FILED
December 2, 2022
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

VERIFIED PETITION OF WESTFIELD GAS, LLC,)
D/B/A CITIZENS GAS OF WESTFIELD FOR (1))
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE RATES AND)
CHARGES FOR GAS UTILITY SERVICE AND)
APPROVAL OF A NEW SCHEDULE OF RATES)
AND CHARGES; (2) APPROVAL OF CERTAIN)
REVISIONS TO ITS TERMS AND CONDITIONS)
APPLICABLE TO GAS UTILITY SERVICE; AND) CAUSE NO. 45761
(3) APPROVAL PURSUANT TO INDIANA CODE)
SECTION 8-1-2.5-6 OF AN ALTERNATIVE)
REGULATORY PLAN UNDER WHICH IT)
WOULD CONTINUE ITS ENERGY EFFICIENCY)
PROGRAM PORTFOLIO AND ENERGY)
EFFICIENCY RIDER	

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR'S

PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 2: TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS LINDA M. DEVINE

December 2, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey M. Reed

Attorney No 11651-49

Deputy Consumer Counselor

WESTFIELD GAS, LLC, D/B/A CITIZENS GAS OF WESTFIELD CAUSE NO. 45761 TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS LINDA M. DEVINE

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is Linda M. Devine, and my business address is 115 West Washington Street,
3		Suite 1500 South, Indianapolis, IN 46204.
4	Q:	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A:	I am employed by the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") as a
6		Utility Analyst II. I have worked as a member of the OUCC's Natural Gas Division
7		since January 2022. My educational and professional experience, as well as my
8		preparation for this case, are detailed in Appendix LMD-1 attached to this testimony.
9	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
10	A:	I testify against Westfield Gas, LLC's d/b/a Citizens Gas of Westfield ("Petitioner" or
11		"Westfield Gas") adjustment to decrease Other Revenue by \$152,919. Petitioner used
12		2020 annual revenues to calculate proposed adjustments for three subcategories of
13		Other Revenue: late payment charges, reconnection fees, and collection fees, which are
14		unrepresentative revenue amounts Petitioner will have in the future. I also testify
15		against Petitioner's proposed adjustment to eliminate imbalance premium revenues
16		within the Other Revenue adjustment.
17	Q:	Do you have any recommendations?
18	A:	Yes. I recommend an adjustment to decrease Petitioner's total Other Revenue by
19		\$127,027.

1	Q:	Are you sponsoring any attachments?
2	A:	Yes, I am sponsoring the following attachments:
3		• Attachment LMD-1 relating to late payment charges;
4		• Attachment LMD-2 relating to reconnection fees and collection fees;
5		• Attachment LMD-3 relating to imbalance premium revenue; and
6		• Attachment LMD-4 relating to my overall adjustment to Other Revenues.
7 8	Q:	To the extent you do not address a specific item in your testimony, should it be construed to mean you agree with Petitioner's proposal?
9	A:	No. Not addressing a specific item or adjustment Petitioner proposes does not indicate
10		my agreement or approval. Rather, the scope of my testimony is limited to the specific
11		items addressed herein.
		II. OTHER REVENUE ADJUSTMENT
		THE REVERSE OF THE CONTRACT OF
12	Q:	What adjustment did Petitioner propose for Other Revenue?
12 13	Q: A:	
	_	What adjustment did Petitioner propose for Other Revenue?
13	_	What adjustment did Petitioner propose for Other Revenue? Petitioner proposes to decrease Other Revenue by \$152,919. (Petitioner's Attachment
13 14	_	What adjustment did Petitioner propose for Other Revenue? Petitioner proposes to decrease Other Revenue by \$152,919. (Petitioner's Attachment DBA-1, page 6.) This amount is broken down as follows:
13 14 15	_	What adjustment did Petitioner propose for Other Revenue? Petitioner proposes to decrease Other Revenue by \$152,919. (Petitioner's Attachment DBA-1, page 6.) This amount is broken down as follows: • An increase in other revenue of \$989;
13141516	_	What adjustment did Petitioner propose for Other Revenue? Petitioner proposes to decrease Other Revenue by \$152,919. (Petitioner's Attachment DBA-1, page 6.) This amount is broken down as follows: • An increase in other revenue of \$989; • A decrease in Late Payment/Reconnect Fees of \$5,688;
1314151617	_	What adjustment did Petitioner propose for Other Revenue? Petitioner proposes to decrease Other Revenue by \$152,919. (Petitioner's Attachment DBA-1, page 6.) This amount is broken down as follows: • An increase in other revenue of \$989; • A decrease in Late Payment/Reconnect Fees of \$5,688; • A decrease in Miscellaneous Supplier Revenues of \$24,741; and
13 14 15 16 17	_	What adjustment did Petitioner propose for Other Revenue? Petitioner proposes to decrease Other Revenue by \$152,919. (Petitioner's Attachment DBA-1, page 6.) This amount is broken down as follows: • An increase in other revenue of \$989; • A decrease in Late Payment/Reconnect Fees of \$5,688; • A decrease in Miscellaneous Supplier Revenues of \$24,741; and • The elimination of Decoupling Revenues of \$123,480.

