FILED January 2, 2019 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

| PETITION OF NORTHERN INDIANA |) | |
|---|---|-----------------|
| PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LLC |) | |
| PURSUANT TO IND. CODE §§ 8-1-2-42.7, 8-1- |) | |
| 2-61 AND IND. CODE § 8-1-2.5-6 FOR (1) |) | |
| AUTHORITY TO MODIFY ITS RATES AND |) | |
| CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC UTILITY |) | |
| SERVICE THROUGH A PHASE IN OF |) | |
| RATES; (2) APPROVAL OF NEW |) | CAUSE NO. 45159 |
| SCHEDULES OF RATES AND CHARGES, |) | |
| GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, |) | |
| AND RIDERS; (3) APPROVAL OF REVISED |) | |
| COMMON AND ELECTRIC DEPRECIATION |) | |
| RATES APPLICABLE TO ITS ELECTRIC |) | |
| PLANT IN SERVICE; (4) APPROVAL OF |) | |
| NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE |) | |
| ACCOUNTING RELIEF; AND (5) APPROVAL |) | |
| OF A NEW SERVICE STRUCTURE FOR |) | |
| INDUSTRIAL RATES. |) | |
| | | |

PETITIONER'S SUBMISSION OF FIRST SET OF CORRECTIONS

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC ("NIPSCO"), by counsel,

respectfully submits the attached corrections to its prepared case-in-chief:

Direct Testimony of Michael Hooper (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2)

Page 7, Line 9, delete "As discussed further below," to correct a scrivener's error.

Page 12, Line 7, replace the word "margin" with the word "revenue" in the Question to match what was provided in the Answer.

Page 14, Line 3, delete "as further discussed below," to correct a scrivener's error.

Direct Testimony of Curt A. Westerhausen, Petitioner's Exhibit No. 19, Attachment 19-A

NIPSCO's proposed Electric Tariff, Original Sheet No. 45, Rule 15.3, change "After Hours / Same Day Charge of \$55.00" to "After Hours / Same Day Charge of \$75.00" and Rule 15.4, change "Trip Charge in the amount of \$40.00" to "Trip Charge in the amount of \$55.00" to reflect the numbers included in the previously filed Verified Direct Testimony of Curt A. Westerhausen (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 19), Attachment 19-D (workpapers supporting the Reconnection and Trip Charges) and NIPSCO's working paper required by 170 IAC 1-5-16(a)(3)(B). This was a scrivener's error in the Tariff.

Direct Testimony of Curt A. Westerhausen, Petitioner's Exhibit No. 19, Attachment 19-D

Pages [.4], [.6], [.7], [.10], [.11] and [.13]. Since Attachment 19-D is an excel file and unable to redline, NIPSCO has provided a document describing those changes. NIPSCO is not requesting a change to its rates proposed in this proceeding.

Direct Testimony of Benjamin Felton, Petitioner's Exhibit No. 7

Page 7, Line 11, replace "NIPSCO has 61 transmission substations" with "NIPSCO has 63 transmission substations" to correct a scrivener's error.

Direct Testimony of Jennifer L. Shikany, Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3

Page 95, Line 14, replace "\$106,140,745 and \$143,252,315" with "\$102,923,994 and \$142,329,364." This change was made to reflect the proper net book value of Baily Units 7 and 8.

Unless noted above, NIPSCO is providing a clean and redlined version of the revised pages. The clean version will be included in the court reporter's copies offered into evidence at the hearing. Respectfully submitted,

Claude . E. I. 1

Claudia J. Earls (No. 8468-49) NiSource Corporate Services – Legal 150 West Market Street, Suite 600 Indianapolis, IN 46204 Telephone: (317) 684-4923 Facsimile: (317) 684-4918 <u>cjearls@nisource.com</u>

Attorney for Petitioner Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served upon

the following via electronic transmission this 2nd day of January, 2019 to:

OUCC

Jeffrey M. Reed Office of Utility Consumer Counselor 115 W. Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 <u>jreed@oucc.in.gov</u> <u>infomgt@oucc.in.gov</u>

US STEEL Nikki G. Shoultz Kristina Kern Wheeler Jeffery A. Earl Bose McKinney & Evans LLP 111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 <u>nshoultz@boselaw.com</u> <u>kwheeler@boselaw.com</u> jearl@boselaw.com

NLMK INDIANA Anne E. Becker Lewis & Kappes, P.C. One American Square, Suite 2500 Indianapolis, Indiana 46282 <u>abecker@lewis-kappes.com</u>

NIPSCO INDUSTRIAL GROUP

Bette J. Dodd Todd A. Richardson Joseph P. Rompala Lewis & Kappes, P.C. One American Square, Suite 2500 Indianapolis, Indiana 46282 <u>bdodd@lewis-kappes.com</u> <u>trichardson@lewis-kappes.com</u> jrompala@lewis-kappes.com

CITIZENS ACTION COALITION

Jennifer A. Washburn Margo L. Tucker Citizens Action Coalition 1915 West 18th Street, Suite C Indianapolis, Indiana 46202 <u>jwashburn@citact.org</u> <u>mtucker@citact.org</u>

NLMK INDIANA

James W. Brew Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW, 9th Floor, West, Tower, Washington, DC 20007 jbrew@smxblaw.com

INDIANA MUNICIPAL UTILITY GROUP

Robert M. Glennon Robert Glennon & Assoc., P.C. 3697 N. Co. Rd. 500 E Danville, Indiana 46122 <u>robertglennonlaw@gmail.com</u>

WALMART

Eric E. Kinder Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 300 Kanawha Boulevard, East P.O. Box 273 Charleston, West Virginia 25321 <u>ekinder@spilmanlaw.com</u>

Barry A. Naum Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC 1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101 Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania 17050 <u>bnaum@spilmanlaw.com</u> SIERRA CLUB Kathryn A. Watson Cantrell Strenski & Mehringer, LLP 150 West Market Street, Suite 800 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 kwatson@csmlawfirm.com

Claude . E. I.

Claudia J. Earls

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Revised Page 7

| 1 | | August 27, 2019, which is the end of the 300 day schedule, the Company's |
|----|------|--|
| 2 | | "Step 1" rates will be calculated to reflect the actual rate base, and related |
| 3 | | depreciation expense, as well as the actual capital structure as of June 30, |
| 4 | | 2019, to become effective on September 1, 2019. Once approved, these rates |
| 5 | | would remain in effect until replaced by Commission approved rates as |
| 6 | | part of NIPSCO's proposed "Step 2" compliance filing. |
| 7 | | In "Step 2" NIPSCO would recalculate rates to reflect actual rate base, and |
| 8 | | related depreciation expense, as well as the actual capital structure as of |
| 9 | | December 31, 2019. NIPSCO will also recalculate the demand charge for |
| 10 | | Rate 831 based upon actual firm demand. NIPSCO anticipates making a |
| 11 | | compliance filing using those new calculations in February 2020 with rates |
| 12 | | going into effect upon approval of the Commission. |
| 13 | Q12. | Does NIPSCO's request in this proceeding satisfy the statutory "fifteen |
| 14 | | month rule" for a general rate case? |
| 15 | A12. | Yes. Consistent with Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(a), NIPSCO's Petition in this |
| 16 | | Cause was filed more than 15 months after October 1, 2015, the date the |
| 17 | | Company filed its petition in Cause No. 44688, which was the most recent |

