# FILED September 9, 2019 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

1

#### **REVISED PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 12**

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA 2019 BASE RATE CASE REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN L SULLIVAN, III

# REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN L. SULLIVAN, III DIRECTOR, CORPORATE FINANCE AND ASSISTANT TREASURER DUKE ENERGY BUSINESS SERVICES, LLC ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC BEFORE THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

I. INTRODUCTION

2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND POSITION WITH
3		DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION.
4	A.	My name is John L. Sullivan, III. My business address is 550 South Tryon Street,
5		Charlotte, North Carolina, 28202. I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services,
6		LLC ("DEBS") as Director, Corporate Finance and Assistant Treasurer. I am also the
7		Assistant Treasurer of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC ("Duke Energy Indiana" or the
8		"Company"). DEBS provides various administrative and other services to Duke Energy
9		Indiana and other affiliated companies of Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy").
10	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.
11	A.	I received a Bachelor of Arts degree from University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill in
12		1995 and an MBA degree from Wake Forest University in 2000.
13	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.
14	A.	From 2000 to 2009, I worked in Bank of America's Global Corporate & Investment
15		Banking unit, providing corporate finance, capital markets and strategic advisory services
16		to energy and power clients. In 2009, I joined Duke Energy as a General Manager in the
17		Treasury group. In 2010, I moved to Duke Energy's Corporate Development group
18		where I served as a Director responsible for managing various strategic transactions for
	EXH	IURC PETITIONER'S JOHN L. SULLIVAN, III OFFICIAL EXHIBITS

1		the Company's regulated and commercial businesses. In January 2016, I returned to
2		Duke Energy's Treasury department and assumed my current role.
3	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS DIRECTOR, CORPORATE FINANCE
4		AND ASSISTANT TREASURER.
5	A.	I am responsible for financing the operations of Duke Energy and its subsidiary utilities.
6		This includes the issuance of new debt and equity securities, and obtaining other sources
7		of external funds. My responsibilities also include financial risk management for Duke
8		Energy and its subsidiaries. Additionally, I maintain relationships with Duke Energy's
9		commercial banks, the fixed income investor community, and the credit rating agencies.
10	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
11	A.	My testimony will address Duke Energy Indiana's financial objectives, capital structure,
12		and cost of capital. I will also discuss the current credit ratings and forecasted capital
13		needs of Duke Energy Indiana. Throughout my testimony, I will emphasize the
14		importance of Duke Energy Indiana's continued ability to meet its financial objectives.
15	Q.	PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF YOUR TESTIMONY.
16	A.	As detailed in my testimony, Duke Energy Indiana faces substantial capital needs over
17		the next several years. The Company competes for capital in the open market, and must
18		appeal to debt and equity investors to attract the capital it needs.
19		Investors have a variety of investment opportunities available to them, and require
20		a return commensurate with the risk they incur. Investors are less likely to invest if they
21		feel the expected return doesn't fairly compensate for the perceived risk of the
22		investment. A company with lower credit quality weakens its attractiveness as an

#### DUKE ENERGY INDIANA 2019 BASE RATE CASE REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN L SULLIVAN, III

investment opportunity compared to similarly situated companies with higher credit quality. For this reason, it is critically important that the Company maintain strong investment-grade credit quality, to provide for financial strength and flexibility and ensure access to capital on reasonable terms.

Q.

A.

The Company is making significant capital investments to provide cost effective, safe, reliable, and environmentally-compliant electric service to its customers well into the future. The Company's proposed rate increase will allow the Company to recover prudently incurred costs, to compete in the capital markets for needed capital, and preserve its financial standing with both equity and debt investors as well as the credit rating agencies, to the long-term benefit of customers.