- 1 Q: Do you disagree with any of the amounts in Petitioner's Other Revenue adjustment:
- 3 A: Yes. I disagree with Late Payment/Reconnect Fees Revenue of \$5,688, and
- 4 Miscellaneous Supplier Revenue of \$24,741, as discussed below.

A. Late Payment Revenue

- 5 Q: What adjustment does Petitioner propose relating to late payment revenues?
- 6 A: Petitioner proposes an adjustment to decrease late payment revenue in the amount of
- 7 \$4,778. (*Id*.)
- 8 Q: How did Petitioner calculate its late payment revenues?
- 9 A: Petitioner's witness Debi Bardhan-Akala states:
- 10 'Other revenues' include miscellaneous charges (switching and other
- gas revenue); late payment charges; reconnection fees; return check fees; and collection fees...Adjustments for other revenues are calculated
- fees; and collection fees...Adjustments for other revenues are calculated using a three-year (2019, 2020, and 2021) historical average to
- normalize test year data...Data presented in DBA-1, page 6, is sourced
- and supported by detailed calculations in wp S630.6.
- 16 (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 7, page 12, line 16 page 13, line 3.)
- 17 Q: Do you agree with Petitioner's proposed adjustment to decrease late payment
- 18 **revenue by \$4,778?**
- 19 A: No.
- 20 Q: Please explain why you disagree with Petitioner's proposed adjustment to
- 21 decrease late payment revenue in the amount of \$4,778.
- 22 A: In response to COVID-19, the Commission issued First and Second Interim Emergency
- Orders in Cause No. 45380. These orders required Indiana jurisdictional utilities to
- offer extended payment arrangements and exclude the collection of late fees, deposits,
- and disconnection/reconnection fees through October 12, 2020. In re Generic
- 26 Investigation Into COVID-19 Impacts, Cause No. 45380, First Interim Order p. 9 (Ind.
- Util. Regul. Comm'n Jun 29, 2020; Second Interim Order p. 6, Aug. 12, 2020.)