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Revised Page 12

| 1 | | (Cause No. 43969) and expanded in our 2015 electric rate case (Cause No. |
|----|------|--|
| 2 | | 44688). The interruptible credits and attendant registration of |
| 3 | | approximately 530 MWs of Load Modifying Resources at Midcontinent |
| 4 | | Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO") facilitated the retirement of |
| 5 | | NIPSCO's Bailly Generating Units 7 and 8. Included in this filing are the |
| 6 | | cost savings from the retirement of the coal-fired Bailly Generating Units. |
| 7 | Q19. | What is NIPSCO's overall revenue increase requested in this proceeding? |
| 8 | A19. | Approximately \$21.4 million, or 1.4% above the revenue requirement of |
| 9 | | NIPSCO's 2015 rate case plus the inclusion of trackers that NIPSCO |
| 10 | | customers will be paying by the time the Step 2 rates in this proceeding are |
| 11 | | implemented. |
| 12 | Q20. | This does not appear to be a substantial request for increased revenues, |
| 13 | | how would you characterize this case? |
| 14 | A20. | As further explained by NIPSCO Witness Kelly, this is a policy case dealing |
| 15 | | with the changing energy marketplace. |
| 16 | Q21. | Please briefly explain NIPSCO's rationale for its proposed response to |
| 17 | | this changing energy marketplace. |

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Revised Page 14

| 1 | Q23. | Are there any additional potential cost savings that over time might offset |
|----|-------------|---|
| 2 | | the loss of firm industrial load? |
| 3 | A23. | Yes, NIPSCO's generation needs will change over time, and its remaining |
| 4 | | firm customers will receive the benefits of those changes. |
| | <u>Adju</u> | stments Made to NIPSCO's Revenue Requirements |
| 5 | Q24. | Please briefly identify the Revenue Requirement Adjustments that you |
| 6 | | are discussing. |
| 7 | A24. | I discuss the policy rationale for our proposed depreciation rates, our |
| 8 | | proposal for treatment of excess ADIT, our proposal for the treatment of |
| 9 | | various RTO charges, off system sales and Rider 776 revenues. |
| 10 | Q25. | Please explain NIPSCO's proposals related to depreciation. |
| 11 | A25. | As discussed above and as more fully explained by NIPSCO Witness |
| 12 | | Augustine, NIPSCO's coal-fired generating units have seen a decrease in |
| 13 | | utilization in the economically optimized MISO market. With current |
| 14 | | natural gas prices, NIPSCO's coal-fired generating units that have |
| 15 | | historically been used to serve base-load find themselves being the |
| 16 | | marginal units. As more fully explained by NIPSCO Witness Felton, |
| 17 | | ramping up and down aging coal units causes increased maintenance costs |
| 18 | | |

| | | Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC <u>Revised</u> Page 7 | |
|----|------|--|---|
| 1 | | August 27, 2019, which is the end of the 300 day schedule, the Company's | |
| 2 | | "Step 1" rates will be calculated to reflect the actual rate base, and related | |
| 3 | | depreciation expense, as well as the actual capital structure as of June 30, | |
| 4 | | 2019, to become effective on September 1, 2019. Once approved, these rates | |
| 5 | | would remain in effect until replaced by Commission approved rates as | |
| 6 | | part of NIPSCO's proposed "Step 2" compliance filing. | |
| 7 | | In "Step 2" NIPSCO would recalculate rates to reflect actual rate base, and | |
| 8 | | related depreciation expense, as well as the actual capital structure as of | |
| 9 | | December 31, 2019. NIPSCO will also recalculate the demand charge for | Deleted: As discussed further below, |
| 10 | | Rate 831 based upon actual firm demand. NIPSCO anticipates making a | |
| 11 | | compliance filing using those new calculations in February 2020 with rates | |
| 12 | | going into effect upon approval of the Commission. | |
| 13 | Q12. | Does NIPSCO's request in this proceeding satisfy the statutory "fifteen | |
| 14 | | month rule" for a general rate case? | |
| 15 | A12. | Yes. Consistent with Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(a), NIPSCO's Petition in this | |
| 16 | | Cause was filed more than 15 months after October 1, 2015, the date the | |
| 17 | | Company filed its petition in Cause No. 44688, which was the most recent | |

| | | Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC <u>Revised</u> Page 12 | |
|----|------|---|-----------------|
| 1 | | (Cause No. 43969) and expanded in our 2015 electric rate case (Cause No. | |
| 2 | | 44688). The interruptible credits and attendant registration of | |
| 3 | | approximately 530 MWs of Load Modifying Resources at Midcontinent | |
| 4 | : | Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO") facilitated the retirement of | |
| 5 | | NIPSCO's Bailly Generating Units 7 and 8. Included in this filing are the | |
| 6 | | cost savings from the retirement of the coal-fired Bailly Generating Units. | |
| 7 | Q19. | What is NIPSCO's overall <u>revenue</u> increase requested in this proceeding? | Deleted: margin |
| 8 | A19. | Approximately \$21.4 million, or 1.4% above the revenue requirement of | |
| 9 | I | NIPSCO's 2015 rate case plus the inclusion of trackers that NIPSCO | |
| 10 | I | customers will be paying by the time the Step 2 rates in this proceeding are | |
| 11 | | implemented. | |
| 12 | Q20. | This does not appear to be a substantial request for increased revenues, | |
| 13 | | how would you characterize this case? | |
| 14 | A20. | As further explained by NIPSCO Witness Kelly, this is a policy case dealing | |
| 15 | | with the changing energy marketplace. | |
| 16 | - | Please briefly explain NIPSCO's rationale for its proposed response to | |
| 17 | | this changing energy marketplace. | |

| | | Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC <u>Revised</u> Page 14 | |
|----|-------------|---|---|
| 1 | Q23. | Are there any additional potential cost savings that over time might offset | |
| 2 | | the loss of firm industrial load? | |
| 3 | A23. | Yes, NIPSCO's generation needs will change over time, and its remaining | Deleted: as further discussed below, |
| 4 | | firm customers will receive the benefits of those changes. | |
| | <u>Adju</u> | stments Made to NIPSCO's Revenue Requirements | |
| 5 | Q24. | Please briefly identify the Revenue Requirement Adjustments that you | |
| 6 | | are discussing. | |
| 7 | A24. | I discuss the policy rationale for our proposed depreciation rates, our | |
| 8 | | proposal for treatment of excess ADIT, our proposal for the treatment of | |
| 9 | | various RTO charges, off system sales and Rider 776 revenues. | |
| 10 | Q25. | Please explain NIPSCO's proposals related to depreciation. | |
| 11 | A25. | As discussed above and as more fully explained by NIPSCO Witness | |
| 12 | | Augustine, NIPSCO's coal-fired generating units have seen a decrease in | |
| 13 | | utilization in the economically optimized MISO market. With current | |
| 14 | | natural gas prices, NIPSCO's coal-fired generating units that have | |
| 15 | | historically been used to serve base-load find themselves being the | |
| 16 | | marginal units. As more fully explained by NIPSCO Witness Felton, | |
| 17 | | ramping up and down aging coal units causes increased maintenance costs | |
| 18 | | | |

GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS Applicable to Electric Service

15.2 Non-Sufficient Funds

A charge of \$20.00 to reimburse the Company for its cost incident to Non-Sufficient Funds will be assessed.

