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## STATE OF INDIANA

## INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION



## STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Indiana-American Water Company, Inc. ("Indiana American" or "Petitioner"), the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC"), the Indiana American Industrial Group ("Industrial Group"), City of Crown Point, Town of Schererville, Town of Whiteland, SullivanVigo Rural Water Corporation, Citizens Action Coalition, and Indiana Community Action Association, Inc. (collectively, the "Settling Parties")", by their respective counsel, respectfully request that the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") approve this Stipulation and Settlement Agreement ("Stipulation"). The Settling Parties agree that the terms and conditions set forth below represent a fair and reasonable resolution of the issues described herein, subject to incorporation into a final order of the Commission which approves this Stipulation - both in Cause No. 45032 S4 in the case of the terms set forth in Paragraph 3 below and in Cause 45142 in the case of the remainder of the terms of this Stipulation -- without any modification or condition that is not

[^0]acceptable to the Settling Parties. The Settling Parties will cooperate to jointly submit to the Commission a form of a proposed order that would approve this Stipulation.

This Stipulation has been reached subsequent to the filing of Indiana American's case-inchief, the OUCC and other intervenors' respective cases-in-chief, Indiana American's rebuttal evidence, and OUCC and other intervenors' respective cross-answering testimony and evidence in this proceeding. Those filings have framed the discussions among the Settling Parties, and formed the basis for the Settling Parties to reach agreement on the terms reflected in this Stipulation. A basic component of each party's willingness to enter this agreement is the overall result that is achieved hereby. The Settling Parties have agreed to concessions on individual issues to which the Settling Parties would not be willing to agree but for the overall result produced by this Stipulation and Settlement Agreement. In other words, each party is agreeing to forego or compromise on positions on individual issues in exchange for the overall settlement result produced collectively by all of the concessions. As set forth in Appendices A (Pro Forma Income Statement), B (Revenue Requirement and supporting schedules), and C (Revenue Allocation and Rate Design), the parties have negotiated terms that resolve all issues in this proceeding. The agreed upon adjustments to pro forma results of operations, rate base, and cost of capital are founded upon documented prefiled positions that are in the record in this proceeding. The Settling Parties have agreed that the Company and the OUCC will, and the other Settling Parties may, file Settlement Testimony in support of this Stipulation.

All issues not specifically addressed in the enumerated paragraphs below are as reflected in Appendices A through C attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

The Settling Parties stipulate and agree as follows:

## 1. Rate Increase.

Petitioner shall be authorized to increase its basic rates and charges (collectively "rates") for water service in two steps as described in this Stipulation. Subject to and as adjusted for the Step 1 and Step 2 rate certification set forth in Paragraph 2(d) below, the rates shall be designed to produce, after completion of both steps of implementation, additional annual revenues of $\$ 17,500,000$. The increase produces total annual operating revenues after Step 2 (total company) of $\$ 240,249,127$. The stipulated increase produces total net operating income after Step 2 of $\$ 74,268,732$, which the Parties stipulate is a fair return on the fair value of Petitioner's rate base for purposes of this case. The calculation is set forth in Appendix B. Based on projected additional revenues of $\$ 17,500,000$, the overall increase over total operating revenues is approximately $7.86 \%$.

The agreed upon rate increase reflects the following forecasted original cost rate base, cost of capital, operating expenses, and revenues (See Appendices A \& B), which the Parties agree are reasonable for purposes of compromise and settlement:

Table 1. Rate Base as of April 30, 2019 and 2020

| Components of Original Cost Rate Base | Per Books as of December 31, 2017 | Adjustments <br> (as of April 30, 2019) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Step } 1 \\ \text { (as of April 30, 2019) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments <br> (as of April 30, 2020) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Step } 2 \\ \text { (as of April 30, 2020) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Utility Plant: | \$1,664,347,710 | \$124,674,531 | \$1,789,022,241 | \$151,301,687 | \$1,940,323,928 |
| Accumulated Depreciation: | \$494,134,121 | \$21,734,090 | \$515,868,211 | \$21,715,025 | \$537,583,236 |
| Net Utility Plant: | \$1,170,213,589 | \$102,940,441 | \$1,273,154,030 | \$129,586,662 | \$1,402,740,692 |
| Deduct: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions in aid of construction | \$161,238,063 | \$6,361,977 | \$167,600,040 | \$3,905,896 | \$171,505,936 |
| Customer advances for construction | 40,281,702 | 5,779,981 | 46,061,683 | 4,169,604 | 50,231,287 |
| Northwest Billing Change - Bi-Monthly to Monthly | 295,547 | 0 | 295,547 | $(98,516)$ | 197,031 |
| Capacity Adjustment - Somerset | 217,962 | 62,224 | 280,186 | $(7,671)$ | 272,515 |
| Total Deductions: | \$202,033,274 | \$12,204,182 | \$214,237,456 | \$7,969,313 | \$222,206,769 |
| Add: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acquisition Adjustment (net) | \$14,234 | $(\$ 1,364)$ | \$12,870 | $(\$ 1,023)$ | \$11,847 |
| Wabash Billing Change - Area Two to Area One | 293,861 | 0 | 293,861 | $(97,954)$ | 195,907 |
| Materials and supplies | 1,409,855 | 18,620 | 1,428,475 | 0 | 1,428,475 |
| Total Additions: | \$1,717,950 | \$17,256 | \$1,735,206 | (\$98,977) | \$1,636,229 |
| Original Cost Rate Base - Total Company: | \$969,898,265 | \$90,753,515 | \$1,060,651,780 | \$121,518,372 | \$1,182,170,152 |

Note: Adjusted Rate Base reflects the elimination of Southern Indiana High Service Pumps as per Cause No. 43680 and the elimination of Northwest Tunnel's outstanding easement payments as per Cause No. 44450

Table 2. Pro Forma Proposed Rates -Step 1 and Step 2

| Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 12 / 31 / 2017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments | Present Rates Step 1 | Proposed Rate Adjustments | Proposed Rates Step 1 | Adjustments | Present Rates Step 2 | Proposed Rate Adjustments | Proposed Rates Step 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues: | \$222,515,256 | \$233,871 | \$222,749,127 | \$3,836,226 | \$226,585,353 | \$0 | \$226,585,353 | \$13,663,774 | \$240,249,127 |
| Total Operation \& Maintenance Expense: | 73,076,551 | 7,244,103 | 80,320,654 | 39,007 | 80,359,661 | $(2,354,422)$ | 78,005,239 | 138,920 | 78,144,159 |
| Depreciation | 48,054,562 | 67,805 | 48,122,367 | 0 | 48,122,367 | 4,406,608 | 52,528,975 | 0 | 52,528,975 |
| Amortization | 535,287 | $(260,588)$ | 274,699 | 0 | 274,699 | 0 | 274,699 | 0 | 274,699 |
| General Taxes | 15,684,056 | 1,579,538 | 17,263,594 | 55,038 | 17,318,632 | 0 | 17,318,632 | 207,717 | 17,526,349 |
| Income Taxes | 25,812,897 | $(12,142,257)$ | 13,670,640 | 951,894 | 14,622,534 | $(495,084)$ | 14,127,450 | 3,378,763 | 17,506,213 |
| Total Operating Expenses: | \$163,163,353 | (\$3,511,399) | \$159,651,954 | \$1,045,939 | \$160,697,893 | \$1,557,102 | \$162,254,995 | \$3,725,400 | \$165,980,395 |
| Net Utility Operating Income: | \$59,351,903 | \$3,745,270 | \$63,097,173 | \$2,790,287 | \$65,887,460 | (\$1,557,102) | \$64,330,358 | \$9,938,374 | \$74,268,732 |

## Table 3. Capital Structure - Step 1 and Step 2



## 2. Resolution of Issues Impacting Rate Increase.

All agreed upon revenue requirement components are detailed in Appendices A and B. As a result of settlement negotiations, the Company agrees to decrease its overall rate request by $\$ 21.25$ million. The attached Appendices show the resolution and comparison of positions for Operating Income (Appendix A) and Rate Base (Appendix B), as well as explanations of the settlement positions for cost of capital and overall rate increase (Appendices A and B respectively).

The material pro forma reductions as a result of settlement discussions are described specifically below. While an explanation of these individual adjustments is provided, the negotiated
amounts represent agreements reached by the Parties as part of the overall settlement package of terms.

## (a) Revenues

Total company pro forma revenues at present rates for the test year for purposes of settlement will be $\$ 222,749,127$. That figure represents the amount the Settling Parties agree is reasonable for purposes of compromise and settlement, and is not based on a particular calculation methodology or percentage of declining usage. Total pro forma revenues at present rates are detailed in the attached schedules.

## (b) Capital Structure and Cost of Equity

For purposes of settlement, the Company has agreed to change its forecasted capital structure that will be used to set rates for the future test period as shown in Table 3 above, reflecting a level of equity as a percentage of total investor-supplied capital of $53.41 \%$. The Settling Parties have agreed to a cost of common equity of $9.8 \%$, producing a weighted cost of capital of $6.17 \%$ in Step 1 and $6.25 \%$ in Step 2 based on the above-described capital structure, which the Settling Parties stipulate and agree is both reasonable and within the range of the evidence that has been submitted.

## (c) Rate Base

As discussed in more detail below, the Settling Parties agree that Indiana American's actual net original cost rate base at Step 2, upon which it is authorized to earn a reasonable return, will not exceed $\$ 1,182,170,152$, representing a $\$ 40$ million reduction from Indiana American's forecasted Step 2 rate base in its testimony in this

Cause. The $\$ 40$ million reduction to the forecast is composed of non-DSIC eligible assets.

## (d) Rate Base Certification

For purposes of this section, Petitioner shall certify it has completed the amount of net plant indicated in its certification and the corresponding net plant additions have been placed in service and are used and useful in providing utility service as of the date of certification. Petitioner will serve all Settling Parties with its certification.

