER NO. 68?
8	A.	No. Duke witness Mr. Scott E. Tharp explained in his direct testimony that because
9		the Staunton Solar Facility project is interconnecting to Duke Indiana's distribution
10		system rather than the transmission system, it will be treated as behind the meter
11		generation ("BTMG") for MISO purposes. This means that, for MISO purposes,
12		the Facility will not be tracked as a generating resource for which separate
13		settlement charges and credits will be received. Instead, Duke Energy Indiana's
14		load will be less than what it otherwise would have been absent the available
15		capacity and energy output of the Staunton Solar Facility. This lower load amount
16		will be used by MISO in determining Duke Energy Indiana's non-fuel-related
17		MISO charges that will be included in its Rider No. 68 filings. 1 This is the same
18		BTMG treatment that is used for the Company's four other 5 MW solar power
19		purchase agreements that were approved by the Commission in its August 19, 2015
20		order in Cause No. 44578.

This rider tracks certain non-fuel – related MISO charges and credits above or

1

¹ This lower load amount will also be used by MISO in determining any fuel-related MISO charges to be included in the Company's FAC or Rider 70 filings.

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 4

IURC CAUSE NO. 44953 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED AUGUST 29, 2017

1		Although I understand Ms. Thacker's concern in ensuring the OUCC has the
2		information it needs to audit the Rider No. 68 filings and fully support transparently
3		providing available information to aid in the audit, because of this BTMG treatment,
4		the statements the Company receives from MISO to support amounts included in its
5		Rider No. 68 filings will not contain the detail necessary to comply with her
6		recommendation. However, should the Staunton Solar Facility's BTMG status
7		change and separately identifiable non-fuel MISO charge and credit amounts
8		become available, the Company commits to meet with the OUCC and work
9		cooperatively to ensure the changes are understood and to make appropriate detail
10		available for their audit of the filing. The Company also commits to discuss the
11		change in the facility's BTMG status in testimony in its Rider No. 68 filing. We
12		have spoken with the OUCC subsequent to the filing of their testimony and
13		understand they do not have concerns about this approach.
14		IV. CONCLUSION
15	Q.	DID THE OUCC RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED
16		STAUNTON SOLAR PPA AND THE COMPANY'S REQUESTED
17		ACCOUNTING AND RATEMAKING TREATMENT?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREPARED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
20		AT THIS TIME?
21	A.	Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Signed:

Diana L. Doug

Dated:

8-29-1