15.3 After Hours / Same Day Charge.

If Customer requests that electric service be initially connected or disconnected outside of normal business hours or on the same day the request is submitted, Customer shall be charged an After Hours / Same Day Charge of \$75.00 in addition to any other applicable charges for each connection or Disconnection.

15.4 <u>Trip Charge.</u>

If Customer schedules an appointment in association with a service request, and the Company's serviceman is not able to gain access to Company's facilities due to the absence of the Customer, the Customer shall be charged a Trip Charge in the amount of \$55.00 at the time an appointment is rescheduled by the Customer.

15.5 <u>AMR Opt-Out Charge.</u>

If Customer does not permit Company to install a meter employing AMR on Customer's Premise, Company shall charge Customer a monthly AMR Opt-Out Charge of \$15 per service location each month to recognize the cost of manually reading the meter. The charge shall cease to be applied once an AMR meter is installed and Company receives the first automatic reading from the meter. If Customer already has an AMR meter, Company will not replace it with a non-AMR meter at Customer's request. In the event that a non-AMR fails, Company will replace it with an AMR meter.

A Customer who does not permit installation includes a Customer who communicates to the Company that AMR installation is refused; does not timely respond to the Company's request to schedule an AMR meter installation; fails to complete the installation appointment; or otherwise does not allow the Company to use AMR for the Customer's service. A Customer who misses an AMR installation appointment will also be subject to the Trip Charge under Rules 9.5 and 15.4.

Issued Date __/_/2019

Effective Date 6/30/2019



GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS Applicable to Electric Service

15.2 <u>Non-Sufficient Funds</u>

A charge of \$20.00 to reimburse the Company for its cost incident to Non-Sufficient Funds will be assessed.

15.3 After Hours / Same Day Charge.

If Customer requests that electric service be initially connected or disconnected outside of normal business hours or on the same day the request is submitted, Customer shall be charged an After Hours / Same Day Charge of \$5575.00 in addition to any other applicable charges for each connection or Disconnection.

15.4 Trip Charge.

If Customer schedules an appointment in association with a service request, and the Company's serviceman is not able to gain access to Company's facilities due to the absence of the Customer, the Customer shall be charged a Trip Charge in the amount of 4055.00 at the time an appointment is rescheduled by the Customer.

15.5 AMR Opt-Out Charge.

If Customer does not permit Company to install a meter employing AMR on Customer's Premise, Company shall charge Customer a monthly AMR Opt-Out Charge of \$15 per service location each month to recognize the cost of manually reading the meter. The charge shall cease to be applied once an AMR meter is installed and Company receives the first automatic reading from the meter. If Customer already has an AMR meter, Company will not replace it with a non-AMR meter at Customer's request. In the event that a non-AMR fails, Company will replace it with an AMR meter.

A Customer who does not permit installation includes a Customer who communicates to the Company that AMR installation is refused; does not timely respond to the Company's request to schedule an AMR meter installation; fails to complete the installation appointment; or otherwise does not allow the Company to use AMR for the Customer's service. A Customer who misses an AMR installation appointment will also be subject to the Trip Charge under Rules 9.5 and 15.4.

| Issued Date | Effective Date |
|-------------|------------------|
| 7/18/2016 | <u>9/29/2016</u> |
| _/_/2019 | 6/30/2019 |
| | |



12/21/2018

Change 1: Remove Meter Reader salaries from Labor Rate calculations (Page .11, cleared cells C12, C13:F18)

We have confirmed with Operations and with Revenue Recovery that Meter Readers should no longer be considered for Trip Charge labor rate calculations as they are unqualified to perform that service, and should not have been used for the Reconnection Fee labor rate calculations. (In previous rate cases, they were correctly not included in the Reconnection Fee calculations. In this rate case, they were erroneously brought in). However, we now have clarification that we should not use them for either the Reconnect Fee or Trip Charge calculations.

Effect: Removing Meter Reader hourly rates from the blended labor rate calculations caused the blended labor rates to increase, which causes the calculated rates to increase. <u>However, we are not changing our proposed rates.</u>

| | | | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|-------------------|-------------------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Details: Page .11 | | | Hourly | Hourly | Hourly |
| | | _ | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| | New Blended Labor Rates | _ | \$38.31 | \$39.65 | \$41.04 |
| | Old Blended Labor Rates | | \$35.24 | \$36.02 | \$37.74 |
| | | Difference | \$3.08 | \$3.63 | \$3.30 |

| Page .6 | Total Cost Per Trip | After Hours | Sundays | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------|-----|
| New Reconnection Fee Calcs | \$97.08 | \$113.08 | \$129.08 | Als |
| Old Reconnection Fee Calcs | \$92.07 | \$106.79 | \$121.50 | |
| Difference | \$5.01 | \$6.29 | \$7.58 | |
| Proposed Rate - remains unchanged | \$90.00 | \$110.00 | \$130.00 | |

Also reflected on Page .5, Excel row 7

| Page .7 | Total Cost Per Trip | After Hours |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| New Trip Charge Calcs | \$66.26 | \$87.21 |
| Old Trip Charge Calcs | \$62.89 | \$82.16 |
| Difference | \$3.37 | \$5.05 |
| Proposed Rate - remains unchanged | \$55.00 | \$75.00 |

Also reflected on Page .5, Excel row 15

12/21/2018

| Change 2: | Correct cost per call from \$0.37 | 5 to \$0.037 | 75 (Page .10 | , cell D20) | | |
|-------------|---|--------------|------------------|-------------|----------|--|
| Background: | In further review of the supplied data, Revenue Recovery discovered an error in the Cost Per Call she had provided for call aheads, which affected the Number of call-ahead Calls, and ultimately the Reconnect Fee calculations. | | | | | |
| Effect: | Correcting the Cost per Call cau caused the calculated rates to d | | | | | |
| | Page .10 | | | | | |
| Details: | | | | | | |
| | New Cost per Call | | \$0.04 | | | |
| | Old Cost per Call | | \$0.38 | | | |
| | | | -\$0.34 | | | |
| | New Number of Calls | | 216,907 | | | |
| | Old Number of Calls | | 21,691 | | | |
| | | | 195,216 | | | |
| | | | Total | After | | |
| | Page .6 | | Cost Per Trip | Hours | Sundays | |
| | New Reconnection Fee Calcs | | \$96.75 | \$112.74 | \$128.74 | Also reflected on Page .5, Excel row 7 |
| | Old Reconnection Fee Calcs | | \$97.08 | \$113.08 | \$129.08 | |
| | | Difference | -\$0.34 | -\$0.34 | -\$0.34 | |
| | Proposed Rate - remains uncl | hanged | \$90.00 | \$110.00 | \$130.00 | |

12/21/2018

Change 3: Corrected label references

Background: In further review of the supplied data in answering data requests, we discovered some incorrect label references

Effect: No material effect; labeling only

Details: Added page references to Page .4, Excel row 16

| Corrected labels on Page .10: | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| E24 | Changed from [.10] to [.9] | | | | | | |
| E31 | Changed from [.10] to [.9] | | | | | | |
| E32 | Changed from [.10] to no reference | | | | | | |

