44976

## November 2, 2017

## **INDIANA UTILITY**

## **REGULATORY COMMISSION**

IN THE SCOTT CIRCUIT COURT For CLARK CIRCUIT COURT2 2

CHARLESTOWN PLEASANT RIDGE NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOC. CORP., No. 10C01-1701-CT-10 PLANTIFF, vs. 9-1-2017 CITY OF CHARLESTOWN, CHARLESTOWN BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS AND Pages 1-259 SAFTEY, DEFENDANT

> PRELIMINARY INJUCTION SPECIAL JUDGE JASON MOUNT

## APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Anthony Sanders

Stephen Voelker Jeffery Redfern Keith Diggs

For the Defendant: Michael Gillenwater

Mark Crandley

Court Reporter: Cindy Bonsett

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording.

	I N D E X			
WITNESS (ES)	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
FOR THE Plaintiff:				
Tina Barnes	22	39	56	57
Mayor Robert Hall	58	91	113	113 114
Michael A. Jackson	115	137	144	145
Josh Craven	146	156		
Ellen Keith	168	174	175	
FOR THE Defendant:				
Josh Craven	176	181		
Michael A. Jackson	184	207	211	
Mayor Robert Hall	212	231		

Proceeding commenced at 9:03 AM

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

THE COURT: Alright, first of all we are here for Charlestown Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood Association versus The City of Charlestown, 10C01-1701-CT-10. If you are here for any other reason if you have your own matter that is set today we have some criminal defendants that may be here today this hearing is going to take most of the day, so if you are here for a criminal matter you may want to step out into the hallway the Prosecutor's office will find you here in the next few minutes and talk to you about your criminal matter and then they will be able to provide you with some instruction on how we plan on handling those matters today. Secondly for those of you who are here for the matter that we are going to hear I know we talked about this last time we were here, there is no recording or video, video recording in the Courtroom, also with respect with cell phones there are some Courts in Indiana that have started to ban the use of cell phones in the Courtroom, I will allow you to use your cell phone in the Courtroom obviously you can't make calls while you are in here and I ask that you keep it on silent, the first person who distracts me with the cell phone will be warned, the second time that I am distracted by a cell phone we will not have cell phones in the Courtroom after that so, we will give everyone one warning on a cell phone. But please make sure your cell phones are on silent, and give mw just a second to organize myself. If I could have for the

```
benefit of the Court reporter, if I could have all the Attorneys
1
    identify themselves please. And we will start over here please
2
3
    with Mr. Voelker.
              Mr. STEPHEN VOELKER:
                                     Yes, Steve Voelker one of the
4
5
    attorneys for Pleasant Ridge.
 6
              Mr. JEFFERY REDFERN:
                                     Jeffery Redfern, Pleasant Ridge
7
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Anthony Sanders one of the
    attorneys for the Plaintiff.
8
9
              Mr. KEITH DIGGS: And Keith Diggs attorney for the
10
    Plaintiffs.
11
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: Good morning Your Honor, Mark
    Crandley for the Defendants.
12
13
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: And Mike Gillenwater for the
14
    Defendants.
15
              THE COURT: Okay I'm sorry, very good, very good
    alright, thank you. Well this is the Plaintiff's Motion for
16
17
    Preliminary injunction so we will start with them do we want
18
    anything by way of opening statement or argument?
19
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Yes Your Honor I have an opening
    statement prepared and we have discussed with the other side
20
21
    after we each have our Witnesses a period of time for closing
    statements and oral arguments at the end of the day.
22
23
              THE COURT: Okay sure. Alright then we will start
    with you go ahead.
24
25
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Your Honor before we get
```

```
started a couple of things I just informed opposing Counsel, you
1
2
    may know Rebecca Lockard she is an attorney, she practices in
3
    the same office as me, her husband passed away two days ago and
    the viewing and funeral are today so need to be out of here by
4
5
    about four o'clock, if I can.
              THE COURT: Okay
 6
7
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Otherwise I will not be able
    to get there. So I think everybody understands and I don't know
8
9
    if we will still be here that long and we have each gotten or
10
    exchanged some exhibits with one another and I think as a
11
    preliminary matter I would propose that we provide you with a
    copy of those thing I am not necessarily agreeing that
12
13
    everything relevant.
              THE COURT: Sure
14
15
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: But just to speed things up
    I would be willing to stipulate that their admissibility.
16
17
              THE COURT: Okay, very good, and of course we don't
18
    have a jury here so I am smart enough to figure out what I ought
19
    to pay attention to and what I ought not pay attention to.
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: We agree with that Your
20
21
    Honor.
              THE COURT: Alright very good.
22
23
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: So do we, your Honor. We have
    our first witness I will bring them up to you.
24
25
              THE COURT: Okay that sounds good, alright, is that
```

all the preliminary matters we have? Alright you may proceed. 1 MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Plaintiffs will present evidence 2 3 today showing that in 2016 the city of Charlestown began enforcing its property maintenance code against properties in 4 5 the Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood in an illegal and unconstitutional way. The evidence will show that this unlawful 6 enforcement coincided with the accusation of close to half of 7 the neighborhood by a private developer and it is party of the 8 9 city's plan to demolish all of Pleasant Ridge and redevelop from 10 scratch. This evidence will establish that Plaintiffs are 11 entitled as a matter of law to a preliminary injunction ordering 12 the city to obey the law when it enforces the code. Your Honor 13 will first hear from Plaintiff and Charlestown City Counselor, Tina Barnes, who will describe Pleasant Ridge neighborhood, the 14 15 history of recent efforts to redevelop the neighborhood, and the 16 fight of many home owners and the landlords to save their 17 properties. Next you will hear from Mayor Hall himself, who 18 will verify that he has repeatedly said that the redevelopment 19 of Pleasant Ridge is an all or nothing matter and he will testify how he has lead that effort in partnership with a 20 21 private developer John Neace. The Mayor will also will verify that after this law suit was filed he stated publicly that 22 23 inspections will continue. The ordnance that he has advised the property owners not to fix up their properties because the homes 24 25 will be torn down anyway. He will also testify that in April of

this year the city adopted a resolution that stated inspections against the homeowners not just landlords are now on the table. The Mayor will also likely try to equivocate, say that there is no officially written plan, that he doesn't himself have the power to take the homes and that the developer is doing this on speculation. The evidence will show however that the reason why everyone knows the Mayor intends to take the homes is that he has repeatedly said so. He partnered with Neace in 2014 to apply to the State for millions of dollars of grant money to accomplish that and then he partnered with Neace again in 2016 after the 2014 plan melted down. Third you will hear from city inspector Tony Jackson, who will verify that issued dozens of citations in 2016 to Pleasant Ridge property owners including Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood Association under Indiana Safe Building Law and the 2008 Property Maintenance code. He will testify that the citations he wrote imposed fines immediately and fines that accumulated on a daily basis without first providing the property owner a reasonable opportunity to correct any alleged defects. In the case of the Association itself, Mr. Jackson and Defendant, Board of Public Works and Safety imposed a nearly \$9,000.00 dollar fine despite the Associations lack of history of violations and its immediate good faith effort to fix everything Mr. Jackson required. You will also hear from Mr. Jackson that he did not require Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC, the company controlled by John Neace that has been buying

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

up properties to fix up properties it acquired even though 1 people would remain in them for some period of time. Mr. 2 3 Jackson will at last tell the Court that he runs a private on the side and that he worked out a deal with Neace to do private 4 5 asbestos inspections, meaning that every time a Pleasant Ridge was sold to Neace, Mr. Jackson benefited financially. Next you 6 7 will hear from Josh Cravens, the president of Plaintiff Neighborhood Association, he will explain how the non-profit 8 9 association bought its property on Riley Avenue in Pleasant 10 Ridge in 2015 to show how redevelopment should occur. Mr. 11 Cravens will testify about how the Association did everything it 12 could to resolve the problems that Mr. Jackson identified, but 13 nevertheless was hit with a close to \$9,000.00 dollar fine that 14 under the city's new policy cannot be waived. We will then hear 15 from an Association Board Member, Melissa Crawford, she will 16 primarily testify to admit into evidence photos that she helped 17 take of the home that Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment has acquired. 18 Last you will hear from Ellen Keith a forty year resident of 19 Pleasant Ridge, she will testify that Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment homes of numerous current code violations 20 21 including garbage in yards, and the presence of rats that have arisen since the properties were sold and she and her neighbors 22 23 have had to go to the Clark County Health Department to address these problems because the city hasn't wanted to crack down its 24 25 favored developer. She will also describe how the Mayor stood

in her back yard and told her and her husband that the city 1 2 intends to get her property and along with everybody else's. 3 She will testify that the Mayor said the city would use would use fines and emanate domain to force those sales. Finally in 4 5 the closing and oral argument later this afternoon we will explain how the evidence demonstrates the Court should issue a 6 7 preliminary injunction. Plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits because the city's actions have been unlawful and 8 9 unconstitutional, the city has violated Indiana Unsafe Building 10 Law and its own Property Maintenance Code as well as the Equal 11 Protection Clause of the US Constitution and Indiana's Constitutions Equal Privileges and Immunities Clause. Thank You 12 13 Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thank You, Mr. Gillenwater or Mr. 15 Crandley. MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Thank You, Your Honor. In 16 17 order to understand what is going on here you have to have a 18 little bit of background and you are going to hear some 19 testimony about that today. You will hear a little bit about the city of Charlestown which is a neighboring community, you 20 21 are fully aware of, you will hear that in Charlestown at one point in 1939 there was 700 hundred people in town about and 22 23 less than one year later there were 9,000 thousand and less than one year after that there were 27,000 thousand people in that 24 community and that was predominantly because of the construction 25

of the Indiana Army Ammunitions Plant, that is located there. The government came in and helped with the housing by building a housing development now known as Pleasant Ridge that is located in the city of Charlestown, which is the focal point of this litigation. You will hear that the homes that were assembled in Pleasant Ridge are modular homes that were constructed in New Albany then bolted together in Charlestown. They could be put up in one to three days and that they were by 1941 standards substandard housing because they were temporary. By today's 10 standards you will hear that they are still substandard, they 11 were never designed to last this long and they are there. You 12 will hear that there were approximately 412 of those homes built 13 at the time. The aging of the homes has caused problems you 14 will hear testimony from the Mayor and Mr. Jackson and from some 15 of the Plaintiffs that there are problems in Pleasant Ridge, 16 because of the aging of the homes, because of the deterioration, 17 because of the nature of the construction and this has led to 18 the neighborhood being basically a low rent neighborhood where 19 most of the properties are rental homes. And that because of this the nature of the neighborhood has changed from mostly 20 21 owner occupied to mostly rental and with that has come or have come a lot of problems. You will hear that there is increased 22 23 crime, you will hear that there is problems with drug use and dealing, you will hear that there is problems with feral 24 25 animals, you will hear that there a lot of code violations for

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

the maintenance of structures, that people are continuing to 1 2 live in substandard homes. You will hear of efforts that the 3 city has made not over the last two or three years, you will hear of efforts this mayor has made over the last seventeen 4 5 years to revitalize that neighborhood infusing literally millions of dollars into that neighborhood to try to revitalize 6 7 it and you will hear about how some of programs worked and how most of those have failed. You will hear from the Plaintiffs 8 9 and the Defendants that the property values in Pleasant Ridge 10 have continued to decline while everyone else's property values 11 go up theirs continue to go down. There are problems in 12 Pleasant Ridge and we will agree to that, I think everybody will 13 agree. The question then comes to what should be done and can 14 the city enforce laws and how can they do that. The city has a 15 right to govern itself, the legislature has granted cities, 16 towns, counties authority to enact legislation to govern their 17 selves to protect the health, safety and well fair of the 18 communities. They have given them laws to do redevelopment, 19 they have given them the almost a blank check to regulate anything that is not exclusively regulated by the State 20 21 government, that is called Home Rule Authority. And some the tools the city has, the city has the executive branch consisting 22 23 of the Mayor, hos departments heads and the city workers, there is the legislative branch which is the city council, one of the 24 25 Plaintiffs, Ms. Barnes is a member of that city council, there

are administrative agencies, there is the board of public works 1 2 and safety, whose responsibility it is in part to oversee the 3 utilities, the safety, the police, and other aspects of the day to day operations of the city. And there is a redevelopment 4 5 commission which has the responsibility and the authority to identify and plan for the redevelopment the areas needing 6 7 redevelopment formality known as blighted areas. The city has to play by the rules, you know this as an attorney that official 8 9 action can only be taken by the city or by one of its organs in 10 public meetings by the appropriate authority by the appropriate 11 people and it has to be documented. Mayors are granted the authority to go out and do things, they talk to people, they 12 13 encourage people, they promote their city, they do a lot of 14 things, and Mayor Hall will testify that he has done those 15 things. He will testify that since he was elected in 2000 there 16 have been efforts, continuous efforts except for 4 years when he was not Mayor since 2000, continuous efforts to revitalize and 17 18 redevelop the Pleasant Ridge neighborhood. He will tell you he 19 knows many people that live there, he has owned property there, he has dealt with problems there, he is familiar with it and it 20 21 is his responsibility to deal with that. Now today we are here for a preliminary injunction so we are not going to try to prove 22 23 the case in chief or disprove the case in chief at least that is what I think we are here to do. And it is not your 24 25 responsibility to as you know to preempt the will, desire and

legislation of those who have been elected in the city of 1 2 Charlestown to run that city, you are here to see if they have 3 followed the law, to see if the law allows them to do what they have done. The Plaintiffs do not like the way the city is 4 5 administering the law, they do not like the place that it leads to, and so they ascribe sinister motives to everything the city 6 7 has done, and you will hear about that. Fortunately for the Defendants you are required to give benefit of the doubt 8 9 regarding any legislation to the city not to those that accuse 10 the city of having sinister motives. Some of the efforts that 11 the city has made in and you will hear about this in the 12 Pleasant Ridge neighborhood are to institute a neighborhood 13 watch program to have code enforcement that encouraged people to 14 bring their housing up to code and that occurred much of it in 15 early 2000s, without a great effect, it did not last, it did not 16 work the city had too much a bit of tolerance they removed 17 hundreds of junk cars, they have had clean-up days, they have improved roads and utilities. You will hear that the Pleasant 18 19 Ridge neighborhood constitutes only a small fraction of the city's population yet a great disproportionate amount of city's 20 21 resources are expended in enforcement actions, whether that be law enforcement, code enforcement, collecting delinquent 22 23 utilities, and other things. The city has a problem in the neighborhood not to say that everybody who lives there is bad 24 25 but they have had problems there. In 2008 the city enacted its

property maintenance code and you will hear arguments about that 1 2 property maintenance code and its relation to its State's Unsafe 3 Building Code because there is a relationship there but that goes more towards the law. In 2014 the city had an opportunity 4 5 to become involved in something called the Blight Elimination Program it was a grant basically there were grant moneys 6 7 available for the refurb, the revitalization to help with blighted areas, the city got involved in an application for 8 9 that. The city council in the end of 2014 choose not to pursue 10 that grant, it would have meant the infusion of millions of 11 dollars into the city that could of helped with redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge. The following year in 2015 there was an 12 13 election and you will hear that the seminal issue was should Pleasant Ridge be redeveloped, 4 of the 5 city council people 14 15 who were elected supported the proposition that it should be, 1 16 supported the proposition that shouldn't she happens to live in 17 that neighborhood and she is in the Courtroom with us today. 18 Since the new city council has put in office at the beginning of 19 2016 there has been an effort and you will see some of the documents to promote the redevelopment or revitalization of 20 21 Pleasant Ridge, you will see a resolution passed by the city councilman in January, 2016 expressing concerns and directing 22 23 the Mayor and the Department of Redevelopment to investigate and come up with a plan for the redevelopment or solving of the 24 problems in Pleasant Ridge. You will see on the last page of 25

that resolution the principals by which they hoped the Mayor and redevelopment commissions would guided, which expressly states that there should be concern for the people who live there particularly the elderly who live there and particularly those who own their own homes, that was the directive of the city council and the Mayor will testify that's been followed. Since then the next month on February 1st, 2016 the city enacted an At Risk Residential Rental Property Inspection Ordinance indicating that rental properties, residential rental properties classified as at risk properties and those were properties that were certain age, that were originally built with hazardous materials, that didn't have foundations, and there is another factor that has to be, those properties would have to register and regularly be inspected, because those properties were the most likely and you will read this in the resolution or ordnance most likely to post problems for the residents who live there it is a health and safety ordnance. That was on February  $1^{\rm st}$ , 2016, it did not become effective until June and inspections under that ordnance did not begin until August of 2016. You will hear that there were many, many landlords of properties that owned these rental properties who did absolutely nothing to bring their properties up to code during that period of time despite the fact that they were forewarned that they would be inspected and that when inspections began that rather than invest the money necessary to bring their properties up to code, they sold

1

2

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

those properties. You will hear that there is a speculator, Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC who is buying those homes nobody is forced to sell their homes at all, nobody is forced to sell to them, nobody from the city there is no official action that requires that. What you will find is pretty simple you either bring your houses up to code or you tear it down or you pay fines, you get to choose, that is the city's policy that is what is being enforced. Unlike in the early 2000s when the code enforcement was, there was code enforcement but that it was somewhat permissive with regards to fines and in this case fines are being levied and are being levied immediately because people are on notice. You will see that the redevelopment commission later in 2016 after conducting multiple hearings to determine whether this area is an area needing development as defined by the Indiana Code made a determination that it was. You will see that there are eight factors that if you can prove any one of them that qualify an area as an area needing redevelopment. In the case of Pleasant Ridge there are seven of eight of those factors present, you will hear evidence that proves that. Later that year the redevelopment commission adopted a formal plan for the redevelopment of the Pleasant Ridge neighborhood, one of the specific findings in that plan that was adopted by the redevelopment commissions and ratified by the city council was at this time no properties would be acquired by eminent domain but the redevelopment commission recognized many of the problems

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

in Pleasant Ridge and recognized that it would be difficult, if not impossible to redevelopment Pleasant Ridge if only some of the houses were removed because it would be difficult if not impossible to put new housing in that neighborhood. You will hear that there have been continuing inspections ugh and that when homes, these at risk residential rental homes have been inspected and violations are discovered that fines have been imposed, they have been imposed for each violation on a daily basis as the ordnance prescribes you will note that there toward the minimum range the law allows up to, excuse me the ordinance allows up to \$15,000 hundred dollar fine per violation per day and it is nowhere near that. You will hear that the violations for which people have been cited are health and safety violations based upon the city's property maintenance code which is in effect the 2006 international property maintenance code, the same standards set out in that code. You will hear Your Honor that the Pleasant Ridge neighborhood Association was formed and they chose to purchase a home to rent that they did significate work to that home and that at some point later in the year their property was inspected as were many other properties, they allege in their complaint that they were the only landlord that owned only one property that was inspected or the first to be inspected but you will hear testimony that is simply not the case, they were treated like everyone else you will testimony to that effect, there was significate serious

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

health and safety code violations. They will not deny this, they do not dispute the fact that fines that well excuse me, violations that were noted at ever home that was inspected they cannot point to one of them that they think was not a legitimate code violation, that is not the issue here, the fact that the city is inspecting and fining people with them. The bases of their complaint is that one of the basis of their motion here today is that there is a speculator that has purchased certain properties and has promised to tear these properties down and the city has not waived their fines but has entered into a discussions indicating that if their homes are taken down that the fines may be waived, there will be testimony that the city has requested because of the number of homes that they have purchased that they not evict, that they not put everybody out all at once and there has been an orderly progressive vacation of those premises, which are now being boarded up. You will hear testimony that since these homes have been boarded up and since the population of this area of the community has been going down that some of the problems that the city has experienced are diminishing. The Plaintiffs believe that they have been treated unfairly because the fines against their properties have not been waived, yet that they have behaved, you will hear testimony that they have not behaved the same as these land speculator who has agreed to tear their houses down, so that redevelopment can occur. You will hear that the

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

redevelopment commission passed a resolution recommending to the Board of Public Works and Safety that unless landlords agreed to permanently solve the problem with these substandard homes that no fines be waived, that they be accountable to maintain their homes up to the Property Maintenance Code standards and that if they don't that they be fined because otherwise there would not, there would be a disincentive to maintain their properties properly. Your Honor, ugh in the end what you will hear is testimony that shows that there are problems in Pleasant Ridge, that there are many health and safety code problems, that the efforts undertaken by the city are working, that the problems are diminishing because the city is being allowed to govern itself rather than have somebody else come in and govern it and you will see that what has been done is, is according to law whether the city ever takes properties ugh by eminent domain is irrelevant to today's discussion, regardless of the fact they may want to get to that point the road that they are taking now does not pass through that point, we are talking about code enforcement and you will hear testimony that the violations for which they seek are legitimate violations that nobody disputes that and that is a rational, in that there is a rational bases or relationship between the objective of the city which is to eliminate substandard housing and the way they are going about doing it. Thank You Your Honor. THE COURT: Alright Thank You.

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

1 MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Your Honor for our first Witness 2 we would like to call Council member Tina Barnes to the stand 3 for the Plaintiff. MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Your Honor we would ask for 4 5 a separation of witnesses. BY THE COURT: Any response to that? 6 7 MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Your Honor, the parties Plaintiffs should not be separated, they have right to see the 8 9 trial of their own case. 10 BY THE COURT: The parities do have a right... 11 MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: That is true Your Honor, I thought Ms. Crawford was going to testify. 12 13 MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: As for Ms. Crawford, maybe I can just clear this up now. So my understanding is all the 14 15 evidence on both sides is admitted, so we will not have to ask for documents to be admitted, during the course of the today's 16 17 proceedings, is that right? 18 BY THE COURT: Right that is my understanding. 19 MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Ms. Crawford really here to admit one particular exhibit, uhm, Tab 20 we will get to those 20 21 latter, so we do not have to call her today, now Defendant may still wish to call her for whatever reason the noted her but we 22 23 will not be calling her, if that Exhibit is admitted. MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Your Honor, we have agreed 24 25 that those documents should be admitted although we haven't

necessarily stipulated to their relevance. 1 BY THE COURT: 2 Right so ... MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: So if they don't intend to 3 call her that is fine. 4 5 BY THE COURT: Okay well then certainly I am not going to remove the parties and if that is the only witness that 6 7 is a non-party and if you are not going to call her except for maybe some foundational things, I'm not going to exclude anyone 8 9 at this time. 10 MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Thank you Your Honor, I call 11 Ms. Barnes to the stand and then if we can. BY THE COURT: Ms. Barnes if I could have you raise 12 13 your right hand, you solemnly swear and affirm the testimony you are about to give to be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 14 15 but the truth. BY WITNESS- TINA BARNES: I do. 16 17 BY THE COURT: You can have a seat, thank you. They make me use this huge monitor. Okay we will recess for two 18 19 weeks and I will review all of this. MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Your Honor we have a 20 21 demonstrative map that Ms. Barnes is going to testify to and put into evidence, this is a map of Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood, 22 23 this one has names and properties owners pulled from and county date this one is cleaner without the names of the actual 24

25

property owners.

```
1
             BY THE COURT: Alright very well.
             MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
2
3
                   DIRECT WITNESS- TINA BARNES
         Good Morning Ms. Barnes.
4
5
         Good Morning
         Can you state your full name for the record?
 6
7
         Tina Marie Barnes
         Where do you live?
8
    Q
9
         222 Marshall Drive in Charlestown
10
              BY THE COURT: Hang on a minute is that a cell phone?
11
    It needs to be on silent that is our warning, if I get one more
    cell phone I will have cellphone remove, thank you.
12
13
         Could you state your address again?
         222 Marshall Drive in Charlestown, Indiana
14
         And is that in Pleasant Ridge?
         Yes it is.
16
17
         Okay what is your occupation?
         I am a medical biller.
18
19
         And do you hold any positions in government?
         Yes I was elected to the City Council Board in Charlestown.
20
21
         When were you elected?
         2015
22
              BY THE COURT: We need you to speak up, if she could
23
24
    please.
25
         Maybe if I come over this way more.
```

```
1
              BY THE COURT: That just records you it doesn't
    amplify you much, so if you could just make sure you speak up,
2
3
    talk to the back of the room.
         So talk up.
 4
5
         You said you were elected to the City Council, when were
    you elected?
 6
7
         In 2015 and start at 2016.
         Why did you run for city Council?
8
9
         I wanted to continue to be a voice against the demolition
10
    of Pleasant Ridge.
11
         Now what is the Pleasant Ridge neighborhood, describe it to
12
    us?
13
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
                                        Excuse me Mr. Jackson you
14
    need to leave the room they are going to call you as a witness.
         What is the Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood, describe it to us?
16
         In World War two there was a lot of people that came to
17
    Charlestown due to the Indiana Army Ammunitions Plant being
18
    built, so they hired a Mr. Gunnison to bring these home in and
19
    put them up that didn't require the raw goods that the war
    needed and it housed a lot of people that way. They are
20
21
    elongated homes, most were duplexes, some of them singles and a
    few quadplexes.
22
23
         And about how many homes would you say in the beginning of
    2017, about how many homes were there in Pleasant Ridge?
24
25
         About 350.
```

```
How did, or describe a little bit more about what they
1
    looked like and how they used these homes?
2
3
         They are long so the front of the house is most will have
    two front doors because they were duplexes, so at the beginning
4
5
    you could have two families in one unit, one home. My home
    myself I have my adult daughter lives on one side that is
 6
7
    disabled and then myself and my two grandchildren live on the
    other side. So they are used for homes, for families.
8
9
         And do you know many of your neighbors in Pleasant Ridge?
10
         I know a lot of my neighbors, yes.
11
         How would you describe the income status of people in the
12
    neighborhood?
13
              MR. MARK CANDLEY: I object Your Honor, how is she, it
    is not established that the witness would have personal
14
15
    knowledge of the income is of the people in the neighborhood.
16
              BY THE COURT: You want to respond?
17
              BY ANTHONY SANDERS: I can lay a little foundation
    Your Honor.
18
              BY THE COURT:
19
                              Go ahead.
         What kind of cars to people drive in Pleasant Ridge?
20
21
         Just modest
         And when you are talking with your neighbors what kind of
22
23
    jobs do they work?
         The most people I know have factory jobs.
24
25
         And are there people that don't have jobs for whatever
    Q
```

```
reason in Pleasant Ridge?
1
         Uhm, we have a lot of retirees and disabled people.
2
3
         All right and do people wear fancy clothes what you would
    expect in an upscale community?
4
         No, we wear just regular tee shirts and jeans, most of the
5
 6
    time.
7
         And so how would you describe the income status of those
    people?
8
9
         I would describe that modest to low income.
10
         How long have you lived in Pleasant Ridge?
11
         This time five (5) years.
         And what was the last time before that?
12
13
         Uhm, I was brought home the hospital there until I was
14
    about seventeen (17) years old.
15
         And di you have connections with Pleasant Ridge from
    seventeen (17) to five (5) years ago?
16
17
         Oh yes, my family there is still cousin that live there, we
    all grew up together and actually I would go through there just
18
19
    to visit people that I grew up with.
         And you said that you own your own home?
20
21
         Yes I do.
         And you purchased it five (5) years ago?
22
23
         I did yes.
    Α
```

What is the Pleasant Ridge Association, one of the

24

25

Plaintiffs in this case?

- 1 A The neighborhood association we formed it after we found
- 2 out about the Blight Elimination Program. A group of us came
- 3 together trying to figure out how we were going to work
- 4 together, in one of those aspects we formed the Neighborhood
- 5 Association.
- 6 Q And when was that?
- 7 A In 2014.
- 8 Q Are you a member of the association?
- 9 A Yes I am.
- 10 Q And have you been a member since it was formed?
- 11 A Yes
- 12 Q Based on you knowledge as a home owner in Pleasant Ridge
- 13 and a City Council member, does the city want to redevelop
- 14 Pleasant Ridge from scratch by demolishing all the homes?
- 15 A From my knowledge, yes.
- 16 Q Right, so you mentioned this Blight Elimination Program,
- 17 you are familiar with what happened in 2014 regarding that
- 18 program?
- 19 A Yes, I am.
- 20 Q All right I would like you to turn in the first binder in
- 21 | volume one (1) here, to what is marked as Tab (ten) 10 and is
- 22 this a portion of that Blight Elimination Program application?
- 23 A Yes it is.
- 24 Q Is this all of the application?
- 25 A No it was very lengthening, I have never seen it in paper

- 1 | but I did receive disk. I have been told it is over one
- 2 thousand (1,000) pages.
- 3 Q Okay, and what was the purpose of this application?
- 4 A To me the purpose was to obtain money from the Federal
- 5 Government to completely demolish all of our homes.
- 6 Q Okay so it looks like it was an application to the State
- 7 but was that money originally from the Federal Government?
- 8 A Yes that is correct.
- 9 Q And who did the City partner with as part of this
- 10 application?
- 11 A Ugh, Neace Ventures.
- 12 Q All right and what is Neace Ventures to your understanding?
- 13 A An private developer, that would be able to come in and
- 14 build the big new fancy homes.
- 15 Q Okay what was the amount of requested grant again?
- 16 A About six (6) million dollars.
- 17 Q And did the city have a brochure that it gave to the people
- 18 of Charlestown along with this application?
- 19 A Yes it was called the Bright to Blight. Blight to Bright.
- 20 Q And if you turn to Tab eleven (11), is this a copy, flip to
- 21 those pages is this a copy of that brochure?
- 22 A Yes it is.
- 23 Q Okay and these are only four (4) pieces of paper but it was
- 24 | six (6) pages it looks like is that correct?
- 25 A I thought it was one, two, three, four, five, yes.

- 2 part of this Blight Elimination Program application? Did you
- 3 agree with what the city was doing?
- 4 A No
- 5 Q What did you do to oppose it?
- 6 A Uhm, when we, the group of us came together we started a
- 7 petition to save it, we did rallies, we talked to everybody, we
- 8 wrote letters, we sent them to the State to ask the board not to
- 9 approve it.
- 10 Q And what did the city ultimately do, the City Council
- 11 regarding this application?
- 12 A The City Council finally listened to us and would not vote
- 13 to approve the forward to into the program.
- 14 Q Now since 2014 has the city renewed its plans to redevelop
- 15 Pleasant Ridge?
- 16 A Yes, in 2016.
- 17 Q Now, just to be clear, when I say redevelop, when you hear
- 18 the word redevelop from the city, what do you take that word to
- 19 mean?
- 20 A To me redevelop the way the city is using it, take all the
- 21 homes, completely get rid of Pleasant Ridge and everyone that is
- 22 there and start all over.
- 23 Q Now if we could turn back in the same binder to what is Tab
- 24 six (6), and it says at the top Redevelop Resolution 2016 R6, is
- 25 this the redevelopment plan that Mr. Gillenwater was referring

```
to earlier in his opening statement?
1
2
         Yes
    Α
3
         And this is the Commissions resolution but later was this
    adopted by the full City Council?
4
5
         Yes it was.
         And what do you take this document to mean?
 6
         Means the same thing that there are going to take all of
7
8
    our homes.
9
         And has the city passed other ordinances and resolutions
10
    about the redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge?
11
         They have passed several.
         All right is one of them a rental inspection ordinance?
12
    Q
13
    Α
         Yes it is.
         And is one a nuisance ordinance?
14
    Q
         Yes it is.
         And then there were others resolutions the city has passed?
16
17
    Α
         Yes
         Did you vote against all of these ordinances and
18
19
    resolutions?
         I did.
20
21
         Why did you vote against them?
         Because I don't agree with destroying Pleasant Ridge
22
23
    completely.
         Did anyone else vote against them?
24
```

25

Α

No

```
Based on your experience as a City Council Member, do you
1
    believe that the other members of the City Council are allied
2
3
    with the Mayor on issues to do with Pleasant Ridge
    Redevelopment?
4
5
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: Your Honor I object how does she
    have personal knowledge of a speculative term like allied means?
 6
7
         Have the other City Council members always voted with the
    Mayor since the beginning of 2016 on Pleasant Ridge
8
9
    Redevelopment issues?
10
         Yes they have.
11
         You believe they will continue to vote that way?
         Yes I do.
12
13
         Now is there is company that has been requiring properties
14
    in Pleasant Ridge since the middle of 2016?
         Yes, Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC.
16
         What is your understanding on who controls Pleasant Ridge
17
    Redevelopment, LLC?
18
         Mr. John Neace
19
         And is this the same John Neace who was connected with the
    2014 Blight Elimination Program Application?
20
21
         Yes
         And this map here to your knowledge does this accurately
22
23
    illustrate who, where owners, what properties in Pleasant Ridge
    are currently owned by Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment and what
24
25
    properties are currently owned by members of the Association and
```

then also owned by other people? 1 Yes 2 Α 3 Now after a document rental inspection ordinance did the city begin inspecting homes? 4 5 Yes they did. Have you seen copies of any citations that were issued as a 6 7 result of these inspections? Yes, Tom Brown gave me several of his. 8 9 Α A landlord, yes. 10 In Pleasant Ridge? Q 11 Α Yes And have you talked to any other landlords who own property 12 13 in Pleasant Ridge and (indiscernible)? Jimmy Woods and Kenny Westmorland 14 15 Okay, what did these landlords tell you happened with these inspections? 16 17 They received a lot of fines and citations on each property but not just one property they owned multiple properties so 18 19 those fines were very large when you add them up together. They added them up daily and by the time that they received them they 20 21 were thousands of dollars. So did they tell you the fines actually began before they 22 23 even received them in the mail?

They started on day one (1) yes, that is the way, before

24

25

they even seen them.

- Q And so what did these properties choose to do after they
  received these fines about their properties?

  A Eventually they decided it was best interest economical to
  go ahead and sell those properties to Neace Venture, Pleasant
  Ridge Redevelopment, LLC.
- Q And did they not have to pay the fines if they sold the properties?
- 8 A Correct
- 9 Q Was anyone else other that Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment
- 10 interesting in buying those properties?
- 11 A Not that I am aware of.
- 12 Q And how much did they receive for these sales of these
- 13 properties?
- 14 A My understanding \$10,000 thousand dollars per property.
- 15 Q Now did the Redevelopment Commission adopt a resolution
- 16 that encouraged the Board of Public Works to not waive fines for
- 17 properties in Pleasant Ridge unless they were destroyed?
- 18 A Yes that is correct.
- 19 Q Okay and just a note to if you could turn to Tab seven (7)
- 20 in Plaintiff document. Is this a copy of that resolution?
- 21 A Yes it is.
- 22 Q And was this resolution then, or similar resolution stating
- 23 | that they would not waive fine unless properties in Pleasant
- 24 Ridge were torn down, then adopted by the Board of Public Works
- 25 and Safety?

