FILED
October 26, 2020
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION

of

INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY : Cause No. 45418

for all necessary authority in connection with a \$800,000,000 financing program involving the issuance of unsecured promissory notes of one or more new series and/or preferred stock

SUBMITTAL OF PETITIONER'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

Petitioner Indiana Michigan Power Company, an Indiana Corporation ("Petitioner") respectfully submits the rebuttal testimony of Franz D. Messner, Managing Director of Corporate Finance for American Electric Power.

Respectfully submitted,

Kay E. Pashos

Counsel for Indiana Michigan Power Company

Kay E. Pashos, Atty. No. 11644-49 Ice Miller LLP One American Square, Suite 2900 Indianapolis, IN 46282-0200 317 -236-2208 (Telephone) 317-592-4676 (Facsimile) kay.pashos@icemiller.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, one of the attorneys for Indiana Michigan Power Company, hereby certifies that the foregoing was served via Electronic Mail this 26th day of October, 2020, to the following:

Jeffrey Reed
Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
115 W. Washington Street, Suite 1500 South
Indianapolis, IN 46204
jreed@oucc.in.gov
infomgt@oucc.in.gov

Kay E. Pashos

Counsel for Indiana Michigan Power Company

Kay E. Pashos, Atty. No. 11644-49 Ice Miller LLP One American Square, Suite 2900 Indianapolis, IN 46282-0200 317 -236-2208 (Telephone) 317-592-4676 (Facsimile) kay.pashos@icemiller.com

PREFILED VERIFIED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF FRANZ D. MESSNER ON BEHALF OF INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

- Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- A. My name is Franz D. Messner. My business address is 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio.
- Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
- A. I am employed by American Electric Power Service Corporation as Managing Director of Corporate Finance.
- Q. ARE YOU THE SAME FRANZ D. MESSNER WHO PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- A. Yes.
- Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to both clarify one aspect of my direct testimony and to respond to the testimony of Office of Utility Consumer Counselor (OUCC) witness Caleb Loveman with respect to that same issue.
- Q. WHAT ASPECT OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY DO YOU WANT TO CLARIFY?
- A. On pages 3 to 4 of my direct testimony, I stated: "Based on recent transactions, the yield to maturity of [the new] Notes should not exceed by more than 500 basis points the yield to maturity on United States Treasury bonds of comparable maturity at the time of pricing. We do not expect to exceed that difference. If we agree to a fluctuating rate of interest on Notes, it will not exceed 8% at the time of issuance."

IURC Cause No. 45418 Rebuttal Testimony of Franz D. Messner Page 2

Q. WHAT ISSUE IN MR. LOVEMAN'S TESTIMONY DO YOU WANT TO RESPOND TO?

A. At page 4 of Mr. Loveman's testimony, in discussing interest rate methodology, he quotes my direct testimony quoted above. And at page 6 of his testimony, one of his recommendations to the Commission is that: "b) Interest rates should meet the following criteria: credit spread associated with new fixed debt should not exceed by more than 5% the yield to maturity on U.S. Treasury bonds of comparable maturity at the time of pricing and 8% all-in rate for new variable debt."

Q. WHAT DO YOU WANT TO CLARIFY?

A. I would like to clarify that instead of referring to 5%, that recommendation should refer to 500 basis points. I believe the OUCC's language is probably based on the fact that we used to refer to 5% and 500 basis points interchangeably, for simplicity's sake. However, 500 basis points is more accurate than 5%, in that if the underlying U.S. Treasury bond rate is 1%, using 5% instead of 500 basis points would mean that the new notes could not exceed 1.05%; whereas, using 500 basis points would mean that the new notes could not exceed 6%. This may not make a difference in most cases, but it could become relevant when credit spreads become large during periods of distress, as they did in March of this year.

Q. HAS I&M DISCUSSED THIS CLARIFICATION WITH THE OUCC?

A. It is my understanding that counsel for I&M and counsel for the OUCC communicated about this, and that the OUCC is agreeable to this clarification.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREFILED VERIFIED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Date:	10/26/2020 3:07 PM EDT	Franz D. Messner	
		Franz D. Messner	



Certificate Of Completion

Envelope Id: 36B4838DEEDB413CA2E7976264217A2C

Subject: Please DocuSign: Messner_INDY#15755687#v145418_Indiana Michigan Power_Messner Rebuttal Testimon...

Source Envelope:

Document Pages: 3 Signatures: 1 **Envelope Originator:** Certificate Pages: 3 Initials: 0 Sarah R. Smithhisler 1 Riverside Plz FL 1 AutoNav: Enabled Columbus, OH 43215-2373

Envelopeld Stamping: Enabled

Time Zone: (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

IP Address: 161.235.221.84

srsmithhisler@aep.com

Status: Completed

Record Tracking

Status: Original Holder: Sarah R. Smithhisler Location: DocuSign

10/26/2020 2:46:25 PM srsmithhisler@aep.com

Signer Events

Franz D. Messner fdmessner@aep.com

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

Signature

Franz D. Messner

Signature Adoption: Pre-selected Style Using IP Address: 167.239.2.88

Timestamp

Sent: 10/26/2020 2:49:34 PM Viewed: 10/26/2020 3:05:49 PM Signed: 10/26/2020 3:07:04 PM

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Accepted: 10/26/2020 3:05:49 PM

ID: 1f6cedf6-8878-4622-981c-b1eb3fb61a50

In Person Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Editor Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Agent Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Intermediary Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Certified Delivery Events	Status	Timestamp
Carbon Copy Events	Status	Timestamp
Zachary B. Wnek zbwnek@aep.com	COPIED	Sent: 10/26/2020 2:49:34 PM Viewed: 10/26/2020 3:27:54 PM

zbwnek@aep.com

Security Level: Email, Account Authentication

(None)

Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:

Not Offered via DocuSign

Witness Events	Signature	Timestamp
Notary Events	Signature	Timestamp
Envelope Summary Events	Status	Timestamps
Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	10/26/2020 2:49:34 PM
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	10/26/2020 3:05:49 PM
Signing Complete	Security Checked	10/26/2020 3:07:04 PM
Completed	Security Checked	10/26/2020 3:07:04 PM
Payment Events	Status	Timestamps



Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure created on: 5/30/2014 9:32:06 AM Parties agreed to: Franz D. Messner

ELECTRONIC RECORD AND SIGNATURE DISCLOSURE

Each party agrees that the electronic signatures, whether digital or encrypted, of the parties included in this Agreement are intended to authenticate this writing and to have the same force and effect as manual signatures. Electronic signature means any electronic sound, symbol or process attached to or logically associated with a record and executed and adopted by a party with the intent to sign such record.

Please confirm your agreement by clicking the 'I agree' button at the bottom of this document.