FILED March 30, 2023 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

VERIFIED PETITION OF INDIANA MICHIGAN) POWER COMPANY (I&M) FOR AN ORDER) AUTHORIZING (1) PRE-APPROVAL OF A) CAPACITY PURCHASE AGREEMENT (CPA)) AND (2) TIMELY RECOVERY OF COSTS) THROUGH I&M'S RESOURCE ADEQUACY) RIDER (RAR) OF THE COST OF CAPACITY I&M) WILL INCUR UNDER THE CPA.)

CAUSE NO. 45869

SUBMISSION OF DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDREW J. WILLIAMSON

Applicant, Indiana Michigan Power Company (I&M), by counsel, respectfully

submits the direct testimony and attachments of Andrew J. Williamson in this Cause.

Respectfully submitted,

Teresa Morton Nyhart (Atty. No. 14044-49) Jeffrey M. Peabody (Atty. No. 28000-53) BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 Nyhart Phone: (317) 231-7716 Peabody Phone: (317) 231-6465 Fax: (317) 231-6465 Fax: (317) 231-7433 Nyhart Email: tnyhart@btlaw.com Peabody Email: jpeabody@btlaw.com

Tammara D. Avant (Atty, No. 31466-49)American Electric Power Service Corporation101 W. Ohio St., Suite 1320Indianapolis, Indiana 46204Phone:(317) 508-9262Email:tdavant@aep.com

Attorneys for Indiana Michigan Power Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served this 30th day of March, 2023, by email transmission, hand delivery or United States Mail,

first class, postage prepaid to:

T. Jason Haas Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor Office of Utility Consumer Counselor 115 West Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 <u>infomgt@oucc.in.gov</u> <u>thaas@oucc.in.gov</u>

1eph

Jeffrey M. Peabody

Teresa Morton Nyhart (Atty. No. 14044-49) Jeffrey M. Peabody (Atty. No. 28000-53) BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 Nyhart Phone: (317) 231-7716 Peabody Phone: (317) 231-6465 Fax: (317) 231-6465 Fax: (317) 231-7433 Nyhart Email: tnyhart@btlaw.com Peabody Email: jpeabody@btlaw.com

Tammara D. Avant (Atty. No. 31466-49)American Electric Power Service Corporation101 W. Ohio St., Suite 1320Indianapolis, Indiana 46204Phone:(317) 508-9262Email:tdavant@aep.com

Attorneys for Indiana MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

DMS 24232849v1

I&M Exhibit: _____

INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

PRE-FILED VERIFIED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ANDREW J. WILLIAMSON

Contents

I.	Introduction of Witness1
II.	Purpose of Testimony2
III.	Accounting and Ratemaking Treatment for the Montpelier CPA4
IV.	Summary and Conclusion7

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDREW J. WILLIAMSON ON BEHALF OF INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

I. Introduction of Witness

1	Q1.	Please state your name and business address.
2		My name is Andrew J. Williamson and my business address is Indiana Michigan
3		Power Center, P.O. Box 60, Fort Wayne, IN 46801.
4	Q2.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5		I am employed by Indiana Michigan Power Company (I&M or Company) as
6		Director of Regulatory Services.

7 Q3. What are you responsibilities as Director of Regulatory Services?

8 I am responsible for the supervision and direction of I&M's Regulatory Services
9 Department, which has responsibility for the rate and regulatory matters
10 affecting I&M's Indiana and Michigan jurisdictions. I report directly to I&M's Vice
11 President of Regulatory and Finance.

Q4. Briefly describe your educational background and professional experience.

I received a Degree of Bachelor of Business Administration, Accounting and
Finance Majors, in May 2004 from Ohio University. In January 2007, I passed
the Certified Public Accountant Examination. I am licensed in the state of Ohio
and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

I was employed by PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP (PwC) as a Staff and Senior
 Auditor from August 2004 until December 2007. At PwC, I assisted and led the
 audits of the books and records of public and private companies, compilation of

financial statements and compliance with the standards set forth under the
 Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

In January 2008, I joined American Electric Power (AEP) as a Staff Accountant
in the Accounting Policy and Research department. Thereafter, I held positions
as a Staff and Senior Accountant in Financial Policy Transaction and Analysis,
Senior Financial Analyst in Transmission Investment Strategy and Manager of
Regulatory Accounting Services. In March 2014, I assumed my current position
as Director of Regulatory Services for I&M.

9 Q5. Have you previously testified before any regulatory commissions?

Yes. I have testified before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (IURC or
 Commission) on behalf of I&M in numerous cases, including I&M's most recent
 general rate case filings, Cause Nos. 45576, 45235, and 44967. I also filed
 testimony in Cause No. 45868, seeking approval of additional generation
 resources related to I&M's 2022 All-Source Request for Proposal (RFP).