А Correct 2 Q

- And the Board of Public Works and Safety is the board the
- 3 reviews appeals of fines, is that true?
- Right, yes. 4
- 5 Now these homes that were sold in Pleasant Ridge
- Redevelopment, a lot of them in 2016, from these landlords, were 6
- 7 there tenants living in many of them?
- Yes there was. 8
- 9 Okay and when did that happen in 2016, these fines and
- 10 sales?
- 11 The fines I believe stated like July -August in that area
- and probably sold out in September-October. 12
- 13 Okay, so what happened to those tenants who were living
- there in the fall of 2016? 14
- 15 Well a lot of their leases were not up so they were allowed
- to continue to live there and in March we had very large amount 16
- 17 of people that had to leave, so it is just over whelming when
- you see that many people leave. 18
- 19 And to this day are there still some tenants living in some
- of those homes? 20
- 21 Yes there is.
- To you knowledge, if you don't know that is fine, to your 22
- 23 knowledge is Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment made any repairs to
- these homes while the tenants are still living there. 24
- 25 To my knowledge no.

```
Living in the neighborhood and seeing these homes on a
1
    daily basis, would you have noticed if the developer was
2
3
    repairing the homes?
         I would feel like if I didn't my neighbors and they would
4
5
    point it out to me.
         And what has happened to these homes since the tenants have
 6
7
    moved out?
         Well when they first left, trash and furnishings and
8
9
    anything they just couldn't take with them was left in the yards
10
    and houses were just left open. And then we had a lot of people
11
    that came in and started tearing vinyl and aluminum siding off
    of the houses, windows being removed, doors, eventually some of
12
13
    them have been boarded up, but even the ones that have been
14
    boarded up boards have been coming down, doors are left open,
15
    they are just left for people to wander through and the trash is
16
    just laying around with high grass.
17
         I would like now to move to Volume 11 the big binder of
    Plaintiff's exhibits, if you could turn to Tab thirteen (13), is
18
19
    this a copy of an email exchange you had with Mayor Hall?
         Yes it is.
20
21
         And it was in early November, 2016
22
         Correct
23
         What was the purpose of you sending this email?
    Q
         Well, being a home owner and speaking with the other home
24
```

owners we wanted some assurance that is we had fines and we

- 1 fixed our properties would we be able to continue to live in our
- 2 homes because we don't want to sell, we want to keep living in
- 3 our homes and he would not give me that assurance he said no, it
- 4 is an all or nothing and they were going to take our properties.
- 5 Q Is that a complete copy of that email exchange, if you
- 6 could look through it please.
- 7 A Yes it is.
- 8 Q Now if we could now move to back to the first Volume, to
- 9 Tab eight (8), this is a resolution of the Redevelopment
- 10 | Commission concerning Spring Field Manor, is that true?
- 11 A Yes it is.
- 12 Q All right and is Springfield Manor another construction
- 13 project connected to John Neace?
- 14 A Yes it is.
- 15 Q Is it senior housing?
- 16 A Fifty-five (55) and older.
- 17 Q Okay, now this Redevelopment Commission was this later
- 18 adopted by the full City Council?
- 19 A Yes it was.
- 20 Q If you could turn to the third to the last page, which on
- 21 | the bottom says page two (2) of four (4) of this exhibit, if you
- 22 | could read from paragraph seventeen (17) the first three (3)
- 23 pages, so physically the first five (5) lines in paragraph
- 24 seventeen (17).
- 25 A In addition to the at risk rental properties inspections

```
imitative described in paragraph eleven (11) above the city
1
    intends to more vigorously enforce the city's property
2
3
    maintenance code as applied to other unsafe, substandard homes
    in the interest of public health, safety and well fair. Many
4
5
    unsafe, substandard owner occupied homes are located in the
    CEEA, such enforcement could result in renovation removal or
6
7
    replacement of owner occupied housing located there.
         What is your take away from what that language means?
8
9
         That they are now going to start to come after the home
10
    owners for the property code violations.
11
         Are you afraid that your home might be inspected for code
12
    violations?
13
         Absolutely
         Are you afraid that you might that you might receive an
14
15
    order with daily fines that you have to begin fixing
    immediately, like other properties have in Pleasant Ridge?
16
17
         That's what I fear, yes.
18
         What would you do if you received an order like that?
19
         Unfortunately even if I could fix those violations, I
    probably would not be able to because I fell they would come
20
21
    back and fine me again for something else and those, that money,
    it just would not be any use. I would eventually have to sell
22
23
    my home.
```

24

25

to a notice like that?

Would some of your neighbors find it even harder to respond

```
1
               MR. MARK CRANDLEY: Your Honor that question asks
    for speculation outside of her personal knowledge what her
2
3
    neighbors know and don't know.
              THE COURT: Go ahead you want to restate.
 4
5
         I will lay some foundations Your Honor. Are some of your
    neighbors, you said some of your neighbors are elderly?
 6
7
         Yes they are.
         Is it harder to say fix up their properties than it is for
8
9
    you?
10
         Yes it is, they are not physically able and with Social
11
    Security and Pensions benefits, they wouldn't, they may not have
12
    that financial backing.
13
         What have you personally done as a result of this city push
14
    for redevelopment in Pleasant Ridge?
15
         Just the stress of watching what they have done to the
16
    landlords who have turned around and the renters that have had
17
    to leave, watching that the children that used to play in my
18
    yard are all gone, having to speak with my children and try to
19
    completely calm them to reassure them that they won't have to
    leave. The stress and the emotion of it on a daily basis is
20
21
    overwhelming and when you go to visit the elderly it is truly
    just heart breaking.
22
23
       Now have to researched, different line of questioning, have
    you researched home prices in Charlestown but outside of
24
25
    Pleasant Ridge?
```

А Yes I have. 1 How did you do that research? 2 Q 3 If you just go on like Charlestown, Indiana and do homes for sale, it will bring homes up and then also I went like Remax 4 5 or Shuler Bauer or just Realtor.com where I can look those up. And have you looked at any paper resources, traditional 6 7 resources? Yes, like The Courier Journal, The News and Tribune, yes. 8 9 Q Okay and you have done this in 2017? 10 Yes I have. 11 What prices did, well let me put it this way, do you find 12 any homes for sale in Charlestown that were less than \$50,000 13 dollars? 14 No And before all this redevelopment started could you get a home in Pleasant Ridge for less than \$50,000 dollars? 16 17 Yes 18 Have you also researched the same methods with rental 19 prices in Charlestown but outside Pleasant Ridge? Yes I have. 20 21 And what did you find was available for rental units in Charlestown but outside Pleasant Ridge? 22 23 Just recently the lowest one I believe I remember seeing is

like \$750.00 dollars for a one bedroom and generally go up, I

seen the majority were around \$1,000.00- \$1,2000.00 dollars.

24

```
And Pleasant Ridge was very economical at $450.00 -$600.00
1
    dollars, so it is not comparable.
2
3
         Ms. Barnes what would you and your family do if suddenly
    you had to move out of your home and all you had in return was a
4
5
    check for $10,000 dollars?
         Well, I would absolutely have to move away because number
 6
7
    one I couldn't buy anything and I own my home, so and I am not
8
    going to give my rent money to Neace or anyone else that has
9
    been a part of this, I'm not going to do it. So I would move to
10
    Florida and be with my brother.
11
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No further questions.
              THE COURT: Any cross?
12
13
                CROSS-EXAMINATION- OF WITNESS TINA BARNES
14
              MR. CRANDLEY: Thank You Your Honor.
         Good morning Ms. Barnes good to see you again.
16
         Ηi
17
         I understand that you bought your home in 2012?
18
         Yes that is correct.
19
    Q
         How much did you pay for your home at that time?
         $9,500 dollars
20
21
         If I recall correctly you paid cash, right?
         Yes I did.
22
23
         No mortgage on the home at this time?
    Q
         Correct.
24
    Α
25
    Q
         You have remodeled your home since 2012?
```

```
А
         Yes I have.
1
         Can you tell the Court about what those remodel, I know
2
3
    there was some remodeling done in 2005, I really want to focus
    on the period of time after you bought the home.
4
5
         Uhm, on my daughters side of the home which is a one
    bedroom we have divided it out that way, she wanted a nice
 6
7
    wooded floor so we put one in there for her, we have redone the
    bathroom for her and ugh, let's see, a furnace and air, central
8
9
    air unit on that side. And on my side we done the same with the
10
    furnace and air unit.
11
         And was there any work done on hardwood floors?
12
         Yes, yes we striped there are two bedrooms, two bedrooms
13
    that we stripped the hardwood floors down and then we
    refurnished, refurbished those.
14
         And you put new piping in to, did you not?
16
         Yes for the water, yes, for the new bathroom, I mean redone
17
    the bathroom, yes.
         And you didn't do all this work yourself, did you?
18
19
         No, no not all of it, I did a lot.
         Some of it involved a contractor, did it not?
20
    0
21
         Yes
         For instances the putting in of the new electrical box in
22
23
    that was done by a contractor, was it not?
```

Yes it was.

Do you need a glass of water?

24

25

Q

```
А
         Yes that would be nice.
1
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Yes actually, I apologize
2
3
              BY TINA BARNES: Sorry.
         I recognize that coughing.
4
5
         That is much better, thank you.
         And at that same time you added a new air conditioner?
 6
7
         Yes that is correct.
         I think I mis-phrased that you added an air conditioner,
8
9
    you did not have an air conditioner unit before?
10
         Correct yes we put the whole unit in.
11
         Do you know how much you spent for that work?
         Probably about $5,000 - $6,000 dollars.
12
13
         And that would include for the electricity and the air
    conditioning unit?
14
15
         Yes
         And you don't recall what you paid for some of the other
16
17
    work that you had done in the house?
         I don't remember, it was just piece milled and together.
18
19
         Okay, do you know whether there was any viol, well let me
    restart that. You are familiar with Property Maintenance
20
21
    Standards within the City of Charlestown, are you not?
22
         Yes I am.
23
         Are you aware of any violations of those standards on your
    property?
24
```

I am not aware of any, no.

```
Q
         Do you know how much your home is worth?
1
2
         According to the tax assessment that I looked up it was
3
    $31,900 dollars.
         And do you the fair market value of your house is?
 4
5
         No I have never had a reason to inquire about that.
         In the binders that are in front of you, in the third
 6
7
    binder the skinniest one, and there is a Tab thirty-two (32).
8
              THE COURT: Did you say Tab thirty-two (32).
9
              MR. CRANDLEY: Yes Your Honor, It is the affidavit
10
    submitted by the Plaintiffs.
11
              THE COURT: Thank You
         And I am going to take you to the very first one of those.
12
13
    Do you recognize that document?
         Yes I do.
14
    Q
         This is your affidavit, is it not?
         Yes it is.
16
17
         And who prepared this affidavit?
18
         Well the lawyers prepared it, yes.
19
         And when you saw it from your lawyers you made no
    modifications to it?
20
21
         Not to this one no.
         You signed it?
22
    Q
23
    Α
         Yes
         I want to take you to paragraph twenty-two (22) of that
24
25
    document, and you say towards the bottom, if I occurred enough
```

- 1 | fines before fiving alleged violations I might be forced to sell
- 2 | the property to the city's favorite developer Pleasant Ridge
- 3 Redevelopment, LLC, for an amount close to \$10,000 dollars, a
- 4 fraction of its actual value. Is that what you say in your
- 5 affidavit?
- 6 A Yes, that is what I said.
- 7 Q And your testimony a moment ago was you don't know the
- 8 actual value of your home, is that right?
- 9 A Tax assessment said 31.., to me that is my actual value.
- 10 Q So when you are saying actual value you mean tax?
- 11 A That is the only thing I had to go by, yes.
- 12 Q But you understand and I believe you testified in your
- 13 deposition there is a difference between a fair market value and
- 14 a tax assessment.
- 15 A There is a difference, yes.
- 16 Q How have your tax assessment changed over the years, since
- 17 | you have owned your home in 2012?
- 18 A They have gone down.
- 19 Q And when did they start going down?
- 20 A From the time that I bought it.
- 21 Q Prior to 2014 Blight Elimination Plan?
- 22 A Yes
- 23 Q Prior to any code enforcement in 2016?
- 24 A Yes
- 25 Q You have never worked in code enforcement, is that right?

You would agree with me that is appropriate for a city to 2 3

No I have never.

- protect its citizens from health and safety dangers, right?
- Yes 4

А

- 5 And you would agree with me that fire protection devices
- like smoke detectors and fire walls between units are a matter 6
- 7 of public health and safety?
- Yes I do. 8
- 9 And you would agree with me that reducing or eliminating
- 10 bugs and vermin in housing is a matter of public health and
- 11 safety.
- 12 Yes that is correct.
- 13 And you would agree with me that reducing or eliminating
- feral animals is an important public health and safety matter? 14
- And you would agree with me that removing rubbish and 16
- 17 garbage is an important public health and safety matter, right?
- 18 Yes
- 19 And preventing structural supports of homes from
- deteriorating is an important public health and safety matter? 20
- 21
- Having proper wiring in a home is an important public 22
- 23 health and safety matter, is it not?
- Yes it is. 24
- 25 Q You would not want to be in a home that did not have proper

```
wiring, right?
1
         No I would not.
2
3
         And you would not want to rent property to someone knowing
    that it had bad wiring?
4
5
         Knowing, no I would not?
         Because it would be dangerous.
 6
7
         It would be dangerous.
         You would not want improper wiring in a home that you lived
8
9
    in knowing or not knowing, you would want it fixed, right?
10
         I would want it fixed.
11
         These things that we just discussed the safe wiring,
    reducing vermin, preventing, protecting the structural supports
12
13
    of homes, these are all the things addressed in the City's
    Property Maintenance Code, are they not?
14
15
         Yes they are.
         And you talked to three (3) gentlemen, I believe Mr. Woods,
16
17
    Mr. Moreland, and Mr.
18
         Brown
19
         Yes Mr. Brown, thank you, regarding citations for
    violations of their properties, that is how I understood your
20
21
    testimony?
22
         Yes
23
         And at any point during those conversations did they say
24
    that the violations were unfounded? Do you need me to explain
```

what I mean by unfounded, I am glad to do so?

- 1 A Okay, please.
- 2 Q Did they at any point say that the violations that were
- 3 found didn't exists, my property complied with?
- 4 A No
- 5 Q And did they say this is a false violation, this did not
- 6 exist?
- 7 A No
- 8 Q Their complaint was that they didn't want to pay the fines,
- 9 is that correct?
- 10 A Their complaint to me was that the fines came at them daily
- 11 and they just multiplied too quickly to be able to even do
- 12 anything.
- 13 Q And because of that their economical best interest was it
- 14 was more profitable for them to sell their homes rather than pay
- the fines and fix up the properties, is that your testimony.
- 16 A It was more economical because they feared that they would
- 17 be cited again so yes.
- 18 Q You don't believe that when Mr. Jackson inspects a home
- 19 that he makes up violations, do you?
- 20 A No
- 21 Q You have no evidence that he has ever cited someone for a
- 22 violation that doesn't actually exists?
- 23 A I have no evidence of.
- 24 Q You talked to Mr., with Counsel regarding your concern that
- 25 Mr. Jackson may come and knock on you door someday, has Mr.

```
Jackson in fact done that?
1
         No Sir.
2
3
    Q
         And you don't rent your property correct?
         No I do not.
 4
5
         So you talked with Mr. Sanders regarding the inspection
    ordinance do you recall that discussion?
 6
7
         Yes
8
         And the inspection ordinance only applies to rental
9
    property, does it not?
10
         The rental inspection, yes.
11
         Would you agree with me that if Mr. Jackson didn't find a
    violation at your home he would not have a basis to issue fines
12
13
    against you?
         If he didn't find any he would have a basis, correct.
14
         I think you put this in your deposition no violation no
16
    fine.
17
         No fine.
         You still agree with that?
18
19
    A
        Yes
         You say ugh, in your affidavit at paragraph twenty-one
20
21
    (21), that is the document in front at the top, you and other
    association, association members and that is the Pleasant Ridge
22
23
    Association Members, right?
```

That you take special care of your homes.

Correct, yes.

24

25

Q

```
2
         And special in that context means out of the ordinary, more
    Q
3
    than the norm, right?
         To me, yes.
 4
5
         Would you agree with me that it is not possible to see
    whether the inside of a home violates the Property Maintenance
 6
7
    Code without doing an inspection?
         Correct
8
9
         Let's go ahead and turn to, If I may approach at this time
10
    and give the witness our exhibits?
11
              THE COURT: You may, blue binder?
12
         Yes the blue binder, this is Exhibit D, do you see that
13
    document?
14
         Yes
         That is the inspection ordinance that we were just talking
    about, right?
16
17
         Yes that is.
18
         And you see where it has what I will refer to as whereas
19
    clauses?
20
         Yes
21
         You see it says in the second of those paragraphs begins
    with the whereas housing that does not the minimum standards
22
23
    established in the City's Property Maintenance Code City
    Ordinance 2008 OR001 and other explicable laws here and after
24
25
    referred to as substandard housing is undesirable as it leads to
```

А

1

Yes we do.

```
or creates a higher risk of discomfort disease, injury, death,
1
    fire, blithe, squalor, abandonment, crime, falling property
2
3
    values, cessation of growth, lack of development, proliferation
    of vermin, as well as negative conditions. Do you see that
4
5
    language?
         Yes
 6
7
    Q
         You agree with that statement, right?
         Yes
8
9
         And the next paragraph down in the inspection ordinance
10
    where it says, whereas allowing substandard housing to exists in
11
    the city is harmful to children, families and others in having
    such housing is detrimental to the quality of life in the
12
13
    neighborhood with the substance, substandard housing is located
    and is harmful to the city and other neighboring communities,
14
15
    and you agree with that statement as well, right?
16
         Yes I do.
17
         You would agree with me that if the city wanted to address
18
    these problems of substandard housing talked about in this
19
    ordinance the logical place to start in Pleasant Ridge in 2016
    would be the large landlords, the landlords that own the most
20
21
    property, right?
22
         Yes
23
         Have you ever been approached about selling your home since
    2012?
24
25
         No I have not.
```

```
1
         Has anyone ever told you that you have to sell your homes
    to any particular entity?
2
3
    Α
         No
         Say for instance you wanted to sell your home to someone
4
5
    other than Pleasant Ridge Redeployment, LLC, you are free to do
    so, right?
 6
         I am free to do so.
7
8
         I understand your position that you do not want to sell
9
    your home?
10
         Exactly
11
         But no one has told you that you have to sell it to any
    particular entity?
12
13
         No they have not.
         And no one at this point that you have to sell it at all?
14
    Q
15
         Exactly, yes that is right.
         And no one has told you that you have violations on your
16
17
    property?
18
         No one has told me that.
19
    Q
         Have you always called the neighborhood Pleasant Ridge?
20
    Α
21
         When about did you start using that term?
         Not until after the Blight Elimination Program came out, I
22
23
    honestly thought the name was The Projects.
```

And that was the name commonly used?

24

25

Α

Yes it was.

- 1 Q And you yourself used it?
- 2 A All my life.
- 3 Q And just to close the lip on that, how did it come that you
- 4 stared using the term Pleasant Ridge?
- 5 A It was the project of the Army to build those homes, so the
- 6 people that started living there just would say the projects, so
- 7 The Projects.
- 8 Q And I want to talk a little bit about your statue as a City
- 9 Council member at this point, you have been on the City Council
- 10 since 2015?
- 11 A Yes one year and a half.
- 12 Q And in that time have you learned whether official city
- 13 policies need to be written?
- 14 A Yes
- 15 Q And they do, do they not?
- 16 A Yes
- 17 Q What is a City Council role in entering a contract?
- 18 A Entering in I'm sorry?
- 19 Q Entering into contracts? Let me ask in a different way and
- 20 try to be helpful. Does the City Council approve contracts that
- 21 the city enters into in?
- 22 A Yes, well some I can't say all, I don't think they do.
- 23 Q Okay has the City Council ever approved a contract between
- 24 Pleasant Ridge Redeployment, LLC and the City?
- 25 A No they have not.

- 1 Q You don't think there should be an exception to the
- 2 Property Maintenance Code for lower income neighborhoods do you?
- 3 A I'm sorry?
- 4 Q Let me try to speak up a little bit, you don't think there
- 5 | should be an exception to the Property Maintenance Code for
- 6 lower income neighborhoods, right?
- 7 A No I do not.
- 8 Q Homes that people who have less income should be held to
- 9 the same standards as anyone else?
- 10 A I don't feel the city needs to have an exception for any
- 11 neighborhood.
- 12 Q When you were talking to Mr. Sanders you mentioned the
- 13 search you did regarding the possible housing options, do you
- 14 recall that discussion?
- 15 A Yes
- 16 Q Did you examine at all the possibility of someone moving to
- 17 a housing authority property, city housing authority property?
- 18 A No I did not investigate that personally, no.
- 19 Q I am going to turn you back to Exhibit six (6) which is in
- 20 Volume one (1) it is Resolution 2016-R-6.
- 21 A I'm sorry what page again. R-6
- 22 Q Do you know where in this document that is says that the
- 23 | city is going to in your words take the homes in Pleasant Ridge?
- 24 A In my words just the word redevelopment says that to me.
- 25 Q Because it says redevelopment, you read that to mean there

```
are going to take every home in Pleasant Ridge?
1
         Yes because they have said development of Pleasant Ridge is
2
3
    all or nothing, so to me when I read it that is what it says.
         It doesn't say Pleasant Ridge is all of nothing,
4
5
    redevelopment...
         Not in here.
 6
7
         It doesn't say anything other than were are trying to
    foster redevelopment in this neighborhood that we have
8
9
    identified as troubled. It doesn't say anything other than that
10
    using the word redevelopment that we are going to take people's
11
    homes, right?
12
         That is what is says, yes.
13
         Let's go to Exhibit eight(8), and lets go a couple pages
    back, let me see if I can get you there, this is where it says
14
15
    Exhibit C at the top page 104, you have gone a little too far
16
    come back.
17
              MR. CRANDLEY: May I approach Your Honor?
              THE COURT: You may.
18
19
         Here we go you are now looking at Exhibit C to Exhibit 8,
    correct?
20
21
         Yes
         You see it says 2017 Economic Development Area Plan, right?
22
23
    Α
         Yes
         Do you know what territory within the city is included
24
25
    within that economic development area?
```

- 1 A Not at this very moment.
- 2 Q Do you have any knowledge of whether Pleasant Ridge is
- 3 included in that economic development area?
- 4 A Looks like in paragraph thirteen (13) it includes the
- 5 Pleasant Ridge, yes the RDA.
- 6 Q That paragraph thirteen (13) says that in 2016 the
- 7 commission adopted Resolution 2016-R9 establishing a
- 8 redevelopment area designated as Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment
- 9 Area.
- 10 A Right
- 11 Q Do you know whether that is the same as the redevelopment
- 12 | area being discussed in Exhibit C?
- 13 A Without completely reading it and refreshing my memory the
- 14 only, just that they are too many.
- 15 Q I don't know if I was referring it right, I'm going to turn
- 16 you to the big binder number two (2), Exhibit thirteen (13)
- 17 which is the second one in that one, you recall this is the
- 18 email exchange between you and the Mayor.
- 19 A Yes
- 20 Q You remember that discussion with Mr. Sander a little bit
- 21 ago?
- 22 A Right
- 23 Q And I believe your testimony was that in this email the
- 24 Mayor said that people shouldn't fix up their homes, was that
- 25 your testimony?

```
А
1
         Yes
         But isn't it more fair to say that people should, what he
2
3
    really says is that people should look at their options before
    fixing up their homes?
4
5
         That is what he stated, that is not how I took it.
         What do you understand Springfield Manor to be?
 6
7
         A housing complex that they have, the city has started to
    move fifty-five (55) and older into.
8
9
         When you say the city has started to move, are you saying
10
    that people are being moved there involuntary?
11
         No, no that is an option that they have to go to.
         So this is a fifty-five (55) and older community?
12
13
         The first homes as my understanding is yes.
14
         As for instance, some of the folks you mentioned there was
15
    a concern about the elderly people in the neighborhood.
16
         Yes
17
         And the Manor would be one spot potentially they could
18
    live, if they needed to sell their home at some point.
19
         If they, yes if they wanted to sell their homes it would be
20
    an option.
21
         And that is something that the city has helped foster and
    bring about?
22
23
         Yes it is.
              MR. CRANDLEY: Nothing further, Your Honor.
24
25
              THE COURT: I just want to make sure I understand an
```

```
answer, there was one answer that was a little unclear to me
1
    before we got you to speak out a little bit and you did great
2
3
    after that. You said you purchased you house originally in 2012
    for how much?
4
5
         $9,500.00 dollars
              THE COURT: That is what I thought, do you have any
 6
7
    questions?
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Yes just very brief questions.
8
9
                    REDIRECT EXAMINATION- TINA BARNES
10
         If we could go back to Exhibit thirteen (13) that you just
11
    looked at, Ms. Barnes and if you could turn to the second page
    of that and where the Mayor answered your question that began
12
13
    forth, where you answered the question there, if you could read
14
    the last sentence of that paragraph, that starts no.
15
         However if they proceed with inaccurate or incomplete
16
    information it could financially disastrous to them.
17
         What do you take that sentence to mean?
18
         To me it still means that if you are going to spend money
19
    on your house it is not going to be worth it, it is disastrous,
    meaning financially, financially we wouldn't have any finances
20
21
    left.
        Now Ms. Barnes you believe that the city intends to remove
22
23
    all the homes in Pleasant Ridge and whoever acquires those will
    build new ones, correct, you stated that?
24
25
    Α
         Yes
```

```
So if assuming that if Mr. Jackson inspects your home
1
    doesn't he have an incentive to find code violations even code
2
3
    violations that might be a gray area?
              MR. CRANDLEY: You Honor I object that is speculation.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: You have any response to that.
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: If well we have established that
 6
7
    the city has an interest in acquiring the homes in Pleasant
    Ridge that it is doing this through code enforcement and so I am
8
9
    asking if the code enforcer would have an incentive to find
10
    violations.
11
              MR. CRANDLEY: Well first of all the city there has
    been no evidence that the city acquired even a single home in
12
13
    Pleasant Ridge.
14
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Not that the city is acquiring
15
    homes that the city is using code enforcement to have sales of
16
    homes.
17
              THE COURT: I think it is argumentative and
18
    inconclusive, so I sustain his objection.
19
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No further questions Ms. Barnes.
              MR. CRANDLEY: One bit of re-cross if I may, Your
20
21
    Honor. Just one question.
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
22
23
                     RECROSS-EXAMINATION-TINA BARNES
         For the sentence that Mr. Sanders pointed you to isn't it
24
25
    true that the Mayor say, I think everyone concerned about the
```

```
home owners in PR, which I understand to be Pleasant Ridge,
1
2
    right?
3
    Α
         Ye
         Should strive to encourage those people to seek and
4
5
    understand all their options before they make any major
    investments into their properties, does he not say that?
 6
7
         Yes he does.
8
              THE COURT: Any other questions, for her.
9
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No further questions.
10
              THE COURT: Alright is she released? You are free to
11
    go.
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Plaintiffs will now call Mayor
12
13
    Robert Hall to the stand.
              THE COURT: If I could have you raise your right hand,
14
15
    do you solemnly swear and affirm the testimony about to give to
    be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
16
17
              BY MAYOR ROBERT HALL: Yes Sir.
              THE COURT: Alright you may have a seat.
18
19
                   DIRECT EXAMINATION-MAYOR ROBERT HALL
         Mayor Hall please state your name and your job title.
20
21
         My full name is George Robert Hall and I am the Mayor of
    the City of Charlestown.
22
23
         And you have not been deposed, taken a deposition in this
    case yet, right?
24
25
         That is correct.
```

```
So this is the first time that you are testifying under
1
    oath in this lawsuit?
2
         That is correct.
3
    Α
         How long have you been the Mayor of Charlestown?
 4
    Q
 5
         Fourteen (14) years total.
         And in addition to being the Mayor you are the President of
 6
7
    the Charlestown Redevelopment Commission, correct?
         That is correct.
8
9
         The redevelopment commission is supposed to do some things
10
    such as eliminate blight and promote economic development in
11
    Charlestown, correct?
         That is correct.
12
13
         And you are also a member of the Defendant, Board of Public
14
    Works and Safety, correct?
          And the Board of Public Works, one of the things it does
16
17
    is, it reviews appeals of code enforcement citations, is that
    true?
18
19
         That is true.
         Okay, so I would like to shift to discussing the effort in
20
21
    2014 to redevelop Pleasant Ridge that we discussed earlier. In
    2014 the city applied for roughly six (6) million grant from the
22
23
    State of Indiana Blight Elimination Program to redevelop the
```

I, I, I can't verify the amount but yes we did apply for a

Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood, correct?

24

```
Okay and if you turn to what Ms. Barnes looked at earlier
2
    Q
3
    and this is in Volume one (1) of Plaintiffs Exhibits, Tab ten
    (10), that is the big one, right there.
4
5
         This one or this one?
         I think it is the second one.
 6
7
         The Blight Elimination, is that what you are looking for?
         That is right, so the Mayor and the President of the
8
9
    Redevelopment Commission, you were the leader of that
10
    application, is that true?
11
         Yes that would be true.
         Now if you could turn to, there are two (2) yellow stickems
12
13
    in there, if you turn to the second one, close to the end of it.
14
         The second one okay
         The page is 12 but it is actually quite a ways into the
16
    document.
17
         Twelve (12)?
18
         Yes, now if you could look to there is a question number
19
    one (1) and then there is an answer to that question, if you
    could turn to the last sentence of that answer that paragraph
20
21
    and it says the size of this project includes the demolition of
    approximately three hundred and fifty-four homes (354), do you
22
23
    see that?
         I do.
24
25
         So that was, that was an objective as part of this
    Q
```

grant.

- 1 application, is that true.
- 2 A That is true.
- 3 Q Now if you could turn back to the first yellow stick tab on
- 4 | the application and it is marked as page three (3) but it is
- 5 actually the fourth or sixth page.
- 6 A Yes
- 7 Q Okay and here it says Neace Enterprises, LLC dba Neace
- 8 | Ventures, was Neace Ventures a partner on this application?
- 9 A Yes during this application process the State had informed
- 10 us that not only should that City be involved but that we should
- 11 | have other parties involved, which we had Neace Enterprises, was
- 12 one of those.
- 13 Q And Neace Ventures or Neace Enterprises, LLC is owned by
- 14 John Neace, correct?
- 15 A That is my understanding.
- 16 Q Now the City never received this grant, correct?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q The City Council voted not to proceed with this?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q I would now like to shift gears leading up to Pleasant
- 21 Ridge Redevelopment efforts in 2016, now we have discussed the
- 22 2014 plan you and the rest of the City Council stood for
- 23 election in November in 2015, correct?
- 24 A That is correct.

```
near the end, Tab twenty-seven (27), and there are this was
1
    obtained from the city in discovery, what we are going to look
2
3
    at and there is a yellow Tab within Tab 27 for a document that
    is numbered 6112 at the bottom, and this one was numbered by
4
5
    your Lawyers as 6112,
         You are talking about the little number on the bottom,
 6
7
    right?
         That is right.
8
9
         I have it, it is regarding elections.
10
         Okay, so this is an email you wrote in February 22, 2015 to
11
    John Neace, is that true?
         That is correct.
12
13
         And if you look down there is a, if you look down after you
14
    say John, and then the third paragraph, do you see in that third
15
    paragraph it is very short and it is just two sentences, do you
    see where you ask for Mr. Neace's support, to support our team
16
17
    which was all quote, recorded end quote 100% commented to the
18
    redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge, do you see that?
19
         I do.
         Okay, and was this team that you recruited in fact then
20
21
    elected to the City Council in 2015?
22
         They was.
23
         Did you send an email like this to any other property
    developer in 2015, other that Mr. Neace, stating that you had a
24
```

team recruited that was 100% committed to the redeployment of

```
Pleasant Ridge?
1
         I don't know, I don't think so, I send out a lot of emails
2
3
    for raising funds for the campaign and I would assume that they
    all addressed something that was of interest to those in the
4
5
    city.
         Any emails that were addressed to property developers, who
 6
    might be interested in developing Pleasant Ridge?
7
         Not to my recollection.
8
9
         Now I would like to turn to what is in the same group of
10
    documents but it is page 5528, if you could please turn to that
11
    document, and it should have a yellow tab on it.
         55
12
13
    Q
         28
         Another email, is that what we are looking at?
14
         Yes, that is right, so you wrote this email to Mr. Neace,
16
    correct?
17
         Correct
         And do you see in the second paragraph you wrote we are
18
    having landlords calling waiting, wanting to sell their
19
    properties because they know code enforcement is coming June 1^{\rm st},
20
21
    do you see that?
         I do
22
23
         And did you write that, to Mr. Neace?
    Q
         I did.
24
    Α
25
    Q
         And this is dated January 21, 2016, is that true?
```

```
А
1
         Yes
         Okay, did you send any emails to any other property
2
3
    developers in early 2016 saying that they were buying, that
    there was buying opportunities in Pleasant Ridge created by the
4
5
    city's upcoming code enforcement?
         I don't know if I sent emails but I remember having
 6
7
    discussions with many developers about the ugh, that Pleasant
    Ridge, or the city's plans of redeveloping it and that there may
8
9
    be opportunities there with it. I know particularly that there
10
    was several landlords who had previously in 2014 had signed
11
    letters to sell their properties during the Blight Elimination
    Program that from the time that was turned down to this time had
12
13
    continued to ask me because they had wanted to sell their
    properties if Mr. Neace would still be interested in buying
14
15
    them.
         So get back to the beginning of your answer, who were the
16
17
    developers that you talked to in early 2017 telling them there
18
    are opportunities to but properties in Pleasant Ridge because of
19
    code enforcement?
         Are you, ugh, this email was in 16.
20
21
         I'm sorry 2016, early 2016, who were those developers?
         I remember talking with a well-known developer in the area
22
23
    Jesse Ballew, who also owns businesses in, or property in
    Charlestown as well as a gentleman named Dennis Frankenberger,
24
25
    who also was doing development in Charlestown, I remember those
```

```
two.
1
         Did you reach out these gentlemen and say you might want to
2
    Q
3
    start buying properties in Pleasant Ridge, because we are doing
    code enforcement?
4
5
         Yes
         Alright, what was their response?
 6
7
         Well, let me re rephrase that, yes I reach, reached out to
    them and believe others that there is properties that there will
8
9
    be properties to be bought in Pleasant Ridge.
10
         And did you encourage them to look into that and you might
11
    want to but these properties?
         I believe I would have said something to the fact, if you
12
13
    think there is an opportunity you might want to do that. All
    during that time period if I may add to, is that I, I have met
14
15
    with many developers and every time gave a, most of the time
16
    when requested or were there to look at investment opportunities
17
    in Charlestown, I would give them an overview of the city and
18
    what the plans were and would encourage them to look at,
19
    participate in any way the felt they could.
         I would like to show you another email that you wrote to
20
21
    Mr. Neace, this one is from dated April 8th and its page number
    is 5535, it should just be a page or two after that.
22
23
         Okay
         Okay, and this is an email dated April 8, 2016 that you
24
25
    sent to Mr. Neace, is that correct?
```