As a result of these orders extending payment arrangements and excluding the collection of late fees, deposits, and disconnection/reconnection fees, 2020 data is not a fair representation of annual late payment revenue. Petitioner calculated its adjustment using a 3-year average including 2019, 2020 and 2021, as noted above. I requested additional information from Petitioner to obtain the 2017 and 2018 annual amounts of late payment revenue. (Attachment LMD-1, pages 2-3; Petitioner's Response to OUCC DR 7.2.) I calculated a 4-year average including 2017 – 2019 and 2021, excluding unrepresentative 2020 data because of the Commission's Order in Cause No. 45380. (Attachment LMD-1, page 4.) I also asked Petitioner for 2022 data from January through September 2022. Petitioner reports late payment revenues for the first three quarters of 2022 at \$21,061, which is higher than the test year amount of \$18,385. (Attachment LMD-2, page 1; Petitioner's Response to OUCC DR 17.4d.) This indicates Petitioner will have more revenue than the 3-year average Petitioner used to calculate its adjustment on a going-forward basis. What adjustment did you make for late payment fee revenue? My adjustment for late payment feerevenue was calculated using a 4-year (2017, 2018, 2019, 2021) historical average to normalize test year data. The 4-year average of \$15,471 less the test year amount of \$18,385 results in a \$2,914 decrease in late

payment fee revenue. (Attachment LMD-1, page 4.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

0:

A:

B. Reconnection Fee Revenue and Collection Fee Revenue

1 2	Q:	What adjustment does Petitioner propose relating to reconnection fee and collection fee revenue?
3	A:	Petitioner proposes an adjustment to increase reconnection fee revenue in the amount
4		of \$1,089, and an adjustment to decrease collection fee revenue in the amount of
5		\$1,941. (Attachment LMD-1, page 1; Petitioner's workpaper S630-6.) Adding these
6		two amounts together results in a \$852 decrease in revenue.
7 8	Q:	How did Petitioner calculate its reconnection fee revenue and collection fee revenue?
9	A:	Ms. Bardhan-Akala's testimony referenced Attachment DBA-1, page 6, and detailed
10		calculations in wp S630-6, which were discussed above regarding late payment fee
11		revenue. (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2, page 12, line 16 – page 13, line 3.)
12 13	Q:	Please explain why you combined reconnection fee revenue and collection fee revenue when calculating your proposed adjustment.
14	A:	Petitioner combined collection fees and reconnection fees in the same general ledger
15		account as of July 2020. (Attachment LMD-2, page 1; Petitioner's Response to OUCC
16		DR 17.4a.)
17 18	Q:	Do you agree with Petitioner's proposed adjustment to decrease combined reconnection fee revenue and collection fee revenue by \$852?
19	A:	No.
20 21	Q:	Explain why you disagree with Petitioner's proposed \$852 decrease to the combined reconnection fee and collection fee revenue.
22	A:	As I discussed above regarding the late payment fee revenue, reconnection fee and
23		collection fee revenue was impacted by the Commission Orders in Cause No. 45380 in
24		response to COVID-19. The Orders in Cause No. 45380 required Petitioner to suspend
25		the collection of reconnection fees and collection fees through October 12, 2020.

Petitioner did not resume charging reconnection fees until November 2, 2020. (Attachment LMD-2, page 1; Petitioner's Response to OUCC DR 17.4b.) Petitioner calculated its adjustment using a 3-year average including 2019, 2020 and 2021, as noted above. I requested additional information from Petitioner to obtain the 2017 and 2018 annual amounts of reconnection fee and connection fee revenue. (Attachment LMD-1, pages 2-3; Petitioner's Response to OUCC DR 7.2.) I calculated a 4-year average including 2017 - 2019 and 2021, excluding unrepresentative 2020 data because of the Commission's Order in Cause No. 45380. (Attachment LMD-2, page 2.) I also asked Petitioner for 2022 data from January through September 2022. Petitioner reports reconnection fee/collection fee revenues for the first three quarters of 2022 at \$3,653, which is higher than the test year amount of \$3,518. (Attachment LMD-2, page 1; Petitioner's Response to OUCC DR 17.4c.) This indicates Petitioner will have more revenue than the 3-year average Petitioner used to calculate its adjustment on a going-forward basis. O: What adjustment did you make for combined reconnection fee and collection fee revenue? A: I calculated the combined reconnection fee and collection fee revenue adjustment using a 4-year (2017, 2018, 2019, 2021) historical average to normalize the test year data. The 4-year average of \$3,918 less the test year amount of \$3,518 results in a \$400 decrease in reconnection fee and collection fee revenue combined. (Attachment LMD-2, page 2.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