## (i) Certification - Step 1 Rates

The Company will certify its net utility plant in service as of April 30, 2019 and calculate the resulting Step 1 rates using the capital structure reflected in Table 3 above. Step 1 rates will become effective upon the later of the date of the Commission's order in this case or July 1, 2019. Indiana American will serve all Settling Parties with its Step 1 certification as soon as possible after the closing of its books following April 30, 2019.

## (ii) Certification - Step 2 Rates

The Company will certify its net utility plant in service as of the end of the test year (April 30, 2020) and calculate the resulting Step 2 rates using the capital structure reflected in Table 3 above. Step 2 rates will be based upon actual net original cost rate base that does not exceed $\$ 1,182,170,152$ (the "Rate Base Cap") and actual depreciation expense associated with the Rate Base Cap; however, the total increase shall not exceed $\$ 17,500,000$ over pro forma revenues at present rates.

Step 2 rates will become effective upon the later of the date the Company certifies its end of test year net plant in service or May 1, 2020.

The OUCC and intervening parties will have 60 days from the date of certification to state any objections to the Company's certified test-year-end net plant in service.

If objections cannot be resolved informally, a hearing will be held to determine the Company's actual test-year-end net plant in service, and rates will be trued-up (with carrying charges) retroactive to the date that the Company's Step 2 rates became effective as stated above in this Paragraph 2(d)(ii).

To the extent the Company's actual net original cost rate base as of April 30, 2020 exceeds the Rate Base Cap, the Company is not foreclosed from including those additional investments in rate base in a future general rate case. In forecasting its rate base, the Company has forecasted investment from the end of the period covered by the Company's most recent DSIC filing (November 30, 2017) through the end of the test year (April 30, 2020) totaling $\$ 114,004,218$ (excluding costs of removals and retirements) in improvements that might qualify for a distribution system improvement charge (DSIC) pursuant to IC 8-1-31 but for their inclusion in rate base in this Cause. Accordingly, Petitioner may not apply for a DSIC for improvements placed in service before April 30, 2020, unless the Company shall have invested more than $\$ 114,004,218$ (excluding costs of removals and retirements) in distribution system improvements during the period between November 30, 2017 and April 30, 2020. An application under IC § 8-1-31-1 et seq. that includes inservice distribution system improvements shall only include distribution system
improvement costs that exceed the $\$ 114,004,218$ (excluding costs of removals and retirements) projected to be made during the period between November 30, 2017 and April 30, 2020. In any application for DSIC including improvements placed in service before April 30, 2020, Petitioner shall identify the plant additions composing the $\$ 114,004,218$ (excluding costs of removals and retirements) of distribution system additions as well as those plant additions that qualify for and for which DSIC recovery is sought.

The Settling Parties agree there will be no deferred asset reflecting post-inservice allowance for funds used during construction and deferred depreciation associated with the major projects included in this Cause within the Company's certified rate base in either Step 1 or Step 2. This Stipulation does not affect the Company's ability to file a petition seeking such accounting treatment and to include the resulting regulatory asset in rate base in future general rate cases or the rights of the parties to oppose such relief.
(e) Operating Expenses, Depreciation and Amortization

For purposes of settlement, the Settling Parties agree to a forecasted level of Operating Expenses at Step 2 of $\$ 165,980,395$ including forecasted Depreciation Expense at Step 2 of $\$ 52,528,975$, forecasted Amortization Expense at Step 2 of $\$ 274,699$, and forecasted Taxes Other than Income Tax Expense at Step 2 of $\$ 17,526,349$. The detailed stipulations underlying these forecast adjustments are set forth in Appendix A, which is incorporated herein by reference.

With respect to the reduction to deferred Federal income tax expense, the Settling Parties agree that for purposes of Step 1 rates in the pending rate case (Cause

No. 45142), the Company will use the estimate provided in the Company's rebuttal in Cause No. 45142. Subject to the provisions of Paragraph 3 below, which are to be submitted for Commission approval in Cause No. 45032 S4, if the Internal Revenue Service issues a Private Letter Ruling that determines amortization of repairs-related excess accumulated deferred income taxes ("EADIT") cannot be faster than under the Average Rate Assumption Method ("ARAM") without causing a normalization violation, then the Settling Parties agree for purposes of Cause 45142, the estimate producing annual amortization of $\$ 1.7$ million will continue to be used for purposes of Step 2 rates until the Company's next general rate case at which point the EADIT amortization will be trued up using the actual ARAM calculation.

## 3. Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 ("TCJA") - Cause No. 45032 S4

The following terms of this Stipulation address pending issues in the Company's subdocket proceeding in the Commission's investigation into the impact of the TCJA (Cause No. 45032 S4, referred to herein as the "tax subdocket"). The Settling Parties agree the terms and conditions set forth herein represent a fair and reasonable resolution of the remaining issues in the tax subdocket based on the record as it currently exists in that proceeding, subject to incorporation into a final order of the Commission in the tax subdocket which approves Paragraphs 3(a) and 3(b) of this Stipulation without any modification or condition that is not acceptable to the Settling Parties. The Settling Parties will cooperate to submit jointly to the Commission a form of a proposed order that would approve Paragraphs 3(a) and 3(b) of this Stipulation in Cause No. 45032 S4.
(a) Excess Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes

The Settling Parties have agreed in the pending rate case (Cause No. 45142) that, for purposes of Step 1 rates, the Company will use the estimate of excess
accumulated deferred income taxes ("EADIT") provided in the Company's rebuttal in Cause No. 45142, which produces a result that is approximately the same as an estimate using the average rate assumption method ("ARAM") to the entirety of Indiana American's EADIT.

The Settling Parties further agree that the Company will seek a Private Letter Ruling ("PLR") from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") requesting a determination whether the Commission has the discretion to order an amortization for EADIT related to the Company's repairs deduction that is faster than ARAM. The Settling Parties agree the PLR request is not an opportunity for advocacy for one outcome or another and that the PLR request will be drafted using neutral and unbiased language. The Settling Parties will confer on the wording of the draft PLR request to objectively frame the issue while adhering to IRS guidelines and requirements (Rev. Proc. 2017-1, Part III, Section 7) before the PLR request is submitted to the IRS for resolution. If the IRS requires additional information, the Company shall use reasonable efforts to coordinate any response with the nonCompany Settling Parties prior to responding to any such request within the confines of IRS requirements and deadlines. The Company will file notice of the results of the ruling with the Commission and all parties to the tax subdocket within ten (10) business days of receipt of the Private Letter Ruling. No Settling Party shall be deemed to have waived any position in any subsequent case as to whether Indiana American may recover the costs it incurs associated with the PLR request. For purposes of permitting the Commission to make the necessary findings consistent with the terms of this Stipulation, the Company will waive confidential treatment of
(1) the fact of its request for a Private Letter Ruling and (2) the overall results of the ruling.

If the IRS issues a Private Letter Ruling that amortization of repairs related EADIT cannot be faster than ARAM without causing a normalization violation, the Settling Parties have agreed Indiana American will continue to use the estimate producing annual amortization of $\$ 1.7$ million for purposes of Step 1 and Step 2 rates in Cause No. 45142 until the Company's next general rate case, at which point the EADIT amortization will be trued up using the actual ARAM calculation. In that event, the Commission shall issue an order to dismiss the tax subdocket proceeding.

If the IRS issues a Private Letter Ruling determining that the Commission has discretion to order amortization for EADIT related to the Company's repairs deduction that is faster than ARAM, or otherwise determining that amortization using non-normalized accounting would be appropriate, the Settling Parties agree and hereby request that the Commission establish, by order in the tax subdocket, the appropriate amortization period for such non-normalized EADIT and order the Company to file revised rates to reflect the revised amortization for the nonnormalized EADIT along with the true-up for the actual ARAM calculation for all EADIT required to be normalized.

## (b) Regulatory Liability - Deferral

The $\$ 5,821,888.14$ balance of Indiana American's regulatory liability created as a result of the Commission's January 3, 2018 order in Cause No. 45032 shall be flowed to customers as a bill credit commencing with implementation of Step 2 rates ratably over a twelve-month period allocated among customer classes in accordance
with the allocation methodology associated with the underlying rates that generated the regulatory liability.

## 4. Low Income Pilot Program

The Company agrees to add the Gary, Indiana service territory as a third location for inclusion in the Low Income Pilot Program ("LIPP"). The Settling Parties agree that the total program cost for the LIPP will be borne evenly (50/50) between the deferred asset and non-deferred contribution established herein.

For every year of the LIPP except for Year One and Two, the Settling Parties agree that the Company will contribute up to $\$ 300,000$ per year to the LIPP, allocated equally among the three pilot locations (ie, up to $\$ 100,000$ per location). The actual amount contributed will depend on participation with the requirement that the total contribution not to exceed $\$ 300,000$ annually, except for Year Two when the total contribution will not exceed $\$ 450,000$, and will continue until the earlier of the next general rate case filing, or termination of the LIPP. Of the maximum annual contribution amount, an amount not to exceed $\$ 150,000$ per year will be accrued in a deferred asset, without carrying charges, for recovery in the Company's next general rate case.

The Company's contribution obligation will commence with the commencement of the LIPP; however, in Year One of the LIPP, only the $\$ 150,000$ deferred asset will be contributed, with the remaining non-deferred portion of the first year's contribution to be made at the time of the second year's contribution. Accordingly, for Year Two of the LIPP, the maximum contribution to be made by the Company could be as high as $\$ 450,000$, with $\$ 300,000$ from the Company's nondeferred contribution and $\$ 150,000$ in the deferred asset. All subsequent annual contributions under this provision will not exceed $\$ 300,000$.

The Settling Parties have agreed to a reservation of rights with respect to the allocation among customer classes of the deferral, and the Settling Parties may raise any and all arguments concerning the allocation among customer classes of the deferral in the Company's next base rate case.