А That is correct. 1 2 Alright, so in here if you could down to the fourth 3 paragraph and you state and I will quote, "you are very nervous, quote very nervous, because I don't like making decisions that 4 5 ultimately affect your money and the success of this project", do you see that? 6 7 Yes And did you write that? 8 Q 9 Α Yes 10 So in April of 2016, you conveyed to John Neace, that you 11 were making redevelopment decisions that you believed affected his money and you wanted his impute, correct? 12 13 Ugh, that is what email reflects yes. 14 Now I will ask this next question, you didn't communicate 15 with any other developers in 2016 specifically asking for their 16 impute because you were personally nervous about making 17 decisions that could affect their money regarding the 18 redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge, correct? 19 Not that I recall, no. I would like to go to another email, and it should be just 20 21 after this one just one or two pages after it, and it is marked 5538 and it is dated April 30, 2016, do you see that? 22 23 Okay

it says things that we still need is a rough plat for PR and

24

25

And do you see near the end the last three (3) lines, were

- 1 your property also try to purchase homes to establish comps I
- 2 | would love to go to breakfast or lunch this week if you are
- 3 available, did you write that?
- 4 A I did.
- 5 Q Okay, now I would like to turn, might be the next page or
- 6 two pages to uhm, what is marked as, marked as 5540 at the
- 7 bottom, and it is an email dated June  $2^{nd}$ .
- 8 A Give that number again.
- 9 Q Sure, 5540.
- 10 A Okay
- 11 Q Okay and this is a very short email it looks like, it is
- 12 | from you to Mr. Neace and also and a fellow named John Hampton,
- 13 do you see that?
- 14 A I do
- 15 Q Okay, and it states, here is a letter which is about rental
- 16 inspections that was sent to the landlords earlier this week,
- 17 did you write that email?
- 18 A I did.
- 19 Q Now the very next page is a copy of that letter that you
- 20 attached to that email, is that true?
- 21 A Uhm, yes I am assuming, I mean I don't see the attachment
- 22 and all that but I am assuming this has been checked out.
- 23 Q And this is the letter that was sent out to landlords on
- 24 June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016?
- 25 A That is correct.

```
I say that is correct, it is not signed I am assuming that
2
    Α
3
    did go out, yes.
         Okay, and you sent this letter to Mr. Neace because you
4
5
    believed that that the city's code enforcement would result in
    buying opportunities in Pleasant Ridge for Mr. Neace, correct?
 6
7
         I not believed it, I knew that there was landlords that had
    come in and talked to me and said that they were interested in
8
9
    selling their properties to Mr. Neace that they were not going
10
    to fix them up, so yes.
11
         Okay, you didn't forward this email to any other property
    developers to let them know about buying opportunities in
12
13
    Pleasant Ridge at that time, correct?
14
         Ugh, I, I do not believe I did, ugh, with it but again I
15
    would stress prior to our inspection program being started
16
    multiple landlords had come in and talked to me, they were
17
    familiar with Mr. Neace because they had talked to him
18
    previously in the 2014, ugh, ugh, Blight Elimination Program so
19
    yes I was, I told them, they had asked for Mr. Neace's numbers,
    they have asked to be in touch with him, so yes I was keeping
20
21
    him informed on were we was going.
         And this, state for the record we received these from the
22
23
    city last Friday in discovery, the city the documents of Tab
    twenty-seven (27), we had not received any copy of emails from ,
24
25
    to other developers, and Mayor Hall has said he does not recall
```

Q

1

Okay

```
those emails.
1
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: But of course I have to object to
2
3
    that, Counsel is raising the implication that we somehow
    withheld a document and the document doesn't ...
4
5
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: I am not at all I am just
    stating that we have not received those in response to our
 6
7
    discovery.
8
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: Right and I am trying to make the
9
    point that the discovery request asks for emails with John Neace
10
    and John Hampton and not other developers so...
11
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: They also asked for broadly
    communications regarding the redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge.
12
13
    We don't believe that we have received all of those, yet, but we
14
    have been working with them to \dots
15
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: That is our intention we are
16
    working, but I just want to say the emails was a very narrow
17
    request.
              THE COURT: I understand, very well I understand
18
19
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: Thank you Your Honor
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
20
21
         Now you are also aware of a company called Pleasant Ridge
    Redevelopment, that has acquired something in the order of one
22
23
    hundred and fifty (150) homes in Pleasant Ridge, is that true?
24
         I am.
25
    Q
         And you are also aware that Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment is
```

```
owned by John Neace or at least co-owner?
1
         Ugh, I am aware that he is one of the owners, yes.
2
3
         Okay, you are also aware that there is another investor in
    Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, whose name is Brigadier General
4
5
    Larry Lunt, is that true?
         That is true.
 6
7
         And isn't it true that you introduced Brigadier General
    Lunt to Mr. Neace because you believe that Pleasant Ridge
8
9
    Redevelopment was a promising business venture for him, correct?
10
         Ugh, Mr. Lunt has been a, an acquaintance of mine for
11
    probably ten (10) years, somewhere in there, that maybe a little
    long. He contacted me and wanted to look at investment
12
13
    opportunities in the city of Charlestown, I introduced him to
14
    our Renaissance Project, which is going on there cattycorner
15
    from the square with it, I talked to him about what we refer to
16
    as the 703 Buildings, the old Ammunition Property, I remember
17
    talking to him about that project, also I talked to him about
18
    the thirty-three (33) acres that Mr. Neace is wanting to develop
19
    properties, apartments (indiscernible) because that is really
    his main stay of his business with it, and, and so in that
20
21
    process I introduced him to the projects he said he would like
    to talk to Mr. Neace and so yes I did introduce him.
22
23
         And you didn't, did you introduce Brigadier General Lunch,
    Lunt to any other property developers who were interested in
24
25
    redeveloping Pleasant Ridge?
```

- 1 A Not Pleasant Ridge but I did introduce him to ugh, ugh some
- 2 people, some developers that were interested in the possibility
- 3 of redoing the 703 Building that I referred to earlier.
- 4 Q And you are aware that there is a man named, John Hampton,
- 5 | who I just referred to, who is in charge of Pleasant Ridge
- 6 Redevelopment, is that true?
- 7 A Ugh...
- 8 Q Who is the guy on the ground at Pleasant Ridge
- 9 Redevelopment?
- 10 A Oh for the LLC?
- 11 Q Yes
- 12 A Yes
- 13 Q And you are aware the John Hampton's boss is John Neace,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A Tam
- 16 Q And you have discussed the redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge
- 17 | with John Hampton, correct?
- 18 A I have.
- 19 Q And you have exchanged text messages and emails with John
- 20 Hampton about the redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge, correct?
- 21 A I have.
- 22 Q And you have had personal meetings with John Hampton to
- 23 discuss the redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge, correct?
- 24 A That is correct.
- 25 Q I would like to now shift to Tab twenty-nine (29,) which is

- 1 | actually in Volume 111, I believe, the skinny binder.
- 2 A Twenty-nine (29) is that what you said, Sir?
- 3 Q Yes, and in Tab Twenty-nine (29) if you could turn to what
- 4 is bates number and it is marked as Neace0021 and for the
- 5 | Court's information these documents were obtained by a subpoena
- 6 of companies connected to Mr. Neace. And that is the third
- 7 page, the first yellow Tab in this Tab Twenty-nine (29).
- 8 A I have it, Sir, I am sorry.
- 9 Q Okay, thank you, so this email if you could briefly look it
- 10 over isn't it true it discusses a meeting that you and Mr.
- 11 | Gillenwater had with John Hampton on July, 6, 2016, isn't that
- 12 true, take your time to review it?
- 13 A Yes it would appear to be that.
- 14 Q And during this meeting the three (3) of you discussed the
- 15 possible need for eminent domain against property owners in
- 16 Pleasant Ridge, who had not sold voluntary, correct?
- 17 A Mum, I,I,I don't specifically remember this meeting or the
- 18 | contents of the meeting, but I have had many conversations with
- 19 | a lot of people this and I know I have had conversations with
- 20 Mr. Neace as well.
- 21 Q And those conversations with Mr. Hampton have they
- 22 discussed eminent, possible use of eminent domain?
- 23 A I'm sure yes, I am sure we have.
- 24 Q And during this meeting or others that you may remember
- 25 | have you discussed driving prices down in order to receive, in

order to reduce the just compensation award in any future 1 eminent domain proceedings against the homeowner? 2 3 Driving properties down? Driving prices down so eventual eminent domain will be 4 5 cheaper than it would otherwise? 6 No 7 So you are saying you did not ... I have had no discussions talking about driving property 8 9 values down in Pleasant Ridge, no. 10 So, is Mr. Hampton incorrect when he says this is what you 11 discussed on that day? You would have to show me what you are talking about, Sir. 12 13 Okay, if you turn to the next page, to the very top of what is marked 0022 14 I have it. On the second line there is a sentence it says, once the 16 17 city starts condemnation proceedings no purchases from that date 18 forward can be used to determine value only purchases prior to 19 that point which are all on arm's length contractions between us and the owners and that is what sets the value for compensation, 20 21 did you read that? I did, yeah, I followed you. 22 23 Okay and what do you take that, do you take that to mean

that it is in the best interest of the city financially for

sales to be cheaper before eminent domain, than they might

24

```
spend less money on eminent domain?
2
         No I do not take that as that, no. I, no, I do not take
3
    that as that.
4
5
         Moving on lets go back to your April 30th email for a moment
    and that in Tab 27.
 6
7
         Yes
8
    0
         And
9
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Could you please be
10
    specific, which one that is?
11
         I was getting to that, that is bates number 5538.
         Is that in this one or...
12
         That is Tab twenty-seven (27), in Volume 111.
13
               MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: The big binder.
14
         Oh the big binder.
         Or is it.
16
17
         Would you give the number again?
         Yes, 5538
18
    Q
19
    Α
         5538
         That is right.
20
    Q
21
         There
22
         Okay, let's look to the, the second to last line, and you
23
    see where you advise Mr. Neace to purchase homes to establish
    comps?
24
25
         I do.
```

otherwise, before eminent domain begins, because the city would

Comps are established by market value of sales in a home. 2 Α 3 So why would he interested in establishing comps? Q Ugh, I don't remember the contexts of this, I, I do know 4 5 that we were interested, if you will, what values of homes are. Were you advising him to buy properties to establish comps 6 7 for the purpose of eminent domain proceedings against home owners who had refused to sell to him voluntary down the road? 8 9 You know I, I, I ran and operated a mortgage business for 10 years and I so I dealt with comps and real estate and mortgages 11 and all that, what someone buys a particular property for is determined by the condition of the property and so on in any 12 13 given area with it so I don't remember the context behind this 14 but yes I was interested in knowing what real market value was 15 in properties there. 16 So is your answer no to my question on whether you are 17 advising him to buy properties to establish comps for the purposes of eminent domain? 18 19 I don't know if that is the case, no. I mean.. You don't know or... 20 21 Well I wouldn't think so, eminent domain eventually will could be a part of this program, but at this point it is 22 23 defiantly not nor in the plans that we pass or anything with it. You know I, I have been through this five (5) times in the City 24 of Charlestown redeveloping areas with it, with residential 25

What do you mean by comps, there?

Q

```
properties and trailer parks and so on, five (5) times we, we have relocated people, we have done the program, we successful
```

- 3 redeveloped the areas, never once have we used eminent domain or
- 4 have we had to use it. We have had to look at values of the
- 5 homes and help people move into home you know other homes and so
- 6 on in order to know, if I were going to try to set up an
- 7 | incentive package for someone to move out of one home into
- 8 another as Mayor and as the City, I would want to know what the
- 9 values of those homes were so that we might be able to look at
- 10 what to do in order to advise people to move.
- 11 | Q Okay I would like to move back to Tab twenty-nine (29) in
- 12 | the skinner Volume,
- 13 A Twenty-nine (29) in the skinner one.
- 14 Q That is right, where we were looking just prior, and if you
- 15 | could turn to bates 308, which should be marked with a ...
- 16 A Excuse me again could you give me that number.
- 17 Q So Tab twenty-nine (29), and then with in Tab 29 there is
- 18 document that should be marked with a yellow stickem.
- 19 A There are several of them, so which one?
- 20 Q That is 308, is the number.
- 21 A Okay
- 22 Q Okay, so this is an email that you sent or that you receive
- 23 from John Hampton?
- 24 A Yes
- 25 Q And that is you email address, there Ballball20?

Okay now see in this email he says note that in area F we 2 3 show use of some land owned by the City that you indicated we should be able to buy, do you see that? 4 5 I do. Do you remember receiving this email? 6 7 Uhm, I don't remember receiving an email, but it is obviously I did, but I mean if you, if it stood out to me, no I 8 9 don't remember that. 10 Now turn the page and there is a map there. 11 Okay This is, do you have any reason to think that this is not 12 13 that map that he attached to that email and referred to? 14 No I trust that it is. 15 Okay, and is Mr. Hampton correct that you indicated that the City would be willing to send, sell some of its land in 16 17 Pleasant Ridge in area F to Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment? Ugh, yes, I think it is a pretty simplified thing in the 18 19 email. But if this overall project you know in redevelopment and down the road if it happens or successful, there is property 20 21 that the city owns which is trees that we did not use, and I believe the context of this is, is that available for it. My 22 23 answer is yes it is today yes, yes if this was ever redeveloped that property is available the city has no use for it, it could 24 25 be incorporated into the overall plan, yes.

А

1

It is.

```
Okay let's move now to Plaintiff's Exhibit one 1 so that is
1
    the first Volume and if we could turn to Tab two (2), now this
2
3
    the 2016 Rental Inspection Ordinance, is that true?
         Uh-huh
 4
5
         So in August 2016 city inspector Tony Jackson began rental
    inspections pursuant to this ordinance, correct?
 6
7
         Ugh, yes.
         Mr. Jackson commenced these inspections with your personal
8
9
    approval and the approval and support of the majority the City
10
    Council, correct?
11
         That is correct.
         Now I am not going to ask you specific questions about the
12
13
    citations that Mr. Jackson issued, we will hear from him in a
    little bit. But let us fast forward to October, 2016 so I would
14
15
    like to move back to Tab twenty-nine (29) in that last Volume
    again and this time to a page that is bates numbered 157, it
16
17
    should also be marked. Do you see are you there Sir?
18
         Not yet, yes.
19
         Do you see in the middle of this page where it is an agenda
    for a project update meeting?
20
21
         Yes
         And do you see the list of participants, John Neace and his
22
23
    wife, Brigadier General Lunt and his wife, John Hampton and his
```

wife, you, City Attorney Mr. Gillenwater and then there is

engineer and architect, do you see that?

24

А That is correct. 1 Did you attend this meeting on October 16, 2016 in New 2 Q 3 Albany? I did. 4 Α 5 And were John Neace, Larry Lunt, and John Hampton there? 6 Yes Did their wives also attend? 7 Q I believe so. 8 9 Okay, and you give your remarks on vision for the project 10 as indicated here at the bottom? 11 No, on the vision Well it says here vision dash Mayor Hall, did you give 12 13 remarks? 14 No, I gave the same presentation there that I gave to the 15 Chamber of Commerce, that I have given to many, many, developers. I have a presentation that talks about the overall 16 17 view of the City, where we are headed, and inside that I talk about the redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge, but I talk about all 18 19 the things and that is what I was asked to do, was to come and give an overall vision of the City and where we were headed. 20 21 Okay, now... I would note to that I was accompanied by counsel because I 22 23 wanted to make sure that ugh, we done things properly and so on, I mean I was asked to come to a meeting of potential investors 24

in the community and I asked counsel to come with me.

- 1 | Q Alright understood, now on in late October the City
- 2 Redevelopment Commission declared Pleasant Ridge an area in need
- 3 redevelopment under Indiana law, correct?
- 4 A Ugh, yes.
- 5 Q And the City Council thereafter in early November 2016
- 6 adopted that plan?
- 7 A Ugh, I am going to stipulate that you are telling me the
- 8 | right dates, but I mean I don't have knowledge that, I mean to
- 9 recall, yes.
- 10 Q I understand, so Geneva Adams is your assistant, correct?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 Q Okay, I would like to show you a series of emails from Ms.
- 13 Adams to Mr. Hampton were she gives Mr. Hampton the contact
- 14 information for Pleasant Ridge property owners who have been
- 15 | called, who have called your office expressing interest in
- 16 selling.
- 17 A Okay
- 18 Q And that is in Tab twenty-nine (29) and these are bates
- 19 numbers 407.
- 20 A Okay, excuse me.
- 21 Q And there is about seven (7) pages of them, if you could
- 22 | flip through them, do you have any reason to believe that these
- 23 are not email from Ms. Adams to John Hampton with names of
- 24 property owners?
- 25 A I, I do not have any reason to believe that.

```
Okay, are you aware of Ms. Adams contacting any other
1
    developers during this time period, about property owners in
2
3
    Pleasant Ridge who have called your office expressing an
    interest in selling?
4
 5
         I do not know if she did or didn't.
         I would now like to look at that email exchange between you
 6
7
    and Ms. Barnes that was referred to earlier, that is Tab
    thirteen (13) in the big binder.
8
9
         I'm there.
10
         Okay, now if you look at your, at the first paragraph of
11
    your response to her question about people who don't want to
    sell will be allowed to stay you wrote all indications are that
12
13
    a successful redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge is an all or
    nothing undertaking so regarding the promise you asked for the
14
    answer is answer is no, you wrote that answer correct?
16
         I did.
17
         And you wrote all the others answers in this response,
    correct?
18
19
         I did.
         I would now like to look at the last point on the second
20
21
    page, in which you respond to Plaintiff's Barnes questions about
    other developers in the works besides Neace.
22
23
         Okay
         Okay, do you see the first line of your response in which
24
```

```
talk to me about Pleasant Ridge?
1
         I do.
2
3
          Okay, but other than what you stated earlier those
    conservations that you had with a couple of developers earlier
4
5
    in 2016 or before that, did you in the second half of 2016 until
    today have you emailed or texted with any other developers aside
 6
7
    from those connected with Mr. Neace about redevelopment of
    Pleasant Ridge, about opportunities to buy in Pleasant Ridge?
8
9
         Yeah, all through this process I talked to a lot of
10
    developers about uhm, about Pleasant Ridge, uhm Mr. Neace's
11
    company is the only one that has come forward to start buying
    properties, no one else has said that they are going to buy
12
13
    properties there. In this particular one that you are pointing
14
    out about several developers and a request for proposals, that
15
    is true if and when this ever goes to a need to, to do a full
16
    blown redevelopment project inviting people to come in with it,
17
    just like we did with the Springville Manor and also what we are
18
    putting together right now for the Renaissance Project downtown.
19
         Now among the other...
         Now when that happens all developers, we send them out, we
20
21
    will and had before, we will contact a lot of developers to see
    if they are interested in sending a proposal to the City as to
22
23
    what they would like to do.
         And among these other properties developers, who among them
24
25
    presently owns property in Pleasant Ridge?
```

```
А
         Jesse Ballew owns properties there.
1
         Do you know how many homes he owns?
2
    Q
3
    Α
         I do not, no.
         And you stated that Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC
4
5
    presently owns upwards of beyond one hundred and fifty (150)
    homes, isn't that true?
 6
7
         Ugh, I am not sure of the number but, I would think it is
    in that area, yes.
8
9
         Okay this response to Ms. Barnes was on November, 7th, 2016,
    we can see it from this email, I would like to go forward one
10
11
    day, if you turn, sorry once again for the turning, Tab twenty-
    seven (27) in the bates number 6488.
12
13
         Did you say in the big one?
         In the end of the big one.
14
    Q
         This one here and in Tab?
         Tab twenty-seven (27)
16
17
         Okay
              THE COURT: 6488
18
19
         That is right.
          I have noticed my attorneys not asking if I wanted a drink
20
21
    a water, have you noticed that?
         I do have a bottle if you would like one.
22
         If you could that would be great.
23
24
              THE COURT: I don't think your attorney likes you as
```

25

much as the other witness.

- 1 | A He might be over paid, what do you think? Thank you Sir.
- 2 Q You're welcome, and have you reached that page number 6488?
- 3 A I have not, 64, I do have it.
- 4 Q Okay, and isn't it true that this is provided by your
- 5 attorneys in discovery, isn't this true this is a text message
- 6 that you sent on November 8th , 2016 to Mr. Neace, General Lunt
- 7 and Mr. Hampton.
- 8 A Ugh, Yes.
- 9 Q Okay, and isn't it true it says the City Council voted to
- 10 pass the Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment Plan last night.
- 11 A Yes
- 12 Q Why was that great news for those three (3) gentleman?
- 13 A It wasn't I don't know, particularly for them, it was for
- 14 me, ugh, I was very excited that we had finally gotten to the
- 15 point where we could redevelopment ugh, put the redevelopment
- 16 plan out with it so.
- 17 Q And did you text any other developers at that time stating
- 18 that this was great news.
- 19 A Ugh, I don't know.
- 20 Q Right now I would like you to turn to...
- 21 A I, I, Sir I do remember, I mean this was a good thing,
- 22 | Pleasant Ridge has been a challenge for the City for many, many,
- 23 many years or the Projects is what formerly been referred to, as
- 24 me as Mayor this was exciting, with it to have an opportunity to
- 25 go forward with a redevelopment plan that was going to help the

City, that was going to help the people in Peasant Ridge to be 1 able to find better housing and so my excitement in this ugh, 2 3 might of, I might, probably told a lot of people, over the next few days how excited I was about the, the, this news. 4 5 I would like for you to now turn to Tab seventeen (17) in the large binder. 6 7 In the same one? Yes, seventeen (17) and this is a Facebook post that you 8 9 stated on I little hard to read but November  $22^{nd}$ , 2016. 10 Okay 11 And you wrote this Facebook post, correct? 12 Yes 13 Do you see in the last paragraph of the part that you 14 wrote, were you say I hope the residents won't listen to Josh 15 Craven and his followers, who have already cost property owners thousands of dollars by giving our false information, false 16 17 claims and false hope, do you see that? 18 I do 19 What specifically is the false hope that Plaintiff Craven and followers has given to residents of Pleasant Ridge? 20 21 I think in general what I am talking about in that statement is, is that like during the Blight Project with it Mr. 22 23 Cravens and those had continued to oppose the redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge and put out a lot of false information and ugh 24

and, and just like in this process they have certainly tried to

```
villainize everything the City has done in it, ugh the costing
1
    money what you are referring to and that, and I, my reference to
 2
 3
    that is, that the City was going to get millions of dollars,
    several million dollars from the State in the first process with
 4
 5
    the Blight Project which was going to add lots of money, a lot
    more money I should say to be able to meet the needs of the
 6
 7
    people in Pleasant Ridge, so that is what I am referring to as
    cost of money.
 8
 9
         Why did you put millions of dollars instead of thousands of
10
    dollars?
11
         Why didn't I put trillions, or why didn't I put two (2)
    dollars, or I mean it is a general statement as to the cost of
12
13
    money.
14
         I would like you now to flip to the next Tab which is Tab
15
    eighteen (18).
16
         Eight (8), is that what you said?
17
         Eighteen (18)
18
         Eighteen (18), I am sorry, okay.
19
         And isn't it true, this is a Facebook post that you put up
    that is shortly after this lawsuit was filed in January of this
20
21
    year.
22
         Yes
23
         And you stated in this post, in response to this lawsuit we
    are going forward with inspections and putting the pieces
24
```

together for redeveloping PR, do you see that?

- 1 A I did, I do, yes.
- 2 Q And you wrote that?
- 3 A I did.
- 4 Q I would like shift gears to discuss briefly to discuss
- 5 another project that Mr. Neace is connected to that is called
- 6 | Springfield Manor and if we could go back to the first Volume
- 7 one (1) Binder, Tab eight (8). Oh I am sorry in the Volume One
- 8 (1) not the big binder.
- 9 A Okay I am sorry, section eight (8), is that what you said?
- 10 Q Yes, Tab eight (8), and this is the development agreement
- 11 | that later approved by the City Council, the Resolution later
- 12 approved by City Council regarding Springfield Manor, correct?
- 13 A Ugh, yes.
- 14 Q And the developer of Springfield Manor is Springfield
- 15 Manor, LLC, correct?
- 16 A That is correct.
- 17 Q And John Hampton also runs the day to day operations of
- 18 Springfield Manor, LLC, correct?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q You are also aware that John Neace owns Springfield Manor,
- 21 LLC, correct?
- 22 A I am not sure who all owns that LLC, but I am aware that
- 23 Mr. Neace is part of it.
- 24 Q Okay, and at least one purpose of Springfield Manor is to
- 25 relocate elderly people displaced by redevelopment on Pleasant

```
Ridge, correct?
1
         That is correct.
2
3
         Now besides Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment and Springfield
    Manor what other property does John Neace own in Charlestown
4
5
    whether personally or indirectly through a company?
         Ugh, I don't know how many properties he owns in
 6
7
    Charlestown, but I do know, there is one property that he owns
    which is about approximately thirty-three (33) acres on Highway
8
9
    403, I am aware of that piece of property.
10
         And that property is between Pleasant Ridge and Highway
11
    403, is that correct?
         That is correct.
12
13
         Now just going back to a question I had a moment ago you
    stated in that Facebook post about referring to Mr. Craven about
14
15
    false hope and thousands of dollars, I didn't ask you what you
    meant about false hope, what did you mean by that?
16
17
         I, I, my recollection is, is that they, that they wouldn't
    be able to receive hundred thousand dollars for properties and
18
19
    things that have been said by that group on the redevelopment
20
    process.
21
         Okay, do you remember when we discussed in the beginning,
    that the objective of the Blight Elimination Program Project in
22
23
    2014 was the demolition of three hundred fifty-four (354)
    properties in Pleasant Ridge?
24
```

25

Yes

```
1 Q And you remember that Neace Ventures was a partner in that
```

- 2 application?
- 3 A Yes
- 4 Q And do you remember that we discussed your November 7, 2016
- 5 response to Plaintiff Barnes in which you said that the
- 6 | redevelopment of Pleasant Ridge was an all or nothing deal?
- 7 A Ugh, Are you saying that was in an email, I mean, I don't
- 8 have it before me?
- 9 Q No I am stating do you remember you said it was an all or
- 10 nothing deal?
- 11 A In that email?
- 12 Q Yes, that you said that in that email, that it was an all
- 13 or nothing deal.
- 14 A If I said it in an email then yes, I said it.
- 15 Q Okay...
- 16 A Are you asking me do I believe it is an all or nothing
- 17 deal, I mean I am not sure?
- 18 Q Do you still believe that?
- 19 A I do.
- 20 Q Before we close I would like to go back to a document we
- 21 discussed earlier, this was the email from John Neace to John
- 22 Hampton that described a conversation between you and Mr.
- 23 | Gillenwater and Mr. Hampton on July 6, 2016, do you remember our
- 24 discussion of that?
- 25 A If you can point me to it.

```
2
         In the big binder?
    Α
3
         In the last binder, the skinner one, and it is NEACE21.
    Q
         Okay I am in Tab twenty-nine (29).
 4
    Α
 5
         Okay, you are at NEACE 21?
         NEACE21?
 6
7
    Q
         That is right, okay and do see.
         I'm not there.
8
9
    Q
         You're not there I'm sorry.
10
         Okay got it, 21.
11
         Okay now turn to page twenty-two (22), the second page of
    that and it says about five (5) lines down, the City only
12
13
    anticipates twenty (20) to forty (40) hold outs at that point,
    what do you think that means twenty (20) to forty (40) hold
14
15
    outs?
         Ugh, you would have to ask Mr. Neace what he is talking
16
17
    about there. The City in the original Blight Program that we
    done, that we ugh, introduced in 2014, the association during
18
19
    the meetings that we had, the City Council meetings, the public
    meetings that we had, and so on, ugh, there was a group which
20
21
    many ugh, ugh, people joined the Pleasant Ridge Association and
    that spoke vocally against the Project, by their own admissions
22
23
    it was you know twenty (20), thirty (30), forty (40) people or
    something, I can't remember the numbers. Mr. Neace was involved
24
```

Lets go back it is Tab twenty-nine (29)...

Q

1

25

in all of those discussion or those meetings back during that

```
time so I don't, I don't know if there will be any hold outs.
1
    You know as we go farther, I have no ideal on that I do that my
2
3
    experience has shown me that of the other five (5) other
    projects that we have done, that reasonable people can sit down
4
5
    and find win, win situations.
         Well given what you just said about an all or nothing deal.
 6
7
         Uh-huh
         If I ask you right now would you be able to promise the
8
9
    dozens of Pleasant Ridge home owners who want to stay in their
10
    homes, that they will be allowed to stay in those homes as long
11
    as those homes are kept in good shape under the Property
12
    Maintenance Code? You wouldn't be able to make that promise
13
    would you?
14
         I would not.
15
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
                                    No further questions Your
16
    Honor.
17
                   CROSS-EXAMINATION-MAYOR ROBERT HALL
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
18
19
         Mayor Hall maybe we should start were we left off and since
    you are already there in Tab twenty-nine (29), you were
20
21
    questioned about this email between Mr. Neace and Mr. Hampton.
22
         Yes
23
    Q
         Did you compose this email?
         I did not.
24
    Α
25
    Q
         Now in the question I thought I heard him ask you ugh, or
```

```
say something to the effect that this documents a meeting
1
    between Mr. Hampton and you and myself, is that what he, do you
2
3
    remember him saying that?
         Ugh, I don't remember him phrasing that but I trust that.
 4
         Do you see any place in that email that it says that I was
5
    present in a meeting with you and Mr. Hampton.?
 6
7
         No
         Did you draft this email?
8
    Q
9
    Α
         I did not.
10
         Did he send it to you?
11
         He did not.
         Can you explain to the Court in, in you know briefly what
12
13
    the Blight Elimination Program was?
         There was monies during the banking crises that was
14
15
    developed ugh, in federal government that came down tar funds or
    Tariff funds is what they were, that the State was offering to
16
17
    communities to remove blight, blight properties with it and that
18
    was announced I believe like in January or February 2014 by the
19
    Lieutenant Governor that there would be, there was several
    million dollars available for communities to apply to help
20
21
    eliminate blight structures.
         And did the program define in its own terms what blighted
22
23
    properties were?
```

Was money available in that program to revitalize

Ugh, it did.

24

25

Q

properties or renovate properties? 1 It was not no. 2 3 Q What how did you receive the money? You had to put an application together, apply for it and 4 5 then if I remember right it was something like \$15,000 dollars per home that you would, per structure I should say, that they 6 7 would approve and that would account for the purchase price of it and demolition. 8 9 But in order to receive the money demolition of the 10 property was required? 11 Yes, it was only for demolition. And I will refer you back to Exhibit ten (10) then, and 12 13 that would be the Blight Elimination Program application, you recall that? 14 15 Ugh, yep That is in the medium size binder. 16 I got it, yes I do. 17 And there was a on the second yellow Tab, you were pointed 18 back to the sentence that says the size of the project includes 19 the demolition of approximately three hundred and fifty-four 20 21 (354) homes, you recall that, coving 1.89 square miles? I do, I see it, yes. 22 23 And so in order to receive those Blight Eliminations monies

the homes had to be demolished?

That is correct.

24

```
You were on the Redevelop, were you on the Redevelopment
1
    Commission in 2016 when it declared Pleasant Ridge to be an area
2
3
    needing redevelopment?
         I was.
 4
5
         And does that plan that was adopted by the Redevelopment
    Commission differ that the requirements of the Blight
 6
7
    Elimination Program?
8
         Yes
9
         So this statement about this application for the Blight
10
    Elimination Program is not necessarily exactly what the
11
    Redevelopment Commission has planned, is that correct?
         Ugh yes they are quite different, yes. The one thing I
12
13
    might add to the application process was that we meet with Jacob
    Sipes, who was director of ICHA, Indiana Community Housing,
14
15
    Indiana Housing and Community Development Association, to go
    over all of the quidelines. The Blight Elimination Program
16
17
    monies were only for voluntary sales they were not, is was not
18
    to be used in combination of properties, so this, we at that
19
    point ugh were introduced, we didn't know who would sell and who
    wasn't, so in our application we had to submit every home which
20
21
    was about 17,000 if I remember right pages with it and then as
    we went through we got letters from people who at welling , were
22
23
    willing to sell their properties and with it and so that is what
    developed the scope of the work.
24
```

Was that Blight Elimination money sought only for the

```
Pleasant Ridge area?
1
         I believe it was.
2
3
         Did those homes qualify as blighted properties, under the
    definition in that Program?
4
5
         One of the things we did early was went and met with the
    person with ICHA, with the State who wrote up the parameters of
 6
7
    this to go over the project ugh, ugh area to see if those homes
    in their definition not ours qualified as a blighted area and
8
9
    they came to Charlestown and they looked at the properties and
10
    they invited use to put an application in.
11
         Alright, that application was that application withdrawn?
12
         Yes it was.
13
         Why was it withdrawn?
         To do, to do the Project it would have had the votes of the
14
15
    City Council and the support of the City Council and that City
    Council voted not to continue it, so but previously to that well
16
17
    I can't say it was previous or not somewhere in that process,
    ugh the City Council indicated that they would not go forward,
18
19
    we withdrew the Project, withdrew the application.
         Was that your first effort or attempt to revitalize or
20
21
    redevelop Pleasant Ridge?
22
         No
23
    Q
         When did you first take office?
         2000
24
    Α
```

When did began efforts to revitalize or redevelop Pleasant

25

Q

```
Ridge?
1
         Ugh, early 2000, sometime in there.
2
    Α
3
    Q
         Can you recall some of the efforts that you made?
         Ugh
 4
    Α
 5
         Or that the City made, while you were Mayor?
         Ugh, we this was in 200 of course, Blight Elimination
 6
7
    Program was not around, we set forth efforts to revitalize
8
    Pleasant Ridge, doing that we made application grants to the
9
    State for, for ugh infrastructure, water, sewer, roads, so on.
10
    We also went through HUD for a development program for building
11
    new homes in that area, with it so we started putting that
    together in early 2000.
12
13
         And you did code enforcement?
         Uhm, ye, I mean we have done code enforcement all along so
14
15
    I am assuming we did, yes.
         Were there junk cars removed from that neighborhood?
16
17
         Oh, yeah if, I remember one time augh the building
18
    commissioners telling us we had taken something like four
19
    hundred (400) junk cars out of there.
         Were roads paved?
20
21
         They were.
         Utilities upgraded?
22
    Q
23
    Α
         They were.
         Did the neighborhood improve?
24
    Q
25
    Α
         Parts of the neighborhood improved, those that were will to
```

```
participate and spruce up I think the overall spirt décor did. I
1
    think people were encouraged about attention being given to the
2
3
    area, with it, we did spend upwards in the neighborhood of three
    (3) and one half (1/2) four (4) million dollars in there, with
4
5
    it seen new roads, new, we, it was not uncommon to get many,
    many leaks, I know days that we had two (2) or three(3) water
 6
7
    leaks in Pleasant Ridge alone because e of the aging of the
    pipes and so on, with it now we very seldom have a leak there,
8
9
    we replaced all the water lines and upgraded the sewers were
10
    needed and put in or repaved all the roads in that project.
11
         Now you were asked about a document in Tab twenty-seven
    (27) the number is 5528, where you sent an email to John Neace
12
13
    that said, last Monday the City Council passed the Resolution on
14
    Pleasant Ridge four (4) to one (1) and an Rental Inspection
15
    Ordinance for the rental properties in PR, we are having
16
    landlords calling wanting to sell properties because code
17
    enforcement is coming June 1st, how do you know what the
18
    landlords knew? You said that you had people calling you, why
19
    were they calling you?
         Oh, ugh they wanted to sell their properties.
20
21
         Were you contacting them?
22
         I was not.
23
         Did you tell people who to sell their properties to?
    0
         I did not.
24
    Α
25
         Did you tell them they had to sell their properties?
    Q
```

```
2
         You said they knew code enforcement was coming, was that a
    Q
3
    secret?
         No, no.
 4
    Α
5
         And this email is dated January 25th?
         I'm not looking at the email, counselor but I will take
 6
7
    your word for it.
         Okay, do you know when those inspections began?
8
9
    Α
         I do not know the exact date, no.
10
         Was it later that year like in August?
    Q
11
    Α
         Yes
         You believe that code enforcement works?
12
    Q
13
    Α
         Yes
         Why do you believe that?
14
15
         I t is like any ugh, ugh, enforcement policies of any, of
    society, I mean does stopping people from speeding work, yes it
16
17
    does if you sitting there and you see them coming. This has
18
    worked because people have through the years and I am talking
19
    since 2000 we have had probably hundreds of these types of
    situations were we have cited people for code enforcements and
20
21
    they either have been brought up or to or brought into
    compliance, whatever that compliance meant.
22
         And since 2000 have the Board of Public Works waived those
23
    fines?
24
25
         We have.
```

I did not.