C. Imbalance Premium Revenue

1 2	Q:	Did Petitioner propose an adjustment to imbalance premium fee revenue as part of its proposed adjustment to Miscellaneous Supplier Revenues?
3	A:	Yes. Petitioner proposed an adjustment to remove all imbalance premium fee revenue
4		in accounts 495112, 495113, and 495114.
5	Q:	Did you ask Petitioner about the imbalance premium fee revenue?
6	A:	Yes. Ms. Bardhan-Akala indicates in Petitioner's responses to OUCC DRs 18.7.a,
7		18.8.a, and 18.9.a: "Third Party Supplier Administration fees associated with Usage
8		Balancing were not included because these costs vary widely, are unpredictable, and
9		are not sufficiently fixed, known, and measurable to be included in the pro forma
10		revenue requirements." (Attachment LMD-3, pages 1-3; Petitioner's Responses to
11		OUCC DRs 18.7.a, 18.8.a, and 18.9.a.)
12 13	Q:	The DR responses reference Account Numbers 495112, 495113, and 495114. What are those Account Numbers?
14	A:	Account Number 495112 is the 5%-10% imbalance premium. Account Number
15		495113 is the 10%-15% imbalance premium. Account Number 495514 is the 15%-
16		30% imbalance premium.
17 18 19	Q:	Do you agree with Petitioner that the Third-Party Supplier Administrative fees are unpredictable, vary widely, and are not sufficiently fixed, known, and measurable to be included in proforma revenue?
20	A:	No.
21 22	Q:	Explain why you disagree with Petitioner's decision to remove imbalance premium revenue.
23	A:	I requested additional information from Petitioner to obtain the 2017 and 2018 annual
24		amounts of imbalance premium revenue. (Attachment LMD-3, pages 1-3; Petitioner's
25		Responses to OUCC DRs 18.7b, 18.8b, and 18.9b.) I reviewed information related to

these fees from 2017 to 2021. That information is summarized on Attachment LMD-3, page 4. The account numbers and descriptions correspond with the information indicated above. Petitioner states the imbalance premium revenue is not fixed, known and measurable. (Attachment LMD-3, pages 1-3; Petitioner's Responses to OUCC DRs 18.7b, 18.8b, and 18.9b.) However, Petitioner's tariff includes imbalance premium revenue. Imbalance premium revenue has a specific account number, and that account shows up in the revenue section of the general ledger. Petitioner had imbalance premium revenue for the last five years, and therefore I used historical data to estimate the imbalance premium revenue on a going-forward basis. Because the year 2020 was impacted by COVID, and Petitioner could have had reduced imbalance revenue during this time period, I excluded the 2020 revenue from my calculation, as I did above. Q: What adjustment did you make for imbalance premium revenue? My adjustment for imbalance premium revenue was calculated using a 4-year (2017, A: 2018, 2019 and 2021) historical average. My proposed adjustment for imbalance premium revenue is a decrease of \$1,567. (Attachment LMD-3, page 4.) The breakdown of revenue by type is as follows:

• 5-10% imbalance premium revenue decrease in the amount of \$349.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 10-15% imbalance premium revenue decrease in the amount of \$807.
- 15-30% imbalance premium revenue decrease in the amount of \$411.

D. Overall Adjustment for Other Revenue

- 1 Q: What is your overall adjustment for other revenue?
- 2 A: My overall adjustment to other revenue is a decrease in the amount of \$127,027.
- 3 (Attachment LMD-4, page 1.) This amount incorporates all the items listed above.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4 Q: Please summarize your recommendations.
- 5 A: I recommend a \$127,027 decrease to Other Revenues.
- 6 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?
- 7 A: Yes.