## 5. Conservation

Indiana American will conduct a good faith review of market potential and customer impact of a utility-sponsored water conservation program in its service territory. Indiana American agrees such a utility-sponsored water conservation program proposal could include non-behavioral, measure-based conservation efforts, such as device distribution programs, direct installation programs, manufacturer buy down programs, and rebate and voucher programs for water conservation measures and services. Indiana American agrees to meet and discuss preliminary and final findings of its efforts under this Paragraph 5 with interested Settling Parties at mutually agreeable times.

## 6. Effect of Stipulation In Future Proceedings

As a part of this Stipulation and Settlement Agreement and for purposes of Petitioner's next general rate case and thereafter, the parties stipulate and agree to the following terms and conditions. Other than as stated in this Paragraph 6, the Settling Parties reserve the right to take positions in future cases, including but not limited to, positions that may be inconsistent with the revenue requirements, cost of capital, rate base, cost of service, revenue allocation, rate design, and other matters set forth in this Stipulation and Settlement Agreement:

## (a) Information Regarding Capital Projects

The parties have resolved their dispute regarding the support for Petitioner's forecasted capital projects for purposes of the current case and stipulate that an agreement among the parties regarding information to be included in future cases will mitigate the risk of future similar disputes. Accordingly, for purposes of future general rate cases involving a forward looking test period, Indiana American will, to the extent such information exists, include the following information in its workpapers supporting its case-in-chief; provided, however, that if the Commission promulgates rules amending or adapting the minimum standard filing requirements for a rate case utilizing a forward-looking test period, then those promulgated rules shall supersede the parties' agreement in this Paragraph 6(a). To the extent the following information does not exist, Indiana American will explain in testimony or exhibits how it determined the forecasted capital additions by subaccount and how it calculated the cost of the capital additions it forecasted by subaccount. If any of the Settling Parties believes Indiana American has failed to provide the required information, that party must file a deficiency notice within the timeframe as set forth in 170 IAC 1-5-4; otherwise, Indiana American is deemed to have filed a complete case-in-chief for purposes of a motion to dismiss based on a failure to meet the Minimum Standard Filing Requirements ("MSFRs"). Nothing herein shall be construed to establish, alter, or amend any party's burden of proof in any subsequent rate case. No Settling Party shall be deemed to have waived the ability to request additional information nor shall Petitioner be deemed to have waived any objection to discovery in excess of the information promised below. The foregoing promises shall not constitute a basis for objecting to a data request or other method of discovery in any subsequent proceeding.

## (i) Projects Greater than $\$ \mathbf{5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$.

a. Project name
b. Project number, including Comprehensive Planning Study project number (if applicable)
c. Project cost or cost estimate, including contingency allowance and nonconstruction costs (with identification of the amounts and percentages allocated for (or other basis for determining) non-construction costs)
d. Actual or projected project construction start and in-service date
e. Location
f. Dollar amount of additions
g. Amount and derivation of cost of removals
h. Total dollar amount of additions and cost of removals
i. Project description and purpose (including, if applicable, a list of major components of new construction, treatment and pumping capacities, and storage volumes)
j. Project benefits
k. Project background (including identification of any studies, reports, or analyses which provided background, input, or which were considered in developing the project scope, including any alternatives that were considered.)
(ii) Recurring Capital Investments That Are Individually Less Than \$500,000
a. Categories of recurring projects
b. Cost projections by category
c. Identification in testimony, attachment(s), or workpaper(s) of the historic operating experience and assumptions, including applicable unit costs, quantities and contingency and non-construction costs used to build the cost projections for known and anticipated recurring investments

## (iii) Access to Studies Including Comprehensive Planning Studies

Subject to the terms of this Paragraph 6(a)(iii), contemporaneous with the filing of its case in chief in a general rate case, Indiana American will provide the OUCC with copies of the studies, reports, or analyses -- including Comprehensive Planning Studies if applicable - for operations that are projected to include an individual project that would qualify as a "major project" pursuant to the MSFRs. The Parties will work cooperatively to find reasonable solutions to afford timely access to the materials related to the case. Nothing herein shall be construed as prohibiting the OUCC or any other intervenor from specifically identifying and asking for more detail, documents, or information other than what Indiana American has agreed to provide in this section, including other or historical reports previously conducted and nothing shall be construed as estopping the Company from interposing any objection to such requests.
(b) Deferral and Amortization of Comprehensive Planning Studies

Following issuance of an Order approving this Stipulation, all costs of conducting comprehensive planning studies shall be deferred and amortized over a 15-year period.

## (c) Acquisition Journal Entries

Based upon the evidence and filings in the respective causes, Indiana American will revise the journal entry to record the acquisitions for Yankeetown and Merom to reflect the journal entry submitted in Petitioner's Exhibit JCH-6 (Cause No. 44400) and Petitioner's Exhibit JCH-5 (Cause No. 44399), respectively. The journal entry to record the Russiaville acquisition matches the journal entry ordered in Cause No. 44584 and thus will not be changed.

## 7. Next General Rate Increase

It is anticipated that this settlement will allow Indiana American to operate without seeking a general increase in base water rates and charges before January 2022. While not anticipated, circumstances, short of emergency rate relief under IC § 8-1-2-113, could justify an earlier filing. Nothing in this Stipulation affects the Company's ability to file a petition seeking an increase in its base rates and charges for sewer service or the timing thereof. Should Indiana American deem it necessary to seek a base rate increase before January 2022, it agrees to provide the Settling Parties and the Commission with 60 days notice in advance of such filing, including a statement as to why the rates set by this Settlement are no longer just and reasonable.

## 8. Revenue Allocation and Rate Design

The agreed allocation of the stipulated increase is set forth in Appendix C. The Settling Parties agree that the Commission should proceed to approve the rate design set forth in Appendix C, which resets the DSIC to zero and accomplishes the agreed allocation. Given the efforts to gradualize impacts on the various customer classes, the Settling Parties agree that in light of the proposed and agreed upon rate design and allocation among customer classes, the various cost of service study and allocation disputes raised in this case are moot, and do not need to be resolved at this time, and request that the Commission not issue any finding approving any particular cost of
service study. The Parties retain all rights to advocate for alternative cost of service studies and rate designs different from those in this Settlement in future proceedings. The rates set forth in the attached Appendix C are the rates that would be in effect after the filing of the April 30, 2020 certification described in Paragraph 2(d)(ii) of this Stipulation.

## 9. Stipulation Effect, Scope and Approval.

The Stipulation is conditioned upon and subject to its acceptance and approval by the Commission in its entirety without any change or condition that is unacceptable to any Settling Party. Each term of the Stipulation is in consideration and support of each and every other term. If the Commission does not approve the Stipulation in its entirety - with Paragraph 3 to be approved in Cause No. 45032 S4 -- or if the Commission makes modifications that are unacceptable to any Settling Party, the Stipulation shall be null and void and shall be deemed withdrawn upon notice in writing by any party within 15 days after the date of the final order stating that a modification made by the Commission is unacceptable to the Settling Party.

The Stipulation is the result of compromise in the settlement process and neither the making of the Stipulation nor any of its provisions shall constitute an admission or waiver by any Settling Party in any other proceeding, now or in the future. The Stipulation shall not be used as precedent in any other current or future proceeding or for any other purpose except to the extent provided for herein or to the extent necessary to implement or enforce its terms.

The evidence to be submitted in support of the Stipulation, together with evidence already admitted, constitutes substantial evidence sufficient to support the Stipulation and provides an adequate evidentiary basis upon which the Commission can make any findings of fact and conclusions of law necessary for the approval of the Stipulation.

The communications and discussions and materials produced and exchanged during the negotiation of the Stipulation relate to offers of settlement and shall be privileged and confidential.

The undersigned represent and agree that they are fully authorized to execute the Stipulation on behalf of the designated party who will be bound thereby.

The Settling Parties will either support or not oppose on rehearing, reconsideration and/or appeal, an IURC Order accepting and approving this Stipulation in accordance with its terms.
(signature page follows)

## ACCEPTED and AGREED this 18th day of March, 2019.

Indiana-American Water Company, Inc.


Deborah Dewey, President 153 North Emerson Avenuq Greenwood, Indiana 46143

By:
Aaron A. Schmoll, Attorney No. 20359-49
Joseph P. Rompala, Attorney No. 25078-49
Bette Dodd, Attorney No. 4765-49
Lewis \& Kappes, P.C.
One American Square, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282
City of Crown Point

By:
Robert M. Glennon, Attorney No. 8321-49
36979 N. County Road 500 E.
Danville, Indiana 46122

Citizens Action Coalition

By:
Jennifer Washburn, Attorney No. 30462-49
Margo Tucker, Attorney No. 34803-49
1915 West $18^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite C
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

By:
Daniel LeVay, Attorney No. 28916-49
Scott Franson, Attorney No. 27839-49
Tiffany Murray, Attorney No. 28916-49
T. Jason Haas, Attomey No. 29971-53

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
115 West Washington Street, \#1500S
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Schererville

By:
Kristina Kern Wheeler Attorney \#20957-49A
J. Christopher Janak, Attorney No. 18499-49

Nikki Gray Shoultz, Attorney No. 16509-41
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Sullivan-Vigo Rural Water Corporation

By:
Jeffery A. Earl, Attorney No. 27821-64
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Whiteland

By:
Stephen K. Watson, Attorney No. 16899-53
William W. Barrett, Attorney No. 15114-53
Williams Barrett \& Wilkowski, LLP
600 North Emerson Avenue
P.O. Box 405

Greenwood, Indiana 46142

ACCEPTED and AGREED this 18th day of March, 2019.

Indiana-American Water Company, Inc.