#### II. FINANCIAL OBJECTIVES

#### WHAT ARE DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S FINANCIAL OBJECTIVES?

Financial strength and access to capital are necessary for Duke Energy Indiana to provide cost-effective, safe, environmentally-compliant, and reliable service to its customers. The Company seeks to maintain its financial strength and flexibility, including its strong investment-grade credit ratings, ensuring reliable access to capital on reasonable terms. Specific objectives that support financial strength and flexibility include: (a) maintaining at least 53 percent common equity for Duke Energy Indiana on a financial capitalization basis; (b) ensuring timely recovery of prudently incurred costs; (c) maintaining sufficient cash flows to meet obligations; and (d) maintaining a sufficient return on equity to fairly compensate shareholders for their invested capital. The ability to attract capital (both debt and equity) on reasonable terms is vitally important to the Company and its

1		customers, and each of these specific objectives helps the Company both to maintain its
2		high investment-grade credit ratings and to meet its overall financial objectives.
3	Q.	DO DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S CUSTOMERS BENEFIT FROM THE
4		COMPANY'S STRONG CREDIT RATINGS?
5	A.	Yes. To ensure reliable and cost-effective service, and to fulfill its obligations to serve
6		customers, the Company must continuously plan and execute major capital projects. This
7		is the nature of regulated, capital-intensive industries like electric and gas utilities. The
8		Company must be able to operate and maintain its business without interruption and
9		refinance maturing debt on time, regardless of financial market conditions. The financial
10	٠	markets can experience periods of volatility, and Duke Energy Indiana must be able to
11		finance its needs throughout such periods. Strong investment-grade credit ratings provide
12		Duke Energy Indiana with greater access to the capital markets on reasonable terms
13		during such periods of volatility.
14	Q.	WHAT RATEMAKING TREATMENT IS BEING REQUESTED IN THIS
15		PROCEEDING AND HOW WILL THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL
16		OBJECTIVES BE IMPACTED?
17	A.	As explained by Company Witness Mr. Brian P. Davey Duke Energy Indiana is
18		requesting an overall rate increase of approximately 15.43% percent, equating to an
19		increase in pre-tax revenue requirement of approximately \$393.1 million after both Step
20		1 and Step 2 increases, and before impact of the Utility Receipts Tax.
21		This requested rate relief is premised, in part, on a forecasted capital structure of
22		47 percent debt and 53 percent equity. It is also based on a forecasted cost of debt of
		JOHN L. SULLIVAN, III

### DUKE ENERGY INDIANA 2019 BASE RATE CASE REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN L SULLIVAN, III

I		4.88 percent, and a forecasted cost of equity of 10.4 percent, as outlined in the testimony
2		of the Company's Return on Equity ("ROE") witness, Mr. Robert Hevert. My testimony
3		explains and supports the capital structure and cost of debt.
4	•	Approval of the Company's request in this case will support its financial
5		objectives by allowing timely recovery of its investments in plant and equipment,
6		providing sufficient cash flows to fund necessary capital expenditures and service debt,
7		and will provide a fair and reasonable return to equity investors.
8	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN CREDIT QUALITY AND CREDIT RATINGS, AND HOW
9		THEY ARE DETERMINED.
10	A.	Credit quality (or creditworthiness) is a term used to describe a company's overall
11		financial health and its willingness and ability to repay all financial obligations in full and
12		on time. An assessment of Duke Energy Indiana's creditworthiness is performed by two
13		major credit rating agencies, Standard & Poor's ("S&P") and Moody's Investors Service
14		("Moody's"), and results in Duke Energy Indiana's credit rating.
15		Many qualitative and quantitative factors go into this assessment. Qualitative
16		aspects may include Duke Energy Indiana's regulatory climate, its track record for
17		delivering on its commitments, the strength of its management team, its operating
18		performance, and the strength of its service area. Quantitative measures are primarily
19		based on operating cash flow and focus on the level at which Duke Energy Indiana

maintains debt leverage in relation to its generation of cash and its ability to meet its

fixed obligations on the basis of internally-generated cash. The percentage of debt to total

capital is another example of a quantitative measure. Creditors and credit rating agencies