APPENDIX TO TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS LINDA M. DEVINE

1	Q:	Describe your educational background and experience.
2	A:	I completed my undergraduate course work at Purdue University-Calumet in
3		Hammond, Indiana. I graduated from Bakers College of Online Graduate Studies
4		based in Flint, Michigan with an MBA in Business Administration with an
5		Accounting emphasis in December 2007. I am a CPA candidate. I attended the
6		Institute of Public Utilities Accounting and Ratemaking Course offered by
7		Michigan State University. I filled several roles during my career including
8		business owner, auditor, financial analyst, and senior staff accountant.
9		In January 2022, I began my employment with the OUCC as a Utility
10		Analyst II. My current responsibilities include reviewing, analyzing, and preparing
11		testimony for Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA") cases, Energy Efficiency Rider cases,
12		special contract cases and base rate cases.
13	Q:	Have you previously testified before the Commission?
14	A:	Yes, I have testified in GCA cases, special contract cases and base rate cases.
15	Q:	Please describe the review you conducted to prepare this testimony.
16	A:	I reviewed the Verified Petition, direct testimony, exhibits and workpapers. I
17		reviewed the Commission's Final Orders in Cause Nos. 45380 and 44731. I also
18		reviewed Petitioner's responses to OUCC discovery requests in this Cause.

Attachment LMD-1 Cause No. 45761 Page 1 of 4

w/p S630-6 170 IAC 1-5-8 (5)

WESTFIELD GAS, LLC Miscellaneous Revenues

т :		A		В	т	C	D	Е	D.,	F
Line <u>No.</u>		<u>CY19</u>	<u>(</u>	CY20		est Year CY21	<u>Total</u>	verage (A, B, C)		o Forma justment
1	Account 487010 Late Payment Charges	\$ 15,031	\$	7,406	\$	18,385	\$ 40,822	\$ 13,607	\$	(4,778)
2	Account 488010 Reconnection Fees	2,921		345		-	3,266	1,089		1,089
3	Account 488020 Return Check Fees	550		282		503	1,335	445		(58)
4	Account 488030 Collection Fees	850		363		3,518	4,731	1,577		(1,941)
5	Account 495101 \$5/month End User Fee	2,555		2,865		2,920	8,340	2,780		(140)
6	Account 495102 \$100/month End User Fee	5,230		6,000		6,000	17,230	5,743		(257)
7	Account 495112 5-10% Imbalance Premium	1,188		850		1,653	3,691	-		(1,653)
8	Account 495113 10-15% Imbalance Premium	1,624		1,565		2,908	6,097	-		(2,908)
9	Account 495114 15-30% Imbalance Premium	20,592		9,327		19,783	49,702	-		(19,783)
10	Account 495160 Customer Usage Summary Charge	-		-		-	-	-		-
11	Account 495162 Switching Charges	100		175		-	275	92		92
12	Account 495999 Other Gas Revenues	(7,318)		331		(4,840)	 (11,827)	 (3,942)		898
13	Total Miscellaneous Revenues (ln 1 thru ln 12)	\$ 43,323	\$	29,509	\$	50,830	\$ 123,662	\$ 21,391	\$	(29,439)

Attachment LMD-1
Cause No. 45761
Page 2 of 4
Cause No. 45761
Responses of Westfield Gas LLC
Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's
Seventh Set of Data Requests

DATA REQUEST NO. 2:

Referring to Miscellaneous Revenues on workpaper S630-6:

- a. Please provide the amount of Late Payment Charges in account 487010 for Calendar Year ("CY") 17 and CY 18.
- b. Please provide the amount of Reconnection Fees in Account 488010 for CY 17 and CY 18.
- c. Please provide the amount of Collection Fees in Account 488030 for CY 17 and CY 18.

RESPONSE:

- a. Please see attachment OUCC DR 7.2.
- b. Please see attachment OUCC DR 7.2.
- c. Please see attachment OUCC DR 7.2.