By:
Deborah Dewey, President
153 North Emerson Avenue
Greenwood, Indiana 46143

Indiana-American Water Co. Industrial Group

## By:

Aäron A. Schmoll, Attorney No. 20359-49
Joseph P. Rompala, Attorney No. 25078-49
Bette Dodd, Attorney No. 4765-49
Lewis \& Kappes, P.C.
One Aitherióan Square, Suite 2500
Indiatiapolis,-Indiana 46282
Gity 6 f Crown Point

By:
Robert M. Glennon, Attorney No. 8321-49
36979 N. County Road 500 E.
Danville, Indiana 46122

Citizens Action Coalition

By:
Jennifer Washburn, Attorney No. 30462-49
Margo Tucker, Attorney No. 34803-49
1915 West $18^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite C
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor


Town of Schererville

By:
Kristina Kern Wheeler Attorney \#20957-49A
J. Christopher Janak, Attorney No. 18499-49

Nikki Gray Shoultz, Attorney No. 16509-41
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP.
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Sullivan-Vigo Rural Water Corporation

By:
Jeffery A. Earl, Attorney No. 27821-64
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Whiteland

By:
Stephen K. Watson, Attorney No. 16899-53
William W. Barrett, Attorney No. 15114-53
Williams Barrett \& Wilkowski, LLP
600 North Emerson Avenue
P.O. Box 405

Greenwood, Indiana 46142

ACCEPTED and AGREED this 18th day of March, 2019.

Indiana-American Water Company, Inc.
By:
Deborah Dewey, President
153 North Emerson Avenue
Greenwood, Indiana 46143


Aaron A. Sckmoll, Attorney 0. 20359-49
Joseph P. Rompala, Attorney No. 25078-49
Bette Dodd, Attorney No. 4765-49
Lewis \& Kappes, P.C.
One American Square, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282
City of Crown Point

By:
Robert M. Glennon, Attorney No. 8321-49
36979 N. County Road 500 E.
Danville, Indiana 46122

Citizens Action Coalition

By:
Jennifer Washburn, Attorney No. 30462-49
Margo Tucker, Attorney No. 34803-49
1915 West $18^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite C
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

By:
Daniel LeVay, Attomey No. 28916-49
Scott Franson, Attorney No. 27839-49
Tiffany Murray, Attorney No. 28916-49
T. Jason Haas, Attorney No. 29971-53

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
115 West Washington Street, \#1500S
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Schererville

By:
Kristina Kern Wheeler Attorney \#20957-49A
J. Christopher Janak, Attorney No. 18499-49

Nikki Gray Shoultz, Attorney No. 16509-41
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Sullivan-Vigo Rural Water Corporation

By:
Jeffery A. Earl, Attorney No. 27821-64
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Whiteland

By:
Stephen K. Watson, Attorney No. 16899-53
William W. Barrett, Attorney No. 15114-53
Williams Barrett \& Wilkowski, LLP
600 North Emerson Avenue
P.O. Box 405

Greenwood, Indiana 46142

## ACCEPTED and AGREED this 18th day of March, 2019.

Indiana-American Water Company, Inc.

By:
Deborah Dewey, President 153 North Emerson Avenue
Greenwood, Indiana 46143

Indiana-American Water Co. Industrial Group

By:
Aaron A. Schmoll, Attorney No. 20359-49
Joseph P. Rompala, Attorney No. 25078-49
Bette Nod, Attorney No. 4765-49
Lewis \& Kappes, P.C.
One American Square, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282
City of Crown Point

By:
Robert M. Glennon, Attorney No. 8321-49 36979 N. County Road 500 E.
Danville, Indiana 46122

Citizens Action Coalition

By:
Jennifer Washburn, Attorney No. 30462-49
Margo Tucker, Attorney No. 34803-49
1915 West $18^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite C
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

## By:

Daniel LeVay, Attorney No. 28916-49
Scott Franson, Attorney No. 27839-49
Tiffany Murray, Attorney No. 28916-49
T. Jason Haas, Attorney No. 29971-53

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
115 West Washington Street, \#1500S
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Schererville

By:


Jeffery A. Earl, Attorney \#27821-64
Kristina Kern Wheeler Attorney \#20957-49A
J. Christopher Janak, Attorney No. 18499-49

Nikki Gray Shoultz, Attorney No. 16509-41
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Sullivan-Vigo Rural Water Corporation

By:


Jeffery A. Earl, Attorney No. 27821-64
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Whiteland

By:
Stephen K. Watson, Attorney No. 16899-53
William W. Barrett, Attorney No. 15114-53
Williams Barrett \& Wilkowski, LLP
600 North Emerson Avenue
P.O. Box 405

Greenwood, Indiana 46142

ACCEPTED and AGREED this 18th day of March, 2019.

Indiana-American Water Company, Inc.

By:
Deborah Dewey, President
153 North Emerson Avenue
Greenwood, Indiana 46143

Indiana-American Water Co. Industrial Group

By:
Aaron A. Schmoll, Attorney No. 20359-49
Joseph P. Rompala, Attorney No. 25078-49
Bette Dodd, Attorney No. 4765-49
Lewis \& Kappes, P.C.
One American Square, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282
City of Crown Point

By:PM Boleunn To
Robert M. Glennon, Attorney No. 8321-49 36979 N. County Road 500 E.
Danville, Indiana 46122

Citizens Action Coalition

By:
Jennifer Washburn, Attorney No. 30462-49
Margo Tucker, Attorney No. 34803-49
1915 West $18^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite C
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor


Scott Franson, Attorney No. 27838=49
Tiffany Murray, Attorney No. 28916-49
T. Jason Haas, Attorney No. 29971-53

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
115 West Washington Street, \#1500S
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Schererville

By:
Kristina Kern Wheeler Attorney \#20957-49A
J. Christopher Janak, Attorney No. 18499-49

Nikki Gray Shoultz, Attorney No. 16509-41
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Sullivan-Vigo Rural Water Corporation

By:
Jeffery A. Earl, Attorney No. 27821-64
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Whiteland

By:
Stephen K. Watson, Attorney No. 16899-53
William W. Barrett, Attorney No. 15114-53
Williams Barrett \& Wilkowski, LLP
600 North Emerson Avenue
P.O. Box 405

Greenwood, Indiana 46142

## ACCEPTED and AGREED this 18th day of March, 2019.

Indiana-American Water Company, Inc.

By:
Deborah Dewey, President 153 North Emerson Avenue
Greenwood, Indiana 46143

Indiana-American Water Co. Industrial Group

By:
Aaron A. Schmoll, Attorney No. 20359-49
Joseph P. Rompala, Attorney No. 25078-49
Bette Bod, Attorney No. 4765-49
Lewis \& Kappes, P.C.
One American Square, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282
City of Crown Point

By:
Robert M. Glennon, Attorney No. 8321-49
36979 N. County Road 500 E.
Danville, Indiana 46122

Citizens Action Coalition


By:
Jennifer Washburn, Attorney No. 30462-49
Margo Tucker, Attorney No. 34803-49
1915 West $18^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite C
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

By:
Daniel LeVay, Attorney No. 22184-49
Scott Franson, Attorney No. 27839-49
Tiffany Murray, Attorney No. 28916-49
T. Jason Haas, Attorney No. 29971-53

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
115 West Washington Street, \#1500S Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Town of Schererville

By:
Kristina Kern Wheeler Attorney \#20957-49A
J. Christopher Janak, Attorney No. 18499-49

Nikki Gray Shoultz, Attorney No. 16509-41
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Sullivan-Vigo Rural Water Corporation

By:
Jeffery A. Earl, Attorney No. 27821-64
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Whiteland

By:
Stephen K. Watson, Attorney No. 16899-53
William W. Barrett, Attorney No. 15114-53
Williams Barrett \& Wilkowski, LLP
600 North Emerson Avenue
P.O. Box 405

Greenwood, Indiana 46142

## ACCEPTED and AGREED this 18th day of March, 2019.

Indiana-American Water Company, Inc.

By:
Deborah Dewey, President
153 North Emerson Avenue
Greenwood, Indiana 46143

Indiana-American Water Co. Industrial Group

By:
Aaron A. Schmoll, Attorney No. 20359-49
Joseph P. Rompala, Attorney No. 25078-49
Bette Bod, Attorney No. 4765-49
Lewis \& Kappes, P.C.
One American Square, Suite 2500
Indianapolis; Indiana 46282
City of Crown Point

By:
Robert M. Glennon, Attorney No. 8321-49
36979 N. County Road 500 E.
Danville, Indiana 46122

Citizens Action Coalition

By:
Jennifer Washburn, Attorney No. 30462-49
Margo Tucker, Attorney No. 34803-49
1915 West $18^{\text {th }}$ Street, Suite C
Indianapolis, Indiana 46202

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

By:
Daniel LeVay, Attorney No. 28916-49
Scott Franson, Attorney No. 27839-49
Tiffany Murray, Attorney No. 28916-49
T. Jason Haas, Attorney No. 29971-53

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
115 West Washington Street, \#1500S
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Schererville

By:
Kristina Kern Wheeler Attorney \#20957-49A
J. Christopher Janak, Attorney No. 18499-49

Nikki Gray Shoultz, Attorney No. 16509-41
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Sullivan-Vigo Rural Water Corporation

By:
Jeffery A. Earl, Attorney No. 27821-64
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
Town of Whiteland

By:


Stephen K/ Watson, Attorney No. 16899-53
William W. Barrett, Attorney No. 15114-53
Williams Barrett \& Wilkowski, LLP
600 North Emerson Avenue
P.O. Box 405

Greenwood, Indiana 46142

ACCEPTED and AGREED as to paragraphs 3 and 9 this 18th day of March, 2019.