Corrected labels on Page .13:

| F10:F12 | Changed from [.6] to [.9], [.4] |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| H10:H12 | Changed from [.6] to [.9], [.4] |
| J10:J11 | Changed from [.6] to [.9], [.4] |
| J12 | Changed from [.6] to [.4] |

NIPSCO Miscellaneous Charges Calculation 2018 Electric Rate Case Historic Test Year 1/1/17-12/31/17 Forward Test Year 1/1/19-12/31/19

Page [.1]

| | (A) | | | (B) | | (C) | | (D) [B + C] |
|---------------------|------|------------|-----|------------|-----|----------|----|----------------|
| Line No. | Year | | Rec | onnect Fee | Tri | p Charge | Т | otal Cost |
| 1 | | 2019 | \$ | 739,260 | \$ | 68,860 | \$ | 808,120 |
| 2 | | 2017 | \$ | 574,980 | \$ | 50,080 | \$ | 625,060 |
| 3 (Line 1 - Line 2) | | Difference | \$ | 164,280 | \$ | 18,780 | \$ | 183,060 |
| | | | | [.2] | | [.3] | | |

Reconciliation of Other Revenues

FTY

| Transmission | \$ 14,199,538 | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------|
| Forfeited Discounts | \$ 4,017,218 | |
| Miscellaneous Service Revenue | \$ 1,098,246 | |
| Rent Revenue | \$ 2,400,000 | |
| Other Revenue | \$ 225,648 | _ |
| | \$ 21,940,650 | _ |
| Incremental Trip Charges | \$ 18,780 | [.3] |
| Incremental Reconnect Charges | \$ 164,280 | [.2] |
| | \$ 22,123,710 | _ |

NIPSCO Miscellaneous Charges Calculation 2018 Electric Rate Case Historic Test Year 1/1/17-12/31/17 Forward Test Year 1/1/19-12/31/19

| | (A) | (B) | (C) | (D) [B * C] | |
|---------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|--------|
| Line No. | | # of Trips | Cost per Trip | Total Cost | |
| 1 | Reconnect Fee 2019 | 8,214 | \$ 90.00 | \$ 739,260 | .4] |
| 2 | Reconnect Fee 2017 | 8,214 | \$ 70.00 | \$ 574,980 |) [.4] |
| 3 (Line 1 - Line 2) | Difference | | | \$ 164,280 |) [.1] |

Page [.2]

Page [.3]

NIPSCO Miscellaneous Charges Calculation 2018 Electric Rate Case Historic Test Year 1/1/17-12/31/17 Forward Test Year 1/1/19-12/31/19

| | (A) | (B) | (C) | | (D) [B * C] | |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|---------------|------|----------------|------|
| Line No. | | # of Trips | Cost per Trip | Т | otal Cost | - |
| 1 | Trip Charge 2019 | 1,252 | \$ 55.00 |) \$ | 68,860 | [.4] |
| 2 | Trip Charge 2017 | 1,252 | \$ 40.00 |) \$ | 50,080 | [.4] |
| 3 (Line 1 - Line 2) | Difference | | | \$ | 18,780 | [.1] |

| HISTORICAL TRIP CHARGES SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Percent | | | | | | | | |
| Charge Type | Jan17-Dec17 | of Total | # of Trips | | | | | | | |
| Trip Charge | \$ 34,690.00 | 5.62% | 750 [.3 | | | | | | | |
| Return Trip Charge | \$ 19,320.00 | 3.13% | 502 [.3 | | | | | | | |
| Reconnect Charge | \$ 563,194.70 | 91.25% | 8,214 [.2 | | | | | | | |
| Totals | \$617,204.70 | 100.00% | 9,466 | | | | | | | |
| | [.13] | | [.13] | | | | | | | |

Revised Page [.4]

Revised Page [.5]

NIPSCO Miscellaneous Charges Calculation 2018 Electric Rate Case Historic Test Year 1/1/17-12/31/17

| | | C | Total ost Per Trip | I | After Hours | Sundays |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------------|------------------|
| Disconnect/Reconnect At the Meter | | \$ | 96.75 | \$ | 112.74 | \$ 128.74 |
| | Proposed Rates | \$ | 90.00 | \$ | 110.00 | \$ 130.00 |
| | Current 2018 Electric Tariff | \$ | 70.00 | \$ | 85.00 | \$ 100.00 |
| | Current 2018 Gas Tariff | \$ | 90.00 | \$ | 110.00 | \$ 130.00 |
| | | | [.6] | | [.6] | [.6] |
| | | | Total ost Per Trip | | After Hours | Sundays |
| Trip Charges | | \$ | 66.26 | \$ | 87.21 | n/a |
| | Proposed Rates | \$ | 55.00 | \$ | 75.00 | n/a |
| | | ć | 40.00 | Ś | 55.00 | n/a |
| | Current 2018 Electric Tariff | \$ | 40.00 | <u> </u> | 00100 | |
| | Current 2018 Electric Tariff Current 2018 Gas Tariff | \$ \$ | | \$ | | n/a |

Reconnection Fee

| | | | (A) | (B) | | (C) | (D) | | (E) | (F) | | (G) | (H) | (I) | |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|----------|-------|----------------------|--------------|-------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | [A*B] | | | [C+D] | [| <u>E /</u> | F], or [E |] | -1 | |
| Line No. | | Annual Dir | ect Cost | Overhead | То | otal Payroll w/OH | Total Trucl | ¢ | Total Cost | Annual Activity Level | | otal Cost er Trip | After Hours | Sundays | |
| 1 | Revenue Recovery per SONP | \$ | 914,624 | 1.3526 | \$ | 1,237,153 | | \$ | 1,237,153 | 209,094 | \$ | 5.92 | \$ 5.92 | \$ 5.92 | |
| 2 | Call Ahead per call | Ş | 8,134 | N/A | Ş | 8,134 | | Ş | 8,134 | 216,907 | Ş | 0.04 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.04 | |
| 3 | CCC per credit call | \$ | 3,375,407 | 1.3526 | \$ | 4,565,693 | | \$ | 4,565,693 | 454,536 | \$ | 10.04 | \$ 10.04 | \$ 10.04 | |
| 4 | Central Operations - SONP-TONP orders | \$ | 396,044 | 1.3526 | \$ | 535,703 | | \$ | 535,703 | 70,081 | \$ | 7.64 | \$ 7.64 | \$ 7.64 | |
| | Field Op. SONP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 6 7 8 | Travel Time – Truck & Serviceman | SP = T = TT = Total = \$ | \$38.31/Hr \$8.93/Hr 15.0 Min 11.81 | | \$ | 12.96 | \$ 2.23 | \$ \$ | 15.19 | N/A | \$ | 15.19 | \$ 15.19 | \$ 15.19 | |
| 9 10 11 12 | Work Time – Truck & Serviceman <u>@ the meter</u> | SP = T = WT = Total = \$ | \$38.31/Hr \$8.93/Hr 20.2 Min 15.87 | | \$ | 17.41 | \$ 3.00 |) \$ | 20.41 | N/A | \$ | 20.41 | \$ 20.41 | \$ 20.41 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Field Op. TONP | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 15 16 17 | Travel Time – Truck & Serviceman | SP = T = TT = Total = \$ | | 1.3526 | \$ | 17.57 | \$ 3.03 | \$ | 20.60 | N/A | \$ | 20.60 | \$ 29.38 | \$ 38.17 | [([Line 14]*0.5 * [Line 16]/60) * (B) + (G)] |
| 18 19 20 21 | Work Time – Truck & Serviceman @ the meter | SP = T = WT = Total = \$ | \$38.31/Hr \$8.93/Hr 16.7 Min 13.15 | | \$ | 14.42 | \$ 2.48 | 8 \$ | 16.91 | N/A | \$ | 16.91 | \$ 24.12 | \$ 31.33 | [([Line 18]*0.5 * [Line 20]/60) * (B) + (G)] |
| | <u></u> | | [.10] [.11] | [.8] | | | L | | | [.10] | <u> 11 – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –</u> | | | _1 | |
| 22 | | WT | Work Time Travel Time | | Disco | onnect/Reco | nnect At the | e Me | eter | | | er Trip | After Hours | Sundays | |
| 23 | | | ervice Person | | | | | D- | oposed Rates | | ې خ | | | \$ 128.74 \$ 130.00 | |
| 24 25 | | T T | Truck | | | | | | irrent 2018 Ele | | ş | | | \$130.00 \$100.00 | |
| 25 26 | L | • | | 1 | | | | | 11 CIIL 2010 LI | | Ŷ | [.5] | 5 85.00 [.5] | [.5] | 1 |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | | | | [-9] | [] | [] | |