WITNESS:

Attachment LMD-1 Cause No. 45761 Page 3 of 4

Westfield Gas, LLC DR 7.2 - Late Payment Charges, Reconnection Fees, and Collection Fees Calendar Year 2017-2018

Line	No.		A	В	
		<u>C</u>	CY2017	CY2018	Source:
1	Account 487010 Late Payment Charges	\$	13,093	\$ 15,376	To Attachment LMD-1, page 4
2	Account 488010 Reconnection Fees	\$	2,462	\$ 3,203	To Attachment LMD-2, page 2
3	Account 488030 Collection Fees	\$	1,284	\$ 1,433	To Attachment LMD-2, page 2

Westfield Gas, LLC, D/B/A Citizens Gas of Westfield Cause No. 45761

Other Revenues: Late Payment Fees

		Source:
Calendar Year 2017 Late Payment Fees	13,093	Attachment LMD-1, page 3
Calendar Year 2018 Late Payment Fees	15,376	Attachment LMD-1, page 3
Calendar Year 2019 Late Payment Fees	15,031	Attachment LMD-1, page 1
Calendar Year 2021 Late Payment Fees	18,385	Attachment LMD-1, page 1
Four-Year Total	61,885	
Four Year Average	15,471	
Less Test Year Amount - 2021	(18,385)	Attachment LMD-1, page 1
OUCC Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)	(2,914)	To Attachment LMD-4, page 2

Attachment LMD-2
Cause No. 45761
Page 1 of 2
Cause No. 45761
Responses of Westfield Gas LLC
Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's
Seventeenth Set of Data Requests

DATA REQUEST NO. 4:

Referring to wp S630-6:

- a. Please explain why there is no reconnection fee revenue for test year calendar year 2021.
- b. Please explain when Petitioner started charging customers reconnection fees after the COVID-19 pandemic.
- c. Please provide reconnection fee revenue Petitioner received from January 2022 to September 2022.
- d. Please provide late payment charge revenue Petitioner received from January 2022 to September 2022.

OBJECTION:

Petitioner objects to subparts a. and b. on grounds set forth in General Objection No. 7 to the extent that subpart a. assumes there is no reconnection fee revenue for test year calendar year 2021 and because the phrase "after the COVID-19 pandemic" in subpart b. is vague and ambiguous. Subject to and without waiving objections, Petitioner responds as follows:

RESPONSE:

- a. The reconnection fee revenue for test year calendar year 2021 is included in Account 488030. Beginning July 2020, collection fees and reconnection fees were combined in the same general ledger account.
- b. Petitioner started charging customers reconnection fees on November 2, 2020, following the Commission's order on August 12, 2020, in Cause No. 45380 related to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- c. As discussed in Response a, both Reconnection Fees and Collection Fees are combined in Account 488030. Petitioner received a total of \$3,653 in Account 488030 for the period January 2022 to September 2022.
- d. Petitioner received \$21,061 in Account 487010 Late Payment Charges revenue for the period January 2022 to September 2022.

WITNESS:

Westfield Gas, LLC, D/B/A Citizens Gas of Westfield Cause No. 45761

Other Revenues: Reconnection/Collection Revenue Adjustment

		Source:
Calendar Year 2017 Reconnection/Collection Revenue	3,746	Attachment LMD-1, page 3
Calendar Year 2018 Reconnection/Collection Revenue	4,636	Attachment LMD-1, page 3
Calendar Year 2019 Reconnection/Collection Revenue	3,771	Attachment LMD-1, page 1
Calendar Year 2021 Reconnection/Collection Revenue	3,518	Attachment LMD-1, page 1
Four-Year Total	15,671	
Four Year Average	3,918	
Less Test Year Amount - 2021	(3,518)	Attachment LMD-1, page 1
OUCC Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)	400	To Attachment LMD-4, page 2

Note: Reconnection and collection revenue were added together for each row.