In addition, I have testified before the Michigan Public Service Commission
(MPSC) on behalf of I&M, before the Public Utility Commission of Texas on
behalf of AEP Texas Central Company (TCC), AEP Texas North Company
(TNC), Electric Transmission Texas, LLC (ETT) and Southwestern Electric
Power Company (SWEPCO), and before the Corporation Commission of the
State of Oklahoma on behalf of Public Service Company of Oklahoma (PSO).

II. Purpose of Testimony

21 Q6. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The purpose of my testimony is to address the accounting and ratemaking
associated with the Montpelier capacity-only purchase agreement (CPA).
Specifically, I&M is requesting timely cost recovery through I&M's existing
Resource Adequacy Rider (RAR) of the cost of capacity I&M will incur under the

1		Montpelier CPA. In addition, I support other accounting and ratemaking
2		proposals, including the request to defer costs incurred prior to recovery in
3		I&M's rates. I also provide an estimate of the overall incremental customer rate
4		impact of the Montpelier CPA.
5	Q7.	Are you sponsoring any attachments?
6		Yes, I am sponsoring the following attachments:
7		• Attachment AJW–1 and AJW-1C, Montpelier CPA Customer Rate Impact
8		(Confidential/Competitively Sensitive and Public Version)
9		 Attachment AJW-2 and AJW-2C, Comprehensive Generation
10		Transformation Customer Rate Impact (Confidential/Competitively
11		Sensitive and Public Version)
12	Q8.	Are you sponsoring any workpapers?
13		Yes, I am sponsoring:
14		WP AJW-1, CONFIDENTIAL/COMPETITIVELY SENSITIVE Customer
15		Rate Impact Support.xlsx
16	Q9.	Were the attachments and workpapers that you sponsor prepared or
17		assembled by you or under your direction?
18		Yes.

1

2

3

4

III. Accounting and Ratemaking Treatment for the Montpelier CPA

- Q10. Please describe the Montpelier CPA the Company is seeking approval of.
- The Montpelier CPA is a capacity-only contract I&M has entered into with Rockland Capital for 210 MW of firm PJM-accredited capacity. I&M's contract does not include any energy purchases.
- The Montpelier Electric Generating Station is an existing facility that went into 5 commercial operation in 2001 and is located in Poneto, IN (Wells County). This 6 7 facility is connected to the AEP transmission system in PJM. The contract term for the Montpelier PPA is seven (7) years, starting in the PJM 2027/2028 8 Planning Year (or June 1, 2027) and ending in 2033/2034 (or May 31, 2034). 9 As further described by Company witness Lucas, the Montpelier CPA benefits 10 11 customers in numerous ways, including providing a unique opportunity to better 12 align I&M's future generation resources with changes in forecasted capacity 13 requirements related to I&M's long-term wholesale contracts. Company witness Gaul describes the CPA in more detail. 14

15 Q11. How does I&M propose the costs of the Montpelier CPA be recovered?

16 I&M seeks timely cost recovery under Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(a) through a rate adjustment mechanism. The Company proposes timely cost recovery be 17 administered through the RAR proceedings which is an existing rate adjustment 18 19 mechanism approved by the Commission that recovers the costs of I&M's purchased capacity resources. The Company seeks the Commission to find the 20 21 Montpelier CPA is reasonable and necessary and authorize the associated 22 timely cost recovery throughout the entire seven (7) year term of the agreement. 23 The Company also seeks confirmation that the costs thereof are recoverable 24 through the RAR proceedings (or successor recovery mechanism).

7

8

Q12. Is this proposal consistent with Commission approved treatment of other purchased capacity costs?

Yes. This proposal is consistent with the purpose of the RAR recovery mechanism the Commission approved in Cause Nos. 44967 and 45576. The RAR tracks incremental changes in the Company's non-fuel¹ purchased power costs, compared to the amount embedded in base rates.

Q13. Is I&M requesting ratemaking and accounting treatment for the CPA development costs?

Yes. The Company incurred reasonable and necessary costs related to the 9 development of the Montpelier CPA. At the time I&M short-listed projects from 10 the 2022 All Source RFP, the Company created a specific work order to collect 11 the charges associated with development of the Clean Energy PPA Projects 12 13 requested for approval in Cause No. 45868 and the Montpelier CPA. These 14 costs include the expenses I&M incurs related to internal resource support and outside services that are reasonable and necessary to develop and finalize the 15 16 CPA and obtain approval of the resource. These costs are not ongoing in 17 nature and not otherwise captured by the ratemaking process, and therefore it is 18 reasonable that the Commission authorize recovery of these costs along with recovery of the costs I&M will incur under the CPA. I&M requests Commission 19 20 approval to establish a regulatory asset and authority to recover these costs in the RAR over a period of two years, including a pre-tax return on the 21 22 unamortized balance. The CPA development costs incurred as of February 28, 2022 are approximately \$142 thousand. Additional costs will continue to be 23 24 incurred until all condition precedents and other applicable contract terms are met and final. Following the Commission's approval of I&M's request, I&M will 25 26 include the final CPA development cost balance in the RAR.