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 19 Attachment 19-D

Revised Page [.6]

<u>Trip Charge</u>

| | | | (A) | (B) | | (C) | (D) | | (E) | (F) | _ | (G) | | (H) |
|----------|---|------------|----------------|----------|----|----------------------|-------------|----|--------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------|----------|
| · | | | | | | [A*B] | V | | [C+D] | v | <u>[E</u> | / F], or [E] | | |
| Line No. | | Annual Dir | ect Cost | Overhead | То | otal Payroll w/OH | Total Truck | | Total Cost | Annual Activity Level | To | tal Cost Per Trip | Afte | er Hours |
| 1 | CCC per customer call | | \$9,299 | 1.3526 | \$ | 12,577.56 | | \$ | 12,577.56 | 1,252 | \$ | 10.05 | \$ | 10.05 |
| 2 | Work Management Center (WMC) - Trip orders | | \$6,553 | 1.3526 | \$ | 8,863.69 | | \$ | 8,863.69 | 1,252 | \$ | 7.08 | \$ | 7.08 |
| 3 | Field Op Trip | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | SP = | \$38.31/Hr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Travel Time – Truck & Serviceman | T = | \$8.93/Hr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | TT = | 20.9 Min | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | Total = | \$16.46 | 1.3526 | \$ | 18.05 | \$ 3.11 | \$ | 21.16 | N/A | \$ | 21.16 | \$ | 30.19 [|
| 8 | | SP = | \$38.31/Hr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Work Time – Truck & Serviceman | T = | \$8.93/Hr | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | 27.6 Min | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | Total = | \$21.75 | | \$ | 23.86 | \$ 4.11 | Ş | 27.97 | - | Ş | 27.97 | Ş | 39.90 [|
| | | | [.9] [.12] | [.8] | | | | | | [.9] | | | | |
| 12 | | WT | Work Time | | | | Trip Charge | S | | | To | tal Cost Per Trip | Afte | er Hours |
| 13 | | TT | Travel Time | | | | | | | | \$ | 66.26 | \$ | 87.21 |
| 14 | | SP | Service Person | | | | | Pr | oposed Rate | S | \$ | 55.00 | \$ | 75.00 |
| 15 | | т | Truck | | | | | Cu | rrent 2018 E | lectric Tariff | \$ | 40.00 | \$ | 55.00 |
| 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | [.5] | | [.5] |

Revised Page [.7]



PAYROLL OVERHEAD CALCULATIONS

| | from 2018 ERC | |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Payroll Overhead Rate | PR OH Rate | |
| Benefits Cost | 21.61% | |
| Payroll Taxes | 7.65% | |
| Bargaining Unit Bonuses | 6.00% | |
| Total | 35.26% | [.6] [.7] [.9] |
| | | |

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 19 Attachment 19-D

Page [.8]

| TRIP CHARGE CALCULATIONS | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Charge Type Trip Charge Return Trip Charge Reconnect Charge Totals | Jan17-Dec17 \$ 34,690.00 [.13] \$ 19,320.00 [.13] \$ 563,194.70 [.13] \$ 617,204.70 | Percent of Total 5.62% [.13] 3.13% [.13] 91.25% [.13] 100.00% | # of Trips 750 [.13] 502 [.13] 1,252 [.7] | | | | | | |
| Number of Orders CCC WMC/Central Ops | Total Calls / Orders 1,376,378 [.10] 362,105 [.10] | Trip Charge Calls / Orders 1,252 1,252 | Percent of Total 0.090963% 0.345756% | | | | | | |
| Annual Direct Labor CCC WMC/Central Ops Total | Total Labor \$ 10,222,311 [.10] \$ 1,895,240 [.10] \$12,117,551 [.10] | Percent of Total 0.090963% 0.345756% 0.130814% | Trip Charge Labor \$ 9,298.56 [.7] \$ 6,552.91 [.7] \$ 15,851.47 | | | | | | |
| Labor per Call / Order w/o OH CCC WMC/Central Ops Total | Trip Charge Labor \$ 9,298.56 \$ 6,552.91 \$ 15,851.47 | Trip Charge Calls / Orders 1,252 1,252 | Labor per Call / Order \$ 7.43 \$ 5.23 \$ 12.66 | Payroll Labor per Call / OH Rate Order w/ PR OH 1.3526 [.8] \$ 10.05 1.3526 [.8] \$ 7.08 \$ 17.13 \$ 17.13 | | | | | |

Revised Page [.10]

SONP/TONP COSTS WORKSHEET

| Revenue Recovery | | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|---|---|-------------|---------------------|
| | \$ \$ \$ | 1,532,508 8,134 1,524,374 <u>60%</u> 914,624.42 [.6] <u>209,094</u> [.6] \$4.37 | O&M less Call Aheads Related to SONP / TONP work activities SONP Orders generated Cost per SONP | | |
| <u>Call Ahead</u> | / = | 8,134 [.6] 216,907 [.6] \$0.04 | Cost of calls Number of calls Cost per call | | |
| <u>ccc</u> | | | | SONP / TONP | Total Calls |
| | \$ \$ | 10,222,311 [.9] <u>33.02%</u> 3,375,407 [.6] <u>454,536</u> [.6] \$7.43 | Labor Credit calls = 454,536 of the total 1,376,378 calls # Credit calls from above period Cost per call | 454,536 | 1,376,378 [.9] |
| Dispatch & ESSO | \$ | 1,895,240 [.9] <u>13.85%</u> 262,426 | LABOR Dispatch SONP/TONPs Dispatch = 50,139 / 362,105 total orders | 50,139 | 362,105 [.9] |
| | \$ | 2,426,188 <u>5.51%</u> 133,619 | LABOR ESSO Dispatch Only SONP/TONPs ESSO = 19,942 / 362,105 total orders | 19,942 | 362,105 |
| | \$ \$ | 396,044 [.6] 70,081 [.6] 5.65 | Total WMC & ESSO related to collection work (SONPs/TONPs) Total Collection orders Cost per order | | |