Indiana Industrial Group
Anarschnoll
Todd A. Richardson, Attorney No. 16620-49 Aaron A. Schmoll, Attorney No. 20359-49
Joseph P. Rompala, Attorney No. 25078-49 Lewis \& Kappes, P.C.
One American Square, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282

United States Steel Corporation

By:
Nikki G. Shoultz, Attorney No. 16509-41
Kristina Kern Wheeler Attorney \#20957-49A
J. Christopher Janak, Attorney No. 18499-49

Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, \#2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

# ACCEPTED and AGREED as to paragraphs 3 and 9 this 18th day of March, 2019. 

Indiana Industrial Group

By:
Todd A. Richardson, Attorney No. 16620-49
Aaron A. Schmoll, Attorney No. 20359-49
Joseph P. Rompala, Attorney No. 25078-49
Lewis \& Kappes, P.C.
One American Square, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282

United States Steel Corporation

By: s/Jeffery A. Earl
Nikki G. Shoultz, Attorney No. 16509-41
Kristina Kern Wheeler Attorney \#20957-49A
J. Christopher Janak, Attorney No. 18499-49

Jeffery A. Earl, Attorney No. 27821-64
Bose McKinney \& Evans LLP
111 Monument Circle, \#2700
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
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466,708
$7,217,441$
$1,95,188$
$1,100,745$
$18,600,022$
$2,044,489$
$(1,987,890)$
$3,813,500$
$1,375,016$
$19,809,367$
$1,888,557$
$1,106,156$
856,839 846,839
48,446
646,040 54,251
427,736
$1,436,616$
374,893 374,893
914,937





36,192
0
0
0
0
0
0
0 6,327,431


| $48,001,119$ | $(157,551)$ | 0 | 1,147 | $47,844,715$ | 0 | $47,844,715$ | $4,407,229$ | $52,251,945$ | 0 | $52,251,945$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 533,631 | 77,276 | 0 | $(338,000)$ | 272,907 | 0 | 27,907 | 0 | 272,907 | 0 | 272,907 |
| $15,677,516$ | $1,487,899$ | 0 | $(28,201)$ | $17,137,214$ | 51,066 | $17,188,280$ | 0 | $17,188,280$ | 207,717 | $17,395,997$ |







| Line Numbe | - Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 12 / 31 / 2017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Adjustments | Settlement Changes tied to Declining Use | $\begin{gathered} \text { Settlement } \\ \text { Other } \\ \text { issues } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Present Rates } \\ \text { Step } 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Proposed Rate Adjustments | Proposed Rates Step 1 | Adjustments | $\begin{gathered} \text { Present Rates } \\ \text { Step } 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Proposed Rate Adjustments | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Proposed Rates } \\ \text { Step } 2\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Operating Revenues: | \$448,967 | \$921,123 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,370,090 | \$0 | \$1,370,090 | \$0 | \$1,370,090 | \$0 | \$1,370,090 |
| 2. | Operating Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Operation and Maintenance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Purchased Water | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. | Fuel \& Power | 3,670 | 51,708 | 0 | 0 | 55,378 | 0 | 55,378 | 0 | 55,378 | 0 | 55,378 |
| 7. | Chemicals | 2,803 | 21,043 | 0 | 0 | 23,846 | 0 | 23,846 | 0 | 23,846 | 0 | 23,846 |
| 8. | Waste Disposal | 387,241 | 63,263 | 0 | 0 | 450,504 | 0 | 450,504 | 0 | 450,504 | 0 | 450,504 |
| 9. | Salaries and Wages | 19,372 | $(5,326)$ | 0 | 0 | 14,046 | 0 | 14,046 | 0 | 14,046 | 0 | 14,046 |
| 10. | Pension | 2,296 | (634) | 0 | 470 | 2,132 | 0 | 2,132 | 939 | 3,071 | 0 | 3,071 |
| 11. | OPEB | 264 | 396 | 0 | $(1,215)$ | (555) | 0 | (555) | $(2,431)$ | $(2,986)$ | 0 | $(2,586)$ |
| 12. | Group Insurance | 3,873 | (159) | 0 | 0 | 3,714 | 0 | 3,714 | 0 | 3,714 | 0 | 3,714 |
| 13. | Other Benefits | 1,475 | (259) | 0 | 0 | 1,216 | 0 | 1,216 | 0 | 1,216 | 0 | 1,216 |
| 14. | Support Services | 28,510 | 1,780 | 0 | 0 | 30,290 | 0 | 30,290 | 0 | 30,290 | 0 | 30,290 |
| 15. | Contract Services | 27,134 | 1,274 | 0 | 0 | 28,408 | 0 | 28,408 | 0 | 28,408 | 0 | 28,408 |
| 16. | Building Maintenance \& Services | 2,034 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 2,137 | 0 | 2,137 | 0 | 2,137 | 0 | 2,137 |
| 17. | Telecommunications | 359 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 377 | 0 | 377 | 0 | 377 | 0 | 377 |
| 18. | Postage, Printing, \& Stationary | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 |
| 19. | Office Supplies \& Services | 552 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 660 | 0 | 660 | 0 | 660 | 0 | 660 |
| 20. | Advertising \& Marketing | 138 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 138 |
| 21. | Employee Related Expense | 265 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 292 |
| 22. | Miscellaneous Expense | 2,626 | 28,327 | 0 | (219,836) | $(188,883)$ | 0 | $(188,883)$ | $(84,997)$ | $(273,880)$ | 0 | $(273,880)$ |
| 23. | Rents | 621 | (429) | 0 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 192 |
| 24. | Transportation | (447) | 447 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25. | Uncollectible Accounts | 3,476 | 10,455 | 0 | 0 | 13,931 | 2,815 | 16,746 | 0 | 16,746 | 0 | 16,746 |
| 26. | Customer Accounting | 4,273 | 1,623 | 0 | 0 | 5,896 | 0 | 5,896 | 0 | 5,896 | 0 | 5,896 |
| 27. | Regulatory Expense | 582 | 464 | 0 | 0 | 1,046 | 0 | 1,046 | 0 | 1,046 | 0 | 1,046 |
| 28. | Insurance Other Than Group | 2,557 | 707 | 0 | 0 | 3,264 | 0 | 3,264 | 0 | 3,264 | 0 | 3,264 |
| 29. | Maintenance Supplies \& Services | 3,865 | 50,344 | 0 | 0 | 54,209 | 0 | 54,209 | 0 | 54,209 | 0 | 54,209 |
| 30. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31. | Total Operation \& Maintenance Expense: (Sur | \$497,573 | \$225,281 | S0 | (\$220,581) | \$502,273 | \$2,815 | \$505,088 | ( $\$ 86,489)$ | \$418,599 | \$0 | \$418,599 |
| 32. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33. | Depreciation | 53,443 | 225.356 | 0 | $(1,147)$ | 277.652 | 0 | 277.652 | (621) | 277,030 | 0 | 277,030 |
| 34. | Amortization | 1,656 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 1,792 | 0 | 1.792 | 0 | 1,792 | 0 | 1,792 |
| 35. | General Taxes | 6,540 | 119.840 | 0 | 0 | 126,380 | 3,972 | 130,352 | 0 | 130,352 | 0 | 130,352 |
| 36. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37. | Operating Expenses before Income Tax: (Sum | \$559,212 | \$570,613 | \$0 | (\$221,728) | \$908,097 | \$6,787 | \$914,884 | (\$87,110) | \$827,773 | \$0 | \$827,773 |
| 38. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39. | Operating Income before Income Tax: (tine 1 . | (\$110,245) | \$350,510 | So | \$221,728 | \$461,993 | $(\$ 6,787)$ | \$455,206 | \$87,110 | \$542,317 | so | \$542,317 |
| 40. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41. | State income Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42. | Current State Income Tax | 4,363 | 948 | 0 | 12,287 | 17.598 | 15,170 | 32,768 | 4,773 | 37,541 | 0 | 37,541 |
| 43. | Deferred State Income Tax | 1,903 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.903 | 0 | 1,963 | 0 | 1,903 | 0 | 1,903 |
| 44. | Federal Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45. | Current Federal Income Tax | 15,372 | (14,011) | 0 | 44,986 | 46,347 | 53,536 | 99,883 | 16.843 | 116,725 | 0 | 116,725 |
| 46. | Deferred Federal Income Tax | 17,135 | $(3,252)$ | 0 | 0 | 13,883 | 0 | 13,883 | 0 | 13,883 | 0 | 13,883 |
| 47. | Investment Tax Credits | (56) | 0 | 0 | 0 | (56) | 0 | [56] | 0 | (56) | 0 | (56) |
| 48. 49. | Total Operating Expenses: (Line $37+$ Sum Line | \$597,929 | \$554,298 | \$0 | ( $\$ 164,455$ ) | \$987,772 | \$75,493 | \$1,063,265 | ( 565,495 ) | \$997,769 | 50 | 5997,769 |
| 50. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51. | Net Utility Operating Income: (Line 1-Line 49 | (\$148,962) | \$366,825 | \$0 | \$164,455 | \$382,318 | (\$75,493) | \$306,825 | \$65,495 | \$377,321 | so | \$372,321 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 12 / 31 / 2017 \end{gathered}$ | Originally Filed Adj's | <<<REBUTTAL ADJUSTMENTS>> |  |  | <<<Settlement ADJUSTMENTS>> |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | tcia eadit at 41.5 Years | O8MM Concessions and Corrections | Total Filed Adjustments | Declining Use | $\begin{gathered} \text { Remove } \\ \text { DSIC Rev Adj } \end{gathered}$ | Labor Remove 10 HC From Fest | Settlement <br> Other ISSUES | Total Settlement Adjustments |
| \$222,515,256 | ( $\$ 332,074$ ) |  |  | so | \$1,519,779 | $(\$ 953,834)$ |  |  | \$565,995 |
| 378,491 | 120,295 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | $(32,078)$ | (32,078) |
| 7,174,327 | 84,112 |  |  | 0 | 64,280 |  |  | $(50,000)$ | 14,280 |
| 1,553,688 | 404,827 |  |  | 0 | 17,519 |  |  |  | 17,519 |
| 1,222,370 | 328,879 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 15,699,420 | 3,428,771 |  |  | 0 |  |  | $(514,123)$ |  | $(514,123)$ |
| 1,846,048 | [738,055) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 313,189 | 313,189 |
| 255,343 | 184,769 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | (810,329) | $(810,329)$ |
| 3,207,748 | 707,174 |  |  | 0 |  |  | (97,708) |  | (97,708) |
| 1,101,551 | 309,908 |  |  | 0 |  |  | (35,227) |  | $(35,227)$ |
| 19,006,297 | 1,187,247 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | (353,887) | $(353,887)$ |
| 1,420,029 | 1,004,436 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | $(507,500)$ | $(507,500)$ |
| 1,054,748 | 53,545 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 815,801 | 41,415 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 44,817 | 3,664 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 544,624 | 102,076 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 100,687 | ( 29,186 ) |  | (17,122) | $\left(17,{ }^{12)}\right.$ |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 407,334 | 20,694 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2,522,710 | 229,128 |  | (14,711) | (14,71) |  |  |  | $(214,250)$ | (214,250) |
| 569,514 | (199,429) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 921,565 | $(6,628)$ |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 2,317,269 | $(58,183)$ |  | (107) | (107) | 15,560 | $(9,698)$ |  |  | 5,861 |
| 2,849,836 | 1,164,204 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 387,854 | 337,967 |  | $(28,766)$ | $(28,766)$ |  |  |  | $(50,000)$ | $(50,000)$ |
| 1,746,379 | 428,783 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| [5,928,101 | 453,539 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |




$\begin{array}{rrr} & & \\ \text { 113,724) } & 2,393,663 & 737,982 \\ 0 & 1,269,161 & 0\end{array}$
$2,640,780$
0
0 O0才'SzL'ES S66'tsz'z91S zoi'LSS'TS E68'L69091 cin ane No. 45142
Appendix A
Page 4 of 4

Indiana-American Water Company
Calculation of Proposed Revenue Increase
Based on Pro Forma Operating Results
Calculation of Proposed Revenue ncrease
Based on Pro Forma Operating Results
and Rate Base

| Description | Step 1 |  |  | Step 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Company | Total <br> Water | Total Wastewater | Total <br> Company | Total <br> Water | Total Wastewater |
|  | \$222,749,127 | \$221.379,037 | \$1,370,090 | \$226,585,353 | \$225,215,263 | \$1,370,090 |
|  | \$80,320,654 | \$79,818,381 | \$502,273 | \$78,005,239 | \$77,586,640 | \$418,599 |
|  | 48,122,367 | 47,844,715 | 277,652 | 52,528,975 | 52,251,945 | 277,030 |
|  | 274,699 | 272,907 | 1,792 | 274,699 | 272,907 | 1,792 |
|  | 17,263,594 | 17,137,214 | 126,380 | 17,318,632 | 17,188,280 | 130,352 |
|  | 3,569,169 | 3,549,668 | 19,501 | 3,662,822 | 3,623,378 | 39,444 |
|  | 10,101,471 | 10,041,298 | 60,174 | 10,464,628 | 10,334,076 | 130,552 |
|  | \$159,651,954 | \$158,664,182 | \$987,772 | \$162,254,995 | \$161,257,226 | \$997,769 |
| Pro Forma Present Rate Utility Operating Income: | \$63,097,173 | \$62,714,855 | \$382,318 | \$64,330,358 | \$63,958,037 | \$372,321 |

## Present Rate Utility Operatiny Income:

皆


Less: Deductions:
Operating and Maint
Amortization:
General Taxes:
State Income Taxes:
Federal Income Taxes:
Federal Income Taxes:
Total Deductions:

## Revenue Requirement and Rate Increase Request:

Net Original Cost Rate Base
Rate of Return
Rate of Return

## Net Operating income Required (Line $23 \times$ Line 24)

Add: Fair Value Increment (1993 purchase of Indiana Cities)
Total Fair Value Increment
Less: Pro Forma Net Operating Income Based on Current: Rates (Line 15)
Increase in Net Operating Income Required (Line 32 - Line 34)

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Company }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Water }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Wastewater }\end{array}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,060,651,780$ | $\$ 1,054,497,247$ | $\$ 6,154,533$ |
| $6.17 \%$ | $6.17 \%$ | $6.17 \%$ |


| $\$ 65,473,876$ | $\$ 55,093,957$ | $\$ 379,918$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 65,887,460$ | $\$ 65,505,142$ | $\$ 382,318$ |
| $\$ 63,097,173$ | $\$ 62,714,855$ | $\$ 382,318$ |
| $\$ 2,790,287$ | $\$ 2,790,287$ | $\$ 0$ |


~n
$\begin{array}{r}, 370,090 \\ 0.00 \% \\ \hline\end{array}$
Prepared for Settlement
Settlement INAWC 2018 Rate Case - Pro Forma Income Statement Ver 11

$$
\frac{\text { Step } 1}{} \text { Gross Revenue }
$$


a) The Utility Receipts Tax calculation has been adjusted to exclude Sales for Resale revneues. Sales for Resale represent 4.9394\% of Total Operating Present Rate Revenues. Therefore, Utilities Receipts Tax has been calculated based on $95.0606 \%$ ( $100 \%-4.9394 \%$ ) of Line 5.
Indiana-American Water Company
Cause No. 45142
Calculation of Gross Revenue Conv
$\begin{array}{rr} & 100.0000 \% \\ 1.0167 \% & 1.0167 \% \\$\cline { 2 - 3 } \& <br> \cline { 2 - 3 } \& $\left.98.9833 \% \\ \hline & \\ \hline & 0.1202 \%\end{array}\right)$

137.4850\%
Prepared for Settlement Settlement INAWC 2018 Rate Case - Pro Forma Income Statement Ver 11
Page 1 of 1 $\begin{array}{lll} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Indiana-American Water Company } \\ \text { Cause No. 45142 }\end{array} \\ \text { Calculation of Proposed Fair Value Increment }\end{array}$




Prepared for Settlement

Settlement INAWC 2018 Rate Case - Pro Forma Income Statement Ver 11


As filed with Petitioner

|  | As filed with Pe Step 1 <br> \% | Cost | Wt Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CapStr. } \\ 43.6 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| \$386,377,967 | 34.98\% | 5.26\% | 1.84\% |  |
| 217,949,060 | 19.73\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| $(799,695)$ | -0.06\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |  |
| 381,500 | 0.03\% | 8.39\% | 0.00\% |  |
| 500,587,982 | 45.32\% | 10.80\% | 4.89\% | 56.4\% |
| \$1,104,496,814 | 100.00\% |  | 6.74\% |  |

Long Term Debt ADIT

Other Zero
JDIT
Common Equity

| Line <br> Number | Description | Difference b/w IA WandOUCC | Indiana-American Water Company Cause No. 45142 <br> Calculation of Proposed Rate Base Based on Pro Forma Operating Results and Rate Base <br> Agreed to at Settlement Day 2 Step 1 |  |  | Difference b/w IAWandOUCC | Page 1 of 1 <br> Agreed to at Settlement Day 2 Step 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Company } \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> Water | Total Wastewater |  | Total Company | Total Water | Total Wastewater |
|  | Ratehase as filed |  | \$1,065,478,370 |  |  |  | \$1,222,170,152 |  |  |
|  | ET SOP 98.01 | (4,826,590) |  |  |  | ( $4,826,590$ ) |  |  |  |
|  | Recurring ${ }^{\text {'Unidentified }}$ " | (92,865,537) |  |  |  | (171,981,310) |  |  |  |
|  | Muncie |  |  |  |  | $(5,000,000)$ |  |  |  |
|  | Richmond Land |  |  |  |  | (31,000,000) |  |  |  |
|  | Richmond Plant | (705,441) |  |  |  | $(705,441)$ |  |  |  |
|  | Main "upsizing" Step 1 | $(7,020,102)$ | - |  |  | $(10,475,854)$ | - |  |  |
|  | Overhead Step 1 | $(2,045,376)$ |  |  |  | $(7,518,148)$ | * |  |  |
|  | Crib intake | $(4,105,314)$ |  |  |  | (4,105,314) |  |  |  |
|  | Fostin service AFUDC/Deferred Depreciati |  |  |  |  | (670,311) |  |  |  |
|  | Computers Step 1 | (9,784,502) |  |  |  | $(17,938,297)$ |  |  |  |
|  | Settiement Reduction |  | (4,826,590) |  |  |  | (40,000,000) |  |  |
|  | New Adjusted Ratebase |  | \$1,060,651,980 |  |  | (254,219,265) | \$1,182,170,152 |  |  |
| Line Number | Account Description | 4/30/2019 <br> Pro Forma Utillty Plant | Pro Forma Depreclation Expense | 4/30/2019 Pro Forma Utillty Plant | New Depreciation Rate | Pro Forma Deprectation Expense | 4/30/2020 Pro Forma Utillity Plant | New Depreciation Rate | Proforma Depreciation Expense |
| AS FILED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Property - New Adjusted Ratebase | \$1,717,710,493 | \$48,963,784 | \$1, 717,710,493 | 2.55\% | \$43,746,160 | \$1,895,615,092 | 2.55\% | \$48,333,617 |
|  | Total Property- Wastewater | \$6,603,964 | \$159,417 | \$6,603,964 | 4.10\% | \$270,592 | \$6,792,794 | 4.08\% | \$277,301 |
|  | Total Property-Corporate | \$49,000,113 | \$4,480,172 | \$49,000,113 | 11.16\% | \$5,469,293 | \$57,153,908 | 11.00\% | \$6,284,672 |
|  | Total |  |  | \$1,773,314,570 | 2.79\% | \$49,486,045 | \$1,959,561,794 | 2.80\% | \$54,895,590 |
| SETILEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reduced Capital Step One |  |  | (\$4,826,590) | Move to amort \$122k | \$0 | ( $\$ 4,826,590$ ) |  | \$0 |
|  | Reduced Caplal Step Two |  |  |  |  |  | (\$36,187,165) |  | (\$1,013,755) |
|  | Reduced Captial Cumulative |  |  |  |  |  | (\$41,013,755) |  | $(\$ 1,013,755)$. |
| High-Level set impact to Rate Base |  |  |  |  |  | (34,826,589.81) |  |  | (\$40,000,000)] |
|  |  | Water Waste Total | As filed |   <br> \%Total  <br> $1,059,296,983$  <br> $6,181,387$ $\mathbf{9 9 . 4 2 0 \%}$ <br> $1,065,478,370$ $0.580 \%$ |  |  | \%Total |  |  |
|  |  |  | Water WasteWater |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 4,798,588.28) \\ (\$ 28,001.53) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1218042881 \\ 6127271 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 99.499 \% \\ \mathbf{0 . 5 0 1 \%} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 39,799,462) \\ (\$ 200,537) \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1222170152$ |  | $(\$ 40,000,000)$ |
|  | Daprecialion |  | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 4,798,588) \\ (\$ 28,002) \\ (\$ 4,826,590) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,147 \\ (\$ 1,147) \\ \$ 0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} (\$ 36,005,743) \\ (181,422) \\ (36,187,165) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (1,006,348.95) \\ (7,406,16) \\ (1,013,755,11) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Line Number | Account Deseription | Step One Ratebase As filed | Adjustments From Settiement | New Step One Ratebase from Settlement |  | Step Two Ratebase As Filed | Adjustments From Settlement |  | New Step Two Ratebase from Settlement |
| Water |  | 1,059,296,983 | (\$4,799,736) | \$1,054,497,247 |  | \$1,216,042,881 | $\underline{(\$ 39,799,130)}$ |  | \$1,176,243,751 |
| WasteWater |  | 6,181,387 | (\$26,854) | \$6,154,533 |  | \$6,127,271 | ( 5200,8701 |  | \$5,926,401 |
| Total |  | 1,065,478,370 | (4,826,590) | \$1,060,651,780 |  | 1,222, 170,152 | $(40,000,000)$ |  | 1,182,170,152 |