¹ Excluding those costs, if any, recovered through the Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC).

2

3

4

5

1 Q14. How did I&M determine the Montpelier CPA Project development costs?

In order to determine the Montpelier CPA Project development costs, I&M allocated all such costs based on the installed capacity (ICAP) value of the CPA. As a result, the Montpelier CPA represented approximately 43% (or 210 MW / 490 MW) of the total CPA and PPA resources.²

6 Q15. Please explain I&M's proposed allocation of the Montpelier CPA costs.

The Montpelier CPA Project costs will be allocated consistent with the
 previously approved allocation methodologies³ for I&M's other purchased
 capacity costs that are also recovered in the RAR, which the Company utilizes a
 demand allocation factor for both jurisdictional and class allocation purposes.

11 Q16. Please explain the requested deferral accounting authority and how such 12 costs will be determined.

The Company is requesting Commission authority to defer and record as a regulatory asset the Montpelier CPA costs until such time as these costs are included in I&M's rates, either RAR rates as proposed in this proceeding or I&M's basic rates. Such deferral accounting authority is reasonable and necessary to ensure timely recovery of the expenses incurred.

Q17. What is the estimated overall rate impact for I&M's Indiana customers of the Montpelier CPA in the RAR?

As shown on Attachment AJW-1, I&M estimated the average year 1 rate impact on an Indiana jurisdictional basis for all rate classes to be approximately 0.4%.

² (210 MW Montpelier CPA) / (180 MW Sculpin PPA + 100 MW Elkhart PPA + 210 MW Montpelier CPA).

³ Cause No. 45576, Settlement WP IM JCOSS-CCOSS TYE 12-31-22_End of Period_Settlement.

Q18. Have there been recent cost reductions associated with I&M's generation transformation?

Yes. The Montpelier CPA is necessary to replace the capacity need resulting 3 from the Rockport Plant retiring by 2028. During 2022, the Rockport Unit 2 4 Lease ended and Rockport Unit 2 was transitioned to a merchant unit, which 5 reduced I&M's cost of providing service to its customers. This cost reduction 6 7 was identified and incorporated into I&M's cost of service in Cause No. 45576.4 Attachment AJW-2 estimates the overall year one rate impact inclusive of the 8 Montpelier CPA, the Clean Energy Projects requested for approval in Cause No. 9 45868, and the recent cost reductions associated with Rockport Unit 2 to be 10 approximately a 7.6% reduction. This provides a more comprehensive 11 summary of the net rate impact associated with I&M's generation 12 transformation. 13

IV. Summary and Conclusion

14 **Q19.** Please summarize your testimony and conclusions.

I&M's Montpelier CPA is reasonable and necessary to continue to meet the
long-term capacity needs of I&M's customers. Therefore, I&M's request for
timely recovery of the associated costs through the RAR, along with deferral of
costs incurred prior to inclusion in rates, should be approved. I&M's requested
accounting and ratemaking as supported by my testimony should also be
approved. Project development costs are reasonable and necessary to execute
the Montpelier CPA contract and should be approved for recovery in the RAR.

22 Q20. Does this conclude your pre-filed verified direct testimony?

23 Yes.

⁴ Cause No. 45576 Joint Exhibit 1 Settlement Agreement Attachment 2.

VERIFICATION

I, Andrew J. Williamson, Director of Regulatory at Indiana Michigan Power Company, affirm under penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Date: <u>3-30-2023</u>

Andrew J. Williamson

Andrew J. Williamson

Indiana Michigan Power Montpelier CPA Indiana Jurisdictional Rate Impact Analysis by Major Class

2027 Annualized Impact					
	Total Retail	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	
Montpelier CPA Cost of Service					
Revenue Requirement Impact					
Avg Retail Rate Impact %					
-					

Indiana Michigan Power Generation Transformation Summary Indiana Jurisdictional Rate Impact Analysis by Major Class

To Montpelier CPA Cost of Service Renewable PSA and PPA Cost of Service 1 \$	tal Retail	Residential	Commercial	In	dustrial
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Renewable PSA and PPA Cost of Service ¹ \$					
	83,816,999 \$	33,387,191	5 29,013,280	\$	21,416,527
Estimated Incremental Energy Revenue ¹ \$	(34,162,069) \$	(12,257,987)	6 (11,927,227)	\$	(9,976,855
Estimated REC Sales Revenue ¹ \$	(20,546,191) \$	(7,372,356)	6 (7,173,426)	\$	(6,000,408
Rockport Unit-2 Non-Fuel Cost \$	(137,988,168) \$	(57,671,044)	6 (47,560,182)	\$	(32,756,942
Rockport Unit-2 Fuel Cost Reduction \$	(23,017,725) \$	(8,259,189)	6 (8,036,329)	\$	(6,722,207
Net Revenue Requirement Impact					
Avg Retail Rate Impact %					

1 - I&M has requested approval of the associated resources in Cause No. 45868