1 A

```
Q
         Does that ultimately solved the problems in Pleasant Ridge?
1
         Waving fines does that solve the problems?
2
    Α
3
    Q
         Yes
 4
         No
    Α
5
         Have you had more success up in Pleasant Ridge by not
    waving the fines than you did by waving the fines as far as
 6
7
    getting compliance or getting actions from the landlords?
         Ugh, I guess I would just I'm not sure what you mean by
8
9
    success, that is my hesitation.
10
         What is the City's objective with regard to Pleasant Ridge,
11
    what are you really trying to accomplish?
12
         Two-fold one is to redevelopment an area that is need of
13
    redevelopment by every statute that you look at it qualifies for
14
    redevelopment, it has been a drain on City resources, it's
15
    defiantly a challenge from the criminal element, we have had
    serious crimes committed there, ugh, one of things practically
16
17
    in that area is a contract attempt to, murder for contract
18
    attempt from a gentleman who wanted to get our drug officer
19
    killed, we, we have had tons of drug problems, the area, we have
    needles, that has been over the last probably three (3) years
20
21
    maybe a little longer, we have received an increasing number of
    complaints about needles, drug needles being left out in the
22
23
    street, yards and that is particularly concerning to us because
    we have a school right there on the grounds, the resources of
24
25
    the City are greatly taxed because of what it takes there, our
```

police chief has told me many times that ugh, ugh, nearly half of all calls of the police routes are in a small area of our city, Pleasant Ridge, and so as Mayor what I would like to see is that redeveloped, I would like to be able to accomplish a safe and good neighborhood, that is the one thing. The second side is the human factor we do have people up there that have been a part of this community, the home owners, many of the home owners that are here today have been second generation, third generation Charlestown, so the second part of any redevelopment project is if you are going to have people move to one area to another what are you going to provide for them. Like I have said earlier this is my fifth (5th) project of redevelopment areas, residential areas where people live, it's been a, all of them have been successful, all of them have had people move from the area without eminent domain and without kicking them out you know into the street, ugh, even, ugh, with kicking them out into the street, ugh it's all of them we were patient, we took our time in the redevelopment projects and made sure people had places to go to live. In this one particular in Pleasant Ridge it is very complicated one thing ugh, and we have, we have had the housing authority that is helping in this, the trustees, and we have hired a real estate company that has had practically has two levels of experience, one is relocation of rental properties being able for people to find rental properties and also the PATH, the home ownership program. So we have been taking

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

approach to this that we have in all the redevelopment projects that we have done is to recognize that code enforcement is necessary for safe housing and then people that are moving make sure they have some place to go. This is our inspection program as you stated was surpassed in January of 16, or yes ugh 16, wouldn't starting until August of 16, I believe is the right date or time frame. So we have been a year into it, with it there has been a lot of homes purchased, there has been people move, no one to my knowledge even to this date despite all of the hype, and all the rhetoric, and all the TV runs, and all the commercials that the Institute of Justice has put out that the people are being put out in the streets, there has been no one evicted everyone has moved into other home, with it we have not done anything to the home owners, we have not notified the home owners that their properties our being inspected, we've not asked any of the home owners to move, we, all the things the City has supposedly done to create all these homeless people is just not happened anywhere. And ugh, so again this is not my first time of going through this in a redevelopment project and I understand that it is complicated, I understand that people's lives are involved, with it, one of the big differences is that we have had a lot of rhetoric that pending catastrophe, I guess, I am trying to think the proper word that telling Seniors and others that the City is going to come tomorrow, or next week ,or soon, you are going to be kicked out of your house and we have

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

```
made no effort to do any of that. And ugh, with it and like I
1
    have said the effort that we have put forward in that respect is
2
3
    that we have built new senior homes, we have thirty-two (32) of
    them in our first project here, which was done by a, a ugh
4
5
    request for proposals, invited developers to come in and give us
    a proposal on how to provide affordable housing to seniors.
 6
7
    We've got sixteen (16) of those built now and all sixteen (16),
    well not all sixteen (16, there is thirty-two (32) of them
8
9
    scheduled, out of the thirty-two (32) we have eighteen (18)
10
    commitments now to take those from people and they are built
11
    specifically for seniors in River, ugh in Pleasant Ridge.
    During the Blight Elimination process I met with probably one
12
13
    hundred (100) home owners up there, our property owners not all
14
    of them our home owners and a lot of the seniors that all want
15
    out of Pleasant Ridge because of the crime, it is not the area
16
    that it was back when I was growing up and people in
17
    Charlestown, back then when it was started, I agree with Ms.
18
    Barnes it was put there because the Army Aminations Plant and
19
    people were there, working families, they were there to support
    to the, the Army effort you know in the plant, it was a family
20
21
    community, working families, with it. Over the years it's not
    become that it as properties and people have died off and
22
23
    properties have been sold, particularly probably over the last
    twenty-five (25) years it, properties are not sold to become
24
25
    single families, they are sold to become rental properties and
```

```
that is the reason the majority of the homes now are rentals not
1
    family homes, occupied homes as they were back when it was first
2
3
    put in there, it was out in ugh to be temporary in nature and
    was supposed to be removed, the homes are the 1940 version of
4
5
    the double wide mobile home, they were built brought in, bolted
    together, put on piers not on permanent foundations, has
 6
7
    skirting around it just like a double wide mobile home. Over
    the years it has become the low rent district not only for
8
9
    Charlestown but for the area and I know it is not political
10
    correct to say but when you have a low rent district it invites
11
    people who are not contributing people in society and they come
12
    with crime elements and we have seen that happen in Charlestown,
13
    in this particular area, so when you ask me do I want to be
    seen, done it is two-fold, I would like to be able to reduce the
14
15
    problems that exists there and I would like to be able to see
16
    that redeveloped into a something that benefits the City as a
17
    whole and whole community, but that's the, that side of it. The
18
    other side is that we are working equally hard on trying to make
19
    sure that the home owners have alternatives to go to and homes
    and hopefully improve their life in the process as well.
20
21
         Alright
         I'm sorry that is such a long answer but this a complicated
22
23
    issue ugh not a something that I want to handle just in little
    sound bites.
24
25
         Thank you Mayor, earlier you were asked about an Exhibit
```

- 1 | Tab twenty-seven (27), document 5535 regarding a statement...
- 2 A I'm sorry counselor
- 3 Q Tab twenty-seven (27) the big book, I think we are still in
- 4 twenty-seven (27), the number is 5535.
- 5 A Sorry I am laughing the big book sounds like I was with my
- 6 grandkid, get the big book, get the, 55.
- 7 Q You said this appears to an email you sent to John Neace,
- 8 is that correct?
- 9 A No, Counselor I am still trying to find it.
- 10 Q Its okay it has a Tab on it, it is the second Tab, it is
- 11 the second yellow thing in Tab twenty-seven (27). Tab twenty-
- 12 seven (27) the second yellow sticky.
- 13 A Okay
- 14 Q And this is an email according to your earlier testimony to
- John, John Neace about the project, now earlier you just a
- 16 minute ago you said...
- 17 A Counselor, I think my Tabs have gotten mixed up because, is
- 18 this it?
- 19 Q That looks like it.
- 20 A Okay, good enough, thank you. Now you feel like you are
- 21 | with your grand kid.
- 22 Q You were asked earlier about why you sent this letter to
- 23 John Neace, first of all do you recall the email?
- 24 A I don't, I , I don't recall I mean it I guess when you ask
- 25 if I recall sitting down doing this, no, when I did it, no, but

```
1
    I sent the email.
         Okay you testified a few minutes ago that John Neace owned
2
3
    one parcel of which you were aware in Charlestown, other than
    the ones in Pleasant Ridge.
4
5
         That is correct.
         Where is that?
 6
7
         Ugh, it is in between Highway 403 and Pleasant Ridge, ugh,
8
    ugh.
9
    Q
         It is between the Highway and Pleasant Ridge?
10
         That is correct.
    Α
11
         Does the City have plans to build a road through there?
         We do.
12
    Α
13
    Q
         With grant money?
14
    Α
         Yes
         Has Mr. Neace agreed to give the City some land to that?
16
         He has.
17
         Okay so would it be fair to say that you are working with
    Mr. Neace on projects other than just the Pleasant Ridge
18
19
    Project?
         It is, yeah.
20
21
         And when I say Mr. Neace I mean Mr. Neace and companies
    that he has an interest in?
22
23
         That is correct, yes.
         Tell me about Springfield Manor?
24
    Q
```

Ugh, how far you want me to go back?

25

Α

Q Well tell the Court what it is and why it is there. Oh, Springfield Manor is approximately fifteen (15) areas, that is also owned by John Neace and or the Springfield Manor, LLC is the owner of it and whoever is in that group. But it is thing that we, we sent out request for proposals asking for the opportunity for people to, for developer to come in and build an affordable house in order to allow those who are wanting to move out of Pleasant Ridge to be able to move in, into those. It's got, the only phase that's been plated and, and decided on is the first thirty-two (32) houses in that which are single family houses, eight hundred (800) have an option one eight hundred (800) and one other one I think is nine hundred and sixty-seven (967) square feet, on, in this development, ugh, we sent out proposals the ugh the Springfield Manor group brought one back that they would be able to, our proposals said we wanted houses that were affordable not to exceed seventy-five thousand (\$75,000), which we, they come back and agreed to that and showed how they would do it, they wanted to do it on their property that they had purchased at a trailer park that had been under our scrutiny since year 2000, it was owned by a gentleman out of Indianapolis, that did keep the roads, it was a, it was one of our redevelopment goals. So the trailer park was had received fines, was endanger of getting its license renewed and sold the property. Mr. Neace bought that property, so we entered into an agreement with the Springfield Manor, LLC to put homes

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
in there and the City, ugh, all that is documented and all that.
1
    But here is the, here is crucks of it, seniors that we had asked
2
3
    them to build a home to sell to the people in Pleasant Ridge,
    the City's contribution to that was that joint venture was to
4
5
    build the infrastructure, which we did or in the process of
    doing, we are also offering to the residents that move into it,
 6
7
    $20,000 dollar, note against the property that is forgivable in
    three (3) years, much like the HUD properties do in there
8
9
    subsidize thing but three (3) years if they move there and live
10
    there for three (3) years then that is forgivable. If they
11
    would, because ...
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Objection Your Honor I
12
13
    understand the question was the talk about Springfield Manor but
    this is turning in to a long speech with a lot of details and we
14
15
    are supposed to get everything done today, if Counsel could
16
    please direct the witness to what he is getting at.
17
              THE COURT: Yes I would agree, let's focus on the
18
    questions we had a lot ...
19
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: That is fine.
              BY MAYOR ROBERT HALL: I'm sorry Your Honor.
20
21
              THE COURT: You are offering a lot of information this
    is the second 5 minute answer we have gotten, but we could
22
23
    direct him a little bit more about the information, the relevant
    information that you want to get from him please.
24
25
         Is the City investing money in this development.
```

- 1 | A Yes in three(3) different areas, one is in redevelopment
- 2 and I will try to speed up the answers, \$20,000 in forgivable
- 3 | note and then \$35,000 in a mortgage that has a 2% interest that
- 4 | they don't have to make payments on.
- 5 Q Fixed rate?
- 6 A Fixed rate 2% yes, simple interest.
- 7 Q Simple interest and is, are the primary ugh, people that
- 8 are invited to live here from Pleasant Ridge?
- 9 A That is the priority, yes.
- 10 Q You know how much the City is investing in Springfield
- 11 Manor?
- 12 A Overall it will be right at 2.5 million dollar range.
- 13 Q And that is with the ideal that if people move out of
- 14 Pleasant Ridge have a benefit there?
- 15 A Sure
- 16 Q Have you been contacted by landlords wanting to sell their
- 17 properties in Pleasant Ridge, landlords in Pleasant Ridge?
- 18 A Ugh, yes.
- 19 Q And what do you when you get contacted by them?
- 20 A I, I want, most people that call are not asking who is
- 21 going to buy my property, people that have contacted me has
- 22 asked me or my staff to put them in contact with Mr. Neace.
- 23 Q And know there is somebody buying, they don't know who it
- 24 is?
- 25 A Ugh, I can only speak for me, from my standpoint most

```
people who appoint me know who it is, yes.
1
         Okay, are you aware of any other speculators that are
2
3
    buying properties in Pleasant Ridge?
 4
         No
 5
         Is the city forcing anybody to sell their property?
 6
         No
7
         Well how can say that if they are issuing these fines that
    accumulate daily?
8
9
         Everybody that has been, the landlords that have come and
10
    talked to me, everybody has the same option, either fix your
11
    home bring it to standards, tear it down, or pay the fines,
    continue to pay fines, with it, ugh so there are choosing to
12
13
    sell their home and sell their properties, I would add to my
    knowledge everyone that has sold also signed letters in 2014,
14
15
    yeah in 2014 during the volunteer portion you know of the Blight
    Elimination Program this is not the first time landlords have
16
17
    been talked to about selling their property and they were
    willing to sell then and signed letters to that effect that they
18
19
    are interested in selling, so.
         What if they don't want to sell to Pleasant Ridge
20
21
    Redevelopment, LLC?
         I don't care who they sell to, or even if they sell, I mean
22
23
    the City is not that is not the function of the City, the
    function of the City is to enforce its codes and with it and
24
25
    that is it, so it doesn't matter to me, that end of it.
```

```
1 | Q Do you believe by enforcing the Property Maintenance Code
```

- 2 you will drive some irresponsible landlords out of business?
- 3 A Ugh, I would, I would say not only will it, it has, you
- 4 know, with it.
- 5 Q There were some question about Brigadier General Lunt, do
- 6 you know if he had any experience in the housing business?
- 7 A Ugh, yes he has extensive experience, has probably has two
- 8 | -three (2-3) thousand rental properties, and that is a guess.
- 9 Q There was a question or some questions about the, was the
- 10 City trying to drive down prices, ugh, have you ever engaged in
- 11 discussions with anyone about driving down prices in Pleasant
- 12 Ridge?
- 13 A No
- 14 Q In your experiences are prices of houses going up in
- 15 Pleasant Ridge?
- 16 A They haven't for years.
- 17 Q Okay, have you owned property in Pleasant Ridge?
- 18 A I have.
- 19 Q How many properties?
- 20 A Just one (1).
- 21 Q How long did you own it?
- 22 A Uhm, a year maybe a year and one half (1/2) at the most.
- 23 Q Did you reside there?
- 24 A I did not.
- 25 Q You were a landlord?

- 1 A Yes it was a rental property.
- 2 Q Why did you sell it?
- 3 A One because of maintenance, ugh and then also maintenance,
- 4 keep them up is hard, was hard, ugh and then getting dependable
- 5 renters was equally hard.
- 6 Q There was some questions about a development meeting that
- 7 | you attended with some potential investors, you recall that
- 8 questioning?
- 9 A If it is the one pointed me to where ugh Mr. Neace, Mr.
- 10 Lunt and ...
- 11 Q That is the one I am referring to. Is it uncommon for you
- 12 to attend those types of meetings?
- 13 A No
- 14 Q How often do you talk to people who are interested in
- 15 | investing in the City of Charlestown?
- 16 A Uhm, couple times a week, maybe two (2), three (3) times a
- 17 week, on the average.
- 18 Q And what type of, are these real estate developers,
- 19 business people what are they?
- 20 A Uhm most are developers or people that are looking to buy
- 21 | induvial pieces of property in the City and they will come and
- 22 ask me questions concerning that.
- 23 Q There was some question about an email exchange you had
- 24 | with Ms. Barnes, I think that was in Tab thirteen (13), in the
- 25 | big book. Did you ever intend that people in Pleasant Ridge not

```
live in safe or healthy housing?
1
2
         No
3
         Is there anywhere in your response to her that you said
    that people shouldn't live in safe or healthy housing?
4
5
         No
         Did you say people shouldn't fix their houses?
 6
7
    Α
         No
         What do you recall you response was there?
8
    Q
9
         Ugh, I, the question was would I advise people to put money
10
    into their house, ugh, so my response was no because they, or
11
    people should look at all of their options meaning if they
    wanted to move, are you investing more that your property is
12
13
    worth, you know on the market, I mean, but, from my, I have some
    experience in this, no I would not advise people to put in money
14
15
    in not only in Pleasant Ridge not in any home without looking at
16
    all their options.
17
         You have actually owned property in Pleasant Ridge and made
    that choice didn't you?
18
19
         I did and that was probably twenty (20) years ago now or
20
21
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Your Honor I have no other
    cross-examination at this time.
22
23
              THE COURT: Its noon do you have more or less than ten
    (10) minutes?
24
25
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Less, much less.
```

1	THE COURT: Okay , alright let's go ahead then.
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION-MAYOR ROBER HALL
3	MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
4	Q Mayor Hall you stated and perhaps I misheard that the funds
5	that you were going to perhaps get in 2014 in the Blight
6	Elimination Program that you could not use those funds for
7	eminent domain?
8	A That is correct.
9	Q Was there any, if you had gotten those funds, was there any
10	requirement from the State or Federal Government that you could
11	not otherwise do eminent domain as a part of the project using
12	those funds?
13	A There was no, the plight, the Blight money was strictly
14	restricted to use of that money.
15	Q So you could use separate City money for eminent domain as
16	part of the same project?
17	A We could of, yes.
18	Q Also isn't it true that the City has not tried to collect
19	fine money from any home owned by Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment,
20	LLC in Pleasant Ridge?
21	A That is correct.
22	Q No further questions Your Honor.
23	RECROSS-EXAMINATION-MAYOR ROBERT HALL
24	MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
25	O Mayor has the City tries to collect fine money from anybody

```
since the fines began in 2016?
1
         No we have not.
2
3
    Q
         What about the Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood Association?
         We have not.
 4
    Α
5
         They have appealed it, so no efforts have been made to
    collect?
 6
7
         That is correct.
         Anybody else appealed their fines?
8
    Q
9
    Α
10
    Q
         Anybody else challenged the violations?
11
         No
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I have no other questions
12
13
    Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Anything else?
14
                RE-REDIRECT EXAMINATION-MAYOR ROBERT HALL
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
16
17
         Mayor are you certain that no one has appealed their fin,
    no one appealed their fines to the Board of Public Works from
18
19
    who owns property in Pleasant Ridge?
         In 2000, you talking about?
20
21
         In 2016 or 2017, other that the Association?
         I, I, I cannot reelect, recollect if they have.
22
23
    Q
         Did someone named Tomas Young appeal his fines?
         I do not remember that name, Sir.
24
25
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No further questions Your Honor.
```

```
1
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: No questions Your Honor.
2
              THE COURT: Alright, you can be dismissed. Alright
3
    it is noon so we will take a break at this time, one hour? Come
    back in ...
4
5
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: We are fine with one half hour.
              THE COURT: Well then let's do, let's split the
 6
7
    difference let's be back at 12:45, okay.
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Great.
8
9
    Off record 12:28, recommencing at 12:53
10
              THE COURT: Okay we are back on the record in the
11
    Charlestown matter, you may call your next Witness.
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Your Honor we would like to call
12
13
    Michael Anthony Jackson to the stand the City's inspector.
              THE COURT: Alright, is he in the hallway? I will
14
15
    have to raise your right hand please, do you solemnly swear and
    affirm that the testimony that you are about to give to be the
16
17
    truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
              MR. MICHAEL A. JACKSON:
18
                                       I do.
19
              THE COURT: You may have a seat.
                 DIRECT EXAMINATION- #3 MICHAEL A JACKSON
20
21
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
         Mr. Jackson state your name for the record?
22
23
         Michael Anthony Jackson
         And this is the first time that you have testified under
24
25
    oath in this lawsuit, is that correct?
```

- 1 A Yes Sir.
- 2 Q And my Co-Counsel and I have not had a deposition from you
- 3 | in this lawsuit before?
- 4 A No Sir.
- 5 Q You are the Inspector for the City of Charlestown, correct.
- 6 A Yes Sir.
- 7 Q You are the person who inspects the properties for
- 8 | compliance with the 2008 Property Maintenance Code, is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 | Q You are also the person who conducts inspections of rental
- 12 properties under the 2016 Rental Inspection Ordinance, correct?
- 13 A Yes Sir.
- 14 Q You are the person who conducts property inspections, sorry
- 15 you have inspected the Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood Associations
- 16 duplex property at 114 116 Riley Avenue, correct?
- 17 A Yes I have, Sir.
- 18 Q And if I refer to Association you understand I mean the
- 19 Neighborhood Association?
- 20 A Yes Sir.
- 21 Q Now you inspected that duplex in I believe in the summer of
- 22 | 2016 because they were getting some new wiring work and they had
- 23 | a building permit, is that true?
- 24 A I can't remember the date but yes I did inspect it for
- 25 rewiring.

- 1 | Q Okay, and at that time, you did not tell Josh Craven the
- 2 | President of the Association that there was anything wrong with
- 3 the duplex, correct?
- 4 A No I didn't I was just checking for the electrical wiring,
- 5 | I didn't find anything wrong with the wiring, there might have
- 6 been a problem but they corrected it, no Sir.
- 7 Q And you told him it was okay for the Association to start
- 8 renting that half of the duplex out, correct?
- 9 A I can't remember what the statement was, but I am pretty
- 10 | sure it was I did tell him that.
- 11 Q Now in the fall of 2016, a few week or months later after
- 12 that first inspection, you again inspected the exterior, this
- 13 time you inspected the exterior of that duplex, correct?
- 14 A That is correct, Sir.
- $oxed{Q}$  And later pursuant to a warrant you inspected the interior
- 16 of both sides of the duplex, is that correct?
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q And pursuant to those exterior and interior inspections you
- 19 issued citations to the Associations for property code
- 20 violations, is that correct?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 | Q I would like you to turn to what we have are some binders
- 23 up there, one of them the big one that is open has a Tab number
- 24 fifteen (15), if you could please turn to that Tab.
- 25 A Alright

```
Q
         And do you recognize this document?
1
2
         Yes Sir.
    Α
3
         And isn't it true this is an order and fine that you issued
    against 114 Riley Avenue?
4
5
         Yes Sir.
         And you did that on, look like on the bottom the twenty-six
 6
7
    (26) day of September, 2016.
         That is correct, yes.
8
9
         Okay, and following that page if you could flip, there are
10
    two (2) pages that itemizes the different violations, is that
11
    true?
         Yes Sir.
12
13
         Okay, and then the page after that there is a proof of
14
    mailing for that mailing, is that true?
         Yes Sir.
         Okay, if you could turn to the very next page, isn't it
16
17
    true that this is also an order and fine for 116 Riley Avenue,
    that was also issued on the twenty-six (26) day of September,
18
19
    2016?
         Yes Sir.
20
21
         Okay, now we can just stay with this 116 Riley Avenue,
    isn't it true here that it says there is a fine in the amount of
22
23
    two hundred dollars ($200.00) and that is fifty dollars ($50.00)
```

initial fine of \$200.00 and then subsequent fines of \$200.00 a

per violation, with four(4) violations and that there is an

24

```
That is correct.
2
    Α
3
         And that is daily fines began the date of the citation the
    Q
    26th day of September?
4
5
         That is correct.
         Alright also at the very top it says order and fine per
 6
7
    IC36791 and City Ordinance 2008 OR1. Isn't it true that the
    first citation there is a citation to the States Unsafe Building
8
9
    Law?
10
         No Sir, it is a citation for our Property Maintenance.
11
         Right but, Indiana Code 36-7-9-1, isn't that true that is
    the Indiana Unsafe Building Law, it is also called the Indiana
12
13
    Unsafe Building Law?
         Oh that is correct that is the Unsafe Building Law.
14
15
         Now if you could turn back to the first page of the Tab
    again, that order and fine, isn't it true that order and fine
16
17
    was for four hundred ($400.00) dollars, for eight(8) violations,
    and it also began to run on the 26^{\rm th} day of September, $400
18
19
    dollars a day.
         Yes Sir.
20
21
         Okay, and also in the very bottom of the order and fine
    there are some letters in all caps, isn't it true that among
22
23
    other things that advises the Association in this case, or
    another case if another property owner, that they have ten (10)
24
25
    days to request an appeal?
```

day.

```
А
         Yes Sir.
1
2
         Okay, Now I like now to turn actually to one of the
3
    Defendant's Exhibits, in the blue binder to A-9, tab that says
    nine (9).
4
5
         Yes Sir I have it.
         And isn't it true that this is an inspection warrant that
 6
7
    was obtained to enable you to do the inspections of that Riley
    Avenue duplex?
8
9
         I believe so, yes Sir.
10
         Okay, if you could look to the forth page, it is 3 of 4 of
11
    the warrant, it is the fourth piece of paper.
         Yes Sir I have it.
12
13
         Okay and at the very bottom it says, wherefore the Affiant
14
    respectfully requests, do you see that?
         Yes Sir
         Isn't it true that it then cites Indiana Code 367916?
16
17
         Yes Sir
         Okay and I would like the Court to take Judicial Notice
18
19
    that is a citation to a portion of the State's Unsafe Building
20
    Law.
21
              THE COURT: Very good.
         So let's go back to those orders we were just looking at,
22
23
    we looked at the two exterior orders, let's go a little bit
```

further to the interior orders, so if you would turn the page

past the ones we just looked at, to the order and fine for 114

24

- 1 Riley that is dated, the date at the bottom is October 3, 2016,
- 2 do you see that?
- 3 A Uhm, yes I have it.
- 4 Q Okay, and we just looked at the warrant that you used to,
- 5 | to do the inspection and the warrant was dated, if you look at
- 6 | the very first page if the warrant, sorry to direct you back to
- 7 | it, is dated November 2, 2016, do you see that?
- 8 A Yes Sir
- 9 Q Okay, so is this where it says October 3, 2016 on the order
- 10 and fine, is that a typo and actually it was November?
- 11 A That could be correct Sir.
- 12 Q Okay
- 13 A I can't remember.
- 14 Q So it is likely you did this inspection just after the
- 15 warrant was obtained?
- 16 A Yes Sir, I did it immediately after the warrant was issued.
- 17 Q Okay, now let's look at this order and fine, it is for 114
- 18 Riley Avenue, it looks like it is a fine in the amount of \$50.00
- 19 dollars, for one (1) violation, and it says \$50.00 dollars per
- 20 day starting on the  $4^{th}$  day of October, 2016, do you see that?
- 21 A Yes Sir.
- 22 Q And that may had meant the 4<sup>th</sup>, day of November, 2016, isn't
- 23 that true?
- 24 A Yes Sir that is correct.
- 25 Q Okay, if you now to turn three (3) pages to the other order

- 1 | and fine that order is for 116 Riley Avenue, do you see that?
- 2 A Yes Sir.
- 3 Q Okay and isn't it true that this is a fine for \$150.00
- 4 dollars, for three (3) violations.
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q And that those were \$50.00 dollars a day starting on what
- 7 says the 5th day of October, but I think perhaps that meant the
- 8 5<sup>th</sup> of November, 2016, is that true?
- 9 A Yes Sir.
- 10 Q Alright, so let's go back to the exterior citations, the
- 11 earlier citations, so we have established that one (1) of those
- 12 was for \$400.00 dollars and then daily subsequently, and one (1)
- 13 was for \$200.00 hundred.
- 14 A Yes Sir.
- 15 Q That is true, okay, so together this is \$600.00 dollars?
- 16 A Uh-huh
- 17 Q They begin to run on the  $26^{th}$  of September, is that true?
- 18 A Alright, let's now go to the fourth (4th) page, which is the
- 19 mailing, the USPS tracking for these mailings.
- 20 A Uh-huh
- 21 Q Isn't it true it says here that they were mailed on
- 22 | September 28th, where it says acceptance, September 28th, right?
- 23 A Yes
- 24 Q Isn't it true that it says here that it was delivered, left
- 25 | with individual on October 4<sup>th</sup>?

- 1 A Yes Sir.
- 2 Q So isn't it true that the fines begin to run on September
- 3 26th but they were not delivered according to this, until October
- 4 4<sup>th</sup>?
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q And so during that time, we have \$600.00 dollars a day, I
- 7 calculate that to be nine (9) days, by the time that this was
- 8 delivered the Association had already received \$5,400.00 dollars
- 9 in fines.
- 10 A Apparently that is correct Sir.
- 11 Q Okay, let's turn to the other citations, go back to those,
- 12 | the interiors ones, those were a total of \$200.00 dollars,
- 13 \$50.00 dollars and \$150.00, is that true?
- 14 A Yes, I believe so.
- 15 Q And let's assume that for the moment that it was the, the
- $16 \mid 3^{rd}$  of November, right the fines did not begin to run until one
- 17 on the  $4^{th}$  and one until the  $5^{th}$ , is that right?
- 18 A Yes Sir.
- 19 Q So now turn two (2) pages to the post office tracking for
- 20 those mailings, it on that page arrived at USPS facility on
- 21 November 9th, 2016, do you see that?
- 22 A Yes Sir.
- 23 Q And then it says delivered November, 14, 2016.
- 24 A Yes Sir.
- 25 Q So let's go from, let's be charitable here to your side and

- 1 | go from November  $5^{th}$ , 2016 to November 14 that is a period of
- 2 nine (9) days, is that correct?
- 3 A So the fines begin to run on November  $5^{th}$  but this was not
- 4 delivered until November 16<sup>th</sup>, is that true?
- 5 A That is correct
- 6 Q So that is 200 times nine (9) days that is \$1,800.00
- 7 dollars of fines before the Association received this mailing,
- 8 is that true?
- 9 A Yes Sir.
- 10 Q Now prior to you issuing these citations and fines to the
- 11 Association in 2016, the Association did not as owners of this
- 12 property, did not have any history of refusing to comply with
- 13 the Property Maintenance Code, correct?
- 14 A I can't recall any?
- 15  $\mid$  Q  $\mid$  And prior to you issuing these citations and fines, have
- 16 you given the Association any specific warning about any of
- 17 these problems that you identified in the citations?
- 18 A No Sir.
- 19 Q After you issued the citations and imposed the fines the
- 20 Association began to work right away to correct these problems,
- 21 right?
- 22 A I believe so Sir.
- 23 Q And the Association eventually within a matter of weeks
- 24 | corrected all of the problems, isn't that true?
- 25 A That is correct.

- 1 Q So let me be sure I understand what happened, between,
- 2 between your initial visit about the wiring and then these
- 3 | fines. You authorized the Association to rent its duplex out in
- 4 | the first place, correct?
- 5 A What I did was, I inspected the wiring, the wiring passed
- 6 at that time, I didn't say, I didn't particularly say they could
- 7 | rent it or not, that just says that passed, that particular
- 8 inspection, that was a permit inspection, had nothing to do with
- 9 a rental inspections.
- 10 Q And in that inspection or any other time before the
- 11 citations were issued, you never expressed concerns about the
- 12 | duplex, that it was not authorized to take in tenets because it
- 13 had code violations, correct?
- 14 A No, I believe that is what they were trying to do fix it.
- 15 Q And since the Association had begun renting out the duplex
- 16 it had no history of ignoring your requests to fix up the
- 17 property, correct?
- 18 A Not at that time, not that I can recall.
- 19 Q But you still issued these fines against the Association,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 Q Now during August, 2106 to the end of 2016 at least you
- 23 issued citations to the owners of dozens rental properties in
- 24 Pleasant Ridge, correct?
- 25 A That is correct.

- 1 Q Okay, now I would like to turn to what Tab twenty-three
- 2 (23) in that same big binder there, uhm, isn't it true and if
- 3 you could flip through after the first page and examine some of
- 4 these documents. Isn't it true that these are notices of
- 5 volitions and orders and fines that you sent to a landlord named
- 6 Jimmy Woods?
- 7 A Yes Sir.
- 8 Q And these concerned some of the properties that he owned in
- 9 Pleasant Ridge?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 Q Alright, and if you turn to, let's just turn to the fourth
- 12 page, for the first one, and this is a citation for 101 Spring
- 13 Street, do you see that?
- 14 A Yes Sir.
- 15  $\mid$  Q Do you see at the bottom that this was a determination was
- 16 made on the 29<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2016?
- 17 A Yes Sir.
- 18 Q And that the fines started that day, the  $29^{th}$  day of August?
- 19 A Yes Sir.
- 20 Q And they were daily fines in the amount of \$350.00 dollars?
- 21 A Yes Sir.
- 22 Q And now flip back to the first page, looks like this was a
- 23 cover letter were you sent all these a once to Mr. Woods?
- 24 A That is correct.
- 25 Q And isn't it true that the cover letter is dated the 31st

- 1 day of August, 2016?
  2 A Yes Sir if that
- 2 A Yes Sir if that is what it is stated, yeah.
- 3  $\mathbb Q$  So just for that one (1) property looks like there are many
- 4 more in here for various amounts of fines, that property had
- 5 three(3)days times \$350.00 dollars already by the time you put
- 6 it in the mail to Mr. Woods?
- 7 A That is correct, yes.
- 8 Q Let's now turn to Tab twenty-four (24), the next Tab I
- 9 believe in that binder, isn't it true that, and if you could
- 10 flip through a few of these.
- 11 A Uh-huh
- 12 Q Isn't is true that these are citations against a property
- 13 owned by landlord named Tom Brown?
- 14 A Yes Sir.
- 15 Q Okay, now if you go to the third page isn't it true that
- 16 for example this is 126 Clark Road and the fines are in the
- 17 amount of \$350.00 dollars and that they are daily accumulating
- 18 fines?
- 19 A Yes Sir.
- 20  $\mathbb{Q}$  And that was issued on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2016?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 Q During that same period starting in August, 2016 did the
- 23 owners of rental properties with pending citations such as Mr.
- 24 Woods and Mr. Brown sell their properties to Pleasant Ridge
- 25 Redevelopment, LLC?

- 1 | A I can't, I don't know what dates they sold or anything
- 2 after it was inspected.
- 3 Q Say between August, 2016 and the end of the year, did they
- 4 sell their properties to Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC?
- 5 A I can't recall when they sold those Sir.
- 6 Q Do you know that they did sell them to Pleasant Ridge
- 7 Redevelopment?
- 8 A I know they have been sold.
- 9 Q You know that they did sell?
- 10 A Well I know that the list that I have and some of these
- 11 house are on the list that I have been given.
- 12 Q Okay, let's now turn to what is marked as Plaintiff's Tab
- 13 twelve (12) and this in the same big binder. Okay do you
- 14 recognize this document Mr. Jackson?
- 15 A Yes Sir.
- 16 Q Okay, isn't it true that this is a letter from John Hampton
- on behalf of Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC to you which
- 18 attaches a list of what he says are one hundred and four (104)
- 19 homes or lots in Pleasant Ridge that Pleasant Ridge
- 20 Redevelopment, LLC has acquired?
- 21 A Yes Sir.
- 22 Q This letter and its attachment are dated October 24, 2016,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A Yes Sir.
- 25 Q Can you please turn to the second to last paragraph of the

- 1 | letter which begins the goal it says, the goal of purchasing all
- 2 | the homes within Pleasant Ridge is critical to the success of
- 3 PRR redevelopment plan do you see that?
- 4 A Yes Sir.
- 5 Q Now let's turn the page there is a list of properties here,
- 6 do you see on the first page there is a big block of properties
- 7 at the very top with a seller named Brown. It is the very first
- 8 page after Mr. Hampton's letter.
- 9 A Oh okay I see it now.
- 10 Q Sure do you see the properties where the seller was named
- 11 Brown?
- 12 A Yes Sir,
- 13 Q Is the same Tom Brown that we looked at his citations?
- 14 A I believe so yes.
- 15 Q And it looks like the lease expiration date for those
- 16 buildings was not until March 31, 2017, is that true?
- 17 A That appears to be correct.
- 18 Q Let's turn the page to the next page, the list of
- 19 properties, and see the second half, most of the second half of
- 20 the page it says the seller s name is Woods, do you see that?
- 21 A Yes Sir.
- 22 Q Isn't is true that these are properties that were owned by
- 23 the same Jimmy Woods that we looked at the citations against?
- 24 A I believe so Sir.
- 25 Q And isn't it true that you had issued those fines and

- 1 orders to those landlords Mr. Woods and Mr. Brown earlier that
- 2 year?
- 3 A Yes Sir.
- 4 Q Isn't it true that you issued citations against other
- 5 landlords for example Mr. Westmorland who is listed here?
- 6 A I had, yes Sir.
- 7 Q So in the approximately two (2) months between August, late
- 8 August and late October, this letter dated 2016, isn't it true
- 9 that some of the properties owners to whom you had issued order
- 10 and fines sold their properties to Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment,
- 11 LLC.
- 12 A Yes that is apparent, they have.
- 13 Q Now let's turn back to the letter, first page, can you look
- 14 | in the first paragraph second sentence it says, at your request
- 15 this letter comes to confirm Pleasant Ridge redevelopment
- 16 responsibility regarding those properties, and the tenants and
- 17 | the houses. Do you confirm it says that?
- 18 A Where is this at?
- 19 Q The second sentence of the first paragraph, at your
- 20 request. So this letter was sent at your request.
- 21 A Yes Sir.
- 22 Q Okay now if you could review, don't read it out loud, just
- 23 review the paragraphs that are numbered one (1) through six (6),
- 24 these requirements.
- 25 A Uh-huh