Revised Page [.11]

| LABOR RATES | |
|-------------|--|
|-------------|--|

Field Operations

Serviceperson Hourly Rate (Blended Rate)

| <u>2017</u> | <u>2018</u> | <u>2019</u> |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| <u>Hourly</u> | <u>Hourly</u> | <u>Hourly</u> |
| Rate | Rate | Rate |

| Electric Metermen | 36.97 | 38.26 | 39.60 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Gas Servicemen | 37.67 | 38.99 | 40.35 |
| Electric Linemen | 40.30 | 41.71 | 43.17 |
| Average of Meter Reader, Linemen | \$38.31 | \$39.65 | \$41.04 |
| & Servicemen | [.6] [.7] | | |

| TRUCK RATES | | |
|--|-------------|--|
| | | |
| Truck Rate | Hourly Rate | |
| Chevy ExpressVan | \$ 5.55 | |
| F250 | \$ 9.83 | |
| F350 | \$ 7.53 | |
| F450 | \$ 8.38 | |
| F550 | \$ 13.34 | |
| Average of Serviceman trucks | \$ 8.93 | |
| , and the second s | [.6] [.7] | |
| | [.0][.7] | |

| ELECTRIC" Rate Case" /1/17-12/31/17 | (HH:MM:SS) | AVERAGE WORK TIME (HH:MM:SS) | | SONP at Meter | TONP at Meter | SONP / TONP at Pole | | | Avg. Work | |
|--|------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| HECK AND FINAL | 0:17:37 | 0:16:35 | | Х | | | At the Meter - SONP | () | Time (mins.) | / |
| ONP ELECTRIC METER SMALL | 0:14:49 | 0:23:20 | | Х | | | SONP Electric Meter Small | 14.8167 | 23.3333 | |
| ONP POLE | 0:08:06 | 0:04:59 | | | | Х | SONP Electric Meter Large | 7.8000 | 16.0500 | |
| ONP ELECTRIC METER LARGE | 0:07:48 | 0:16:03 | | Х | | | SONP 1st Meter | 19.7667 | 24.6667 | |
| ONP ELECTRIC METER LARGE | 0:23:27 | 0:18:54 | | | Х | | Check and Final | 17.6167 | 16.5833 | |
| ONP ELECTRIC METER SMALL | 0:17:14 | 0:14:30 | | | Х | | Average at the Meter | 15.0000 | 20.1583 | 35.15 |
| ONP POLE | 0:16:24 | 0:10:46 | | | | Х | | [.6] | | |
| ONP 1ST METER | 0:19:46 | 0:24:40 | | Х | | | At the Meter - TONP | | | |
| | | | | | | | TONP Electric Meter Small | 17.2333 | 14.5000 |) 31.73 |
| | | | Avg Travel Time | 0:15:00 | 0:20:20 | 0:12:15 | TONP Electric Meter Large | 23.4500 | 18.9000 | |
| | | | Avg Work Time | 0:20:10 | 0:16:42 | 0:07:52 | Average at the Meter | 20.3417 | 16.7000 |) 37.04 |
| | | | | | | | | [.6] | | |
| | | | | | | | At the Pole - SONP | | | |
| | | | | | | | SONP Pole | 8.1000 | 4.9833 | |
| | | | | | | | Average at the Pole | 8.1000 | 4.9833 | 3 13.08 |
| | | | | | | | | [.6] | | |
| | | | | | | | At the Pole - TONP | | | |
| | | | | | | | TONP Pole | 16.4000 | 10.7667 | 27.16 |
| | | | | | | | Average at the Pole | 16.4000 | 10.7667 | 27.16 |
| | | | | | | | | [.6] | | |
| | | | | | | | At the Pole with an Easement* | | | |
| | | | | | | | SONP Pole with an Easement | 30.0000 | 45.0000 | |
| | | | | | | | TONP Pole with an Easement | 30.0000 | 45.0000 |) 75.00 |

Reconnect Fee Times

Trip Charge Times

AVERAGE WORK & TRAVEL TIMES RELATED TO POSSIBLE TRIP CHARGES

| | AVERAGE TRAVEL TIME | AVERAGE WORK TIME | | | Average Travel | Average Work | | | | 2017 Travel | 2017 Work | 2017 Total |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| | (HH:MM:SS) | (HH:MM:SS) | # of Orders | | Time | Time | Total Time | of Orders | | Time | Time | Time |
| SHUT OFF ELECTRIC - SMALL | 0:24:07 | 0:18:20 | 18,041 | | 24.1 | 18.3 | 42.5 | | 18,041 | 435,089 | 330,752 | 765,840 |
| SHUT OFF ELECTRIC - LARGE | 0:15:57 | 0:22:23 | 1,101 | | 16.0 | 22.4 | 38.3 | | 1,101 | 17,561 | 24,644 | 42,205 |
| TURN ON ELECTRIC - SMALL | 0:20:32 | 0:38:26 | 19,731 | | 20.5 | 38.4 | 59.0 | | 19,731 | 405,143 | 758,328 | 1,163,471 |
| TURN ON ELECTRIC - LARGE | 0:19:22 | 0:19:37 | 676 | | 19.4 | 19.6 | 39.0 | | 676 | 13,092 | 13,261 | 26,353 |
| INVESTIGATE WHAT MTR SERVES- ELECT | 0:16:28 | 0:25:15 | 1,592 | | 16.5 | 25.3 | 41.7 | | 1,592 | 26,215 | 40,198 | 66,413 |
| HI BILL INVESTIGATE - ELECTRIC | 0:16:16 | 0:32:39 | 1,269 | | 16.3 | 32.7 | 48.9 | | 1,269 | 20,642 | 41,433 | 62,075 |
| EXCHANGE ELECTRIC METER - SMALL | 0:09:13 | 0:13:58 | 2,063 | | 9.2 | 14.0 | 23.2 | | 2,063 | 19,014 | 28,813 | 47,827 |
| EXCHANGE ELECTRIC METER - LARGE | 0:10:59 | 0:15:09 | 720 | | 11.0 | 15.2 | 26.1 | | 720 | 7,908 | 10,908 | 18,816 |
| Totals | | - | 5,644 | Average | 16.6 | 23.2 | 39.8 | | 45,193 | 944,664 | 1,248,337 | 2,193,001 |
| | | | | | | Weigh | ted Average | [.7] | | 20.9 | 27.6 | 48.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | [.7] | [.7] | |

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 19 Attachment 19-D

Page [.12]