Attachment LMD-3 Cause No. 45761 Page 1 of 4

Cause No. 45761

Responses of Westfield Gas LLC
Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's
Eighteenth Set of Data Requests

DATA REQUEST NO. 7:

Referring to Petitioner's wp S630-6, line 7:

- a. Please explain why Petitioner did not include any pro forma revenue for Account Number 495112.
- b. Please provide the calendar year 2017 and calendar year 2018 revenue for Account Number 495112.

OBJECTION:

Petitioner objects to subpart b. on grounds set forth in General Objections Nos. 2, 5, and 8 as the referenced time periods are outside the scope of this proceeding. Subject to and with waiver of any objections, please see the responses below.

RESPONSE:

a. Third Party Supplier Administration fees associated with Usage Balancing were not included because these costs vary widely, are unpredictable, and are not sufficiently fixed, known, and measurable to be included in the pro forma revenue requirements.

b.

	2017	2018
Account 495112	\$1,043	1,333

WITNESS:

Attachment LMD-3
Cause No. 45761
Page 2 of 4
Cause No. 45761
Responses of Westfield Gas LLC
Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's
Eighteenth Set of Data Requests

DATA REQUEST NO. 8:

Referring to Petitioner's wp S630-6, line 8:

- a. Please explain why Petitioner did not include any pro forma revenue for Account Number 495113.
- b. Please provide the calendar year 2017 and calendar year 2018 revenue for Account Number 495113.

OBJECTION:

Petitioner objects to subpart b. on grounds set forth in General Objection Nos. 2, 5 and 8 as the referenced time periods are outside the scope of this proceeding. Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, please see the responses below.

RESPONSE:

a. Third Party Supplier Administration fees associated with Usage Balancing were not included because these costs vary widely, are unpredictable, and are not sufficiently fixed, known, and measurable to be included in the pro forma revenue requirements.

b.

	2017	2018
Account 495113	\$1,549	\$2,325

WITNESS:

Attachment LMD-3
Cause No. 45761
Page 3 of 4
Cause No. 45761
Responses of Westfield Gas LLC
Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's
Eighteenth Set of Data Requests

DATA REQUEST NO. 9:

Referring to Petitioner's wp S630-6, line 9:

- a. Please explain why Petitioner did not include any pro forma revenue for Account Number 495114.
- b. Please provide the calendar year 2017 and calendar year 2018 revenue for Account Number 495114.

OBJECTION:

Petitioner objects to subpart b. on grounds set forth in General Objection Nos. 2, 5, 8 as the referenced time periods are outside the scope of this proceeding. Subject to and without waiver of any objections, please see the responses below.

RESPONSE:

a. Third Party Supplier Administration fees associated with Usage Balancing were not included because these costs vary widely, are unpredictable, and are not sufficiently fixed, known, and measurable to be included in the pro forma revenue requirements.

b.

	2017	2018
Account 495114	\$21,764	\$15,350

WITNESS:

Westfield Gas, LLC, D/B/A Citizens Gas of Westfield Cause No. 45761 Other Revenues: Imbalance Premium Revenue

	5-10%	10-15%	15-30% Source:
Calendar Year 2017 Imbalance Premium	1,043	1,549	21,764 Attachment LMD-3, pages 1-3
Calendar Year 2018 Imbalance Premium	1,333	2,325	15,350 Attachment LMD-3, pages 1-3
Calendar Year 2019 Imbalance Premium	1,188	1,624	20,592 Attachment LMD-1, page 1
Calendar Year 2021 Imbalance Premium	1,653	2,908	19,783 Attachment LMD-1, page 1
Four-Year Total	5,217	8,406	77,489
Four Year Average	1,304	2,102	19,372
Less Test Year Amount - 2021	(1,653)	(2,908)	(19,783) Attachment LMD-1, page 1
OUCC Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)	(349)	(807)	(411) To Attachment LMD-4, page 2
Total OUCC Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)	(1,567)		