Far Step One:

Step One is 8 months of the way we were doing things (as filed) and 4 months of the new numbers
New numbers consist of revisions based on new Willis Towers Watson actuary report PLUS capturing all pension/OPEB expenses, not just service costs


| 2018 |  |  |  | 2018 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AW Pension |  |  |  | AW Postretirement Welfare |  |  |  |
| ASC 715 - Accrual | Cost |  |  | ASC 715 - Accrual | Cost |  |  |
|  | American Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pension and Postretirement | Qualified | INAWC | Expense | Pension and Postretirement | Total Retiree | INAWC | Expense |
| Welfare Cost | Pension Plan | Allocation | Portion | Welfare Cost | Welfare Plans | Allocation | Portion |
| Service cost (OR) | \$32,788,018 | \$1,672,189 | \$1,107,992 | Service cost (OR) | \$9,289,778 | \$664,219 | \$440,112 |
| Interest cost (INT) | 73,544,346 | 3,750,762 | 3,750,762 | Interest cost ( NNT ) $^{\text {a }}$ | 22,614,083 | 1,616,907 | \$1,616,907 |
| Expected return on assets ( $(\mathrm{NT}$ ) | $(95,393,222)$ | $(4,865,054)$ | $(4,865,054)$ | Expected return on assets ( $\mathrm{NT}^{\text {( }}$ | $(26,598,649)$ | $(1,901,803)$ | (\$1,901,803) |
| Amortization |  | - | - | Amortization |  | - | \$0 |
| Transition obligation (asset) | - | - | - | Transition obligation (asset) | - | - | \$0 |
| Prior service cost (credit) (OR) | 597,587 | 30,477 | 30,477 | Prior service cost (credit) (OR) | $(15,618,180)$ | $(1,116,700)$ | (\$1,116,700) |
| Net loss (gain) (OR) | 29,776,882 | 1,518,621 | 1,518,621 | Net loss (gain) (OR) | 6,373,979 | 455,739 | \$455,739 |
| Pension Cost | \$41,313,611 | \$2,106,994 | \$1,542,798 | Pension Cost | (\$3,938,989) | (\$281,638) | (\$505,745) |

INAWC 2018 Allocation Percentage -
Pension $5.10 \%$

| 2019 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASC 715-Accrual | AW Pension Cost |  |  |
|  | American Water |  |  |
| Pension and Postretirement | Qualified | INAWC | Expense |
| Welfare Cost | Pension Plan | Allocation | Portion |
| Service cost (OR) | \$26,874,134 | \$1,413,579 | \$936,638 |
| Interest cost ( INT $^{\text {P }}$ | 79,061,458 | 4,158,633 | 4,158,633 |
| Expected return on assets (INT) | (89,265,261) | $(4,695,353)$ | $(4,695,353)$ |
| Amortization |  | - | - |
| Transition obligation (asset) | - | - | - |
| Prior service cost (credit) (OR) | $(2,910,448)$ | $(153,090)$ | $(153,090)$ |
| Net loss (gain) (OR) | 34,234,439 | 1,800,731 | 1,800,731 |
| Pension Cost | \$47,994,322 | \$2,524,501 | \$2,047,560 |

INAWC 2018 Allocation Percentage Pension

NAWC 2018 Allocation Percentage-

| Postretirement | $7.15 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Expense to Capital Ratio | $66.20 \%$ |


|  | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ASC 715-Accrual | AW Postretirement Welfare |


| Pension and Postretirement Welfare Cost | Total Retiree Welfare Plans | INAWC <br> Allocation | Expense Portion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost (OR) | \$3,649,158 | \$257,631 | \$170,706 |
| Interest cost ( $(\mathbb{N T}$ ) | \$14,710,879 | 1,038,588 | 1,038,588 |
| Expected return on assets (INT) | (\$17,421,745) | (1,229,975) | (1,229,975) |
| Amortization |  |  |  |
| Transition obligation (asset) | \$0 |  |  |
| Prior service cost (credit) (OR) | (\$32,690,156) | $(2,307,925)$ | $(2,307,925)$ |
| Net loss (gain) (OR) | \$4,783,702 | 337,729 | 337,729 |
| Pension Cost | (\$26,968, 162) | (\$1,903,952) | (\$1,990,877) |

INAWC 2018 Allocation Percentage -
Postretirement
INDIANA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY


INDIANA AMERICAN WATER COMPANY COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND PROPOSED RATES (Step 1)

| Meter size | All Except Resale <br> Present Rate <br> Per Month | Resale <br> Present Rate Per Month | All Except Resale <br> Proposed Rate <br> Per Month | Resale Proposed Rate Per Month | Retail Increase | SFR Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/8 inch | \$18.25 | \$21.50 | \$15.47 | \$21.88 | -15.23\% | 1.77\% |
| $3 / 4$ inch | \$25.86 | \$30.86 | \$21.92 | \$31.40 | -15.24\% | 1.75\% |
| 1 inch | \$33.34 | \$41.98 | \$28.72 | \$42.72 | -13.86\% | 1.76\% |
| $11 / 2$ inch | \$53.79 | \$71.84 | \$53.79 | \$73.11 | 0.00\% | 1.77\% |
| 2 nch | \$98.27 | \$127.49 | \$98.27 | \$129.74 | 0.00\% | 1.76\% |
| 3 inch | \$154.90 | \$206.99 | \$154.90 | \$210.64 | 0.00\% | 1.76\% |
| 4 inch | \$240.80 | \$329.76 | \$240.80 | \$335.57 | 0.00\% | 1.76\% |
| 6 inch | \$436.17 | \$620.92 | \$436.17 | \$631.86 | 0.00\% | 1.76\% |
| 8inch | \$672.41 | \$971.76 | \$672.41 | \$988.89 | 0.00\% | 1.76\% |
| 10 inch | \$1,061.76 | \$1,552.94 | \$1,061.76 | \$1,580.31 | 0.00\% | 1.76\% |
| 12 inch | \$1,699.19 | \$2,521.02 | \$1,699.19 | \$2,565.45 | 0.00\% | 1.76\% |
| Consumption Charges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rate Block Gallons Per Month | All Except Resale Present Rate <br> Per 1000 gallons | Resale <br> Present Rate <br> Per 1000 gailons | All Except Resale Proposed Rate <br> Per 1000 gallons | Resale <br> Proposed Rate <br> Per 1000 gallons | Retail Increase | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SFR } \\ \text { Increase } \end{array}$ |
| Area 1: $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1st block } \\ & \text { 2nd block } \\ & \text { 3rd block }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$4.1819 |  | \$4.9144 |  | 17.52\% |  |
|  | \$3.3731 |  | \$3.4869 |  | 3.37\% |  |
|  | \$1.9230 |  | \$1.9886 |  | 3.41\% |  |
| Area 2 - Winchester: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st block | \$3.6259 |  | \$3.9083 |  | 7.79\% |  |
| 2nd block | \$2.6600 |  | \$3.0406 |  | 14.31\% |  |
| 3rd block | \$1.7484 |  | \$1.9028 |  | 8.83\% |  |
| Area 2 - Mooresville: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st block | \$3.6259 |  | \$3.8483 |  | 6.13\% |  |
| 2nd block | \$2.6600 |  | \$3.0406 |  | 14.31\% |  |
| 3rd block | \$1.7484 |  | \$1.9028 |  | 8.83\% |  |
| Resale |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st block |  | \$2.7075 |  | \$27552 |  | 1.76\% |
| 2nd block |  | \$2.4838 |  | \$2.5276 |  | 1.76\% |