TRIP CHARGE TIME SUMMARY

| HISTORICAL TRIP CHARGES SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Charge Type | | Jan17-Dec17 | | Percent of Total | | # of Trips | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trip Charge | | \$ 34,690.00 | | | [.9], [.4] | | [.9], [.4] | | | | | |
| Return Trip Charge | | \$ 19,320.00 | | | [.9], [.4] | | [.9], [.4] | | | | | |
| Reconnect Charge | | \$ 563,194.70 | [.9], [.4] | | [.9], [.4] | 8,214 | [.4] | | | | | |
| Totals | : | \$ 617,204.70 | • | 100.00% | = | 9,466 | = | | | | | |
| Average Hourly Rates Trip Charge Return Trip Charge Reconnect Charge | _ | \$ 46.25 \$ 38.49 \$ 68.57 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Charges and Credits | Jan 17 \$ 2,915.00 | Feb 17 \$ 2,970.00 | Mar 17 \$ 2,915.00 | Apr 17 \$ 2,145.00 | May 17 \$ 2,255.00 | June 17 \$ 2,915.00 | July 17 \$ 2,750.00 | Aug 17 \$ 2,310.00 | Sep 17 \$ 3,835.00 | Oct 17 \$ 3,740.00 | Nov 17 \$ 3,850.00 | Dec 17 \$ 2,090.00 |
| Can't Get In (CGI) | \$ 1,400.00 | \$ 1,480.00 | \$ 1,920.00 | \$ 1,120.00 | \$ 1,360.00 | \$ 2,400.00 | \$ 1,360.00 | \$ 2,480.00 | \$ 1,600.00 | \$ 1,800.00 | | \$ 920.00 |
| Reconnection | \$51,754.77 | \$ 72,441.71 | \$60,394.51 | \$ 38,697.42 | • • | | | \$ 59,098.97 | \$ 37,088.32 | . , | \$ 40,450.14 | \$ 25,064.44 |
| TOTAL | \$ 56,069.77 | \$ 76,891.71 | \$65,229.51 | \$41,962.42 | \$50,538.93 | \$ 50,165.92 | | \$63,888.97 | \$42,523.32 | \$56,137.21 | | \$28,074.44 |
| Number of Trips | Jan 17 | Feb 17 | Mar 17 | Apr 17 | May 17 | June 17 | July 17 | Aug 17 | Sep 17 | Oct 17 | Nov 17 | Dec 17 |
| Trip | 79 | 76 | 75 | 63 | 59 | 69 | 80 | 58 | 80 | 82 | 92 | 56 |
| Can't Get In (CGI) | 35 | 37 | 52 | 30 | 40 | 64 | 36 | 64 | 48 | 47 | 41 | 27 |
| Reconnection | 784 | 1,107 | 896 | 602 | 713 | 682 | 563 | 883 | 554 | 765 | 605 | 406 |
| TOTAL | 898 | 1,220 | 1,023 | 695 | 812 | 815 | 679 | 1,005 | 682 | 894 | 738 | 489 |

Page [.13]

Page [.14]

| FINAR | YEAR | MONTH | COUNT | AMOUNT | | RATE |
|----------------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|--------------------|
| CGICH | 2017 | 1 | 35 | \$ 1,400.00 | \$ | 40.00 |
| CGICH | 2017 | 2 | 37 | \$ 1,480.00 | \$ | 40.00 |
| CGICH | 2017 | 3 | 50 | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | 40.00 |
| CGICH | 2017 | 4 | 29 | \$ 1,160.00 \$ 1,480.00 | \$ ¢ | 40.00 |
| CGICH CGICH | 2017 2017 | 5 6 | 37 62 | \$ 1,480.00 \$ 2,480.00 | \$ \$ | 40.00 40.00 |
| CGICH | 2017 | 7 | 35 | \$ 1,400.00 | \$ | 40.00 |
| CGICH | 2017 | 8 | 63 | \$ 2,520.00 | \$ | 40.00 |
| CGICH | 2017 | 9 | 44 | \$ 1,760.00 | \$ | 40.00 |
| CGICH | 2017 | 10 | 46 | \$ 1,840.00 | \$ | 40.00 |
| CGICH | 2017 | 11 | 39 | \$ 1,560.00 | \$ | 40.00 |
| CGICH CGIRV | 2017 | 12 | 25 | \$ 1,000.00 \$ (80.00) | \$ \$ | 40.00 |
| CGIRV | 2017 2017 | 3 4 | 2 1 | \$ (80.00) \$ (40.00) | - | (40.00) (40.00) |
| CGIRV | 2017 | 5 | 3 | \$ (120.00) | | (40.00) |
| CGIRV | 2017 | 6 | 2 | \$ (80.00) | | (40.00) |
| CGIRV | 2017 | 7 | 1 | \$ (40.00) | \$ | (40.00) |
| CGIRV | 2017 | 8 | 1 | \$ (40.00) | | (40.00) |
| CGIRV | 2017 | 9 | 4 | \$ (160.00) | | (40.00) |
| CGIRV CGIRV | 2017 | 10 11 | 1 2 | \$ (40.00) \$ (80.00) | | (40.00) |
| CGIRV | 2017 2017 | 11 | 2 | \$ (80.00) \$ (80.00) | | (40.00) (40.00) |
| RECCH | 2017 | 1 | 754 | \$ 53,899.77 | \$ | 71.49 |
| RECCH | 2017 | 2 | 1056 | \$76,311.71 | \$ | 72.26 |
| RECCH | 2017 | 3 | 869 | \$62,494.37 | \$ | 71.92 |
| RECCH | 2017 | 4 | 573 | \$40,882.94 | \$ | 71.35 |
| RECCH | 2017 | 5 | | \$48,946.73 | \$ | 71.46 |
| RECCH | 2017 | 6 | 653 | \$47,147.98 | \$ | 72.20 |
| RECCH RECCH | 2017 2017 | 7 8 | | \$37,767.95 \$61,475.63 | \$ \$ | 70.46 72.15 |
| RECCH | 2017 | 8 9 | | \$ 38,707.52 | ې \$ | 72.15 |
| RECCH | 2017 | 10 | 736 | \$52,847.21 | \$ | 71.80 |
| RECCH | 2017 | 11 | | \$41,655.67 | \$ | 70.84 |
| RECCH | 2017 | 12 | 377 | \$27,571.17 | \$ | 73.13 |
| RECCR | 2017 | 1 | 30 | \$ (2,145.00) | - | (71.50) |
| RECCR | 2017 | 2 | 51 | \$ (3,870.00) | | (75.88) |
| RECCR RECCR | 2017 2017 | 3 4 | 27 | \$ (2,099.86) \$ (2,185.52) | | (77.77) (75.26) |
| RECCR | 2017 | 4 5 | 29 | \$ (2,185.52) | | (75.36) (72.24) |
| RECCR | 2017 | 6 | 29 | \$ (2,297.06) | | (79.21) |
| RECCR | 2017 | 7 | 27 | \$ (1,935.59) | | (71.69) |
| RECCR | 2017 | 8 | 31 | \$ (2,376.66) | \$ | (76.67) |
| RECCR | 2017 | 9 | 19 | \$ (1,619.20) | | (85.22) |
| RECCR | 2017 | 10 | 29 | \$ (2,250.00) | | (77.59) |
| RECCR RECCR | 2017 2017 | 11 12 | 17 29 | \$ (1,205.53) \$ (2,506.72) | | (70.91) (86.44) |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 12 | 66 | \$ (2,506.73) \$ 3,630.00 | \$ \$ | (80.44) |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 2 | 65 | \$ 3,575.00 | \$ | 55.00 |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 3 | 64 | \$ 3,520.00 | \$ | 55.00 |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 4 | 51 | \$ 2,805.00 | \$ | 55.00 |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 5 | | \$ 2,750.00 | \$ | 55.00 |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 6 | 61 | \$ 3,355.00 | \$ | 55.00 |
| TRPCH TRPCH | 2017 2017 | 7 8 | 65 50 | \$ 3,575.00 \$ 2,750.00 | \$ \$ | 55.00 55.00 |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 8 9 | | \$ 2,750.00 \$ 4,110.00 | \$ | 54.80 |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 10 | 75 | \$ 4,125.00 | \$ | 55.00 |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 11 | 81 | \$ 4,455.00 | \$ | 55.00 |
| TRPCH | 2017 | 12 | 47 | \$ 2,585.00 | \$ | 55.00 |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 1 | 13 | \$ (715.00) | \$ | (55.00) |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 2 | 11 | | | (55.00) |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 3 | 11 | \$ (605.00) | | (55.00) |
| TRPCR TRPCR | 2017 2017 | 4 5 | 12 9 | \$ (660.00) \$ (495.00) | | (55.00) (55.00) |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 5 | 8 | \$ (495.00) \$ (440.00) | | (55.00) |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 7 | 15 | \$ (825.00) | | (55.00) |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 8 | 8 | \$ (440.00) | - | (55.00) |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 9 | 5 | \$ (275.00) | | (55.00) |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 10 | 7 | \$ (385.00) | | (55.00) |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 11 | 11 | \$ (605.00) \$ (405.00) | | (55.00) |
| TRPCR | 2017 | 12 | 9 | \$ (495.00) | Ş | (55.00) |