```
Okay, now under those requirements once Pleasant Ridge
1
    Redevelopment requires a property from a landlord with pending
2
3
    citations, did you require Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment to
    perform any of the repairs ordered in the citations?
4
 5
         No Sir.
         And it is true that you did not require Pleasant Ridge
 6
7
    Redevelopment to fix up these newly acquired properties pursuant
    to the citations even when tenets remained in them?
8
9
         No Sir what I did when a, if I was given a request to have
10
    a, if there was problem then I contacted the owners and have
11
    them fix it. In this particular case these houses the list of
    these houses that went before the Board of Public Works and
12
13
    approved for demolition.
14
15
         Right then people remained living in some of those homes
16
    for several months is that true?
17
         Yes Sir.
         And isn't it true that under paragraph four(4) of this
18
19
    letter it states that, any fines attached to the properties will
    no longer remain once the property is raised and removed?
20
21
         That is correct.
         Noe based on the practice towards Pleasant Ridge
22
23
    Redevelopment, LLC if the Association had sold it property to
    Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC after you issued those
24
25
    citations against it you would not have required Pleasant Ridge
```

- 1 Redevelopment, LLC to fix the property up in the way that the
- 2 Association did fix the property up, isn't that true?
- 3 A That would be up to the owner of the house.
- 4 Q But you would have not required Pleasant Ridge
- 5 Redevelopment to fix the property up, correct?
- 6 A It is according to what the circumstances were, I believe?
- 7 Q If Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment promised to eventually
- 8 raise and remove the property you would have not forced them to
- 9 fix the property up correct?
- 10 A Oh I understand what you're saying yeah I'm sorry, ugh,
- 11 yes.
- 12 Q And you would not have required Pleasant Ridge
- 13 Redevelopment to fix up the Association's property in that case
- 14 even if the Association's tenets remained there for a period of
- 15 time.
- 16 A That is correct.
- 17 Q Are you aware of any other developer buying properties in
- 18 | Pleasant Ridge for the specific purpose of redevelopment, other
- 19 that Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC?
- 20 A No Sir I am not aware of any.
- 21 Q Alright, so over the last year or so, I believe you have
- 22 emailed with and texted with and spoken on the phone with and
- 23 | met personally with John Hampton, the head of Pleasant Ridge
- 24 Redevelopment, LLC about issues related to the developers plans
- 25 for Pleasant Ridge, correct?

- 1 | A Ugh, about his plans, the only thing that I relate to with
- 2 his plan is document here. He has had some issues with his
- 3 properties, to deal with.
- 4 Q Have you spoken to him about his acquisition of the
- 5 properties, his properties in Pleasant Ridge?
- 6 A I don't understand your question.
- 7 Q Have you spoken to Mr. Hampton about his ongoing efforts to
- 8 acquire more properties and has he told you when he acquires
- 9 more properties?
- 10  $\mid$  A Oh he tells me when he acquires more properties, he has to
- 11 add to the list, Sir.
- 12 Q Okay, let's turn to Tab twenty-nine (29), which is in the
- 13 skinniest binder, I think it is the one under the other one
- 14 there.
- 15 A Okay
- 16 Q Okay, in Tab twenty-nine (29) there is a document with
- 17 these little numbers which are called bates numbers, there is a
- document numbered bates 381 and it has a Tab on it, if you could
- 19 find that document.
- 20 A 381 trying to find the bates number.
- 21 Q It is the second to the last yellow Tab.
- 22 A I believe I have found it.
- 23 Q Okay, do you recognize this email that seems to be an email
- 24 you sent to Mr. Hampton?
- 25 A It looks like an email all I can see is please review the

- 1 attached notice.
- 2 Q Okay, and it states can I get an updated list of
- 3 properties, do you see that?
- 4 A Yes Sir.
- 5 Q Why was important to you to get an updated list of
- 6 properties to him?
- 7 A So I would know what is going on the properties. So when I
- 8 have problems I can contact, I don't know who owns what
- 9 properties until I'm informed.
- 10 Q And why do you what to know why Pleasant Ridge
- 11 Redevelopment owns properties?
- 12 A Because he has an agreement with The Board of Public Works
- 13 and the agreement was he was supposed to update the properties.
- 14 Q What do you mean by update the properties?
- 15  $\mid$  A The original list that you seen and that was given to the
- 16 | Board of Public Works for demolition, he had to add to that
- 17 list.
- 18 Q I see so you need to know as the list grows.
- 19 A Correct, because the counties GIS is not up to date most of
- 20 the time, so I have to depend on him to notify me about which
- 21 properties he owns and which he does not.
- 22 | Q I would like to look at very quickly at another document in
- 23 the big binder it is again it is Tab number twenty-two (22), and
- 24 this is another set of orders and fines let's look at the very
- 25 first one, it says owner F & J apartment, LLC.

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 Q Isn't it true that is a LLC that is owned by Jimmy Woods,
- 3 | the same landlord?
- 4 A I believe he owns part of it I don't know, I don't know who
- 5 all the owners are, but I believe Jimmy is part of it.
- 6 Q Okay, and isn't it true that the date of this citation is
- 7 the 9th day of October, 2014?
- 8 A Yes Sir.
- 9 Q And isn't it true that this is a fine in the amount of \$150
- 10 dollars?
- 11 A That is correct.
- 12 Q And then subsequent fines in the amount of between
- 13 | \$2,500.00 and \$1,500.00 dollars per day were assessed starting
- 14 on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2014?
- 15 A Yes Sir.
- 16 Q Alright if you turn to the fourth page, this is an order
- 17 and fine for 130 Winthrop Avenue, do you dee that this one is
- 18 personally issued against James and Florena Woods?
- 19 A Yes Sir.
- 20 Q And if you could flip through the rest are they all against
- 21 | F & J apartments or Mr. and Mrs. Woods and are I'm sorry, they
- 22 also all dated the 9th day of October, 2014?
- 23 A Yes sir.
- 24 Q Okay thank you.
- 25 A But I believe these may have been previous to what was

going on. 1 Why I understand these are dated October, 2014. 2 Q 3 Α Right. Not 2016. I would to shift gears now, we are almost done, 4 5 you are an Indiana licensed asbestos inspector, correct? That is correct, Sir. 6 7 And you have your own company called, Southern Indiana 8 Field Services, correct? 9 That is correct. 10 Does your company have any employees, other that you? Q 11 No Sir just me. 12 And does your company and your company does asbestos 13 inspections? 14 That is correct, inspections and abatement, plus I also do 15 insurance, insurance inspections. I would like you to go back to Tab twenty-nine (29) which 16 17 is the skinny binder. Yes Sir. 18 19 And if we could go to a document labeled NEACE36, I believe it is the second Tab, yellow Tab, isn't it true that this is an 20 email to John Neace purposing that your Company handle asbestos 21 inspection for Neace's Pleasant Ridge properties? 22 23 That is correct Sir.

And you have in fact have been conducting asbestos

inspections for Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC, correct?

24

```
Α
         That is one of my clients, yes.
1
2
         And if you look at, now let's turn back to the big binder
    Q
3
    Tab twenty-six (26) and if you could flip through those papers,
    isn't it true that these are examples of asbestos inspections
4
5
    that you have done in your capacity as the owner of Southern
    Indiana Field Services, for Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment.
 6
7
         Yes Sir those are my reports.
              THE COURT: What is the citation on that document, the
8
9
    Tab number?
10
         Twenty-six (26) Your Honor, And how many buildings in
11
    Pleasant Ridge has Southern Field, Southern Indiana Field
    Services done an asbestos inspections for?
12
13
         Between thirty (30) and forty (40).
         So of those thirty (30) and forty (40) homes, when Pleasant
14
15
    Ridge Redevelopment acquired them, Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment,
16
    LLC acquired them that represented an opportunity for your
17
    company to profit, correct?
          Yes Sir.
18
19
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No further questions Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Any cross?
20
21
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION-MICHAEL JACKSON
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
22
23
         Mr. Jackson with regards to the fines, ugh, or the
    notifications of fines against the Association's property there
24
25
    on Riley Avenue, both units, when did those fines begin?
```

- 1 | A Ugh, I can't recall the date but the date that I sent them
- 2 a letter.
- 3 Q Okay, when you did the final calculation on how much money
- 4 was due to the City.
- 5 A That was correct.
- 6 Q When did you start that calculation was it the date that
- 7 | the inspection was done or a later date.
- 8 A I believe it was the, the recalculation was a later date.
- 9 Q What do you mean the recalculation?
- 10 A Well when they went to the Board of Public Works it was
- 11 determined that those original ten (10) days were to be removed
- 12 from the original date that Mr. Craven had given us, so we
- 13 recalculated it to take away that monetary fine.
- 14 Q That first ten (10) days.
- 15 A Yes Sir.
- 16 Q For the interior inspections?
- 17 A I can't remember if it was for interior or exterior, but I
- 18 believe it was both, yes.
- 19 O You believe it was both?
- 20 A Yes Sir.
- 21 Q And that was at Mr. Craven's request?
- 22 A That is correct.
- 23 Q So, if, if there was no fines for the first ten (10) days
- 24 then he would have received the notices prior to the time that
- 25 the fines had started?

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 Q Did Mr. Carven or anybody from the Association ever
- 3 complain and say that the violations that you detected were not
- 4 real or falsified?
- 5 A No, no Sir.
- 6 Q Okay, when you were there, well let me back up, when you go
- 7 | in and do an electrical inspection, do you inspect for plumbing
- 8 at the same time?
- 9 A No
- 10 Q Do you inspect the HVHC system?
- 11 A No Sir.
- 12 Q Do you inspect the roof?
- 13 A No Sir.
- 14 Q If they ask you to come in and do an electrical inspection,
- 15 what do you inspect?
- 16 A I inspect the electrical system and whatever the
- 17 corrections are being made or additions that are being made.
- 18 Q Okay, so you were at the Association's property sometime in
- 19 the summer of 2016 to do an electrical inspection?
- 20 A That is correct, yes.
- 21 Q Did you detect, detect anything wrong at that time with the
- 22 electrical system?
- 24 Q Did you find them?
- 25 A Yes, Sir.

- 1 Q Did you fine them that day?
- 2 A Oh did I fine them?
- 3 Q Fine not find, excuse me, did you, did you issues any
- 4 citations for any imperfections that you detected?
- 5 A No Sir that is on a permit you would not do that.
- 6 Q Did you tell them what need to be corrected?
- 7 A Yes, that is correct.
- 8 Q Okay, why didn't you point out all the other violations
- 9 that might have existed at the house, interior and exterior?
- 10 A Because I was there to do a permit electrical inspection.
- 11 Q Now there was a letter from John Hampton regarding his
- 12 intent with regards to fines on properties that had been
- 13 acquired by Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, do you recall that
- 14 testimony?
- 15 A Yes
- 16 Q And you said you had an interest in how many properties had
- 17 been acquired?
- 18 A That is correct, yes.
- 19 Q Why did you have an interest in that?
- 20 A Because he was supposed to keep up with the amount of
- 21 houses or the certain house he had, so we would know what was
- 22 going on.
- 23 Q When you say what was going on, what do you mean by that?
- 24 A That if there was issues there they had to be taken care
- 25 of.

- 1 Q What type of issues?
  2 A Could be garbage, co
- A Could be garbage, could be electrical, could be anything.
- 3 Q And do you have an ongoing list from Pleasant Ridge
- 4 Redevelopment about the properties that they have acquired?
- 5 A Yes Sir.
- 6 Q Have you contacted them regarding problems at some of the
- 7 things they own?
- 8 A Yes
- 9 Q Can you give an example of the type of problems you have
- 10 experienced?
- 11 A Trash, high grass, junk, there is some junk being thrown on
- 12 their properties but that have to go back and correct that, I
- 13 have people that are living in the houses that have contacted me
- 14 and they have had some issues that Mr. Hampton has had to
- 15 correct, water issues, heating and air, such as that.
- 16 Q Are they responsive?
- 17 A Yes Sir.
- 18 Q Is it, do you try to keep track of who owns all the
- 19 properties up there?
- 20 A I try, but it is very hard, but yes.
- 21 Q Now the fines that were originally imposed against the
- 22 original owners that Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment may have
- 23 required these properties from are those fines ongoing?
- 24 A Yes
- 25 Q Who can waive those fines?

- 1 | A The Board of Public Works are the only one who can waive
- 2 those fines.
- 3 Q Okay, so when you had the question about if the Association
- 4 had come to you and that they were selling their property and
- 5 | they were going to demolish it would you have waived those
- 6 fines, do you waive fines?
- 7 A No Sir, I would have had them do the same thing that Mr.
- 8 | Hampton did, they would had to write a letter and approach the
- 9 Board of Public Works.
- 10 Q Is the process available to anyone?
- 11 A Anyone Sir.
- 12 Q Have they asked to participate in that process?
- 13 A No Sir.
- 14 Q And there seems to be some concern that all of these
- 15 properties that have been acquired by Pleasant Ridge
- 16 Redevelopment, which are slated to be demolished are not being
- 17 | fixed up first, why aren't you concerned that they are not being
- 18 fixed up?
- 19 A Because they have an agreement to remove those, if I did
- 20 | fine them there would be a severe imminent danger, they would be
- 21 removed.
- 22 Q Regarding your company Southern Indiana Field Services?
- 23 A Yes
- 24 Q How many clients do you have or have you had?
- 25 A I have had roughly twenty (20), thirty (30) clients well I

- 1 | would say more than that actually with the insurance probably
- 2 roughly one hundred (100) clients.
- 3 Q And how long have you had that company?
- 4 A Since 2004.
- 5 Q Do you do business through, as a representative of that
- 6 company when you are on the clock for the City?
- 7 A No Sir
- 8 Q When do you do it?
- 9 A Usually I do it on Saturdays and late nights, use my
- 10 | vehicle and my own personal equipment.
- 11 | Q You don't use city equipment?
- 12 A No Sir, most of the equipment I use, is my personal
- 13 equipment.
- 14 Q And what type of services does Southern Indiana Field
- 15 | Services perform?
- 16 A We do, I do asbestos inspections, asbestos abatement, we do
- 17 what they call insurance inspections, get hired by companies to
- 18 do and do drive by insurance, I do some interior inspections of
- 19 heating units, things like that.
- 20 Q Has the State of Indiana ever hired you?
- 21 A They have asked me to, when I was the building commissioner
- 22 | in the city of Salem they had tornados in Marengo, did a lot of
- 23 damage so the State requested that I go to Marengo and represent
- 24 | them as their building inspector and I inspected the homes in
- 25 Marengo, that were damaged that was at the request of the State

```
of Indiana Building Commissioner.
1
2
         Do you have any type of agreement with the City that you
3
    can't moonlight or do work on the side?
         No, if I could clarify actually Mr. Hampton is the one that
4
5
    approached me concerned about the cost.
         What do you mean?
 6
7
         When he was, when he was originally looking into the cost
    of abatement, he knew that he was given some prices and he knew
8
9
    that I was an inspector and he asked me some general questions
10
    and he was given some larger numbers so when I told him what the
11
    reality of the numbers are he asked me to put in a bid so I did
    so, he gave me the bid for the inspections but I did not get the
12
13
    bid for the abatement.
14
         Did you give those numbers before or after the properties
15
    had been acquired?
16
         I believe it was after.
17
         You had no reason to have it before?
18
         No
19
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I have no other questions.
                 REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR MICHAEL JACKSON
20
21
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
         One question Mr. Jackson have you issued fines against a
22
23
    home owned by Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC since that
    company acquired any homes in Pleasant Ridge?
24
25
         I don't believe I have.
```

```
1
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Thank you Mr. Jackson.
2
              THE COURT: Anything else, go ahead.
3
                 RECROSS-EXAMINATION- MR MICHAEL JACKSON
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
 4
5
         Mr. Jackson are the fines ongoing?
         Yes Sir they are ongoing yes.
 6
7
         So you haven't issued any new notices but the fines are
    ongoing?
8
9
         That is correct.
10
         And if Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC fails to have the
11
    Board of Public Works fails to waive those fines for some reason
    they are still due?
12
13
       Yes Sir.
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Okay, no other questions
14
15
    Your Honor, thank you.
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: I'm finish Your Honor, thank you
16
17
    very much Mr. Jackson.
18
              THE COURT: You may step down.
19
              THE COURT: I know you had asked him to be separated,
    are we done with him?
20
21
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: No, no Your Honor we are not
    done with him.
22
23
              THE COURT: Okay very well.
24
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: We are getting close to the end
25
    Your Honor, second to the last witness. Josh Craven
```

```
1
              THE COURT: I would have you raise your right hand, do
    you solemnly swear and affirm that the testimony that you are
2
3
    about to give to be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
    the truth.
4
5
              THE WITNESS- JOSH CRAVEN: I do.
 6
              THE COURT: You may have a seat.
7
                     DIRECT EXAMINATION- JOSH CRAVEN
              MR. ANTHHONY SANDERS:
8
9
    Q
         Good afternoon, Mr. Carven.
10
         Good afternoon.
    Α
11
         Please state your full name for the record.
         Joshua Matthew Craven.
12
    Α
13
    Q
         Where do you live Mr. Craven?
         203 Gilford
14
    Α
    Q
         In what city?
         Charlestown, Indian
16
17
    Q
         What neighborhood is that?
18
         Pleasant Ridge
    Α
         What is your occupation?
19
    Q
         I am an exterminator for Mr. Pest control.
20
21
         And what periods in your life have you lived in Pleasant
    Ridge?
22
23
         Ugh, from as far back as I can remember when I was probably
24
    around three(3), I believe up until 2001 when my parents bought
25
    a place and then I moved back in 2007.
```

```
Q
         Okay, and have you lived in the same home since 2007?
1
2
         Yes
    Α
3
    Q
         Do you own that home?
         I buy it on contract from my Father.
 4
    Α
 5
         Okay, does it have a mortgage on it?
         My Father has a mortgage on it yes.
 6
7
         And when did you begin to purchase it?
         Uhm, the contractual agreement with me and my Father was in
8
    Α
9
    2014.
10
    Q
         And who do you live with in your home?
11
         Me and my daughter.
         And how old is your daughter?
12
    Q
13
    Α
         Four (4) years old.
         You're I believe the president of the Pleasant Ridge
14
15
    Neighborhood Association?
16
         Yes
17
    Q
         How long have you been president?
         Ugh, since its founding in 2014.
18
19
         Do you know any of your neighbors in Pleasant Ridge?
         Yeah
20
21
         And how did you get to know them?
         Just from you know going door to door throughout the years,
22
23
    and you know through the Neighborhood Association, through the
```

Does the Association help people fix up their homes.

way we do things.

24

А 1 Yes What is an examples of what you do as part of that work? 2 Q 3 Ugh, we have people repair steps, you know that would be broken or something, ugh, paint guard rails, paint you know 4 5 fencing, things of that nature, beautification of the neighborhood. 6 7 We heard from Mr. Jackson about a rental property on Riley Street, that the Association owns, why did it acquire that 8 9 property? 10 Uhm, to achieve, to help achieve its goal, the 11 neighborhood's mission, the Neighborhood Association Mission. And how does that achieve its mission? 12 13 Well it brings in more revenue so we can then do other positive things throughout the neighborhood. 14 And when did it require that duplex? December of 2015, I believe. 16 What did the Association do with the duplex after it 17 acquired it, what did it do to improve it? 18 19 Uhm, well one end was, you know in very good shape so we basically painted it, we remodeled the bathroom on it, and the 20 21 other end we basically had to do almost a full rehab on it. Alright, and was part of that rehab where Mr. Jackson 22 23 visited the property to inspect the electrical work? 24 Yes Α

What did Mr. Jackson say about the state of the property

25

Q

```
that day?
1
         He approved the permits that I had for the, actually I had
2
3
    building, I had building permits for the plumbing and the
    electrical at two separate times.
4
5
         And did he say you could rent it out?
         Uhm, up on the final inspection yes he did say I could rent
 6
7
    it out.
         Did you and him discuss the firewall between the units on
8
9
    that visit?
10
         Uhm, I don't believe on that visit.
11
         Did you discuss the firewall with him when he coming to
    check on this work?
12
13
         Yes
         And what did he say about the firewall?
14
    Q
15
         Uhm, he said that he didn't think I need to have the
    firewall because Duke Energy requirement is that if you have an
16
17
    electric drop if since it is a duplex, if both electrical drops
    are on one side of the house then you wouldn't need a firewall.
18
19
         And then we heard that there were exterior and interior
    inspections of the property in the next couple months, is that
20
21
    true?
         Ugh, I believe so yes.
22
23
         And it is true that these, there are fines issued after
    these inspections?
24
```

Ugh, yes.

- 1 Q And these fine accumulated on a daily basis?
- 2 A What I was told yes.
- 3 Q Did you appeal those citations that have those fines?
- 4 A Yes
- 5 Q And what was your understanding on how quickly you had to
- 6 appeal those citations?
- 7 A Ugh, my understand was you had ten (10) days to appeal to
- 8 | the Board of Public Works, up on receiving of the citations.
- 9 Q Now when you got these citations what did you do about
- 10 these alleged violations that were listed on here?
- 11 A Immediately I looked towards fixing them, we immediately
- 12 took action to fix them.
- 13 Q And what are some of the things you did?
- 14 A Ugh, we were already in the process of fixing the
- 15 guttering, when Mr. Jackson showed up to do the exterior
- 16 inspection, hence is why we had the actual guttering off the
- 17 house when he fined us for the guttering. But I mean we, I
- 18 immediately rushed, you know rushed the process of getting that
- 19 done and you know just started going through the check list of
- 20 things to fix it.
- 21  $\mathbb{Q}$  And did he say there needed to be some work done to the
- 22 foundation.
- 23 A Yes that is what he said.
- 24 Q And what did you do about fixing the foundation?
- 25 A I contacted Mr. Jackson and told him you know I couldn't

- understand what, never heard of a structural engineer cuz you
  know I am not in that field, and he told me it is pretty easy to
- 3 get one they are pretty common and up on asking around I finally
- 4 got a structural engineer, got an appointment, and had a
- 5 structural engineer come out check it.
- 6 Q And did that structural engineer and yourself fix the
- 7 foundation?
- 8 A Well we fixed the foundation, the structural engineer
- 9 didn't fix, and all he did was come out and give me guidelines
- 10 of what to fix and then would come back out and check it when
- 11 | the work was finished.
- 12 Q Could you please turn to what is marked Tab sixteen (16),
- 13 it is in the binder that is open in front of you.
- 14 A This one here?
- 15  $\mid$  Q Yes, and is this the report that the engineer issued to
- 16 you?
- 17 A Yes
- 18 Q And the engineer said that foundation had been fixed and
- 19 properly secure?
- 20 A Yes the engineer had came out on, you know his initial
- 21 | inspection and you know had said there was a few minor issues
- 22 and told me how to go about fixing them and then came back out
- 23 and cleared it, yes.
- 24 Q Did Mr. Jackson express any specific concerns about the
- 25 property warning that if they weren't addressed it could result

```
in fines before you received those citations?
1
         Could you repeat the question?
2
3
         So before you received those citations did Mr. Jackson told
    you about any specific concerns of anything to do with the
4
5
    property that you needed to fix that you might get fines?
 6
         No
7
         Now you said that you appealed those fines to the Board of
    Public Works, is that true?
8
9
         Yes
         And then what happened with the Board of Public Works?
10
11
         Ugh, I can't recall exactly I believe there is two (2) or
12
    three (3) separate meetings that we went to, but ugh, each time
13
    I would go I would you know I would appeal it and say hey I
    would like to have the fines waived and they would I believe
14
15
    once or twice I am not exactly for sure they put it under
16
    advisement. Until once was because of the until the foundational
17
    work was finished up I believe and once was because of the
18
    firewall, there was a dispute there you know over Tony telling
19
    me originally, I didn't need the firewall and then of course
    they are coming back and citing us for the firewall.
20
21
         Why did you think the fines should be waived?
         Well because we have fixed the, we fixed the citations that
22
23
    were handed down to us.
         Now do you know other inspections and fines that were made
24
```

on rental properties in Pleasant Ridge since mid 2016?

А Mid-2016? 1 Since the middle of 2016, do you know about other fines 2 Q 3 that have issued to other landlords in Pleasant Ridge? I mean I have spoken with a couple of landlords who have 4 5 received fines, yes. And who are those that you have spoken to? 6 7 Jimmy Woods and Tom Brown. And what did they tell you happened to them regarding 8 9 fines? 10 They essential had told me that they had received the fines 11 and basically the same process as us and they just essential said they had to sell, they had no choice, it was either fix 12 13 your property up and pay the citations or you could sell to 14 redevelop, you could sell to Neace, which was at that time 15 Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment or whatever. 16 And was anyone offering to buy the properties other that 17 Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment, LLC? 18 That is just what they have told me, so they never told me 19 of anyone else no. So based on that experience this conversations that you 20 21 have had with the landlords is it your understanding that the Association's only options were to appeal the fines or to sell 22 23 the properties, so they wouldn't have to pay the fines? To the best of my understanding, that is the only options 24

25

that we had.

```
Q
         Now are you afraid that your own home where you live might
1
    be cited for code violations?
2
3
    Α
         Yes
         What is the basis for that fear?
 4
    0
5
         Based off the fact that the Mayor and, has said numerous
    times that it is an all or nothing deal.
 6
7
         And are you afraid that might involve daily fines for a
    list of violations?
8
9
         Yes
10
         What would you do if you received a daily fine like that?
11
         I would first appeal it, but I you know depending on how
12
    much it is, I may not be able to afford it.
13
         What personally have you gone through because of the City's
    efforts to try to redevelop Pleasant Ridge since beginning of
14
    2016?
         I mean, since the founding of the Neighborhood Association
16
17
    I mean I have spent numerous hours weekly, you know I spend
18
    twenty (20), thirty (30) hours a week working on stuff for the
19
    neighborhood and helping people throughout the neighborhood and
    trying to fight redevelopment because we all want to keep you
20
21
    know the majority of us want to keep our homes and so we formed
    the Neighborhood Association, I handle the day to day task of
22
23
    the Neighborhood Association and I work on people's property for
    them and personally I mean it takes time away from my child, it
24
```

takes time away from me and my kid as a single parent, it is

- 1 hard for me to raise a kid plus do this. Plus go to work fifty
- 2 (50) hours a week.
- 3 Q Let's go back very quickly to 2014, you were President of
- 4 | the Neighborhood Association, then?
- 5 A Yes
- 6 Q And we heard earlier about that there were some landlords
- 7 in 2014 that signed these letters of intent, do you remember
- 8 that?
- 9 A Yes
- 10 Q Uhm, did you hear from Mr. Jimmy Woods in 2014 about
- 11 whether he was going to sign a letter of intent or not?
- 12 A Jimmy Woods was in 2014 at that time part of the
- 13 Neighborhood Association and he had told us he that he had no
- 14 interest in signing a letter of intent, he was a member of the
- 15 Neighborhood Association.
- 16 Q And what happened to him after he refused to sign the
- 17 letter of intent?
- 18 A He was essentially fined by the City.
- 19 Q Were those the same fines we were discussing earlier with
- 20 Mr. Jackson?
- 21 A I believe so.
- 22 Q And what happened to Mr. Woods after that?
- 23 A He I mean he was essentially fined with them and then he
- 24 | signed the letter of intent to sell.
- 25 Q Do you object to the lawful enforcement of properties codes

```
as long as it is done equally and according to the law?
1
2
         No
3
              MR. ANTHHONY SANDERS: No further question Your Honor.
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION JOSH CRAVEN
 4
 5
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
         Mr. Craven why don't we pick up where you just left off.
 6
7
    You believe that people should maintain their property in
    accordance with the Property Maintenance Code?
8
9
         Yes
10
         And so if people don't maintain their property in
11
    accordance with the Property Maintenance Code you agree that
12
    there should be some consequent for that?
13
         Yes
         You believe in safe healthy housing?
14
    Q
         Would you want your daughter living in an unsafe, unhealthy
16
17
    house?
18
         No
19
         Are you aware of any provision in the City's Property
    Maintenance Code that requires people to do anything other than
20
21
    keep their homes or their properties safe and healthy?
         Can you please, I mean I don't understand what you are
22
23
    trying to say there.
         The Property Maintenance Code are you familiar with the
24
25
    City's Property Maintenance Code?
```

А I have seen it, yes. 1 2 And you are familiar with what it requires? Q 3 Α Yes That people maintain their properties>? 4 Q 5 Yes And you think that is a good, good thing to maintain their 6 7 properties? Yes 8 9 That people be required to maintain their properties up to 10 some minimum standards? 11 Yes Why do you think that is a good ideal? 12 13 I mean, you never want to somebody let their house just go 14 to the dumps. 15 Any other reasons? I mean just like you have said, I mean you want to live in 16 17 a safe environment, you want to know that people are living you know keeping up with their properties, I mean I don't want my 18 19 neighbor over here trashing their property next to mine. You don't want you neighbor's house catching on fire? 20 21 I wouldn't want my neighbor's house to catch on fire. And you don't want yours catching on fire? 22 23 Α No Okay do you think that people who violate the Property 24 25 Maintenance Code should be coerced into obeying the Property

```
Maintenance Code?
         What do, what do you mean by coerced?
2
3
         Do you think there should be some negative consequence if
    people don't adhere to the Code?
4
 5
         I mean if they are neglecting it, then yes.
         Okay, and by neglecting it what do you mean?
 6
7
         Well I mean if someone is, if you come to someone's
    property and a building inspector looks at their property and
8
9
    finds a citation, there should be a certain time frame to fix
10
    the citation, if they decide they don't want to fix the citation
11
    and they decide they want to neglect the system, then I have no
    issues with being, with fining someone.
12
13
         Do you think that landlords should be held to a higher
14
    standard than land, that home owners that occupy their own
    properties?
16
         I think we should all be held to the same standard.
17
         Okay, so do you think that landlords should be required to
18
    keep their properties safe?
19
    Α
         Yes
         And if they don't there should be consequences?
20
    0
21
         But you think they deserve a warning before there should be
22
23
    any consequences?
         I believe everyone should be treated equally.
24
```

25

Q

Okay, do you think that people should maintain their

property before they are warned? 1 2 Like I said, I believe everyone should maintain their 3 property. Do you think they should do that without having to be 4 5 warned first? Well I mean some people just don't know if they have, the 6 7 way the codes are set, I mean you don't know, if a simple piece of lattice could get you a fine, then a lot of people have a 8 9 simple piece of broken lattice or things of that nature, I mean 10 if it something drastically broken then yeah I firmly believe 11 they should fix it. Why did you again say the Association acquired the property 12 13 on Riley Avenue? 14 To help with the, you know we wanted to save the property 15 and we wanted to be able to bring in a better revenue for the Neighborhood Association, so we could do other things throughout 16 17 the neighborhood and help improve the neighborhood. So it is a revenue stream? 18 19 No not necessarily. Okay then how is owning the property going to help you do 20 21 other things in the neighborhood? Once again I said, it is a revenue stream but not the only 22 23 purpose of it no.

Okay but it is a revenue stream?

24

25

Α

It is yes.

- 2 Association had money in the bank, is that right?
- 3 A Yes
- 4 Q And did you tell me that was about \$6,000.00 dollars?
- 5 A At the time, yes.
- 6 Q Okay, what did the Association do prior to the time that it
- 7 rented the property out to insure that the provisions of the
- 8 Property Maintenance Code had been adhered to?
- 9 A I have been down there, I have worked on that property for
- 10 months and I went through there and like I said Mr. Jackson had
- 11 came there and when that last time he left there he said, you
- 12 know I specifically told him, I'm putting dry wall up and I am
- 13 getting ready to rent it out, and he said that is fine.
- 14 Q Are you familiar with the At Risk Residential Rental
- 15 | Property Inspection Ordinance that we have been talking about?
- 16 A Yes
- 17 Q Are you familiar with the provision that allows you to
- 18 request that the building commissioner come down and inspect the
- 19 home, prior to the time that it is inspected for fines?
- 20 A I was not familiar with the fact that you could call the
- 21 | building inspector and have him come down there and inspect it,
- 22 no, not familiar with that.
- 23 Q You are new in the landlord business?
- 24 A Yes this is the first time we have done this, yes.
- 25 | Q Okay, so you are not really an expert in this field?

