FILED
June 3, 2025
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

VERIFIED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

BRANDI DAVIS-HANDY ON BEHALF OF INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

D/B/A AES INDIANA

Cause No. 46258

SPONSORING AES INDIANA ATTACHMENT BDH-1

VERIFIED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BRANDI DAVIS-HANDY ON BEHALF OF AES INDIANA

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

2	Q1.	Please state your name, employer, and business address.
3	A1.	My name is Brandi Davis-Handy. I am employed by AES US Services, LLC, ("AES
4		Services", also "Service Company"), which is the service company that serves Indianapolis
5		Power & Light Company d/b/a AES Indiana ("AES Indiana", "IPL", or "Company"). The
6		Service Company is located at One Monument Circle, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204.
7	Q2.	What is your position with AES Services?
8	A2.	I am President of AES Indiana.
9	Q3.	On whose behalf are you submitting this direct testimony?
10	A3.	I am submitting this testimony on behalf of AES Indiana.
11	Q4.	Please describe your duties as AES Indiana President.
12	A4.	As President, I lead the team responsible for the Company's provision of retail electric
13		service. In this role, I also engage with state and local leaders and other stakeholders on
14		matters relevant to AES Indiana's business in Indiana.
15	Q5.	Please summarize your education and professional qualifications.
16	A5.	I have more than 25 years of customer and stakeholder engagement, communications, and
17		marketing experience. I received my Bachelor of Arts in Mass Media Arts from Hampton
18		University. I am a graduate of the Stanley K. Lacy Executive Leadership Series and
19		Leadership Dayton. I am an active community leader in Central Indiana serving as Board

- 1 President of GANGGANG Culture, and board member for the Indy Chamber, Indianapolis 2 Economic Development, Inc., Indiana Energy Association, Indiana Sports Corporation, 3 Indiana University Indianapolis, and Urban League of Indianapolis. I was recognized as one of the 250 Most Influential People in Indiana in 2025 and 2022 Woman of Influence 4 5 by the Indianapolis Business Journal. I was also recognized by the Center for Leadership 6 Development Minority Achiever in Media and Communications in 2018. I was also the 7 recipient of the Breakthrough Woman in Leadership Development Award for 2018 from 8 the National Coalition of 100 Black Women.
- 9 Q6. Please summarize your prior work experience.
- 10 A6. I began serving in my current capacity as President of AES Indiana in March 2024. Prior
 11 to that, I served as the Chief Customer Officer and Chief Public Relations Officer for AES
 12 US Utilities. Prior to AES, I served as Chief Marketing and Communications Officer at
 13 Project Lead The Way, and I have also led communications teams at OneAmerica Financial
 14 Partners and the American Cancer Society.
- 15 Q7. Have you testified previously before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission 16 ("Commission") or any other regulatory agency?
- 17 A7. Yes. I provided rebuttal testimony in AES Indiana's most recent rate case, Cause No.
 18 45911. I have participated in technical conferences and public meetings, including AES
 19 Indiana's presentation to the Commission during the recent Summer and Winter Reliability
 20 meetings.

- 1 Q8. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 2 A8. My testimony provides an overview of AES Indiana. I summarize the Company's overall
- 3 request and introduce why this rate review is necessary. I also testify that the Company has
- 4 reasonably considered the Five Pillars of energy policy, as required by state law.
- 5 Q9. Are you sponsoring or co-sponsoring any attachments?
- 6 A9. Yes. I sponsor the following attachment: <u>AES Indiana Attachment BDH-1</u> Verified
- 7 Petition.¹
- 8 Q10. Was this attachment prepared or assembled by you or under your direction and
- 9 **supervision?**
- 10 A10. Yes.

11

2. COMPANY OVERVIEW

- 12 Q11. Please describe AES Indiana's relationship with The AES Corporation and CDPQ.
- 13 A11. AES is a US-based energy company with global operations. AES' generation businesses
- have a combined generation capacity of 32.6 GW. AES also owns and operates six utilities.
- two in the United States (AES Indiana and AES Ohio) and four in El Salvador. These
- utilities provide service to approximately 2.7 million customers. In addition to AES'
- ownership, CDP Infrastructures Fund L.P., a wholly owned subsidiary of La Caisse de
- depot et placement du Quebec ("CDPQ"), also owns a minority equity interest in IPALCO,
- 19 AES Indiana's immediate parent company.