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 7 Northern Indiana Public Service Company Revised Page 26

| 1 | | community while traveling throughout our service territory. On the safety |
|----|-------------|---|
| 2 | | side, our focus on safety procedures and rules results in our front line teams |
| 3 | | working with as little risk as possible, which is a boon when working with |
| 4 | | dangerous energy, such as electric distribution/transmission circuits. We |
| 5 | | continue to focus not only on appropriate training for employees, but also |
| 6 | | on giving them the right tools and protective equipment to do their job. |
| 7 | <u>NIPS</u> | CO's Electric Transmission and Distribution Systems |
| 8 | Q31. | Please describe NIPSCO's electric transmission system. |
| 9 | A31. | The NIPSCO electric transmission system consists of approximately 353 |
| 10 | | circuit miles of 345 kV, 756 circuit miles of 138 kV and 1,693 circuit miles of |
| 11 | | 69 kV transmission lines. In addition, NIPSCO has 63 transmission |
| 12 | | substations. NIPSCO is interconnected with six neighboring utilities. The |
| 13 | | Company has transmission interconnects with American Electric Power or |
| 14 | | its affiliates, at the 345 kV, 138 kV, and 69 kV operating voltages. NIPSCO |
| 15 | | also interconnects with Commonwealth Edison at 345 kV and 138 kV and |
| 16 | | with Duke Energy Indiana at 345 kV, 138 kV and 69 kV. NIPSCO has a |
| 17 | | single 138 kV interconnection with both Ameren and International |
| 18 | | Transmission Company ("ITC") and a single 765kV interconnection with |
| 19 | | Pioneer Transmission. |

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 7 Northern Indiana Public Service Company <u>Revised</u> Page 26

| 1 | | community while traveling throughout our service territory. On the safety |
|----|-------------|---|
| 2 | | side, our focus on safety procedures and rules results in our front line teams |
| 3 | | working with as little risk as possible, which is a boon when working with |
| 4 | | dangerous energy, such as electric distribution/transmission circuits. We |
| 5 | | continue to focus not only on appropriate training for employees, but also |
| 6 | | on giving them the right tools and protective equipment to do their job. |
| 7 | <u>NIPS</u> | CO's Electric Transmission and Distribution Systems |
| 8 | Q31. | Please describe NIPSCO's electric transmission system. |
| 9 | A31. | The NIPSCO electric transmission system consists of approximately 353 |
| 10 | | circuit miles of 345 kV, 756 circuit miles of 138 kV and 1,693 circuit miles of |
| 11 | | 69 kV transmission lines. In addition, NIPSCO has 6361 transmission |
| 12 | | substations. NIPSCO is interconnected with six neighboring utilities. The |
| 13 | | Company has transmission interconnects with American Electric Power or |
| 14 | | its affiliates, at the 345 kV, 138 kV, and 69 kV operating voltages. NIPSCO |
| 15 | | also interconnects with Commonwealth Edison at 345 kV and 138 kV and |
| 16 | | with Duke Energy Indiana at 345 kV, 138 kV and 69 kV. NIPSCO has a |
| 17 | | single 138 kV interconnection with both Ameren and International |
| 18 | | Transmission Company ("ITC") and a single 765kV interconnection with |
| 19 | | Pioneer Transmission. |

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 4 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Revised Page 95

1 reflected in rate base?

2 A166. Certain Bailly assets that were classified as production plant prior to the 3 retirement of the generation units, are now being used as transmission 4 assets. The original cost and accumulated depreciation related to the assets 5 which now comprise the Bailly synchronous condenser were transferred 6 from production plant to transmission plant. NIPSCO Witness Felton 7 discusses this in greater detail. All remaining balances were retired. Bailly 8 Unit 7 was retired in May 2018 and Unit 8 was retired in February 2018. 9 NIPSCO removed the original cost of the assets from gross plant and 10 accumulated depreciation at the respective retirement dates of the unit.

11 Q167. What was the remaining net book value at the time the Bailly retirements

12 took place?

A167. The remaining net book value of Unit 7 and Unit 8 was a debit balance in
accumulated depreciation of \$102,923,994 and \$142,329,364, respectively.
This debit represents unrecovered amounts at the date of the retirement.

Q168. Were NIPSCO's rates that were in effect after the Bailly retirements calculated to collect depreciation expense associated with Bailly?

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 4 Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC <u>Revised</u> Page 95

1 reflected in rate base?

| 2 | A166. Certain Bailly assets that were classified as production plant prior to the | |
|----|---|---|
| 3 | retirement of the generation units, are now being used as transmission | |
| 4 | assets. The original cost and accumulated depreciation related to the assets | |
| 5 | which now comprise the Bailly synchronous condenser were transferred | |
| 6 | from production plant to transmission plant. NIPSCO Witness Felton | |
| 7 | discusses this in greater detail. All remaining balances were retired. Bailly | |
| 8 | Unit 7 was retired in May 2018 and Unit 8 was retired in February 2018. | |
| 9 | NIPSCO removed the original cost of the assets from gross plant and | |
| 10 | accumulated depreciation at the respective retirement dates of the unit. | |
| 11 | Q167. What was the remaining net book value at the time the Bailly retirements | |
| 12 | took place? | |
| 13 | A167. The remaining net book value of Unit 7 and Unit 8 was a debit balance in | |
| 14 | accumulated depreciation of $\frac{102,923,994}{2}$ and $\frac{142,329,364}{2}$, respectively. | : |
| 15 | This debit represents unrecovered amounts at the date of the retirement. | |
| 16 | Q168. Were NIPSCO's rates that were in effect after the Bailly retirements | |

17 calculated to collect depreciation expense associated with Bailly?

Deleted: 106,140,745 Deleted: 143,252,315