FILED
February 23, 2021
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### **STATE OF INDIANA**

### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITION OF INDIANA UTILITIES	)
CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL	)
OF CHANGES IN ITS GAS COST	) CAUSE NO. 37357 GCA – 110
ADJUSTMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH	)
IND. CODE § 8-1-2-42 (g)	)

PREFILED TESTIMONY

**OF** 

FRANK CZESCHIN

ON BEHALF OF
INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION

### PREFILED TESTIMONY OF FRANK CZESCHIN ON BEHALF OF INDIANA UTILITIES CORPORATION IURC CAUSE NO. 37357- GCA 110

#### 1 Q.1. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

- A. My name is Frank Czeschin. My business address is 123 West Chestnut Street, Corydon,
- 3 Indiana 47112.
- 4 Q.2. ARE YOU AFFILIATED WITH THE PETITIONER, INDIANA UTILITIES
- 5 **CORPORATION?**
- 6 A. Yes. I currently serve as the President of the Petitioner.
- 7 Q.3. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL OR PROFESSIONAL
- 8 EXPERIENCES WHICH YOU BELIEVE ARE RELEVANT TO THIS
- 9 **PROCEEDING.**
- 10 A. Ihold a B.S. Degree in Business Administration from Indiana University. For eleven years,
- I served in various management roles with Indiana Gas Company. Prior to serving as
- 12 President of the Petitioner, I served as Vice-President of the Petitioner.
- 13 Q.4. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION?
- 14 A. Yes. I have testified on numerous occasions in various proceedings, including prior GCA
- proceedings.
- 16 Q.5. MR. CZESCHIN, ARE YOU GENERALLY AWARE OF THE COMMISSION'S
- 17 FOCUS IN GCA PROCEEDINGS?
- 18 A. Yes, I am. I have reviewed various Commission GCA orders, have discussed the GCA
- process with numerous other gas utilities, and have actively participated with other small

- gas utilities in the Commission's investigation of the GCA process in Cause No. 44374. I
- have also reviewed the Commission's Final Order in Cause No. 44374 and discussed it
- with our counsel of record and our accountants, LWG CPAs and Advisors ("LWG").
- 4 Q.6. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE PETITION AND SCHEDULES WHICH
- 5 INITIATED THIS CAUSE WERE FILED IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE
- 6 COMMISSION'S ORDER IN CAUSE NO. 44374?
- 7 A. Yes. I believe they were.
- 8 Q.7. DID YOU PREPARE THE SCHEDULES?
- 9 A. No. I provided information to our accountants at LWG who actually prepared these
- schedules.
- 11 Q.8. DID YOU PREPARE THE PETITION WHICH INITIATED THIS CAUSE?
- 12 A. No. However, I reviewed the Petition, which was prepared by our counsel, prior to its
- being filed with the Commission
- 14 Q.9. MR CZESCHIN, WHAT IS YOUR EXPERIENCE IN THE PURCHASE OF
- 15 NATURAL GAS FOR THIS PETITIONER?
- 16 A. I have been involved in the purchase of natural gas for this Petitioner since I began working
- with this Petitioner. Currently I am the primary individual involved in decisions on
- purchasing of natural gas for our company.
- 19 Q.10. HAS THE PETITIONER'S ESTIMATING OR PURCHASING STRATEGIES
- 20 CHANGED FROM THAT YOU DESCRIBED TO THE COMMISSION IN GCA
- 21 **109?**
- 22 A. No.

#### 1 Q.11. THE COMMISSION HAS ASKED NATURAL GAS UTILITIES TO EXPLAIN

- 2 WHAT STEPS ARE TAKEN TO MITIGATE GAS PRICE VOLATILITY?
- 3 A. Petitioner historically purchases fixed contracts and manages the usage of the gas provided
- by those contracts. We use storage gas which is priced at typically low summer prices.
- We have initiated a GCA flex mechanism. We use a normal temperature adjustment
- 6 mechanism. We regularly review NYMEX prices to try to stay abreast of any significant
- 7 changes in natural gas pricing. I believe all of these actions help mitigate volatility for our
- 8 customers.
- 9 Q.12. WHAT GCA FACTORS ARE BEING REQUESTED IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 10 A. Petitioner has initially proposed \$3.7387/Dth for May; \$3.8153/Dth for June; \$3.8089/Dth
- 11 for July; \$3.8068/Dth for August; \$3.7839/Dth for September; and \$3.6471/Dth for
- 12 October.
- 13 Q.13. DO YOU BELIEVE THOSE FACTORS ARE REASONABLE GCA FACTORS?
- 14 A. Yes I do, based on the information available as the schedules were being prepared.
- 15 Q.14. MR. CZESCHIN, WILL PETITIONER HAVE ANY HEDGING IN PLACE FOR
- 16 THE MONTHS OF THIS GCA?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q.15. DURING THE RECONCILIATION PERIOD, DID THE COMPANY UTILIZE
- 19 **ANY HEDGING?**
- A. Yes we did.

- 1 Q.16. WHAT IS THE TWELVE MONTH ROLLING AVERAGE COMPARING
- 2 ESTIMATED GAS COSTS TO ACTUAL GAS COSTS FOR THE PERIOD
- 3 ENDING October 2020?
- 4 A. -0.64%.
- 5 Q.17. BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE, IS PETITIONER ACQUIRING NATURAL
- 6 GAS AT THE LOWEST GAS COST REASONABLY POSSIBLE?
- 7 A. Yes, it is. Petitioner has acquired and will continue to acquire natural gas based on:
- 8 NYMEX estimates, use of risk analysis, consideration of historic information,
- 9 consideration of trends in gas pricing, and use of fixed contracts, storage, and spot gas as
- part of our mix of supply. Using that approach, I believe Petitioner has acquired natural
- gas at the lowest cost reasonably possible.
- 12 Q.18. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS CAUSE?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.

# VERIFICATION

I affirm under the penalties for perjury that the foregoing is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief as of the date here filed.

Frank Czeschin

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2021, by electronic transmission to the following:

Jason Haas
Heather Poole
Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
115 West Washington Street, Suite 1500 South
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
thaas@oucc.in.gov
hpoole@oucc.in.gov
infomgt@oucc.in.gov

L. Parvin Price

19110711v2