20

21

22

# DUKE ENERGY INDIANA 2019 BASE RATE CASE REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN L SULLIVAN, III

1		view both qualitative and quantitative factors in the aggregate when assessing the credit
2		quality of a company.
3	Q.	WHAT IS THE ROLE OF REGULATION IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE
4		FINANCIAL STRENGTH OF A UTILITY COMPANY?
5	A.	Investors, investment analysts and credit rating agencies regard the regulatory
6		environment as one of the most important factors in assessing a utility company's
7		financial strength. The regulatory environment is comprised of two important factors, the
8		regulatory framework and the predictability and consistency of decision-making. These
9		stakeholders want to be confident that the Company operates in a stable regulatory
10		environment that will allow the Company to recover prudently incurred costs and earn a
11		reasonable return on investments necessary to meet the demand, reliability, service, and
12		environmental requirements of its customers and service area.
13		Important considerations of a strong regulatory framework include the allowed
14		rate of return, the cash quality of earnings, the timely recovery of capital investments, the
15		stability of earnings, and the strength of its capital structure. Positive consideration is
16		also given for utilities operating in states where the regulatory process is streamlined, the
17		time lag in capital investment recovery is minimized through cost recovery mechanisms
18		such as riders and trackers, and outcomes are equitably balanced between customers and
19		investors. Further considerations that demonstrate a strong regulatory environment
20		include the track record of regulatory decisions in terms of consistency, predictability and
21		supportiveness.

#### DUKE ENERGY INDIANA 2019 BASE RATE CASE REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN L SULLIVAN, III

#### Q. HOW ARE DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S OUTSTANDING SECURITIES

#### 2 CURRENTLY RATED BY THE CREDIT RATING AGENCIES?

As of the date of this testimony, Duke Energy Indiana's outstanding debt is rated as

4 follows:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

#### Table 1:

Rating Agency	S&P	Moody's
Issuer / Corporate Credit Rating	A-	A2
Senior Secured	A	Aa3
Outlook	Negative	Stable

Obligations carrying a credit rating in the "A" category are considered strong, investment-grade securities subject to low credit risk for the investor. "A" rated debt is presumed to be somewhat susceptible to changes in circumstances and economic conditions; however, the debt issuer's capacity to meet its financial commitments is considered strong. By contrast, ratings in the "BBB" category are considered adequate and have less assurance of access to the capital markets in challenging market conditions. (AA and Aa category ratings for S&P and Moody's, respectively, are stronger than A ratings.)

S&P may also modify its ratings with the use of a plus or minus sign to further indicate the relative standing within a major rating category. An "A+" credit rating is at the higher end of the "A" credit rating category and an "A-"is at the lower end of the category. Moody's credit rating assignments use the numbers "1", "2" and "3", with the numbers "1" and "3" analogous to a "+" and "-", respectively. For example, Moody's

#### DUKE ENERGY INDIANA 2019 BASE RATE CASE REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN L SULLIVAN, III

credit ratings of "A2" and "A3" would be analogous to "A" and "A-"credit ratings at S&P, respectively.

The ratings outlook assesses the potential direction of a long-term credit rating over an intermediate term (typically six months to two years). Duke Energy Indiana's "Stable" outlook at Moody's means that those credit ratings are not likely to change at this time, however a change in outlook or rating could occur if the Company experiences a change in its qualitative or quantitative credit quality. S&P utilizes a family rating methodology, whereby the credit rating and outlook of the parent company, Duke Energy Corporation, is applied to each of the parent's subsidiaries. S&P revised its outlook to negative on May 20, 2019 citing concerns of weaker financial measures due to 2018 storms, uncertainty over growing coal ash remediation costs and recovery, regulatory lag during a period of robust capital spending and delays related to the Atlantic Coast Pipeline. S&P states in its May 2019 DE Corp. report¹ that the outlook could be restored to stable if Duke Energy Corporation and its subsidiaries improve financial measures in the next 12-24 months.

# Q. WHAT STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES HAVE THE CREDIT RATING AGENCIES IDENTIFIED WITH RESPECT TO DUKE ENERGY INDIANA?

A. The rating agencies believe Duke Energy Indiana operates in a generally supportive regulatory framework that supports long-term credit quality. In particular, the Indiana General Assembly's authorization of cost recovery via riders and trackers for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See S&P Global Ratings Research Update, "Duke Energy Corp. and Subs. Outlook Revised to Negative on Coal Ash Risks, Regulatory-Lag, and Project Delays", May 20, 2019 ("May 2019 DE Corp. Report") **JOHN L. SULLIVAN, III** 

#### DUKE ENERGY INDIANA 2019 BASE RATE CASE REVISED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN L SULLIVAN, III

infrastructure improvements and for compliance with federal environmental requirements
are considered positively. However, the rating agencies have identified a number of
challenges the Company faces in maintaining its credit ratings. In October 2018,
Moody's identified several factors that could adversely impact the Company's financial
metrics (specifically, cash flow coverage ratios), which, in turn, could affect its ratings. <sup>2</sup>
• A decline in the credit supportiveness of the utility's regulatory framework:

- A decline in the credit supportiveness of the utility's regulatory framework:

  Moody's identifies the current regulatory environment and suite of cost
  recovery mechanisms as credit supportive. Changes to this environment could
  potentially pressure credit profile of the Company.
- Regulatory Lag: This refers to the delayed recovery on investments the
  Company makes between rate cases and how this puts pressure on credit
  metrics. Moody's cites regulatory lag in recovery of environmental and coal
  ash capital and operating costs and grid modernization investments as a credit
  challenge for the Company, particularly during periods of elevated capital
  expenditures.
- Elevated Carbon Transition Risk: Moody's also points to Duke Energy
   Indiana's elevated carbon transition risk within the regulated utility sector
   because of its relatively high coal generation ownership.
- Cash Flow from Operations (pre-working capital)/Debt levels falling below
   22% on a sustained basis: This important metric used by Moody's measures

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Moody's Investors Service, Credit Opinion, "Duke Energy Indiana, LLC" October 31, 2018 ("October 2018 DE Indiana Report").

1		the cash generating ability of a utility compared to its total debt. A higher
2		percentage gives creditors and investors greater confidence that the utility is
3		generating adequate cash flows to meet its financial obligations and debt
4		service costs.
5		III. CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST OF CAPITAL
6	Q.	WHAT ARE DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S CURRENT AND FORECASTED
7		FINANCIAL CAPITAL STRUCTURES?
8	A.	Duke Energy Indiana's current (as of May 31, 2019) financial capital structure is 46.2%
9		percent long-term debt and 53.8 percent equity. Duke Energy Indiana's capital structure
10		is forecasted to be 47 percent long-term debt and 53 percent equity at the end of 2020
11		(the end of the test period). This forecasted capital structure is consistent with our target
12		capital structure of 47 percent long-term debt and 53 percent equity for Duke Energy
13		Indiana as it introduces an appropriate amount of risk due to leverage while minimizing
14		the weighted average cost of capital to customers. Use of the forecasted capital structure
15		in setting Duke Energy Indiana's rates will help Duke Energy Indiana maintain its credit
16		quality. This level is also consistent with the Company's target credit metrics needed to
17		support its current credit ratings.
18	Q.	DOES THE ACTUAL FINANCIAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE VARY OVER
19		TIME?
20	A.	Yes, it does. The specific debt/equity ratio will vary over time, depending on a variety of
21		factors, including, among other things, the timing and size of capital investments and
22		payments of large invoices, debt issuances, seasonality of earnings, and dividend  JOHN L. SULLIVAN, III

1		payments to the parent company. Achieving an actual regulatory capital structure of
2		47/53 by the end of 2020 is consistent with the Company's financial objectives and
3		overall plan to maintain its ability to finance operations at rates favorable for customers.
4	Q.	WHAT IS DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S COST OF EQUITY?
5	A. 1	Witness Robert B. Hevert, who has separately filed testimony, indicates that the
6		Company's cost of equity is 10.4 percent and the Company supports Mr. Hevert's
7		analysis.
8	Q.	WHAT ROLE DO EQUITY INVESTORS PLAY IN THE FINANCING OF DUKE
9		ENERGY INDIANA, AND HOW WILL THE OUTCOME OF THIS CASE
10		IMPACT THESE INVESTORS?
11	A.	Equity investors provide the foundation of a company's capitalization by providing
12		significant amounts of capital, for which an appropriate economic return is required.
13		Duke Energy Indiana compensates equity investors for the risk of their investment by
14		targeting fair and adequate returns, a stable dividend, and earnings growth - these are all
15		necessary to preserve access to equity capital. Returns to equity investors are realized
16		only after all operating expenses and fixed payment obligations (including debt principal
17		and interest) of the business have been paid. Because equity investors are the last to
18		receive surplus earnings and cash flows, their investment involves significantly more
19		risk. For this reason, equity investors require a higher return for their investment. Equity
20		investors expect utilities like Duke Energy Indiana to recover their prudently incurred
21		costs and earn a fair and reasonable return for their investors. The Company's proposal
22		in this proceeding supports this investor requirement.