| Service Size | Present Rate | Proposed Rate | Retail increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{11}$ | \$3.04 | \$3.11 | 2.15\% |
| 2-1/2" | \$5.45 | \$5.57 | 2.15\% |
| $3^{\prime}$ | \$8.80 | \$8.99 | 2.15\% |
| $4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$18.76 | \$19.16 | 2,15\% |
| $6{ }^{\prime}$ | \$54.47 | \$55.64 | 2.15\% |
| $8{ }^{\prime}$ | \$116.10 | \$118.60 | 2.15\% |
| 10" | \$208.78 | \$213.28 | 2.15\% |
| 12" | \$337.24 | \$344.50 | 2.15\% |
| Hydrants | \$54.47 | \$55.64 | 2.15\% |
|  | Present Rate | Proposed Rate | Retail Increase |
| Public Fire Service - Annual Area 1\&2: |  |  |  |
| Hydrant Rental | \$63.12 | \$64.38 | 2.00\% |
| Public Fire Surcharge - Annual Area 1 \& 2 |  |  |  |
| 5/8" | \$4.54 | \$4.63 | 2.00\% |
| 3/4" | \$6.81 | \$6.95 | 2.00\% |
| 1 " | \$11.33 | \$11.56 | 2.00\% |
| $11 / 2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$22.69 | \$23.14 | 2.00\% |
| 2 " | \$36.29 | \$37.02 | 2.00\% |
| $3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$68.04 | \$69.40 | 2.00\% |
| 4" | \$113.40 | \$115.67 | 2.00\% |
| 6 " | \$226.81 | \$231.34 | 2.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$362.89 | \$370.14 | 2.00\% |
| 10" | \$589.69 | \$601.48 | 2.00\% |
| 12" | \$975.27 | \$994.76 | 2.00\% |
| Public Fire Surcharge - Annual West Lafayette |  |  |  |
| 5/8" | \$3.18 | \$3.24 | 2.00\% |
| 3/4" | \$4.76 | \$4.86 | 2.00\% |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$7.94 | \$8.10 | 2.00\% |
| 11/2" | \$15.87 | \$16.19 | 2.00\% |
| 2 " | \$25.40 | \$25.91 | 2.00\% |
| 3 " | \$47.62 | \$48.57 | 2.00\% |
| 4" | \$79.37 | \$80.96 | 2,00\% |
| $6 "$ | \$158.73 | \$161.90 | 2.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$253.98 | \$259.06 | 2.00\% |
| $10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$412.73 | \$420.98 | 2.00\% |
| $12^{\prime \prime}$ | \$682.58 | \$696.22 | 2.00\% |
| Public Fire Surcharge - Annual Seymour |  |  |  |
| 5/8' | \$3.98 | \$4.06 | 2.00\% |
| 3/4" | \$5.97 | \$6.09 | 2.00\% |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$9.95 | \$10.15 | 2.00\% |
| 11/2" | \$19.89 | \$20.29 | 2.00\% |
| $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$31.82 | \$32.46 | 2.00\% |
| $3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$59.66 | \$60.85 | 2.00\% |
| 4" | \$99.43 | \$101.42 | 2.00\% |
| 6" | \$198.86 | \$202.83 | 2.00\% |
| $8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$318.17 | \$324.53 | 2.00\% |
| 10 " | \$517.02 | \$527.35 | 2.00\% |
| 12" | \$855.08 | \$872.17 | 2.00\% |
| Public Fire Surcharge - Annual Sheridan |  |  |  |
| 5/8' | \$4.54 | \$4.63 | 2.00\% |
| $1{ }^{11}$ | \$11.33 | \$11.56 | 2.00\% |
| 11/2" | \$22.69 | \$23.14 | 2.00\% |
| $4{ }^{11}$ | \$113.40 | \$115.67 | 2.00\% |

## INDIANAAMERICAN WATER COMPANY <br> COMPARISON OF PRESENT AND PROPOSED RATES (Step 2)



| Service Size | Present Rate | Proposed Rate | Retail Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2{ }^{1}$ | \$3.04 | \$3.30 | 8.46\% |
| 2-1/2" | \$5.45 | \$5.91 | 8.46\% |
| 3" | \$8.80 | \$9.54 | 8.46\% |
| $4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$18.76 | \$20.35 | 8.46\% |
| $6{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$54.47 | \$59.08 | 8.46\% |
| $8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$116.10 | \$125.92 | 8.46\% |
| $10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$208.78 | \$228.43 | 8.46\% |
| $12^{\prime \prime}$ | \$337.24 | \$365.76 | 8.46\% |
| Hydrants | \$54.47 | \$59.08 | 8.46\% |
|  | Present Rate | Proposed Rate | Retail Increase |
| Public Fire Service - Annual Area 182 : |  |  |  |
| Hydrant Rental | \$83.12 | \$68.35 | 8.29\% |
| Public Fire Surcharge - Annual Area 1 \& 2 |  |  |  |
| $5 / 81$ | \$4.54 | \$4.92 | 8.29\% |
| $3 / 4 "$ | \$6.81 | \$7.37 | 8.29\% |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$11.33 | \$12.27 | 8.29\% |
| 11/2" | \$22.69 | \$24.57 | 8.29\% |
| 2 " | \$36.29 | \$39.30 | 8.29\% |
| $3{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$68.04 | \$73.68 | 8.29\% |
| $4^{\prime \prime}$ | \$113.40 | \$122.80 | 8.29\% |
| $6 "$ | \$226.81 | \$245.62 | 8.29\% |
| $8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$362.89 | \$392.98 | 8.29\% |
| $10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$589.69 | \$638.58 | 8.29\% |
| $12^{\prime \prime}$ | \$975.27 | \$1,056.13 | 8.29\% |
| Public Fire Surcharge - Annual West Lafayette |  |  |  |
| 5/8' | \$3.18 | \$3.44 | 8.29\% |
| 3/4" | \$4.76 | \$5.15 | 8.29\% |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$7.94 | \$8.60 | 8.29\% |
| 11/2" | \$15.87 | \$17.19 | 8.29\% |
| $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$25.40 | \$27.51 | 8.29\% |
| $3 "$ | \$47.62 | \$51.57 | 8.29\% |
| 4" | \$79.37 | \$85.95 | 8.29\% |
| $6 "$ | \$158.73 | \$171.89 | 8.29\% |
| $8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$253.98 | \$275.04 | 8.29\% |
| $10^{\prime \prime}$ | \$412.73 | \$446.95 | 8.29\% |
| 12 " | \$682.58 | \$739.17 | 8.29\% |
| Public Fire Surcharge - Annual Seymour |  |  |  |
| 5/8" | \$3.98 | \$4.31 | 8.29\% |
| 3/4" | \$5.97 | \$6.46 | 8.29\% |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$9.95 | \$10.77 | 8.29\% |
| 11/2" | \$19.89 | \$21.54 | 8.29\% |
| $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$31.82 | \$34.46 | 8.29\% |
| 3 " | \$59.66 | \$64.61 | 8.29\% |
| $4^{\prime \prime}$ | \$99.43 | \$107.67 | 8.29\% |
| $6 "$ | \$198.86 | \$215.35 | 8.29\% |
| $8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$318.17 | \$344.55 | 8.29\% |
| $10 "$ | \$517.02 | \$559.89 | 8.29\% |
| 12 " | \$855.08 | \$925.98 | 8.29\% |
| Public Fire Surcharge - Annual Sheridan |  |  |  |
| 5/8' | \$4.54 | \$4.92 | 8.29\% |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$11.33 | \$12.27 | 8.29\% |
| 11/2" | \$22,69 | \$24.57 | 8.29\% |
| $4 "$ | \$113.40 | \$122.80 | 8.29\% |
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| Area 2 Cust Chrgs Mooresville: | G.S. Rates | Projected Residential | Projected Commercial | Projected Industrial | Projected Public Auth. | Projected Miscellaneous | Resale Rates | Projected Resale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/8 inch | \$15.47 | 41,107 | 3,176 | 0 | 126 | 0 | ------ | --- |
| $3 / 4$ inch | \$21.92 | 44 | 133 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ---- | ---- |
| 1 inch | \$28.72 | 24 | 616 | 12 | 63 | 0 | --- | --- |
| 11/2 inch | \$53.79 | 0 | 381 | 0 | 35 | 0 | ----- | --- |
| 2 inch | \$98.27 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 68 | 0 | ---- | ----- |
| 3 inch | \$154.90 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 24 | 0 | ---- | --- |
| 4 inch | \$240.80 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | ---- | $\cdots$ |
| 6 inch | \$436.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | ----- | ----- |
| 8 inch | \$672.41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ----- | $\cdots$ |
| 10 inch | \$1,061.76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ---- | ---- |
| 12 inch | \$1,699.19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | -- |
| Area 2 Consumption Charges Mooresville: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st block | \$3.8483 | 155,362 | 27,595 | 329 | 2,212 | 0 | ---- | $\cdots$ |
| 2nd block | \$3.0406 | 4,939 | 43,269 | 37,436 | 25,851 | 0 | --- | $\cdots$ |
| 3rd block | \$1.9028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ---- | --- |
| Yankeetown Surcharge | \$10.00 | 7.594 | 49 | 0 | 23 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | Projected Residential | Projected Commercial | Projected Industrial | Projected Public Auth. | Projected Miscellaneous | Projected Resale | Total |
| Miscellaneous Adjustments |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Watson Rural Water Company |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$296,029 | \$296,029 |
| Revenue |  | \$115,883,852 | - \$46,156,690 | \$14,955,455 | \$8,243,568 | \$74,670 | \$11,167,901 | \$196,482,136 |
| Usage |  | 13,154,495 | 8,889,258 | 4,846,532 | 1,836,773 | 3,530 | 4,003,876 | 32,734,463 |
| Services Charges |  | 3,249,345 | 332,452 | 8,681 | 21,879 | 393 | 324 |  |




| Proposed Rates | Billing Determinants |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$3.11 | 1,950 |
| \$5.57 | 948 |
| \$8.99 | 731 |
| \$19.16 | 9.681 |
| \$55.64 | 25,126 |
| \$118.60 | 13,025 |
| \$213.28 | 1.513 |
| \$344.50 | 780 |
| \$55.64 | 14,429 |
|  | \$0 |
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Adjustments
Public Fire Protection Revenue

## 

## 


Forecast Year Operating Revenues at Proposed Rates (Step 1) Forecast Year Operating Revenues at Proposed Rates (Step
III. Miscellaneous Revenues
III. Miscellaneous Revenues

## Late Payment Fees <br> NSF Charges <br> Reconnection Fees After Hours Charges <br> Miscellaneous Services <br> Unbilled Revenue <br> Revenue

IV. Total Revenue General Service \& Resale
Public Fire Protection


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Settling Parties listed are all of the parties to this general rate case proceeding. The Indiana Industrial Group and U.S. Steel are separate parties to the tax subdocket proceeding (Cause No. 45032 S4) and join in and agree to be bound by Paragraph 3 of this Stipulation for purposes of that proceeding.

