FILED
December 28, 2020
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Respondent's Exhibit No. 1 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Cause No. 44970-S3 Page 1

VERIFIED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANIEL L. DOUGLAS

- 1 Q1. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A1. My name is Daniel L. Douglas. My business address is 801 East 86th Avenue,
- 3 Merrillville, Indiana 46410. I am Senior Vice President Gas Operations for
- 4 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC ("NIPSCO").
- 5 Q2. Please briefly describe your educational and business experience.
- 6 A2. I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Finance and Accounting from Cedarville 7 University. I also received a Master of Business Administration degree from the 8 Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University. 9 employed by NiSource, NIPSCO's parent company, and NIPSCO in various 10 departments for over fifteen years. I began my employment with NiSource in 1997 11 in the unregulated businesses focused on financial budgeting and analysis. I 12 continued to work in finance and strategic roles with increasing responsibility 13 through August 2008. In September 2008, I began working for Exelon Corporation as Manager of Corporate Strategy. In September 2012, I returned to NIPSCO, 14 15 accepting a position as Director of the Transmission Commercial Operations. In

| 1 | June 2013, I became Executive Director of Strategic Planning for NIPSCO, and in |
|---|---|
| 2 | June 2016 I was named as Vice President, Corporate Strategy and Development |
| 3 | for NiSource. In January 2019, I accepted my current position as Senior Vice |
| 4 | President Gas Operations. |

5 Q3. What are your responsibilities as Senior Vice President Gas Operations?

- A3. As Senior Vice President Gas Operations, I am responsible for leadership of all aspects of NIPSCO's gas utility including its field operations and financial performance.
- 9 Q4. Have you previously testified before this or any other regulatory commission?
- 10 A4. Yes. I previously provided testimony before the Indiana Utility Regulatory 11 Commission (the "Commission") relating to the 2017 violations for at-fault 12 damages in Cause No. 44970-S1 and 2018 violations for at-fault damages in Cause 13 No. 44970-S2 I also previously provided testimony before the Commission in two 14 of NIPSCO's Regional Transmission Organization tracker filings (Cause Nos. 15 44156-RTO-3 and RTO-4) as well as in support of NIPSCO's request for approval of and a certificate of public convenience and necessity for a federally mandated 16 17 Environmental Compliance Project in Cause No. 44872.

Q5. What is the purpose of your direct testimony in this proceeding?

18

| 1 | A5. | The purpose of my direct testimony is to address recommendations of the Pipeline |
|---|-----|---|
| 2 | | Safety Division detailed in the testimony of William Boyd, Director Pipeline Safety |
| 3 | | Division that was filed in this Cause. I discuss NIPSCO's 2019 at-fault damage |
| 4 | | violations and the Pipeline Safety Division's request that the Commission assess |
| 5 | | civil penalties for NIPSCO's performance related to these damages under the |
| 6 | | Settlement Agreement between NIPSCO and the Commission's Pipeline Safety |
| 7 | | Division approved by the Commission in Cause No. 44970 (the "44970 |
| 8 | | Settlement"). |
| | | |

- 9 Q6. Is NIPSCO in agreement with the number of 2019 violations for at-fault
 10 damages cited by the Pipeline Safety Division in Mr. Boyd's testimony?
- 12 A6. Yes. For the calendar year 2019, investigation by the Pipeline Safety Division
 12 determined that NIPSCO was responsible for 234 damages to its pipelines under
 13 Ind. Code § 8-1-26-18. The Indiana Underground Plan Protection Advisory
 14 Committee (UPPAC) subsequently recommended penalties for each of these
 15 violations that were later approved by the Commission. NIPSCO self-reported all
 16 of these damages and agrees with the Pipeline Safety Division that the correct
 17 number of its at-fault damages which resulted in a violation in 2019 is 234.

| 1 | Q7. | Does NIPSCO agree with the Pipeline Safety Division's interpretation of the | | |
|--|-----|---|--|--|
| 2 | | 44970 Settlement as it relates to assessment of civil penalties for NIPSCO's 2019 | | |
| 3 | | locate performance? | | |
| 4 | A7. | Yes. NIPSCO Agrees that the 44970 Settlement allows the Pipeline Safety Division | | |
| 5 | | at its discretion to request the Commission approve penalties in two categories of | | |
| 6 | | potential violations: (1) damages caused by NIPSCO's failure to locate its | | |
| 7 | | underground pipelines in two full working days or for NIPSCO's failure to mark | | |
| 8 | | its facilities accurately, and (2) certain instances where NIPSCO fails to locate its | | |
| 9 | | facilities and fails to contact the excavator within two full working days. | | |
| | | | | |
| 10 | Q8. | Is NIPSCO in agreement with the methodology used by the Pipeline Safety | | |
| 10 11 | Q8. | Is NIPSCO in agreement with the methodology used by the Pipeline Safety Division to calculate the proposed penalty of \$1,138,000? | | |
| | Q8. | | | |
| 11 | | Division to calculate the proposed penalty of \$1,138,000? | | |
| 11 12 | | Division to calculate the proposed penalty of \$1,138,000? Yes. As stated above, NIPSCO agrees that the 44970 Settlement allows the Pipeline | | |
| 111213 | | Division to calculate the proposed penalty of \$1,138,000? Yes. As stated above, NIPSCO agrees that the 44970 Settlement allows the Pipeline Safety Division at its discretion to request the Commission approve a civil penalty | | |
| 11121314 | | Division to calculate the proposed penalty of \$1,138,000? Yes. As stated above, NIPSCO agrees that the 44970 Settlement allows the Pipeline Safety Division at its discretion to request the Commission approve a civil penalty for violations resulting from 2019 at-fault damages to its pipelines. Also as stated | | |
| 1112131415 | | Division to calculate the proposed penalty of \$1,138,000? Yes. As stated above, NIPSCO agrees that the 44970 Settlement allows the Pipeline Safety Division at its discretion to request the Commission approve a civil penalty for violations resulting from 2019 at-fault damages to its pipelines. Also as stated earlier, NIPSCO agrees that it had 234 at-fault damage violations in 2019. The | | |

| 1 | table below shows NIPSCO's calculation for the 234 at-fault damages in 2019 |
|---|--|
| 2 | utilizing the provisions of the 44970 Settlement. NIPSCO's calculation is in |
| 3 | agreement with the Pipeline Safety Division's recommendation of \$1,138,000. |

| At Fault Damages | Civil Penalty per Damage per | Total Amount of Civil | |
|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| per Year | 44970 Settlement | Penalty | |
| 0-25 | \$2,500 | \$62,500 | |
| 26-50 | \$3,000 | \$75,000 | |
| 51-75 | \$4,000 | \$100,000 | |
| 76-100 | \$4,500 | \$112,500 | |
| 101-150 | \$5,000 | \$250,000 | |
| 151-200 | \$6,000 | \$300,000 | |
| 200+ | \$7,000 | \$238,000 | |
| TOTAL | | \$1,138,000 | |

5 Q9. What is your recommendation in this subdocket?

- A9. It is my recommendation that the Commission approve the Pipeline Safety

 Division's proposed civil penalty of \$1,138,000 for NIPSCO's 2019 locate

 performance.
- 9 Q10. Does this conclude your prefiled direct testimony?
- 10 A10. Yes.

4

VERIFICATION

I, Daniel L. Douglas, Senior Vice President Gas Operations for Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC, affirm under penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Daniel L. Douglas

Dated: December 28, 2020