```
2
         Do you think it is a good ideal to rent homes that are
    Q
3
    unhealthy and unsafe?
 4
         No
5
         Okay, now it is my understanding that the Association has
    not appealed the violations but only the fines, is that correct?
 6
7
    Α
         Yes
         You don't deny that the violations existed?
8
    Q
9
    Α
         No
10
         Okay, you characterized the work to the foundation to minor
11
    violations what did you mean by that?
         Well is it would have been a major ugh, issue then a
12
13
    structural engineer even told me that day that he would have
    deemed it unsafe and you can't live here, but he even in one of
14
15
    the papers that he had given us like a sixty (60) day time frame
    to fix one specific thing.
16
17
         He gave you sixty (60) days?
         He had said you know that you could give sixty (60) days,
18
19
    up on sixty (60) days upon his request.
         Okay what did you have to do to repair the foundation?
20
21
         Uhm, there was a few pier blocks that were I guess one of
    them was turned the wrong way and then there was a couple that
22
23
    had cracks I believe, I'm not actually for sure but I know we
    just ended up replacing upwards of five (5) or six (6) of the
24
25
    piers, and that was basically at my request, I said it just may
```

1 A

No

- 1 | be easier to just completely replace the pier foundation right
- 2 there on that spot.
- 3 Q And just to clarify this is not a structure that has a
- 4 tradition foundation all around the edge of the house, is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A It has a pier foundation.
- 7 Q And tell the Judge what that is.
- 8  $\mid$  A It is an essentially a 24-32 inch foundation poured into
- 9 the ground, you know structural foundation poured it is I
- 10 | believe it is 16 or 12 by 12 and then you place your pier on top
- 11 of that, your connected that together through mortar mix and
- 12 stuff and that is how your house sets on top of that.
- 13 Q So when you say pier is that like a pole or something that
- 14 the house just sits on?
- 15 A No it is a concrete pier.
- 16 Q Right, but it doesn't go all the way around the edge of the
- 17 house?
- 18 A No they are about eight (8) feet apart.
- 19 Q Okay, when you went before the Board of Public Works to
- 20 appeal the fines you said you went back 2-3 times, is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A Like I said, I believe it was 2-3 times or 2-3 meetings.
- 23 Q And the first time you appeared there did the Board express
- 24 some concerns because the title of the property was not in the
- 25 name of the Association?

- 1 | A They had express some concerns a far as they didn't
- 2 understand who was the owner of the property, yes.
- 3 Q Right and they asked you to provide some proof of that.
- 4 A Yes
- 5 Q And later you recorded the deed and provided that proof.
- 6 A We was in the process of already having the deed recorded.
- 7 Q Okay, and then you asked that the matter be postponed a
- 8 least once maybe twice, is the right.
- 9 A I believe once because of my work.
- 10 Q Okay, and ultimately the Board choose not to waive the
- 11 fines.
- 12 A Ultimately the Board choose not to waive the fines, yes.
- 13 Q But in accordance with Mr. Jackson's testimony do you
- 14 acknowledge that the first ten (10) days of the fines were not
- 15 imposed?
- 16 A I was never specify told what days of what were fined, I
- 17 know a one point when we came there the number I believe close
- 18 to \$20,000 dollars in fines and then upon the last time we left
- 19 there when they had said the Board of Public Works would not
- 20 waive the fines it totaled \$8,950.00 dollars, whatever it is
- 21 here in the complaint.
- 22 Q Why did you do the repairs to the house?
- 23 A Because we want to keep it.
- Q Okay, and what were you afraid would happen if you didn't
- 25 do the repairs?

- 1 | A Well I believe that, like I said before I mean I believe
- 2 | that we would have had to sell the property, if you know the
- 3 | plan all along here was for the fines we couldn't get them
- 4 waived, then they would inforce the fines and the private
- 5 developer would then come in and try to buy the property from
- 6 us. We chose to fix the property because we wanted to keep the
- 7 property.
- 8 Q Okay and why did you not fix the problems before the
- 9 inspection was done?
- 10 A Because like I said earlier I was in the process if fixing
- 11 | I came down there every weekend and during the week and had been
- 12 working on it.
- 13 Q Okay, You knew rental properties were being inspected,
- 14 right?
- 15 A Yes
- 16 Q And did you rent it out before you had completed the
- 17 repairs?
- 18 A We had on end rented out in I believe January or February
- 19 of 2016 before the rental inspection program.
- 20 Q Okay
- 21 A And then yes we did, when Tony Jackson left and told me it
- 22 was okay to rent out, then I had someone come in and we found a
- 23 renter.
- 24 Q Did you get something in writing from Mr. Jackson, like a
- 25 certificate of occupancy or a letter or anything that says what

- 1 | you just testified to, that he told you it was okay?
- 2 A When you get a building permit he has to sign off on the
- 3 | building permit, for the electrical and plumbing, in order to
- 4 get electrical hooked up he has to sign off on that.
- 5 Q Right
- 6 A He had approved that, he went through that whole process
- 7 | with Duke and then that is how that happened.
- 8 Q So because he signed your electrical permit and your
- 9 plumbing permit you thought the whole house was okay?
- 10 A That is not the reason I felt that when he left the
- 11 property I specifically told him what I was going to do, I said
- 12 I'm going to put the drywall up now, finishing this flooring and
- 13 | for the most part I will be done and is it okay to rent out, and
- 14 Mr. Jackson words was yes.
- 15 Q Okay, so you said a minute ago you have no problem with
- 16 people being required to maintain their properties?
- 17 A I have no problem with that.
- 18 Q And you don't have any problem with people being fined if
- 19 they don't maintain their properties?
- 20 A Like I said I, I believe that someone should be given a
- 21 specific amount of time to fix their property.
- 22 Q Do you think the law should say that if they come inspect
- 23 no matter what is wrong, that people should have time to fix it
- 24 before they have to repair it?
- 25 A Yes

Q Okay so what is to prevent landlords from just letting 1 their properties run down until the inspector comes by? 2 3 I, I can't speak for that, I don't know. You have lived in Pleasant Ridge for quite some time? 4 Q 5 Yes How has it changed from the time when you were young, are 6 7 there more people or less people living there? Well today there is drastically less people. 8 9 Okay but even three (3) years ago, were there more or less people? 10 11 Ugh, I mean maybe a little less I don't know a number, but I mean it has not changed a lot. 12 13 Fewer structures there than there used to be? 14 Well just over the years, I mean a few have caught on fire and things of that nature. Quite of few have caught on fire, haven't they? 16 17 I, I don't an exact number. 18 Well I did not ask for an exact number but you know there 19 has been a number of them that have caught on fire, is that 20 correct. 21 MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Objection this is outside the scope of redirect or cross examination. 22 MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Your Honor he talked about 23

Mr. Craven being familiar with the Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood

and I'm just trying to establish whether he really is.

24

```
1
              THE COURT: I will allow it, but be careful being to
2
    argumentative Mr. Gillenwater, I get your point.
3
         Okay,
    Q
         Can you repeat the question?
4
    Α
5
         There have been quite a few fires there haven't there?
         I mean I can't give you a number, I don't know actually
 6
7
    what you mean by quite a few but.
         Okay, there used to be some commercial business up there?
8
    Q
9
    Α
         Yes
10
         Sweet Shop and some other places?
    Q
11
    Α
         Yes
         And those are all closed down?
12
    Q
13
    Α
         Yes
         The houses generally are in worst condition than they used
14
15
    to be, that when you were young?
         Uhm, I mean well today a lot of them are boarded up.
16
17
         And that has changed?
         Well there wasn't that many of them boarded up there was
18
19
    maybe three (3) back then.
         Do you believe that there is more or less crime when you
20
21
    were a child, or do you know?
         That I do not know I can't
22
23
         I am about to go beyond the scope of direct, I can stop now
    or I can recall him your choice.
24
25
              MR. ANTHONY SANDRS: Your Honor we only have a couple
```

```
1
    of hours left here so how about we do our last witness and then
2
    they can call Mr. Carven.
3
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: That would be great.
              THE COURT: That is fine, we will do that, do you have
4
5
    another witness? Mr. Gillenwater how many witness do you
    anticipate.
 6
7
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Three (3) Your Honor,
    counting Mr. Craven if I recall him.
8
9
              THE COURT: Okay
              MR. JEFFERY REDFERN: I would call Ellen Keith.
10
11
              THE COURT: Very well, could I have you raise your
    right hand do you solemnly swear that the testimony that you are
12
13
    to give to be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
14
    truth.
15
              THE WITNESS-ELLEN KEITH: Yes Sir.
              THE COURT: Be sure you speak up.
16
17
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION- ELLEN KEITH
              MR. JEFFERY REDFERN:
18
19
         Mrs. Keith can you state your full name for the record.
         Ellen Beatrice Keith
20
21
         And what is your occupation Mrs. Keith?
         I'm a beautician.
22
23
    Q
         Is that a full time job?
24
    Α
         No
25
    Q
         And where do you live?
```

- 1 A 101 Clark Road in Pleasant Ridge Subdivision.
- 2 Q And how long have you lived on Clark Road?
- 3 A Forty (40) years.
- 4 Q Do you have a mortgage on your house?
- 5 A No
- 6 Q What family has lived in the house over the years?
- 7 A My daughter, my son, my husband and myself.
- 8 Q Ugh, do you take good care of your house?
- 9 A Yes
- 10 Q Have you made any improvements over the years?
- 11 A Many
- 12 Q What are some of the improvements that you have made?
- 13 A We totally remodeled the inside of our house, new carpet,
- 14 re-did our kitchen, new bathroom, siding.
- 15 Q Ugh, did you ever have to take out a loan to make any of
- 16 those improvements?
- 17 A Yes
- 18 Q When you took out that loan were you worried about whether
- 19 you would be able to re-coop your investment, down the road?
- 20 A No
- 21 Q Why not?
- 22 A We didn't plan to move?
- 23 Q So you like your house?
- 24 A I love my house.
- 25 Q Why?

```
1 | A It's home, it is not a house it is my home, we raised our
```

- 2 children there, our families have come there for family
- 3 gatherings, my husband have put blood, sweat and tears into that
- 4 home.
- 5 Q Let's talk about Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood, do you like
- 6 living in Pleasant Ridge?
- 7 A I do.
- 8 Q Why?
- 9 A I love my neighbors, my daughter lives next door, we have
- 10 grandchildren living next door, we have the perfect setup.
- 11 Q Have you spoken to any people who have previously owned
- 12 | rental property in Pleasant Ridge but who have sold that
- 13 property in the last year?
- 14 A Yes
- 15 Q Who did you speak to?
- 16 A Jimmy Woods
- 17 Q And changing topic ugh, has the neighborhood changed in
- 18 recent months?
- 19 A In recent months it has.
- 20 Q Can you describe some of those changes?
- 21 A Grown up properties, trash in the yards, doors and windows
- 22 torn off the houses, siding tore off, boarded up houses.
- 23 Q When you say ugh, grown up you mean the grasses have gotten
- 24 long.
- 25 A Yes

```
Q
         Any issues with pests?
1
         Yes we have had two (2) neighbors that have had snakes in
2
3
    their house and we have one (1) neighbor that have had a rat in
    their house.
4
5
         That is a change from before that is worse than it was from
    before in terms of pests?
 6
7
         We have never seen that before.
         Never seen that before. Ugh, have you spoken to anyone in
8
9
    government about the state of the neighborhood?
10
         I have.
11
         Who did you speak to?
         The Health, The County Health Department.
12
13
         Ugh, and what did the official at the County Health
14
    Department say?
15
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I am going to object your
    Honor to hearsay from the County Health Department official
16
17
    unless he is here.
              THE COURT: This is a preliminary injunction we have
18
    had an awful lot of hearsay already I'm going to allow it.
19
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Okay
20
21
         Ugh, sorry what did the official at the County Health
    Department say?
22
23
         That they were inspecting the properties.
         And did the County Health Department do anything about
24
```

these properties?

```
1 A I believe he has got ahold of Mr. Jackson.
```

- 2 Q Okay, did County issue any citations?
- 3 A Uhm, I am not really sure about that I don't think they
- 4 have I think they told the City that those properties need to be
- 5 cleaned up.
- 6 Q Could you take a look at Volume two (2) Tab twenty-one
- 7 (21), may I approach.
- 8 THE COURT: Yes you may.
- 9 Q What is this?
- 10 A This is emails from Brandon Perkins from the Health
- 11 Department.
- 12 Q And are you familiar with there?
- 13 A I am, they were sent to me.
- 14 Q They were sent to you?
- 15 A Yes
- 16 Q And what did they say.
- 17 A That he has looked at these properties and sent
- 18 notification to Mr. Jackson.
- 19 Q Okay, and these properties let's make sure we are clear
- 20 here, these are the properties that have been purchased by the
- 21 redeveloper?
- 22 A Yes Sir.
- 23 Q There is one more thing I want to ask you about, has the
- 24 Mayor ever been to your house??
- 25 A Yes

```
Q
         When was that?
1
         In the summer of 2014.
2
    Α
3
    Q
         Why did the Mayor come to your house?
         To try to convince us to get on plan with the redevelopment
4
5
    of Pleasant Ridge, to sell our homes.
         Did he convince you?
 6
7
    Α
         No
         Did you discuss redevelopment?
8
    Q
9
    Α
         He did.
10
         What did you understand redevelopment to mean?
11
         He told us he was going to take all the properties in
    Pleasant Ridge.
12
13
         He was going to take all the properties in Pleasant Ridge
    and you told him that you were not onboard with that plan?
14
         Did the Mayor tell you how the properties were going to be
16
17
    acquired?
         First by fines and then my husband asked him what about
18
19
    eminent domain and that would happen if, you know if they
    couldn't get them any other way.
20
21
         So the Mayor said, that fines were going to be used as a
    tool to help acquire properties as part of the development plan?
22
23
    Α
         Yes
```

25

Q

Α

No

Have anyone from the City ever asked to inspect your house

```
Q
         Are you worried that they will?
1
2
         Yes
    Α
3
    Q
         Your house is in such good shape then why are you worried.
         They will find something wrong.
 4
 5
              MR. JEFFERY REDFERN: No further questions.
              THE COURT: Questions for her?
 6
7
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Thank you Your Honor.
8
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION- ELLEN KEITH
9
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
10
         Ms. Keith ugh, regarding the complaints to the Health
11
    Department, did you make those complaints?
         I did.
12
13
    Q
         Okay, and what was the nature of the complaints again?
         Trash in yards, furniture in the yards, grown-up grass,
14
15
    weeds all over the place, snakes, rats.
16
         Have you seen snakes?
17
         I haven't but there are two (2) people here that have.
18
         Have you seen rats?
19
    Α
         Personally no.
         Okay, now you have lived in Pleasant Ridge for a long time
20
21
    and would you acknowledge that a lot of the homes up there are
    rental homes?
22
23
    Α
         Yes
         And a lot of people move in and out, pretty frequently?
24
    Q
25
    Α
         No
```

```
Okay, in the past that people have often times left
1
    furniture and others items laying on the side of road up there?
2
3
         I haven't really seen a lot of that.
         Okay, and how long have you lived there?
 4
    0
 5
         Forty (40) years.
         Okay and ugh, now isn't it true that you have addressed the
 6
7
    City Council before or was it your Husband that did that.
         My Husband.
8
9
         Okay, when we took your deposition just a week or two (2)
10
    ago and you were relating this discussion that you had with the
11
    Mayor, you didn't mention anything about him saying that the
    City was going to oppose fines, did you?
12
13
         It didn't really come to mind at that time.
         Okay and when did it come to your mind?
14
15
         After I talked to you.
16
         After the deposition?
17
         Yes
18
         Okay,
19
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I have no other questions,
    thank You.
20
21
              THE COURT: Anything else for her?
                     REDIRECT EXAMINATION-ELLEN KEITH
22
23
              MR. JEFFERY REDFERN:
         Just one more question, at your depositions, were you
24
25
    specifically asked whether the Mayor said that he was going to
```

```
use fines?
1
2
         No
3
              MR. JEFFERY REDFERN: No further questions.
              THE COURT: Hang on, are you done?
 4
5
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Yes Your Honor I'm finished.
 6
              THE COURT: Alright, Ms. Keith, thank you.
7
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Your Honor Plaintiffs rest our
8
    case.
9
              THE COURT: Thank you very much. Mr. Gillenwater.
10
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Thank you Your Honor, I
11
    would like to re-call Josh Craven.
              THE COURT: Alright, Mr. Craven I would remind you,
12
13
    you are still under oath.
                 DIRECT EXAMINATION- JOSH CRAVEN
14
15
              THE COURT: You are still under Oath.
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Do you have some water, do
16
17
    you need some?
              THE WITNESS: I would like some water.
18
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: There you go.
19
20
         Mr. Craven ugh, you have lived in Pleasant Ridge for quite
21
    a while, is that right?
         Yes
22
         And you have referred to it many times as the Projects, is
23
24
    that right?
25
         Up in till 2014, yes.
```

- 1 Q Okay, and everybody did?
- 2 A I can't say everybody but.
- 3 Q But a lot of people did?
- 4 A Yes
- 5 Q And you didn't mean anything bad by it did you?
- 6 A No
- 7 Q So if somebody from the City had referred to it in that way
- 8 did you take offence?
- 9 A Up until 2014, no.
- 10 Q And after that you did?
- 11 A Given the meaning of what City was trying make it out to be
- 12 yes.
- 13 Q Okay, in your deposition I think you estimated about
- 14 seventy-five percent (75%) of the Pleasant Ridge used to be
- 15 rental homes is that right?
- 16 A I said approximately seventy-five (75), yes.
- 17 Q Right, right, and ugh, has that increased or decreased
- 18 | since you first lived there as far as the percentage of rental
- 19 homes?
- 20 A As I sit here right now, I mean I don't know actually.
- 21 Q Was it uncommon for you as you went through Pleasant Ridge
- 22 to see furniture and other discarded items laying on the side of
- 23 the street?
- 24 A I mean I have seen it over the years, but nowhere near what
- 25 it is now.

```
commission as the President of the Neighborhood Association?
2
3
    Α
         Yes
         And you remember the purpose of that invitation?
 4
    Q
 5
         I believe it was to present a plan, is that what you are?
 6
         Yes
7
    Α
         Then yes.
         To present a plan for what?
8
    Q
9
         I, you guys had, well the redevelopment commission had
10
    stepped forth and said they wanted to see what our plan for the
11
    neighborhood was essentially.
         And do you recall the plan that you put forth?
12
13
         I didn't put forth an exact plan, that day.
         Well not that day but you came back, you asked for sixty
14
15
    (60) to ninety (90) days, is that right?
16
         Yes
17
         And you came back about ninety (90) days later.
         It was something around that time frame, yes.
18
19
         And you remember the proposals that you made to the
    Redevelopment Commission?
20
21
         The plan that I purposed to them was that we wanted to have
```

Okay, where you invited to come before the redevelopment

1

22

24

25

a sit down meeting and come to, you know try to work this thing

together and try to revitalize the neighborhood and I had

specifically had mentioned the word revitalization.

And what did you mean by that?

```
Α
         Help to fix up the homes that are there, come to, you know,
1
    come to a better understanding, help you know, revitalization of
2
3
    the neighborhood, a more beautification not redevelopment.
         And would that include improving the roadways?
 4
5
         I've never, we have never talked about the roadways, I
    don't believe.
 6
7
         Did you give a specific plan?
         I didn't give a specific plan, no.
8
9
         Did the Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood Association present a
10
    proposal to, to refurbish the homes up there?
11
         When I went to the, the Board of, the meeting there that
    day that was asked, when I got to that meeting, I had entered, I
12
13
    came in there with Greg Sekula of Indiana Landmarks, and also
14
    came there with Lisa Thompson from New Direction Housing and
15
    they, Greg Sekula had given us a grant for a study and that was
16
    presented to the Board of Public, the Board that day.
17
         I would like you to turn to the blue binder.
18
         This one or black?
19
    Q
         The one on your right, would you mind please turning to Tab
    P?
20
21
         P as in police, do you recognize that document?
22
    Q
23
    Α
         Yes
```

It is the home study that was done from the grant money

What is that?

24

25

Q

Α

- 1 | that we received thru Indiana Landmark.
- 2 Q And this was presented by the Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood
- 3 Association?
- 4 A I actually believe Mr. Sekula presented it that day.
- 5 Q Okay, but it says by the Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood
- 6 Association, is that misleading?
- 7 A It does say that, I did not present this personally there
- 8 | that day, Mr. Sekula personally presented it you but it was
- 9 during our meeting, I think that is where the misconception was.
- 10 Q Okay but he was there on your invitation?
- 11 A Yes
- 12 Q Okay, to present this as an alternative?
- 13 A He was here to present this as a finding of the, what he
- 14 got with the grant money study that we had done, yes.
- 15 Q Okay, Now do you recall the redevelopment commissioners
- 16 asking you how you felt about doing property maintenance code
- 17 enforcement?
- 18 A I don't specifically recall, no but I don't specifically
- 19 recall it exactly what they asked.
- 20 Q Well they asked about code enforcement and you refused to
- 21 answer didn't you?
- 22 A It wasn't that I refused to answer.
- 23 Q I think your exact words were, I plead the fifth  $(5^{th})$  on
- 24 that.
- 25 A No I believe I had answered it that as long as it was done

```
fairly and then it was asked again and I said as long as it was
1
    done fairly and then they asked one more time and then I said {\tt I}
2
3
    plead the fifth (5^{th}).
         Okay,
 4
5
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I have no other questions
    for the witness Your Honor.
 6
7
              THE COURT: Alright, do you have any re-direct or
    cross?
8
9
                      CROSS-EXAMINATION-JOSH CRAVENS
10
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Just one question Your Honor.
11
         Mr. Craven when you said that I plead the fifth (5^{th}), was
    that because you were tired of being asked the same question?
12
13
         Yes, I plead the fifth (5th) because I was going to get
14
    nowhere.
15
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Thanks, that is all the
16
    questions I have.
17
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
                     REDIRECT EXAMINATION-JOSH CRAVEN
18
19
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
         Mr. Craven during any meeting, public meeting, well, let
20
21
    back up and lay a foundation, you appeared in many public
    meetings and spoken out on this issue, haven't you?
22
23
         Yes
         And during any of those meetings have you suggested to the
24
25
    City Council and or the Mayor that the City hold landlords
```

```
MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Objection Your Honor, this is
2
3
    outside the scope of re-direct.
              THE COURT: I understand your objection but I will go
4
5
    ahead and permit it and let him open that back up.
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
 6
7
         During any of those meetings that you spoke at did you ask
    the Mayor and or the City Council or suggest to them that they
8
9
    hold landlords accountable for the poor condition of their
10
    rental properties?
11
         Yes
12
         Okay do you think that is something that should still be
13
    done?
14
         Yes as I stated earlier, yes.
15
         Okay and you have acknowledged publically that there are
    problems in Pleasant Ridge?
16
17
         There are some problems yes.
         And what problems are you talking about when you say that?
18
19
         Well what I mean by that is the City has made it out to be
    way worse than it really is in my opinion. I don't believe that
20
    the crime rate is anywhere near fifty percent (50%) and that is
21
    just my personal belief from being in the neighborhood and
22
23
    living there I don't believe that you know the some of the
    complaints the City had towards the neighborhood I don't believe
24
25
    that it is that bad of an neighborhood, but if you ask me today
```

accountable for the poor condition of their rental properties?

```
is it bad neighborhood, one hundred and forty (140) properties
1
2
    that Mr. Neace owns aren't the it has drove the neighborhood
3
    down.
         What do you mean it has drove the neighborhood down, what
4
5
    do you mean by that I don't think I understand?
         Well as I have said earlier when I was growing up I can't
 6
7
    remember maybe possibility maybe three (3) homes being boarded
    up if that, and now you come into the neighborhood and you can't
8
9
    go three house without seeing one (1) or two (2) duplex homes
10
    boarded up.
11
         Okay and you think makes the neighborhood worse?
12
         Yes
13
    Q
         In what way?
         Well I mean when you drive through the neighborhood and all
14
15
    you see is nothing but boarded up homes, I mean just, just the
16
    appearance alone is going to drive the neighborhood, you know it
17
    is going to drive the visual aspect of the neighborhood down, it
18
    is going to drive people's morale down.
19
         What if all those homes were taken down would that improve
    things?
20
21
         Ugh, I, I believe so, yes.
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I have no other questions
22
23
    for Mr. Craven Your Honor.
              THE COURT: You have any other questions.
24
25
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No further questions, Your
```

```
Honor.
1
              THE COURT: Thank you Mr. Craven you may step down.
2
3
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I would like to call Mr.
4
    Jackson.
 5
              THE COURT: I think he is back in the hallway again.
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION-MICHAEL JACKSON
 6
7
              THE COURT: Mr. Jackson I will remind you, you took an
    oath earlier and we consider still under oath.
8
9
              THE WITNESS: Yes Sir.
10
              THE COURT: Alright, thank you.
11
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
12
         Thank you Mr. Jackson for coming back I'm going to move
13
    pretty quickly, uhm, what responsibilities do you have with the
14
    City of Charlestown, as you employer?
15
         I am the Building Commissioner, the Code enforcement
16
    Officer, planning and zoning, and various other small jobs.
17
         And have you attended any classes or gotten any
18
    certifications that allow you to do all of those things?
19
         Yes Sir I have training certificates in home inspections,
    residential inspections such as framing and plumbing, HCAC,
20
21
    structure, and I have training certificates in regular
    commercial buildings, I have a training certificate in property
22
23
    maintenance code enforcement, and I have an license in asbestos
    inspections and asbestos removal to provisions.
24
         And how long have you been functioning in these capacities?
25
```

- 1 | A I functioned from 2000 to 2004 in the City of Charlestown
- 2 and then I went in the same capacity in the City of Salem from
- 3 2004 to 2008, then I came back to Charlestown and been back
- 4 since 2008 to present day.
- 5 Q So you have been doing this for a number of years?
- 6 A Yes Sir
- 7 Q Are you familiar with the differences in homes that were
- 8 built in different eras of our Country's existence during your
- 9 life time?
- 10 A Yes Sir
- 11 Q You prepared a document, did you prepare a document for the
- 12 | City of Charlestown Redevelopment Commission regarding Pleasant
- 13 Ridge?
- 14 A Yes I did.
- 15  $\mid$  Q  $\mid$  If you would I would like you to take a look at Tab number
- 16 K, do you recognize that document?
- 17 A Let me put my glasses back on, yes Sir.
- 18 Q What is that?
- 19 A That is a document I prepared for the Board which ugh,
- 20 speaks about the neighborhood in itself, Pleasant Ridge
- 21 Neighborhood.
- 22 Q Is there anything peculiar or different about Pleasant
- 23 Ridge compared to the rest of the City or other areas that you
- 24 worked in?
- 25 A Yes Sir, the Pleasant Ridge Neighborhood was built for the

```
Amination Plant, from what we have been told, what was supposed
1
2
    to have occurred was a an issue with not enough housing so a
3
    gentlemen was sent down by the name of Steve who requested more
    housing and there was a plan in place, a set of plans, which I
4
5
    have the originals in my office, that actually called for better
    housing, ugh, he submitted the plan in November if 1941 and in
 6
7
    December it got kicked back because of the war and so what
    happened was they had to come up with a way of housing the
8
9
    people working at Powder Plant and so the came up with the
10
    Gunnison Homes and it had to be made out of materials, due to
11
    the lack of materials for the war effort, it had to be made out
12
    of some substandard materials.
13
         And you use the words Gunnison Home and what do you mean by
14
    that?
15
         A Gunnison style home is a, more of a, it was designed for
16
    the war barracks now you will see a lot of that and that is more
17
    of a temporary style home. There are some homes that they
18
    didn't make at Gunnison in the factories that were assembled but
19
    it was along the same line except in this case they had to use
    less, lesser standard materials and were built to not last very
20
21
    long.
         What is the difference between a Gunnison Home such as were
22
23
    built in Charlestown and a regular stick built home that would
    have been built in the same time period?
24
         Well they are modular style homes that were brought in on
25
```

```
2
    (4) sections and then the houses were raised from the frames,
3
    now their frames the wood that they used in those houses that
    were used in those houses were thinner than a normal house
4
5
    because of the wood efforts, war efforts, so they were cut down
    pretty good, those frames were mostly around 2 and 3/4 inch
 6
7
    instead of a standard 3 and 7/8 inch.
         Any other differences?
8
         Yeah the pier systems that Gunnison had brought as
9
10
    representative of ugh, trying to fix the problems, they were
11
    brought in with a pier system, so when those floors were placed
    they were placed on piers so of the piers ugh were just there
12
13
    and some of the other piers had actual tie downs on them and
14
    what I find a lot of times is that those tie downs have rusted
15
    or missing nails.
         When you are talking about piers what are you talking
16
17
    about, describe that for the Judge?
18
         Well a normal house would have a foundation around it that
19
    is attached, the house sets on that foundation and bolted to
    that foundation, so the whole house has weight on that. The
20
21
    pier system the house generally sits just on those pier points
    the particular case up there what has happened is the where the
22
23
    pier points are the wood that they used back then was good wood
    but they didn't have all the pressure treated so what happen
24
25
    they start to bow a little bit. The other problem up there that
```

trucks and placed, the floor system was bolted together in four

```
also exists is that those houses were built without any kind of
1
2
    -, any kind of vapor barrier underneath, so a lot of those
3
    houses had oak floors and they were nice oak floors but the
    paper type thin insulation that was under them gave away and
4
5
    then the water damage and damp has went into the wood and we
    have a severe problem with a lot of the buckling of the floors.
 6
7
         Were those home insulated like regular homes?
         No, they used 99% glass from Mansfield, and it was a quick
8
9
    fix to try to get them there. Over the years that glass has
10
    rather fell off from underneath and in the sides it has
11
    deteriorated and become very thin, because it is a glass and
12
    glass doesn't last like that.
13
         Are the walls as thick as a regular home?
14
         No, no the wall when they were constructed like I said they
15
    were constructed with, they were cut down, the inside, on the
16
    inside was a panel board placed which was a type of marine
17
    plywood in a 4 \times 8 sheet, and the marine plywood has some issues
18
    with it. The paneling on the outside, so then you had the
19
    frame, then you had outside you had the insulation on the
    outside and then you had another thin sheet of plywood which was
20
21
    not, the problem was the plywood was not weather resistant and
    today you will find a bunch of those walls, that is why the
22
23
    walls bow and separate and then on the outside of that was a
24
    fiber type covering.
25
         What about the roofs were they built like standard roofs?
```

- 1 A No they were built with the same type of, some of them were
- 2 built with the same type of wood framing and a lot of them were
- 3 on four (4) foot centers, National did put some on two (2) foot
- 4 centers but for the most part those were T (3) threes, for the
- 5 most part T(1) ones and T(2) twos were built on four (4) inch
- 6 centers, I 'm sorry four foot centers.
- 7 Q Were most of those homes built the same year?
- 8 A Yes Sir, they were for the war effort, so they were
- 9 originally constructed, they were constructed there was a
- 10 tornado and that is how National got involved and then stated
- 11 | construction, it was an ongoing project.
- 12 | Q | And there was a couple of different contractors that
- 13 constructed these?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q Assembled these?
- 16 A National and Gunnison
- 17 Q How long did it take to put a Gunnison home up?
- 18 A For the most part they tried to get one up in a day, a day
- 19 and one half, but they could take up to three (3) days.
- 20 Q And so these were bolt together homes?
- 21 A Yes they were bolted, the floor system was bolted, then a
- 22 ban board was placed around the walls and the floor system to
- 23 hold it together, that's where we get a lot of problems with
- 24 that ban board failing.
- 25 Q Were these single family residences?

units, there were a few single family residences, but I think 2 3 they were for officers, I don't know why they had a couple of single family residences, the majority of them were duplex 4 5 units. How many square feet were inside, were they all the same? 6 7 There are three (3) types of, T-1 which are the smaller ones, T-2 which is two (2) bedroom and a T-3 which is a three(3) 8 9 bedroom, but yeah, they are primarily the same except the 10 smaller ones, yes. 11 And how many square feet would that be? Around 1,100 square feet. 12 13 Q Is that for both units? 14 Yeah So about 1,100, that would be 550 foot more or less per 16 unit. 17 Yes, pretty much. And each unit and some of the units have two (2) bedrooms 18 19 in them and some of them have one (1)? That is correct, yeah. 20 21 Did they have forced air or heat? No, when they were constructed they constructed with two 22 23 (2) types of heat. One (1) of course was the fuel oil stove

No they were originally, majority of them were duplex

1

24

25

which is where the asbestos, the asbestos problems are and the

other one was they had some of them had coal heat.

- 1 A Do they have asbestos in them?
- 2 A Yes Sir they do.
- 3 Q All of them?
- 4 A There are some that it's been remove, like when somebody
- 5 has replaced the heating and air, they might remove some of it,
- 6 and for the most part from what I have found from doing the
- 7 asbestos inspections I would say about ninety, about ninety
- 8 percent (90%) still have asbestos in them.
- 9 Q Were they originally constructed with lead based paint in
- 10 them?
- 11 A Yes Sir, the original paint was done in a factory in
- 12 | Charlestown and The Colonial Paint Factory and what had happened
- 13 was the paint was came in vibrant colors, like blue, pink, and
- 14 red and stuff like that and that was lead based paint.
- 15 |Q Is the pier system as durable as ugh, typical foundation
- 16 system?
- 17 A No Sir.
- 18 Q Do the homes last as long on a pier system as a typical
- 19 foundation?
- 20 A No Sir.
- 21 Q Are there other homes that were built in Charlestown about
- 22 the same time as the Gunnison were assembled up there in
- 23 Pleasant Ridge?
- 24 A Yes Sir.
- 25 Q Ugh, and if you could, would compare the conditions how

```
would that be?
         For the most part the homes the other homes built outside
2
3
    of Pleasant Ridge are more contractual sound because they don't
    have a lot of the failings that a pier system home would have,
4
5
    and homes without vapor barriers.
         How many, if you could guess, how many of these Gunnison
 6
7
    homes have you inspected?
         Roughly over one hundred (100).
8
9
         Okay, have you seen any common problems in the Gunnison
10
    homes?
11
         Yes Sir I have, the electrical service when they built the
    electrical service the original service was a sixty (60) amp
12
13
    service because all they need were lights. And a lot of people
14
    have upgraded and they have changed the panels out without
15
    changing the system, so therefore it is an ungrounded system
    which is fine if you only use the two (2) prong outlet. Of
16
17
    course a lot of people went to the three (3) prong and that and
18
    a lot of the floors buckling is a severe problem, the band
19
    boards separating and causing the walls to buckle, you know a
    lot of, just a lot of problems with the electric, heating
20
21
    systems, a lot of those heating systems when they did change the
    heating systems they put them in a small closet so you don't get
22
23
    the correct ventilation, the water heaters we have found
    numerous problems with people placing water heaters in there,
24
    replacing the old water heater they had and they are not
25
```

- 1 | correctly, and they don't have the correct TPI valve and we have
- 2 | found quite a few with the gas does not have the correct drip
- 3 leg in them which is a very dangerous situation.
- 4 Q Were these home designed to last this long?
- 5 A No Sir.
- 6 Q Now you have worked for the City of Charlestown for several
- 7 years now as the building inspector.
- 8 A That is correct.
- 9 Q Have you been involved in the redevelopment of different
- 10 neighborhoods, or housing development?
- 11 A Yes Sir, yes Sir I have.
- 12 Q And how, how do, how do you do that?
- 13 A Ugh, we have had areas were there, such as a couple of the
- 14 trailer parks that were in severe problems, ugh, you know we
- 15 pretty much go in and talk to the people, try to help them and
- 16 | if they need relocated we do that, if they are real severe and
- 17 they need to be placed out quickly we try to help them. Ugh,
- 18 other than that it is through code enforcement.
- 19 Q What do you mean through code enforcement?
- 20 A We tell them what the problems are with their houses and
- 21 then we give them notice and have them to bring them up to code
- 22 or you know or do something else with them.
- 23 Q When you say something else you mean get rid of them?
- 24 A Right, demolition.
- 25 Q And how many different neighborhoods or developments have

- 1 you been involved with in Charlestown, that have been
- 2 redeveloped in this way?
- 3 A Three (3) ugh, we have had three (3) mobile home parks that
- 4 were severe, ugh those were removed, we have also done the
- 5 | City's Renaissance Project, those properties the commercial
- 6 properties up off of Mark Street were really severe and they
- 7 have also taken down and tried to improve some neighborhoods, we
- 8 have taken down houses off of Edgewood, houses off Fourth
- 9 Street, some uptown, things like that.
- 10 Q Do you know how many homes if any have been built in
- 11 Pleasant Ridge since the original Gunnison Homes were built
- 12 | there in the nineteen, early 1940s?
- 13 A I believe five (5).
- 14 Q Okay do you know when they were built?
- 15 A Yes Sir, well they were built primarily around 2003- 2004
- 16 as a part, as their attempt to revitalize the area.
- 17 Q Okay, other than that all of the homes that are there were
- 18 the original Gunnison Homes?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q Are all of the original Gunnison Homes still there?
- 21 A No, there are some that have caught fire and been taken
- 22 down, some were removed for reasons I don't know, but there are
- 23 empty lots.
- 24 | Q As Building Commissioner do you get notified when there are
- 25 fire call in the City of Charlestown?