1	Q.	WHAT EFFECT DOES CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND RETURN ON EQUITY
2		HAVE ON CREDIT QUALITY?
3	A.	Capital structure and return on equity are important components of credit quality. As
4		mentioned in the previous answer, the greater the equity component of capitalization, the
5		safer the returns are to debt investors, which translates into higher credit quality and
6		lower borrowing costs. In addition, the allowed return on equity is a key component in
7		the generation of earnings and cash flows. An adequate return on equity helps ensure
8		equity investors receive fair compensation for their investment while also helping to
9		protect the interests of debt investors. A strong capital structure and an adequate return
10		on equity provide balance sheet protection and cash flow generation to support high
11		credit quality. High credit quality creates financial flexibility by providing more readily
12		available access to the capital markets on reasonable terms, and ultimately lower debt
13		financing costs.
14	Q.	DO YOU BELIEVE THAT DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S CAPITAL
15		STRUCTURE HAS AN ADEQUATE EQUITY COMPONENT TO ENABLE
16		DUKE ENERGY INDIANA TO ACHIEVE THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL
17		STRENGTH AND CREDIT QUALITY OBJECTIVES?
18	A.	Yes. Duke Energy Indiana's equity component, as reflected in this case, will support the
19		Company's healthy credit profile and maintain financial strength and flexibility. This
20		level of equity will enable the Company to tolerate different business cycles while also
21		providing a cushion to the Company's lenders and bondholders. Like many utilities,
22		Duke Energy Indiana is in a period of significant capital investment necessary to provide JOHN L. SULLIVAN, III

1		cost-effective, safe, environmentally-compliant, and reliable service to its customers in a
2		time of rising costs, lower load growth and rapidly evolving state and federal
3		requirements. The magnitude of its capital requirements dictates the need for a strong
4		equity component of the Company's capital structure to assure access to capital funding
5		at reasonable terms.
6	Q.	WHAT ARE DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S CURRENT AND FORECASTED
7		AVERAGE COSTS OF LONG-TERM DEBT?
8	A.	Duke Energy Indiana's current (as of March 31, 2019) weighted average cost of long-
9		term debt is 4.94% Duke Energy Indiana's weighted average cost of long-term debt is
10		forecasted to be 4.88% at the end of 2020 (the end of the test period). Over the past
11		decade, Duke Energy Indiana has been taking advantage of low interest rates, decreasing
12		its weighted average cost of long-term debt as older bonds are replaced with lower cost
13		debt.
14	Q.	WHAT ARE DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S PROJECTED CAPITAL
15		REQUIREMENTS OVER THE NEXT FIVE YEARS?
16	A.	Duke Energy Indiana faces substantial capital needs over the next several years to satisfy
17		debt maturities, comply with environmental requirements, refurbish, replace and upgrade
18		aging infrastructure, construct or acquire needed generation resources, strengthen and
19		modernize our energy grid, continue to invest in energy efficiency, and to satisfy its debt
20		maturities. The Company's capital requirements for the next five years (2019-2023) are
21		projected to be approximately \$4.1 billion. This amount consists of approximately \$3.4

1		official in projected capital expenditures and approximately \$700 million in debt
2		retirements.
3	Q.	HOW WILL DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS BE
4		FUNDED?
5	A.	Duke Energy Indiana's capital requirements are expected to be funded from internal cash
6		generation, the issuance of debt, and equity funding. It is important to remember that
7		Duke Energy also has dividend obligations to its shareholders. Duke Energy's corporate
8		dividend policy targets a ~70 percent payout ratio, based on adjusted diluted earnings per
9		share, and its operating subsidiaries are expected to mirror this policy over time.
10		IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
11	Q.	DID YOU PROVIDE MR. JACOBI'S GROUP WITH 2020 FINANCIAL
12		FORECAST ASSUMPTIONS (CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST OF DEBT)
13		FOR THEIR USE IN PREPARING THE 2020 DUKE ENERGY INDIANA
14		FORECAST?
15	A.	Yes, I did. I also provided the corporate parent interest assumptions to Company witness
16		Ms. Diana Douglas.
17	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?
18	A.	Yes.

#### **VERIFICATION**

I hereby verify under the penalties	of perjury th	at the foregoing	representations	are true to
the best of my knowledge, information and	d belief.			

Signed:

hn L. Sullivan III

Dated:

9-9-19