Attachment LMD-4 Cause No. 45761 Page 1 of 2

Westfield Gas, LLC, D/B/A Citizens Gas of Westfield Cause No. 45761

Other Revenues: Overall Adjustment

		Source:
Other Revenue	\$989	Petitioner's Attachment DBA-1, page 6
Late Payment/Reconnect Fees	(2,572)	Attachment LMD-4, page 2
Miscellaneous Supplier Revenue	(1,964)	Attachment LMD-4, page 2
Decoupling Revenue	(123,480)	Petitioner's Attachment DBA-1, page 6
	_	
OUCC Adjustment - Increase/(Decrease)	(\$127,027)	

Westfield Gas, LLC, D/B/A Citizens Gas of Westfield Cause No. 45761 Other Revenues:- OUCC Pro Forma Adjustment Detail

Line	Account Number	Description	Summary Groups	Pro Forma	
No.	wpS630-6	wpS630-6	DBA-1, pg 6 of 12 Adjustment		Source:
1	487010	Late Payment Charges	Late Payment/Reconnect Fees (\$2,914)		Attachment LMD-1, page 4
2	488010/488030	Reconnection Fees/Collection Fees	Late Payment/Reconnect Fees	400	Attachment LMD-2, page 2
3	488020	Return Check Fees	Late Payment/Reconnect Fees	(58)	Petitioner's original adjustment
4	488030	Collection Fees	Late Payment/Reconnect Fees	connect Fees Combine	
5	495101	\$5/month End User Fee	Miscellaneous Supplier Revenues (140)		Petitioner's original adjustment
6	495102	\$10/month End User Fee	Miscellaneous Supplier Revenues (257)		Petitioner's original adjustment
7	495112	5-10 % Imbalance Premium	Miscellaneous Supplier Revenues (349)		Attachment LMD-3, page 4
8	495113	10-15% Imbalance Premium	Miscellaneous Supplier Revenues	(807)	Attachment LMD-3, page 4
9	495114	15-30% Imbalance Premium	Miscellaneous Supplier Revenues	(411)	Attachment LMD-3, page 4
10	495160	Customer Usage Summary Charge	Miscellaneous Supplier Revenues		Petitioner's original adjustment
11	495162	Switching Charges	Other Revenue	92	Petitioner's original adjustment
12	495999	Other Gas Revenues	Other Revenue	898	Petitioner's original adjustment
13		Total Miscellaneous Revenues (ln 1 thru ln 12)		(\$3,546)	
			Late Payment/Reconnect Fees	(\$2,572)	
			Miscellaneous Supplier Revenue	(\$2,372)	
			wiscenaneous supplier Revenue	(\$1,704)	

AFFIRMATION

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the foregoing representations are true.

Linda Devine

Utility Analyst II

Indiana Office of

Utility Consumer Counselor

Cause No. 45761

Citizens Gas of Westfield, LLC

12/2/2022 Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following parties of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic service on December 2, 2022.

Michael E. Allen Alejandro Valle Lauren Toppen

Citizens Energy Group

E-mail: mallen@citizensenergygroup.com avalle@citizensenergygroup.com ltoppen@citizensenergygroup.com

Joseph M. Sutherland Vice President, Regulatory & External Affairs Citizens Energy Group Email: jsutherland@citizensenergygroup.com Steven W. Krohne **Ice Miller LLP**

E-mail: steven.krohne@icemiller.com

Debi Bardhan-Akala Director, Regulatory Affairs Citizens Energy Group

Email: dbardhan@citizensenergygroup.com

Jeffrey M. Reed Attorney No 11651-49 Deputy Consumer Counselor

ffy M Rul

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

115 West Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204 infomgt@oucc.in.gov 317/232-2494 – Telephone 317/232-5923 – Facsimile