```
Α
         Yes Sir, the fire department and I have agreement and I am
1
    on their call out, so every time they get a fire, anywhere in
2
3
    the Charlestown area I get called out.
         Are there firs in Pleasant Ridge?
 4
5
         Yes Sir.
         Compared to the other areas of the City are there more or
 6
7
    less?
         There is more.
8
9
    Q
         Significantly more?
10
         I would say so.
11
         Now is there a distinction between what you would call a
12
    minor and a major fire.
13
         Yes, a minor fire of somebodies you know and, and there is
14
    a lot a stove catches on, a camp, you know fire a wieney roast
15
    gets out of hand, or something like that, that is minor thing.
16
    And normally what will happen, the police department, or the
17
    fire department will contact me and it is just this, it is just
    that. A major fire would be with the property is damaged so bad
18
19
    that you can't really live there, thirty percent (30%) is a
    standard that is used and it is so bad that health and safety
20
21
    hazard to neighbors and to the person that was going to live
22
    there.
```

In the document that you submitted to the Redevelopment

Commission does it talk about fires?

Yes Sir I believe it does.

23

24

- 1 Q And what did you say about fires?
- 2 A I don't have it, I'm turned to it right here, I believe
- 3 | there was nine (9) out of ten (10) fires were in Pleasant Ridge,
- 4 major fires.
- 5 Q Does that concern you as the Building Commissioner?
- 6 A Yes it does.
- 7 Q Why
- 8 A Because ugh, because I take it to heart, for the safety of
- 9 | the people and I don't want to see somebody burn in it, I go
- 10 home every day thinking about that.
- 11 Q Is there a common theme or common cause for those fires?
- 12 A Yes electrical.
- 13 Q Now in the past you have issued citations to people for
- 14 violations, have you issued citations to people for violations
- 15 of the City's Property Maintenance Code?
- 16 A Yes Sir.
- 17 Q Can you explain to me what your understanding of the
- 18 difference between the Property Maintenance Code and the Unsafe
- 19 Building Code is?
- 20 A Yeah, the Property Maintenance Code is one that enforces
- 21 | the minimum standards for property maintenance and it coves
- 22 things like grass, and, and ugh, you know under pinning not
- 23 being there, all the way up to some of the major problems with
- 24 | the electrical and things like that. Indiana Unsafe Building
- 25 Code is more of a vacant and dilapidated building code, it is

- 1 | where you are coving vacant, dilapidated, abandoned homes, which
- 2 we have out in Pleasant Ridge.
- 3 Q Can the two (2) work together?
- 4 A Yes Sir, they actually overlap some, yes.
- 5 Q Okay the notices that you send when you detect citations
- 6 does it make references to both of them?
- 7 A Yes Sir.
- 8 Q Does it say the citation to the Unsafe Building Code and or
- 9 the Property Maintenance Code?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 Q So you use the same notification whether it is Unsafe
- 12 Building or Property Maintenance?
- 13 A Yes, yeah
- 14 Q When you enforce the Property Maintenance Code, who do you
- 15 notify?
- 16 A I notify the owner of record, the a, which is I usually get
- 17 | with the, through the Clark County GSI, I also attempt to use
- 18 the Water Department to find out who the owner of record is and
- 19 then we also try to notify the person, the occupant, if it is a
- 20 rental property.
- 21 Q Do you try to sometimes to notify the owners ahead of time?
- 22 A Yes Sir.
- 23 Q And when you do these inspections under the property, the
- 24 Rental Property Inspection Ordinance do you notify them ahead of
- 25 time?

- 1 A No, as far the notice that we are going to inspect, yes we
- 2 have a requirement for a twenty-one (21) day notice to do the
- 3 interior, we can do the exterior but we usually give them notice
- 4 and that goes to the owner of record and to the person living in
- 5 the home.
- 6 Q When you send the twenty-one (21) day notice you send it to
- 7 the owner and the occupant?
- 8 A That is correct.
- 9 Q They know what day and time you are going to show up?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 Q So the owner has the opportunity to be there?
- 12 A That is correct.
- 13 Q They have the opportunity to watch you do the inspection?
- 14 A That is correct.
- 15 | Q And when you inspected the Riley Avenue property that the
- 16 | Pleasant Ridge Association owns, did you give them notice that
- 17 | you were going to inspect?
- 18 A Yes we did.
- 19 Q In fact didn't you accommodate somebody's schedule to do
- 20 the interior inspection?
- 21 A That is correct.
- 22 Q Was anyone from the Association there when you did the
- 23 inspections?
- 24 A I believe Mr. Craven was there.
- 25 Q So actually had notice the very day you did the inspection?

```
Α
         Yes.
1
         Okay, now I am going to take a little side step here and
2
    Q
3
    ask you about this firewall thing, there has been some testimony
    that Mr. Craven asked you about a firewall, do you recall ever
4
5
    speaking to him about a firewall?
         The only conversation we ever had was when they went to do
 6
7
    their electrical permit, to do the electric I advised him that
    in accordance with Duke Energy requirements that if the
8
9
    electrical panel, or electrical meter bases were separate then
10
    those would require a firewall, that is the only thing and that
11
    was prior to any renal inspection, that was just through the
    permit, but I tell that to everybody when they come to my
12
13
    office.
         Did you give him any type of certificate of occupancy?
14
15
         Did you inspect his, the, the Association rental property
16
17
    and tell them everything was okay and they could rent it?
         I don't recall ever saying anything like that.
18
19
         Do you normally give certificates of occupancy?
         Not for renting things out, no.
20
21
         Did he ever request that you inspect the property?
         No, only, only through the permit process.
22
23
         Have you ever told anyone that they had to sell their
    property?
24
25
    Α
         No Sir.
```

```
Have you ever as part of your job, as a Building
1
    commissioner, or an Inspector for the City of Charlestown ever
2
3
    told anyone that they had to sell their property to Pleasant
    Ridge Redevelopment or anyone else?
4
5
         No Sir.
         Have people asked you for contact information for Pleasant
 6
7
    Ridge Redevelopment?
         Yes they have.
8
9
    Q
         Have you provide that?
10
         Yes I have.
11
         And why did you do that?
         Because they requested it.
12
13
         Okay, when, have you spoken to landlords about the Rental
    Inspection Ordnance?
14
         Yes
         What, how do you explain that to them about their options?
16
17
         I tell them they have the same options as everybody, they
18
    can bring it up to code, they can appeal, or you know they can
19
    demolish it in accordance with the ordinance but they would have
    to go the, The Board of Public Works for that.
                                                                            Commented [C1]:
20
21
         Have you ever forced anybody to, to demolish their home?
22
    Α
         No
23
    Q
         Have you ever forced anybody to sell their home?
24
         No
    Α
25
    Q
         Tony I would like you to look if you don't mind at Tab T, T
```

```
as in Tyrannosaurus, do you recognize those documents?
1
         Yes Sir.
2
    Α
3
    Q
         What are those?
         Those are enforcement pictures that I utilized when I do
4
5
    hosing inspections.
         So these are actual pictures of some of the inspections
 6
7
    that you have done?
         Yes Sir.
8
9
    Q
         Are these all from Pleasant Ridge?
10
         Yes Sir.
11
         Do you have more that this or is this everything you've
12
    got?
13
    Α
         Oh, I have numerous more pictures.
         And you have these categorized in different area?
14
    Q
         That is correct.
         How do you have that organized?
16
17
         Ugh, roofs, gutters, downspouts, windows, doors,
    electrical, exterior, different things like that.
18
19
         There seems to be more exterior pictures than interior
    pictures, why is that?
20
21
         Cuz, exterior problems are one of the major problems.
         Are they more visible?
22
    Q
23
    Α
         Yes
```

in other neighborhoods, or in other homes?

Do you get complaints from people about exterior problems

24

Α Yes I do. 1 Does the conditions of the homes in Pleasant Ridge lead to 2 3 problems there that you have observed? 4 Yes 5 What types of problems? Like I said when the exterior starts to fail you get, you 6 7 get a lot of, no vapor barriers, you get a lot of the wood starting to rot, the roofs buckle, the majority are on four (4) 8 9 foot centers so they buckle, the repairs that are made are not 10 correct, you know when you get high grass and weeds and vermin, 11 you know you get mosquito problems, garbage you get animals going through the garbage and things like that. 12 13 Have you noticed any types of rodents or vermin up there? 14 Yes, I have seen rats, you know feral cats, bed beds a lot 15 of roaches. And ugh, you have been doing this for a while, are things 16 17 better or worse or the same as they have been for a while? As far as vermin? 18 19 Vermin? Oh yes, there is less feral cats, we have noticed that and 20 21 of course should be less rats because I haven't seen quite as many rats, because there is no food for them, food source for 22 23 them.

A lot of people will leave their dog food out by the side

What would be a food source for a rat be?

24

25

Α

```
of the house, garbage you know just thrown out in bags, junk
1
    like that.
2
3
         During your time as Building Commissioner have you ever had
    an occasion to get complaints about rubbish, furniture or other
4
5
    things on the side of the road in Pleasant Ridge?
         Yes I have.
 6
7
         Is that something that is happening more or less than it
    used to?
8
9
         Ugh it is less because a lot of that has been picked up,
10
    there is a lot of dumping that occurs up there, with less people
11
    in less houses with more boarded up houses, there is less of
12
    that.
13
         So you think that there is less of that now, than there
14
    used to be?
         Did the City used to pick used to pick that kind of stuff
16
17
    up?
         Yeah, I believe so.
18
    Α
19
    Q
         Sanitation Department?
20
    Α
21
         Do they pick it up the same now as they used to?
         Yes they do.
22
23
         There has been some testimony that, if I told you there had
```

been some testimony that the rubbish and junk on the side of the

road is worse now than it used to be, would you agree or

24

```
disagree with that from your experience?
1
         I would disagree.
2
3
         Strongly or just a little?
         I would strongly disagree, I made a visit up there
 4
5
    yesterday.
         Okay, you have given some testimony today that you've got a
 6
7
    business, field services business, and sometimes you are hired
    by insurance companies.
8
9
         That is correct.
10
         And what do you do for them?
11
         I do what they call some by are drive by which is the
    majority of them, that is where you go through and look at the
12
13
    condition of the exterior of the property, look for roof damage,
    tried to give them an age of the roof, look for hazardous
14
15
    conditions, vicious dogs, trampolines, pools with no fences, and
    do some inspections on the inside where they will ask me to go
16
17
    in and check those and we look at the heating and air units get
18
    the dates off of those, and see what conditions they are in.
19
         Do they ever ask you for an opinion regarding the cost of
    things?
20
21
         They sometimes do yes.
         Okay, you are familiar with a lot the Gunnison Homes in
22
23
    Pleasant Ridge would it and you know a little bit about the
```

costs of doing repairs I assume?

Uh-huh yes that is correct.

24

- 1 Q In order to bring one of these things up to code, to fully
- 2 bring up to the Property Maintenance Code are there some of
- 3 those that really need a lot of work?
- 4 A There are some of those that need extensive work.
- 5 Q Okay, the last time you inspected the Pleasant Ridge
- 6 property, they had met the minimum qualifications?
- 7 A Yes they did.
- 8 Q Were there other properties own by landlords that you think
- 9 would have been cost prohibited to fix?
- 10 A Yes Sir.
- 11 Q Are a lot of those the ones that are boarder up, now?
- 12 A That is correct, those are the worst of the worst.
- 13 Q Now this Property Inspection Ordinance that we have been
- 14 talking about, that is only for rental properties, is that
- 15 correct?
- 16 A That is correct, no that is not correct, that is for any
- 17 property. Are you talking about the Rental Property Ordinance?
- 18 Q Rental Property Ordinance is only...
- 19 A That is only for rental properties, yes.
- 20 Q The Property Maintenance Code applies to all.
- 21 A Applies to everybody, yes.
- 22 Q Okay, Tony where do you currently live?
- 23 A I live in Washington County.
- 24 Q Have you ever lived in Charlestown?
- 25 A Yes Sir.

```
Yes I was born and raised in Pleasant Ridge.
2
    Α
3
         How long did you live there?
    Q
         I lived there from birth to 1980 when I went into the Navy,
4
5
    I got out of the Navy in 1992, after Desert Storm and then I
    moved back there with my wife and children at the time I lived
 6
7
    there until 2004 and then in 2014 I left and went to Washington
8
    County and became the Salem Building Commissioner and then I
9
    came back 2008, well, I didn't come back I don't live in
10
    Charlestown anymore.
11
         Do you know anyone who still lives in Pleasant Ridge, who
12
    lives in the home where you grew up?
13
         My Mother.
         Same home?
14
    Q
         Same home.
         How much did she pay for the home, if you know?
16
17
         $1,500 dollars
         Has she invested any money in repairing that home?
18
    Q
19
    Α
         Yes over $200,000 dollars.
         Do you every do any repairs for your Mother.
20
    0
21
         Yes I do.
         How frequently do you go up and do that?
22
    Q
```

Have you ever lived in Pleasant Ridge?

Q

1

23

24

25

Α

Q

Α

Well because the age of the house and the whole thing is

My ugh, four (4) or five (5) times a month.

And why do you have to go up so frequently?

```
starting to deteriorate, so they need a lot of constant
1
2
    maintenance on it.
              MR MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I have no other questions for
3
    Mr. Jackson at this time.
4
5
              THE COURT: Questions?
 6
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Thank you Your Honor.
7
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION MICHAEL JACKSON
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
8
9
         Mr. Jackson I would like to go to Tab nineteen (19) of what
    was Plaintiff's exhibits, earlier, so that big binder in front
10
11
    of you.
         This one?
12
13
         I believe it is that one, I think you are wrong, Tab
    nineteen (19), and these if you could flip through a few of the
14
    properties.
15
         Yes Sir.
16
17
         Do you recognize some of these pictures?
         Yes I do.
18
    Α
19
    Q
         Isn't it true these are properties in Pleasant Ridge?
20
         Yes they are.
    Α
21
    Q
         These are actually properties of the Association members?
22
         Yes Sir.
23
         Are these properties in an inherently in violation of the
    Property Maintenance Code?
24
```

- 1 A No that group does not, that was a home owner property.
- 2 Q No the Property Maintenance Code, which applies to all
- 3 buildings?
- 4 A Oh, I know, yeah, but that is what I am saying, normally
- 5 these do not, cuz the majority of the time owner occupied or
- 6 owner occupied homes do not have normally have problems with the
- 7 code.
- 8 Q Those home inherently, what my meaning is inherently, just
- 9 by being a Gunnison Home those homes are not in violation of the
- 10 Property Maintenance Code?
- 11 A I couldn't, I have never inspected them I couldn't tell you
- 12 that.
- 13 Q No I don't think you understand my question.
- 14 A Yeah I must not.
- 15 Q I don't mean your inspection, I just knowing it is a
- 16 Gunnison Home.
- 17 A That is correct.
- 18 Q Is it, is it automatically in violation of the Property
- 19 Maintenance Code?
- 20 A I couldn't, I can't, I can't tell you that because I have
- 21 not been inside them, I don't know.
- 22 Q No again are Gunnison Homes, just by being Gunnison Homes,
- 23 no matter how much you fixed them up, automatically in
- 24 violations of Property Maintenance Code, because Gunnison Homes
- 25 just can't comply with the code?

А I must be misunderstanding what you are saying, but 1 Gunnison Homes as far as you know the vapor barriers it is 2 3 according how much maintenance been done on it. There is inherently going to be problems, because of the age, but I don't 4 5 know how much work has been done on them and I don't have the right to go in an inspect them and tell them what is wrong. 6 7 So if a property owner renovated their property, even if it is a 1942 Gunnison Home it could be, it could be ugh, compliant 8 9 with the Property Maintenance Code? 10 I there again it can as far as there is no need for me to 11 go look at it, so I can't tell you, you know what the problems, 12 if there are problems, I can't tell you what corrections have 13 been made, I can't tell what kind of work that was done, there 14 are some that have been totally redone, but. 15 So just by knowing it is a Gunnison Home you can't give a 16 violation, because you don't know if it is in violation of the 17 Property Maintenance Code? 18 That is correct. 19 You spoke a little bit about lead paint, isn't it true all homes painted before 1978 may have lead paint in them? 20 21 They may but, not all of them do. You talked about the inspection of the Association's 22 23 Property, did you provide notice of the exterior inspection of

I can't remember if we did, I don't believe I did. We seen

that property?

24

that when we were doing an inspection on another home, we seen 1 the exterior problems. 2 3 And we talked about pier foundations, is a pier foundation inherently, again inherently just because it is a pier 4 5 foundation, I am not talking about what an actual pier foundation looks like, whether it's decayed or anything like 6 7 that, but is a pier foundation inherently in violation of the Property Maintenance Code, you just can't comply with the 8 9 Property Maintenance Code if you have a pier foundation? 10 It is according to what type, there are numerous types of 11 pier foundations it is according to what types. If you speaking primarily about Pleasant Ridge ugh like I said some of those the 12 13 pier structures that they have there are there inherently, there 14 is problems. That is because of their age and they haven't been kept up. 16 Their style of insulation, the Gunnison style insulation. 17 And the age. 18 Yeah there is problems the age, we do, there is a lot of 19 problems with piers starting to, as in the case of the Neighborhood Associations the pier started to turn sideways. 20 21 But is you revenant say you do have age problems, you revenant like the Association did, you can be in compliance with 22 23 the Property Maintenance Code, is that true?

MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No further questions.

24

25

That is true.

1	THE COURT: Any more follow u
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION MICHAEL JACKSON
3	MR MICHAEL GILLENWATER:
4	Q Just very briefly to make sure, I don't think that Tony was
5	quite catching what you were asking so I want to try to make
6	sure I understand to. If a Gunnison Home is brought up, if it
7	was as it originally was
8	A Correct
9	Q Is there something about that home that causes it not to
LO	comply with the Property Maintenance Code, right now?
11	A I guess if it is brought into compliance, no.
12	Q And if it is a, if it is, if it was built and it was brand
13	new and nobody had ever remodeled it, it would be grandfathered
L 4	in, in its current condition?
15	A That is correct, yes Sir.
16	Q Okay they wouldn't have to change anything?
L7	A It is nonconforming, yes.
18	Q But if somebody changes it they have to bring it up to
19	code?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q Okay but there are some Gunnison Homes that you have
22	inspected that have not had any violations, is that correct?
23	A That is correct.
24	Q Like the last time you were at the Pleasant Ridge Property
25	they had complied it that correct?

```
Α
         That is correct, yes Sir.
1
         So, they brought it into compliance?
2
    Q
3
         Yes they did.
              MR MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Okay I have no other
4
5
    questions.
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No further questions Your Honor.
 6
7
              THE COURT: Alright, thank you Mr. Jackson, you have
    another witness?
8
9
              MR MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Mayor Hall, I would like to
10
    recall him.
11
              THE COURT:
                           And Mr. or Mayor, excuse me I will remind
    you that you are still under oath.
12
13
                   DIRECT EXAMINATION MAYOR ROBERT HALL
14
              MR MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Do you intend to call Mr.
15
    Jackson back?
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No
16
17
              MR MICHAEL GILLENWATER: You can sit in here with the
    big people.
18
19
         Mayor you sit on the Redevelopment Commission?
20
21
         And you can you explain what steps the Redevelopment
    Commission has taken to determine if the Pleasant Ridge is an
22
23
    area needing redevelopment?
         Ugh, yes ugh the Redevelopment Commission asks all the
24
25
    departments that uhm, in the City to make reports as to their
```

- 1 experience, costs, what are, what are we, we doing there in
- 2 general, I made the request so each of them either written or
- 3 verbal came and gave reports to the Redevelopment Commission.
- 4 Q And did some of them provide written materials to the
- 5 Redevelopment Commission?
- 6 A They did.
- 7 Q Did you invite other people to give the Redevelopment
- 8 | Commission information about Pleasant Ridge?
- 9 A Ugh, uhm yes we invited several others, yes.
- 10 Q Okay, have you seen the report that Mr. Jackson prepared,
- 11 The Pleasant Ridge, the decline of a neighborhood that is
- 12 Exhibit K or Tab K in the blue binder?
- 13 A Yes
- 14 Q And you read that and understood it?
- 15 A I've thumbed through it, yes. I don't know if I have read
- 16 | it 100% let me look at and then tell you whether this is the one
- 17 I have read.
- 18 Q You are familiar with the Gunnison style home?
- 19 A I am.
- 20 Q And you actually owned one of these homes?
- 21 A I did.
- 22 Q And is it your opinion that these, the home that you owned
- 23 was easy to maintain?
- 24 A It was not.
- 25 Q Okay in Exhibit L, I would like you to take a look at that

```
and see if you recognize it?
1
         Yes
2
    Α
3
    Q
         What is that?
         A report from the Police Department.
 4
5
         Could you summarize or state what, what you glean from that
 6
    report.
7
         That there is inappropriate, in-proportional amount of
8
    telephone calls that are to the Pleasant Ridge area as compared
9
    to the rest of the communities' area even if...
10
              THE COURT: I'm sorry Mayor if I could have to speak
11
    up a little I know we have all been here all day and I am having
    a little difficult time hearing you.
12
13
         Okay, yeah ugh, in, in general terms what the Police
14
    Department reported was that there was an in-proportionate
15
    amount of calls in the Pleasant Ridge area as opposed to any
    other, as a City as a whole and defiantly in the Pleasant and
16
17
    defiantly in, as you compare their neighborhood to other
    neighborhoods it was drastically different.
18
19
         Was it less or more?
         Oh excuse me, tremendous amount more.
20
21
         Did you have a Narcotics Detective testify to the
    Redevelopment Commission?
22
23
         We did.
         What did he say about the drug active in Pleasant Ridge or
24
```

in the City compared to Pleasant Ridge?

```
А
         Ugh, uhm, one a lot more active with it, I remember at one
1
    point they, they had looked over the previous two years and like
2
3
    90% of all drug dealing arrests were made out of the Pleasant
    Ridge area, but that it was obvious that it, that it is the
4
5
    gateway in, to the drugs in our City.
         Did he have an opinion why that was the case?
 6
7
         Ugh, well the, ugh, If I remember birds of the feather
    flock together, I think was one of the things he told me before
8
9
    with that, the, that the area had attracted that element.
10
         You are not suggesting that everybody in Pleasant Ridge
11
    deals drugs are you?
12
         Absolutely not, there is a lot of good folks in Pleasant
13
    Ridge, yeah.
14
         You ugh, the City was ugh, you testified that the City made
    some efforts to redevelop in Pleasant Ridge?
16
         We have.
17
         Was the City engaged with an effort with New Hope Services?
         We did, ugh...
18
19
         What did you do?
         The City obtained, I believe at the time I believe ten (10)
20
21
    properties, its much like what has been suggested you know us do
    today, you know with it, and what I get faced with most is why
22
23
    don't you just buy some of the homes and you know redo, redo it
```

that way. We attempted that in 2001 or 2002 somewhere in that

neighborhood, where we bought ten (10) homes we had got a ugh,

24

```
we worked with New Hope Services to get grant approval and they
1
    built five (5) new homes inside Pleasant Ridge, the only five
2
3
    (5) that have been built, but they built them and, and to have
    people buy them in there.
4
5
         Okay, and ugh you said you bought ten (10) lots and built
    five (5) homes, why did you stop?
 6
7
         New Hope Services was going through similar program that we
    are using today with the Pathway Home Ownership Program where
8
9
    they would get a number of applicants, go through their credit,
10
    distill them down to where they could get, clean up their
11
    credit, get their income, do all the things necessary for HUD
    financing and then would ugh give them a certificate to it. What
12
13
    did New Hope Services ran into and why they only did five (5)
14
    according to them was that because the found that even once the,
15
    the potential buyer was qualified that they did not want to buy
16
    a home in Pleasant Ridge.
17
         And would you take a look at the Exhibit under the Tab
18
    labeled N.
19
    Α
         N?
20
    0
21
         M or N?
         N as in Nut.
22
23
         I have it.
         Is that a letter that the Redevelopment Commission received
24
25
    from New Hope?
```

- 1 A It is.
- 2 Q Would you read the third paragraph?
- 3 A The problem, is that the one?
- 4 0 That is the one.
- 5 A The problem was not one of finding qualified families to
- 6 purchase homes in our program, the program was finding families.
- 7 Once they went through our home owners and financial assistant
- 8 training wanting to purchase a new home and move their families
- 9 into a blighted neighborhood in Pleasant Ridge, those qualified
- 10 families simply choose to move into other neighborhoods in the
- 11 city or other cities.
- 12 Q So the City invested significate money in this project?
- 13 A We did.
- 14 Q Did you, did the Redevelopment Commission h?ear from any
- 15 realtors?
- 16 A Ugh, I believe so yes.
- 17 Q Would you take a look at Exhibit O.?
- 18 A Okay.
- 19 Q And do you recognize that document?
- 20 A I do.
- 21 Q What was your understanding of what that document
- 22 conveying?
- 23 A Let me read through it real quick again. That the area was
- 24 not ugh, marketable and then if you and you couldn't ugh and the
- 25 prices were going down, I'm just scuming, scanning through this.

Q Does it mention Pleasant Ridge? 1 It does? 2 Α 3 Would you read that second paragraph? Q Okay, were it says conversely? 4 Α 5 The over all. The overall medium sales price in Charlestown has increased 6 7 from \$140 or \$134,450 to \$64,875 in just five (5) short years that is an increase of over 22% conversely in Pleasant Ridge 8 9 multiple duplexes have recently sold as rental property for less 10 than \$10,000, some as even low as \$2,000 for an area that just a 11 few years ago had sold prices of \$35 to \$60,000, the current market situation for this one small area is apparently heading 12 13 in the wrong direction. 14 And do you know when this letter was delivered or when you got it, was that before or after the inspections had started. 16 Before. 17 Now if you would turn to Exhibit P, next page, next Tab, do you recognize that document? 18 19 Α Yes What is that document? 20 0 21 Ugh, that is, the one that says, make sure, Pleasant Ridge, Gunnison Home revitalization study right? 22 23 That is correct.

or the Pleasant Ridge Home Owners Association appeared before

Okay, that was a presentation that the, the Redevelopment,

24

```
the Redevelopment Commission and this was presented by what we
1
    would assume was there agent or someone who had done a study for
2
3
    them to present to the City.
         What was the take away from this, from what do you remember
 4
5
    about it?
         Well there was a couple things I remember, one is that they
 6
7
    I, I, he said this is one last Gunnison projects, because this
    is not the only one, Walkertons had them several communities
8
9
    both in the State of Indiana and throughout the country. Around
10
    the ammunition period of that time building, or making
11
    ammunition, he said this is one of the last ones, which told me
12
    the rest of them had a the same thing of course the Walkerton
13
    video shows their video shows the identical homes same problems
14
    so, so that was one take away. The second take away was, is
15
    that the, ugh, I thought they was confirming what we had said
16
    all along that it is cost prohibitive to be able to bring these
17
    houses up to modern day standards this report if I remember
    right showed anywhere from $45,000 to $78,000 dollars in repairs
18
19
    per home to be able to bring them up, or most homes I should
    say, ugh, with it. And in that area ugh with it you would be
20
21
    talking $25-30 million dollars, with it to be able to do that
22
    type of thing.
23
         Did that price tag include the price of the house?
         It did not it just you own the property you go and fix it
24
25
    up.
```

- 1 | Q | And, and you remember that it was going to cost \$45-\$78,000
- 2 per home?
- 3 A Yeah well that, I guess that is what they presented yes.
- 4 Q Okay alright, did you hear from your animal control
- 5 officer?
- 6 A We did.
- 7 Q I would refer you to Exhibit R.
- 8 A We did.
- 9 Q And what do you remember from what Mr. Slaughter said?
- 10 A Ugh, in general that most of his calls for animal control
- 11 is, excuse me into the Pleasant Ridge area.
- 12 Q Did he have an opinion why that was?
- 13 A I'm sure he did I don't have great recall of what his
- 14 opinion was of it, but I remember that it was an abnormal amount
- 15 of calls that went to the area.
- 16 Q And is that the memo that he presented?
- 17 A Yes
- 18 Q And would you look quickly at Exhibit S?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Do you recall receiving that memo?
- 21 A Yes
- 22 Q And uhm, what does that pertain to, if you recall?
- 23 A Ugh, animal control calls, ugh with it, looks like, ugh,
- 24 yes, animal control.
- 25 Q In you experience as the Mayor since 2000, has, is there

- 1 | rubbish left on the side of the road at Pleasant Ridge.
- 2 A Yes
- 3 Q What is the cause of that?
- 4 A The transient nature of the area, uhm, we have had uh, we
- 5 grappled with the problem since 2000, since I have been Mayor, I
- 6 am sure they did before, but definitely since 2000, since I've
- 7 been Mayor, ugh, because of the transient agent, nature of it a
- 8 lot of people move landlords move large amounts garbage and
- 9 stuff that was left behind out to the, out to the road and it is
- 10 there often.
- 11 Q Have you been up in Pleasant Ridge in the last couple of
- 12 months?
- 13 A Uhm, yeah probably within the last couple of months, yeah.
- 14 Q Have you notice more or less about the same amount of
- 15 debris on the side of the road?
- 16 A Ugh, there is not as much we are not taking near as much
- 17 garbage out as we once was, with it in the City.
- 18 Q Mayor have you been in the rental property business?
- 19 A Yes
- 20 Q For how long?
- 21 A Tenety-five (25) years, or so.
- 22 Q So you have owned rental properties?
- 23 A I have.
- 24 Q Do you still own rental properties?
- 25 A A couple, yes.

```
And ugh, you testified earlier that you were in the
1
    mortgage business?
2
3
    Α
         I was.
         Those kind of go together in some ways?
 4
    0
 5
         Yes they do.
         Have you had the occasion to assess the value of
 6
7
    properties?
         Ugh, through the mortgage business, I mean you had to do
8
9
    that all the time.
10
         The most, well there are many of the Gunnison Homes up in
11
    Pleasant Ridge ugh, like the one you owned, did it require a lot
12
    of work?
13
    A Yes , ugh, ongoing maintenance in a rental property you
    know is an expense obviously and you have to balance that
14
15
    against what you are going to be able to get in rent. One of
    the challenges we had, I felt that I had in the rental property
16
17
    there was being able to collect enough rent to justify putting
    all of the money that would be in and continue to put money in
18
19
    as I did and found out that a year eighteen (18) months down the
    road that it what not the best decision I had ever made.
20
21
         Are the, how are the property values in Pleasant Ridge been
    over the last ten (10), fifteen (15), twenty (20) years?
22
23
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
                                     Objection foundation.
              THE COURT: He asked him a lot of questions about his
24
    real estate background, if you want to ask him about his
25
```

```
knowledge of Pleasant Ridge...
1
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I can ask, I can ask some
2
3
    more.
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: We had a series of questions on
4
5
    these matters before.
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
 6
7
         Mayor are you familiar with the sales prices of housing in
    the City of Charlestown?
8
9
         I am.
10
         What makes you familiar with that?
11
         Ugh, I, I follow real estate a little bit, so I am familiar
    with it that way, when I was in the mortgage business I was very
12
13
    familiar because it determined what, what you want to do as far
14
    as a loan.
         You keep track of the assessed values of properties within
    the City of Charlestown?
16
17
         Uhm, no not as individuals no.
18
         How about as neighborhoods?
19
         No not really, I mean the assed value does not, the assed
    value for the City is important because that is what determines
20
21
    our levy in taxes, but as far as real estate sales and all that,
    that doesn't matter a whole lot.
22
23
         With the regard to the properties of Pleasant Ridge have
    you had discussions with some of the property owners the rental
24
```

25

landlords?

- 1 | A I have had discussions with the landlords, yes.
- 2 Q And have, had you indicated earlier that you had some
- 3 discussions back in 2014 when they were talking about the Blight
- 4 Elimination Program?
- 5 A I did.
- 6 Q Did you talk to Mr. Woods?
- 7 A I did.
- 8 Q Did he indicate a willingness to sell?
- 9 A Yes
- 10 Q Did he sign a document saying he would?
- 11 A He did.
- 12 Q I have heard some testimony that wasn't the, that he said
- 13 that wasn't the case, did he really sign that, do you know that
- 14 he did?
- 15 A He did, yes.
- 16 Q Have you seen that?
- 17 A Hum, I believe I was there when he signed it, uhm yes.
- 18 Q Okay, do you know what percentage of the property owners of
- 19 Pleasant Ridge signed those types of affidavits, back in 2014,
- 20 approximately?
- 21 | A Uhm, if I remember, no, I, I don't know exact percentage
- 22 because some property owners obviously owned multi properties,
- 23 but I would say probably 60% percent maybe, somewhere in that
- 24 range.
- 25 Q Have you talked to people in Pleasant Ridge who want to

```
Ugh, since 2000 yes.
2
3
         And are there some people there who, who don't leave even
    though they want to?
4
 5
         Ugh, yes.
         Have they explained why?
 6
7
         Ugh, yes a lot of the people there, a lot of the Seniors
    particularly that have ugh lived there when into retirement and
8
9
    then since that time the neighborhood has continued to go down
10
    and increase more crime and so they wanted to leave but their
11
    properties are not valued enough that they can sell and make a
12
    lateral move into another area in the community.
13
         Are there other problems with some people with the regard
    to the, what they owe on their properties?
14
15
         Yeah there is definitely some lending that has gone that
    might not of should of, there are people that deep under water
16
17
    in their property, yes.
         Has the City done anything to help them?
18
19
         Ugh, at the moment ugh, what we done is contracted with a
    firm to meet with anybody that would like to meet with them.
20
21
         What kind of firm?
         A firm that specializes in ugh restructuring of finances
22
23
    and short sells and (indiscernible) companies.
         Okay, has, has the City also considered the people who are
24
25
    renting but want to own homes?
```

leave?

Α We have, when, when the landlords started selling it went 1 2 fast and a lot of them ugh, to my surprise, a lot of them sold 3 quicker than we ever anticipated and it did create a large amount of homes, a lot of properties with renters in them that 4 5 were, would be noticed to move at some point. So our concern as a City was is that if we didn't staggered these dates of when 6 7 these leases are coming up that we would put to many people out in the market at the same time looking for rental properties and 8 9 then the second thing was is that as in the other redevelopment 10 projects that we have done we have always took a proactive 11 approach, meet with people, offer them to meet with us, some 12 don't want to meet with us, but offer to meet with them, and help them find other living areas, so we ugh, we have ugh, 13 14 engaged the housing authority in the Trustee's office and the 15 City has contracted with a real estate company to meet with ugh, 16 renters that desire to meet with them we contact them and help 17 them move. There has been some testimony today about your relationship 18 19 with Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment LLC, John Neace, John Hampton, could you describe that relationship for the Judge? 20 21 That is a good question, ugh, I guess probably the best way I can describe it is I come from the sports world the Mayor is 22 23 kind of like a coach you know you got'a, you got'a decide what you are going to do, build a team, and everything to accomplish 24 25 that and so as far as them and Pleasant Ridge and all that I

```
think it is a lot like the coach asking everybody to come and
1
2
    supporting them at an away ballgame or something like that, you
3
    know to come and participate and help with the team but he also
    knows that he can't buy the bus for them, you know, buy there
4
5
    gas, do all that, but he is more than happy for them to come and
    participate with them and support the cause. In the case of,
 6
7
    maybe another good analogy that is when you are putting a team
8
    together you don't have control who is going to show up and to
9
    try out you know so you got'a choose from ones who trying out.
10
    The relationship with the redevelopment, Pleasant Ridge
11
    Redevelopment, LCC, LLC is they are going the same direction we
12
    are, with it, from the stand point that they are wanting to buy
13
    properties. So we have not discouraged them from buying
14
    properties at all and, and the but we have no contract with
15
    them, we promise them no compensation, but we are happy that
    they are on the same direction that we are and are wanting to
16
    help to redevelop so the questions earlier about did we advise
17
18
    anybody else at the moment they are the only ones that I am
19
    aware of that have showed up anywhere asking to but properties
    or willing to buy properties. We have invited a lot of
20
21
    developers to participate but like the team you are only going
    to be able to select from the ones that show up to play.
22
23
         And that company has put money into the City of
    Charlestown?
24
25
         They have.
```

Q Do you have any guarantees from them? 1 2 In what way? Α 3 They guarantee that they are going to redevelopment Pleasant Ridge or they are going to keep buying properties until 4 5 they are all purchased? No, no. 6 7 Is it possible they could sell out tomorrow, to somebody 8 else? 9 Uhm yes absolutely. 10 Okay did, does the City, do you as the Mayor intend to 11 advise the Redevelopment Commission and the City Council to redevelop Pleasant Ridge? 12 13 Yes And when you say redevelop what does that mean to you? 14 Q 15 Well redevelop is taking one thing and redevelop it or making it something better, you know or and the aspect of the 16 17 City redevelopment maybe just going and stopping the problems that exists, that you're facing with as, as a City or Government 18 19 or community. Do you believe with the housing as it exists in Pleasant 20 21 Ridge that redevelopment will be possible if that housing isn't dealt with? 22 23 No

Do you think that the people that live up there deserve a

24

25

fair price for their house?

```
Α
         Ugh, yes.
1
         And do you at this point in time has the City taken any
2
3
    action to exercise the power of eminent domain?
 4
         No
5
         Has the City Council done anything to exercise eminent
    domain?
 6
7
         No
          Has the Redevelopment Commission has adopted a plan, does
8
9
    it call for eminent domain?
10
         It does not.
11
         Does it require that the City work with any particular
12
    developer?
13
         It does not.
         Can you obligate the City to any agreement without the
14
    approval of a board or council?
16
         I cannot.
17
         Okay, do you believe that issuing fines against violators
    of the Property Maintenance Code is affective?
18
19
         I do.
         Why do you say that?
20
    0
21
         Well you and I have had discussions about this and ugh, in
    the past and one of the things that I have learned when we was
22
23
    raising our kids is that I watched as we said if one of our kids
    was doing something wrong and we said stop that, they didn't do
24
25
    it, and we said stop that, and they didn't do and they we said
```

```
stop that and they didn't do it and finally we said if you don't
1
    stop that this is going to happen and then, but my wife took it
2
3
    to the level she said I told you once, I told you twice, I told
    you, I found out that they didn't do it until they knew what you
4
5
    said and the consequences that came after it. You know so you
    could tell them twenty (20) times not to say anything, but we
 6
7
    seen that today where the, our Honorable Judge said if you get
    one, you know on the phones, I thought that was a kind of, you
8
9
    got to one and then everybody understands and we haven't had it
10
    happen since. So I think from the stand point that fines, yes
11
    having fines with them, they understand they are coming, they
    would of, they are effective in that not, not to put yourself in
12
13
    a position to obtain them.
         You feel like the landlords were given fair warning that
14
15
    the inspections were imminent.
16
         I, yes I mean it was started in January, I don't know the
17
    first one didn't happen till August or so, yeah.
18
         Were you aware of any landlords that went out and tried to
19
    improve their properties so that they would not receive fines
    for violations?
20
21
         No
         Did many of those landlords approach you and tell you it
22
23
    was their intent to eventually sell?
         Yes, I mean I had a lot of landlords long before the
24
```

inspections program desires to sell, yes.

```
Have any of them came to you and complained that it was
1
    unfair that their properties were inspected?
2
3
         No, other than Pleasant Ridge at the, well I shouldn't say
    they even complained about the unfairness of it, the complained
4
5
    about the fines, yeah.
         Do you believe that enforcing the Property Maintenance Code
 6
7
    will make Pleasant Ridge a safer place?
         Yes
8
    Α
9
    Q
         Healthier?
10
         Yes
    Α
11
        Have you already seen that?
12
    Α
         Yes
13
    Q
         How so?
         Ugh, we have talked about a couple of them earlier as we
14
15
    have seen a decrease in the animal control calls as well as
    sanitation and rubbish in the area. But also our police
16
17
    department has said that they have received less call there than
18
    they once did.
19
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I have no other questions at
    this time Your Honor.
20
21
              THE COURT: Any questions?
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Some very brief questions Your
22
23
    Honor.
24
                   CROSS-EXAMINATION MAYOR ROBERT HALL
25
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
```

- 1 | Q Mr. Mayor you referred to something called the, I believe
- 2 | it is called Path To Homer Ownership Program?
- 3 A Yes
- 4 Q That the City has partnered with an outside organization
- 5 about, do you know how many people used to rent in Pleasant
- 6 Ridge that have been approved for loans for new homes through
- 7 that program?
- 8 A I don't know how many been approved for loans, with it my
- 9 last report was around thirty (30) or something of that but that
- 10 has been probably two (2) months ago.
- 11 Q And that is thirty (30) people that actually have obtained
- 12 loans or who have applied?
- 13 A Ugh, I think that is how many I think the report said that,
- 14 it was a verbal report from the Company that is doing it, ReMax.
- 15 Q So out of the hundreds of people who lived in those units
- 16 that are no longer rented out about thirty (30) have go through
- 17 that program, successfully?
- 18 A No you asked me how many do I think that of been qualified
- 19 or that have gone through it long enough to be qualified, with
- 20 | it. Ugh, I don't know how many total but probably in upwards of
- 21 sixty (60) or seventy (70) have contacted or something.
- 22 Q Sixty (60) or seventy (70) people have actually have
- 23 gotten loans through that program, who used to live in Pleasant
- 24 Ridge?
- 25 A No Sir, you asked me have gone through the program,

- 1 | rephrase your question, and I will be glad to answer it, but you
- 2 | are asking me two different questions.
- 3 | Q So people who used to rent in Pleasant Ridge and now can't
- 4 anymore because of boarded up homes how many have obtained, gone
- 5 through the Path to Homer Ownership Program, been approved and
- 6 then been able to get financing to purchase a new home?
- 7 A Ugh, I don't know that exact number.
- 8 Q Okay, you spoke about the eminent domain, isn't the
- 9 adoption of the plan designated in area and redevelopment, isn't
- 10 that a pre-condition for later, having eminent domain occur?
- 11 A Uhm, you would have to ask my Attorney that to be honest.
- 12 Q Do you agree that sorry, since January 2016 and you have
- 13 been on the Redevelopment Commission and the Board of Public
- 14 Works have you ever been out voted on a vote in either body, you
- 15 voted one way and two people voted the other?
- 16 A Yeah probably, ugh, ugh, I, I don't know, I don't believe I
- 17 have but I might have been, yeah.
- 18 Q Have you been out voted since January 2016 on the City
- 19 Council?
- 20 A Ugh, no.
- 21 Q Do you agree that the City has to obey the Code its own
- 22 Code?
- 23 A Yes
- Q Does the City have to obey State Laws?
- 25 A Yes

```
Do you agree even if a property is bad shape it still has
1
    to obey the laws that relates to that property?
2
3
         To that property?
         The City has to obey the law and how it interacts with that
4
5
    property?
         Ugh, I'm not sure, can you ask, I'm not sure can you
 6
7
    clarify your question?
         If the City thinks the property is really bad can it ignore
8
9
    the law in trying to do something about that property?
10
         Ugh, I, I don't, I don't know that ignore is the right
11
    word, I do know that there, I can give you lists and lists of
12
    all the things...
13
         I'm not asking you about induvial situations...
14
         I'm trying to answer your question Counselor.
15
         Can the City obey the law, or ignore the law?
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Your Honor can the witness
16
17
    be allowed to answer the question, the last question, he was
18
    stopped?
19
              THE COURT: Well if you want to ask him that question
    again in a minute he can rephrase his question, if he is not
20
21
    getting the answer he is looking for, if you want to rephrase
    your question.
22
23
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: Okay.
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS:
24
25
         I am not talking about a specific property, can that
```

```
property if it is in bad shape and the City wants to do
1
    something about it can the City not follow the law in how it is
2
3
    dealing with the property or should or does it have to follow
    the law as how it relates to how the City will do something
4
5
    about that property?
         Yes, if the City if you are asking the question that if a
 6
7
    City, if it is a property and the City deems it to be a problem
    and the want to exercise its rights you know the City's rights
8
9
    of it they have to follow the law, yes if that is what you are
10
    asking, I'm sorry I misunderstood you.
11
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: No further questions
              THE COURT: Alright do you have any follow up?
12
13
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: No Your Honor thank you. No
14
    other witnesses.
15
              THE COURT: No other wittiness or rebuttal or do we
16
    just have some argument?
17
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: I think what we talked about is
18
    some time for oral argument and closing, how much would your
19
    Honor grant us?
              THE COURT: That is fine, I've got what ninety (90)
20
21
    pages of briefs already so I have taken a look at those, Mike
    you need to get out of here?
22
              MR. MICHAEL GILLENWATER: I can leave Mr. Crandley to
23
    do the, he is smart one I'm the good looking one, okay?
24
25
              THE COURT: Okay
```

```
1
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: He is handing the steering wheel
2
    to me.
3
              THE COURT: Okay, I would be happy to give you each
    just fifteen minutes, enough time, or are you thinking you need
4
5
    more than that?
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: I can do that and maybe if I
 6
7
    could take twelve (12) minutes and three (3) for rebuttal?
8
              THE COURT: Yes sure.
9
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: You do that here, okay great.
10
              THE COURT: Do we maybe want to take a five (5) minute
11
    break, before we start?
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: That would be fine Your Honor.
12
13
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: That wouldn't be bad for me
14
    either.
15
              THE COURT: Why don't we do that, let's take a, let's
    come back at ten (10) till.
16
17
         (Off the record at 3.39 PM)
          (Back on record at 3:51 PM)
18
19
              MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Your Honor one small piece of
    housekeeping before we begin our motion was originally filed we
20
21
    had a Proposed Order and this is a copy and it is actually the
    small what we put back in February but it has you name on it.
22
23
              THE COURT: Okay, I didn't get that, okay that's good,
    yeah I looked for this and I couldn't find it, so thank you very
24
25
    much. To be clear for the record all of these Exhibits are
```

admitted without objection is the correct? 1 MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: That is correct Your Honor. 2 3 MR. MARK CRANDELY: That is correct Your Honor. THE COURT: We will show those formally admitted, I 4 5 don't know if I did that before, so Mr. Sanders. MR. ANTHONY SANDERS: Thank you Your Honor. We have 6 7 heard a lot of testimony today Your Honor about conditions in Pleasant Ridge, ferial animals, the history of the area, all of 8 9 that is beside the point in what Plaintiffs are asking for 10 today. Our motion is about the City can enforce its code, not 11 about whether it should, not about whether code enforcement is a good or bad thing, we don't object to code enforcement. What 12 13 these people are here for today, these home owners and these 14 good landlords, are that the City must follow the law when it 15 engages in code enforcement. We are asking for a narrow yet 16 critical injunction in this proposed order that I just gave you, 17 all this order asks for is that the City obey State law and its 18 own Property Maintenance Code when it engages in code 19 enforcement. And we are also asking the City treat people equally as it must under the State and Federal Constitutions, 20 21 now I won't go over all the requirements for Motion for Preliminary Injunction and most important of course is likely 22 23 hood of success on the merits, we have a likely hood of success on all four(4) of our claims. We also have a irreparable harm, 24 25 people about to lose their homes the balance of harm weighs in

our favor and public policy also weighs in favor of an injunction. The for two (2) of our claims the Unsafe Building Law and Property Maintenance Code it doesn't matter at all why the City is doing this code enforcement, now we have put forth evidence today the City is doing code enforcement as part of its plan to try to get people to sell to a developer. But whether it was over active code enforcement for health and safety or it was that reason or some other reason the way they have been enforcing this statute in this ordinance is contrary to them and it needs to be stopped. For our Unsafe Building Law claim we have demonstrated that citations have been issues that have immediate daily fines that begin from the first notice, when the property owner has never been told before that there is something wrong with their property, the City said it intends to continue these inspections, Mayor Hall testified to that, and the City Council passed a resolution that stated that they are going to broaden these inspections to owners occupied homes. Further under these citations as we saw from the numerous ones in the record, these citations invoke the Unsafe Building Law, they cite the Unsafe Building Law and they only give ten (10) days to appeal which is the appellate deadline of the Unsafe Building Law. The appellant deadline of the Property Maintenance Code is twenty (20) days so either they have been giving the wrong appellant deadline for years to numerous property owners in the City of Charlestown which would be a

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

massive procedural due process violation or what they have been doing is what we claim how State Law works, they use the Unsafe Building Law a statute that the City has opted itself into and therefore is required to follow in evoking it's Property Maintenance Code, that much is fine, what's not fine is they don't follow the mandates of the Unsafe Building Law. Now the City argues that the Unsafe Building Law is just one mechanism for it to follow, but if that were true what would be the point of the Unsafe Building Law. The home rule act itself states that Cities when a statute requires a specific command cities must follow that statute the Unsafe Building Law has specific commands when it comes to enforcement of property standards and therefore the City needs to follow those standards under the statute. There are many protections in the Unsafe Building Law for property owners there are many powers to cities now one of the protections is when it comes to fines, fines can't be immediate, the City has to give a reasonable times before it can take further action on a property owner between ten (10) and sixty (60) days and there is even caps that the General Assembly has placed just two (2) years ago on the amount of those fines, that is a State's statute the City has opted into the City must follow it. The City doesn't argue in fact that these fines violate the Unsafe Building Law it simple says they don't apply and yet its has opted into that law, it evokes that law, it will continue to evoke that law as is its prior practice, and that

1

2

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

law requires it to evoke the statute because otherwise it is a statute the City will ignore it will, which doesn't make any sense even under the Home Rule Act. I will now turn to the City's Ordinance so if this Court rules in our favor on the Unsafe Building Law an injunction that it should issue it to enforce to follow that statute and there would not be a need for the Court to really address the, the Property Maintenance Code. But I will address it in any case, it all boils down to what is page four(4) of the Property Maintenance Code as both sides have given a copy in our binders, it is very simple how this works under section 106 of the Property Maintenance Code it says prosecution of violations, so violations of the substile requirements of your property, and person failing to comply with a notice of violation or order served in accordance with Section 107 shall be deemed guilty of a civil infraction, that is 106.3 of the Ordinance, then you go down to 107 because it says you need to follow Section 107, notices and orders, okay. There are some protections there for property owners in Section 107, one of them is 107.24, a notice should include a correction order allowing a reasonable time to make the repairs and improvements required to bring the dwelling, unit or structure into compliance, we are not complaining about notices to bring structures into compliance however that then gives you a reasonable time before the City can fine you for having a violation, if the City then says no we can fine you from the

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

moment that, that we give you the notice even though you get a reasonable time to correct it. If we go up to 106.4, violation penalties, the City claims that there is language there that says we, this does not preclude us from using other laws against property owners, well we are not saying you can't use other laws against property owners, but we are saying that this law, the Property Maintenance Code also says that, that each, it also says in this paragraph , each day that a violation continues after due notice has been served shall be deemed a separate offence and then it gives some language about fines. Due notice there must be read in conjunction with Section 107, which means you get a reasonable time to correct now let's take a step back the Property Maintenance Code and the Unsafe Building Law they both make sense, in fact the make sense according to what Mayor Hall was saying about your warning about cell phones. Property owners can't be expected to have an absolutely perfect property and all moments of the day, every day, and be subject to fines for something they might not even know about, that's why we require them both the General Assembly and the City Council, through the Property Maintenance Code requires some kind of notice to a property owner before you can fine them for having that violation, this is how most cities use code enforcement. Most rental inspections programs, an inspector goes in sees something wrong, you want to fix that or you might get your license taken away, you don't get fined thousands of dollars for

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

small imperfections in either your rental property or your home. I'm sure like most people you might have an imperfection in your home, I have one in, I have some in mine I'm sure, some dirt on a wall or some peeling paint you haven't addressed. Cities don't have the power to fine you thousands or hundreds of thousands of dollars a day on the first notice, because you haven't addressed that imperfection on your property. Now there are some bad properties in Pleasant Ridge that where subject, that did not, were not up to code but they deserve these 10 protections and so do people with nice properties that 11 nevertheless because the City has said this is an all or nothing 12 deal every home must go, they are terrified that any day Mr. 13 Jackson will give them a notice the fines for which might even 14 start when he mailed it, not when they get it, and that they 15 will have no choice but to sell. Now very quickly I would like 16 to go over our equal protection claims in the minute that I have 17 left. All we are saying in both of these claims is that there 18 is unequal treatment in Pleasant Ridge, there is Pleasant Ridge 19 Redevelopment which has all these new homes and then there is everybody else. Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment hasn't had to pay 20 21 fines for code violations that they've got from the previous owners and people live in those homes for some period of time. 22 23 The City wants to redevelop and tear down every home and yet the City is imposing massive fines because they think those homes 24 25 should be torn down haven't been fixed up. There is not a

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

```
rational connection between that policy and a legitimate
1
    governmental interest, if the City really wants better home sin
2
3
    Pleasant Ridge it is not going to be engaging in this policy,
    but there is a connection between an illegitimate interest and
4
5
    that is forcing people to sell to a developer were just the
    arbitrary policy which is not legitimate of homes that you want
 6
7
    to be torn down being fined because they are not being fixed up
    and what the City is doing, so there no rational relationship
8
9
    between the one and the other and therefore it is
10
    unconstitutional under the test that we provided in our briefing
11
    under both the State and Federal Constitutions, and I see my
    twelve (12) minutes have expired.
12
13
              THE COURT: Alright thank you, and you reserve three
14
    (3), go ahead.
15
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: Thank you Your Honor and I
16
    appreciate Mr. Sanders being respectable of the time and I will
17
    try to do the same. I will address each of the three (3) legal
18
    arguments I think the injunction standard I will kind of do what
19
    Mr. Sanders did and put that aside and I think you have that in
    the briefs.
20
21
              THE COURT: I understand that.
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: But this is an issue that
22
23
    engenders a lot of passion and we certainly respect that these
    are people that live in our City and that is important to us and
24
25
    the Mayor said that on the stand, this is something that is
```

important to us, that is why there is all of these permits in place to help people that do want to relocate. But in this impassioned issue sitting and listening to the testimony today It was remarkable to me and frankly unexpected how much of the evidence is really not disputed, really on the core issues. The first one is ugh, that no one in the owner occupied homes, which would be the Plaintiffs like Mrs. Barnes, Mrs. Keith, came forward and said I'm afraid because my home is in violation, there and couldn't have said it any better, when she said no violations no fines. There has to be a correlation there, so this whole case is built on this predicate that people are being forced out of their homes, but we have to step back from that first and realize if people have to comply with the Property Maintenance Code in the first place and if there is a violation of that then we can deal with it through fines. And we have this language that these are small infractions, Mr. Craven testified on the stand about a lattice being broken, there is no evidence that Mr. Jackson has written thousands and thousands of dollars of fines for things like that, in fact there is no evidence in the record at all that Mr. Jackson wrote a single violation that wasn't true. And I, I, and it is not up for you right now and I am not really sure why but the map that was up in front of you all day had red those were all the Pleasant Ridge, LLC homes that have been bought from previously landlords and there were multiple violations, in fact if you look in the

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Exhibits binders and we have spent a lot of time in them today so I won't put you back in them, it is like this thick of violations, none of those are false. So if this is some plan to force people out it certainly doesn't have the any misconduct on the part of Mr. Jackson, he has gone forward and found violations and look what some of these violations are. The Plaintiffs have put the Associations violations front and center so that is obviously where I'll go, these weren't Mr. Sanders words small imperfections these were things like improper wiring 10 that could burn that house down, that could electrocute someone 11 that is a serious concern. Ms. Barnes agreed with me when I 12 asked her about those kind of wiring things she wouldn't want to 13 live in a home like that, that had a wiring risk to her in fact 14 I walked her down all the different parts of the Property Maintenance Code and addressed public safety, she agreed those 16 were all serious legitimate concerns. The firewall was a 17 firewall issue, we saw the number of fire runs being made up there, that isn't a small imperfection that could risk someone's 19 life. Ugh, we had foundations issues so this aren't ticky tacky things and no one else has contested them so we have a ream of 20 21 uncontested violations that were substantial true actual violations of the Property Maintenance Code, that is a core of 22 23 this case, that is to me the pillar, you have to make level of that abstraction before you can start talking about the fines. 24 25 No violation no fine and there are no violations there and I, I

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

15

hear a home owner like Mrs. Keith take the stand and I think she is here, yeah there she is, you can see the pride exuding off her, she is obviously proud of her home, we don't debate that she is a proud Charlestown resident. Is there some reason to think that there is a violation within her home there is no evidence presented there? Mrs. Barnes testified that she lovely takes care of her home that it is above normal, that she treats it special again no reason to think there is a violation there, so this whole predicate is not there. Ugh, on the, I guess I will move along given time cited we have had a long day. On the Unsafe Building Act we really have to start with the Home Rule Act. The Home Rule Act is the kind of tie breaker in Indiana Municipal Law, it sets out what cities can and cannot do, and what it did was take a long standing rule you will see it called Dillon's Rule in the cases and say, Dillon's Rule said that cities only have what a statute expressly gives them in this turned out to be a real handcuff on development, on the administration of cities, relegations within cities and so in 1980 when the Home Rule Act was passed the General Assembly said enough of that we're going to do 180 flip and we're are going to say instead of presuming that cities don't have powers, we are going to give cities all powers expressly granted by statute but also any power that is not expressly taken away and so the Home Rule Act goes a step further and says, to carry out that really strong public policy in fostering the municipal, local municipal

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

control because after all they say they government, government 1 best is the government that governs locally, so the General 2 3 Assembly turns the authority back over to the municipalities. To carry that out there is a presumption that we presume that the 4 5 powers exists unless it clearly doesn't and Your Honor I will provide the authorities that citing here in a moment but, but 6 7 there is an explicit provision in the Home Rule Act that says that. And it says if there is any doubt that the resolution is 8 9 resolved in favor of the finding municipal power. So here are 10 the statutes that the Plaintiffs say is the Unsafe Building Act, 11 well first of all that Act is voluntary and of course the City did opt into the Unsafe Building Act, but that is hardly the 12 13 mandatory statue that would preempt the local government 14 control. But what's going on here is we have a broad state's 15 statute that sets out really broad state's standards about 16 Unsafe Building Act and we have a local Ordinance that sets out 17 very specific standards, what your wiring has to be, what your 18 foundation has to be, what kind of smoke alarms you have to 19 have, where they are, where is your firewall, very specific standards. You can very easily have a situation where you have 20 21 a violation of the Property Maintenance Code because some very specific provision is not being met, you know a home that is 22 23 perfect in every other way but has the wiring problems that Mr. Jackson referred to, that might not qualify as an unsafe 24 25 building under the Unsafe Building Act but it sure as heck

violates the Property Maintenance Code. If you had them reversed well if you have something that violates the Unsafe Building Act and not the Property Maintenance Code, they are two separate systems, they are enforced separately. The Plaintiffs are clinging to a enforcement mechanism imbedded within the Unsafe Building Code, that makes since within the statute because it has broad standards that need to be tested on a case by case bases, so I f a building is quote on quote "unsafe" under the thing, the Act you need to go into Court and enforce that, you need to have notice and those kinds of procedures because there is not a specific standard being met. That's why there is this more elaborate enforcement system under the Unsafe Building Act. But we are not enforcing that Act, what we are enforcing is our Ordinance, and Mr. Sanders points to the form, but the form very clearly says, this is, you have a violation of the Unsafe Building Act citing it by, by code number or the Property Maintenance Code citing it by code number, it says and /or and yet the City is using the same form for both violations. There is a certain amount of efficacy there I don't think the City necessarily losses its Home Rule Act powers because it has a more efficient type of form. Ugh, the Plaintiffs have also contested that well you went and got a warrant under the Unsafe Building Act and that is true, because the Property Maintenance Code specifically incorporates a warrant requirement and the warrant procedures of the Unsafe Building Act into the Property

1

2

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Maintenance Code, it doesn't do that for the enforcement procedures, in fact I think that silence is deafening, if the 3 Property Maintenance Code meant to be some kind of corollary or subsidiary of the Unsafe Building Act and to adopt its 5 enforcement procedures it would have done the same thing it would have done the same thing it did with the warrant part, it 6 7 would have adopted it by code reference. But it didn't do that it has its own enforcement procedures which I will get to in a second. Ugh, in all the stacks upon stacks of violations the 10 Unsafe Building, I'm sorry, in all the stacks upon stacks of 11 violations the Property Maintenance Code is cited every single time including in the Plaintiff Association violations, it 12 13 points out the specific part of the Property Maintenance Code 14 being violated, and so the argument goes that Home Rule Act 15 requires the cities and towns to enforce and carry out their 16 powers in any manor expressly required by state law. But there 17 is no State Law that says this City has to carry out and enforce 18 its Ordinance in any particular way, it does have to carry out 19 the Unsafe Building Act in a particular way and the City does so when it is enforcing that, but when we are enforcing a specific 20 21 clearly delineated portions of the Unsafe, I'm sorry the Property Maintenance Code, a different set of enforcement powers 22 23 apply. So that takes us to the argument that the statute, I'm sorry, the Property Maintenance Code doesn't allow for an 24 25 immediate penalty, what the Plaintiffs are saying is that it

1

2

4

8

doesn't matter how serious the violation, how dangerous it is, whether the Plaintiff or Owner had notice of it, whether 3 someone's life was put at risk or how unsafe the condition is that get a free pass, that they get notice and a chance to fix 5 it ugh regardless. Of course that doesn't make any since the Plaintiffs say that ultimately that the Unsafe Building Act 6 7 would have no meaning if there wasn't this type of notice, but this is simply not true. To give teeth to this provision there has to be the ability to have a fine for a violation, and the 10 key provisions are in 106 of the Property Maintenance Code the 11 very first part of 106, 106.1 says that a violation occurs 12 anytime ugh, there, ugh, it, it is unlawful, let me read the 13 exact provision, it shall be unlawful for a person, firm or 14 cooperation to be in conflict with for violation of any 15 provision of this code. No waiting period there if there was 16 going to be some kind of a grace period ugh it would have been 17 right there, but it says a violation happens immediately it's 18 unlawful, immediately when a violation of the Property 19 Maintenance Code occurs. The Plaintiffs say instead that well if we look at provision 106.4 it says that before, I'm sorry 20 21 106.3 before you can bring an action to prosecute, an order, there has to be an order and there is another provision of the 22 23 code 107 that requires there to be a giving of notice explaining what the violations were and how to fix them. What the 24 25 Plaintiffs are missing here is that, that is talking about the

1

2

4

8

enforcement of the order and whether the infraction penalties that exists under the Indiana law can be used as kind of a kick in and give an extra penalty on to that we are not prosecuting these as infractions we are prosecuting them as an Ordinance violations. But if someone disobeys an order 106.3 in the provision that the Plaintiff are relying on allows the City to go into Court and prosecute it as an infraction, that is a serious thing, not only because an infraction has a you know a Class A infraction goes all the way up to \$10,000 dollars and ugh allows the City to reduce that amount to a judgment which in turn allows for a lean. Well we are doing something much less here under 106.4 which allows penalties for violation and ugh, it allows, the 107 notice that is given isn't meaningless as Mr. Sanders suggest under that system because not only do we want fines under the Property Maintenance Code but we want people to fix their property, if possible. Ugh, and the Order tells them to go do so if we have countless people maybe we need to go to a Ordinance infraction proceedings and actually prosecute them, that is the word used in the rule in the regulation 106.3 actually prosecuted as an Ordinance infraction and, and go from there to, to have your means. But that is the fundamental difference between 106.3 and the enforcement of an order and 106.4 which is a violation of a code. So there is ugh probation on and there is no free pass given in the Property Maintenance Code. And I want to touch on the Equal Protection there is a

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

lot going on there, but let's go right to the heart and soul of it and that is under Equal protection there is different strata, there is the highest level of reviews which strict scrutiny which is reserved as we all remember back to law school for certain protected classes. We are not way up here, we are way down here on a rational basis review, the most lenient level of review so lenient that the Supreme Court says and the Seventh Circuit has said and the Indiana's Courts have said that under rational basis review if there is any conceivable basis for a distinction being drawn by a Government body, if it passed. And the reason for this is in fractional basis review had any more teeth than that ugh it would swallow government whole, you wouldn't be able to take any action, because we would always be second guessed in litigation. Ugh, so what is the rational basis here, well you have two different types of landowners, two different types of property owners, we have one group that adamantly ugh, over and over says we want to stay in our homes, we don't want to leave, we want to live here and ugh, you know and with all due respect, I don't mean that as a disparagement at all, they want to live in their homes, that is what we are here to talk about. We have another group of landowners who are telling us we are going to demolish these homes that are, we may have some people living in there now but, because we are not going to do a mass eviction but these folks, or when then leave, these homes will be eventually be demolished. So the

1

2

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

enforcement system makes since when you have someone telling us and telling us here in Court that this my home I want to live here, well we need those people to comply with the Property Maintenance Code, but when there are other folks who committed to demolishing these homes and have no intention of living there long term it makes much less since to enforce the Property Maintenance Code as to the folks and to have fines as to those folks. The fines gives these things, the Ordinance teeth, if there is no fine there is no, there is no ugh, reason for people to ugh comply with the code. If they know they are going to get a free pass every time a violation is found, they will just wait for the inspection and that will get us back to all the problems that we have had in Pleasant Ridge that we have discussed here today. So we need property owners to know that if there are violations on their property it is going to be enforced, if the property owners like our Plaintiffs in this case have the intention of maintaining that property long term, other property owners who don't have that, the need for that teeth it just isn't there, those homes are going to be demolished, that is the end of the story, that is more than a rational basis given this record. Thank You Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you very much. Last few minutes. MR ANTHONY SANDERS: Thank you Your Honor. We don't dispute that violations should be fixed, this is about procedure, about giving people notice, not about the substance

1

2

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

of those violations. Now one of those substance violations that was just brought up for example was electrical wiring and other problems in that were brought up in the Association's home that were promptly fixed. Well these other homes that were sold to Pleasant Ridge Redevelopment which there were violations some some orders with many violations on them, none of them were forced to be fixed after they were sold even though people live there for months so the fire that Mr. Crandley brought up that could happen from electrical wiring that could have happened in one of those homes during the many months that people were living there, the City didn't force them to do that. It shows the reason for this is not health and safety. The reason for this is full scale redevelopment, full scale redevelopment which the General Assembly in its reforms after the Kelo versus City of New London case was issued in 2005 said, we don't want in Indiana any more if you want to take someone home for redevelopment that home its self has to have a big problem. Uhm, there are other tools just that fines under the Property Maintenance Code for other provisions under the Unsafe Building Law, you can injunctions, orders, you can have commendation if the property is bad enough there all kinds of tools that cities have, other than immediate fines before there is any notice. Also about the Unsafe Building Law, the Unsafe Building Law incorporates local ordinances in its own, in its own language under, under its provisions it says something that could be

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

unsafe is something that is a building that is in violation of a local ordinance, the two work hand in hand and therefore you get the protections of those procedures, when the local ordinance is being enforced as the city has done, all along, by incorporated, by using both in tandem. The, also about the scope of Home Rule powers, I mentioned this earlier but I think I will bring it up again in the Home Rule Act itself, its Act itself, it says if there is a constitutional or statutory provision requiring a specific manor for exercising a power a unit wanting to exercise that power must do so in that manner. There are other regulations on local building ordinances beyond just what I, what I have discussed, for example there is Indiana Code 221325 which says that for Class 1 and Class 2 structures like we are talking about here the State Fire and Safety Commission has to approve new ordinances, like the 2008 Property Maintenance Code, that is a check by the State Government on municipalities. Also on the, on the Equal Protection Issue the Counsel mentioned the standard under Federal Law actual the standard under the Indiana Constitution is a little bit higher and requires a little bit more of the Government we explained this in the brief but I want to direct Your Honor attention to case Paul Stieler Enterprises from 2014 from the Indiana Supreme Court, which explains that the roots of this, of this provision in the Indiana Constitution are when government and big business get together and team up against someone else and the standard under the Indiana

1

2

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

```
Constitution is that it is not any conceivable interest there is
1
    some, some scope of reality about what the interest actually is.
2
3
    We also say that under the Federal Constitution we argue under
    Federal Constitution that is not permissive as, as Counsel
4
5
    points out, but definitely under the Indiana Constitution there
    is a narrowing and it can't be just because the City is going to
 6
7
    financially benefit from the law that it, that it escapes that
    it is permissible, there has to be more than that. Your Honor I
8
9
    think I have gone over my extra three minutes so I ask that you
10
    issue this proposed order this narrow preliminary injunction, so
11
    my clients can be safe in their homes as this case moves
12
    forward.
13
              THE COURT: Alright, well I thank you both, thank you
    all for your preparation and your civility today, I think I know
14
15
    it is very tough topic here for everybody and I appreciate the
16
    wittiness answers the questions in a civil manner and the
    attorneys behaving in the same manner. I have a lot of material
17
18
    to look over and I will do so.
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: I hate to bring this up, you just
19
    said you have a lot of material to look over so I hate to even
20
21
    bring this up.
              THE COURT: Yes yes I was about to say.
22
23
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: We have a proposed findings in
    this case since it is an injunction and we will need to prepare
24
25
    those, I spoke briefly with Mr. Sanders my proposal would be
```

```
that we submit them in within three weeks, if that work for Your
1
2
    Honor.
3
              THE COURT: That would be fine.
              MR ANTHONY SANDERS: That works for us to.
 4
5
              THE COURT: Yes that will work if you both want to
    submit proposed facts and then you mentioned citations I don't
 6
7
    know, I know there is a lot of citations in the brief but if
    there is any other citations that you want to give me now or get
8
9
    them to me by next Friday.
10
              MR ANTHONY SANDERS: What do you mean by, additions
11
    cases?
              THE COURT: Case law, he did mention that.
12
13
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: I did, I appreciate that you
14
    reminded me, if I may approach You Honor.
15
              THE COURT: You may.
              MR. MARK CRANDLEY: This is material I cited in the
16
17
    brief.
              THE COURT: So if you would like to supplement any
18
19
    case law, citations you can do that by a week from Friday.
              MR ANTHONY SANDERS: Would that, I say this because
20
21
    lawyers like to talk, and we will both talk if you are not
    careful, does that include any briefing or just citations?
22
23
              THE COURT: No I am just saying here are some cases
    that we would like for you to take a look at or statutory law.
24
25
              MR ANTHONY SANDERS: Okay three weeks from today
```

```
proposed findings and facts and conclusions of law?
1
2
              THE COURT: That sounds good, so I will go on the
3
    clock once I get those things, okay.
              MR ANTHONY SANDERS: Yes Your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Thank you very much.
          (Off the record at 4:27 PM)
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION I, Cindy S Bonsett, a court approved Court reporter , do hereby certify that the forgoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. Cindy S. Bonsett, 9-29-17 Cindy S. Bonsett Official Court Reporter 

TheRecordXchange v4.3

TheRecordXchange v4.3

CERTIFICATION I, Cindy S Bonsett, a court approved Court reporter , do hereby certify that the forgoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. Cindy S. Bonsett, 9-29-17 Cindy S. Bonsett Official Court Reporter 

TheRecordXchange v4.3