¹ The Verified Petition has been filed separately with the Commission contemporaneous with the filing of my testimony and is not attached hereto. The Verified Petition will be included as an attachment to my testimony and offered into evidence at the hearing in this Cause.

Q12. Please generally describe AES Indiana, its service territory and provision of retail electric service in Central Indiana.

12. AES Indiana provides retail electric service in ten counties in Central Indiana, including Marion County and parts of nine adjoining counties. As of December 31, 2024, AES Indiana supplies retail electric service to approximately 532,000 residential, commercial, and industrial customers.

AES Indiana is guided by three core values. First, we put safety first for our people, contractors and communities. Second, we act with the highest standards, which is at the core of all we do and how we conduct ourselves and interact with our stakeholders. Third, we work all together, as one team moving with vision, speed, and flexibility to adapt to our dynamic and rapidly changing world.

Since the Company's last rate review (IURC Cause No. 45911), AES Indiana has successfully completed the energization of the Hardy Hills solar facility in Clinton County,² brought on line the Pike County Battery Energy Storage System ("BESS") in Pike County,³ and converted the existing Purchase Power Agreement with Hoosier Wind into AES Indiana ownership of the wind farm.⁴ The Company has continued to develop the Petersburg Energy Center in Pike County with estimated completion in December 2025.⁵ The Company also received approval to convert Units 3 and 4 at Petersburg Station ("Petersburg") from coal to natural gas, with completion estimated by December 2026.⁶ Since the last rate review, and as a result of AES Indiana's Transmission, Distribution, and

² Approved in IURC Cause No. 45493.

³ Approved in IURC Cause No. 45920.

⁴ Approved in IURC Cause No. 45931.

⁵ Approved in IURC Cause No. 45832.

⁶ Approved in IURC Cause No. 46022.

Storage System Improvement Charge ("TDSIC") Plan, AES Indiana is forecasting to place in service \$431.6 million in new transmission and distribution investment as of December 3 31, 2026.⁷ This investment will roll into rate base in this proceeding.

3. AES INDIANA'S REQUESTED RATE RELIEF

Q13. When were AES Indiana's current basic rates and charges established?

A13. AES Indiana's current basic rates and charges were established by a Commission Order approving a settlement agreement in Cause No. 45911 and were effective on May 9, 2024. The petition in Cause No. 45911 was filed June 28, 2023. It has been more than 15 months since AES Indiana filed its petition docketed as Cause No. 45911.8

The adjusted test year in Cause No. 45911 was the historical twelve months ended December 31, 2022. The test year in the current proceeding is four years later – namely the twelve months ended December 31, 2026.

While the Company works to responsibly manage the cost of providing service, in this case, we are also seeking to better align the rate review process with the cost incurred to provide service. As indicated above, the Company proposes to use the forward-looking calendar year 2026 as the test period for this case. This is the Company's first forward-looking test year case. We previously used an adjusted historical test period to establish rates. We have moved to the future test year approach utilized by many other investor-owned utilities here in Indiana and nationally to mitigate the impact of regulatory lag and to establish a closer nexus between the cost incurred to provide service and the reflection

_

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

⁷ AES Indiana Attachment MH-2.

⁸ This rule, commonly referred to as the 15-month test, is found in Indiana Code § 8-1-2-42(a). See <u>AES Indiana Attachment BDH-1</u> (Verified Petition), ¶ 22.

of that cost in the price for service.

A15.

Q14. Why is the requested rate review necessary?

A14. As a public utility regulated by the Commission, the price the Company charges for retail electric service is necessarily based on the cost the Company incurs to meet our customers' needs for service. The request in this case is driven by the increasing cost of providing service. This filing will adjust rates to reflect the recent investments made by the Company to serve customers. This includes investments previously approved by the Commission, such as the Company's investment in the renewable joint ventures, TDSIC, and Petersburg Unit 3 and 4 repowering.

Q15. Please summarize the estimated revenue requirement change sought in this proceeding.

To level set, Table BDH-1 below shows where we are today and where we would be in 2026 without the current rate review proceeding. More specifically, Table BDH-1 (lines (1) and (2)) set forth the revenue requirement approved in the Company's last rate case and the estimated revenue increase for 2026 already authorized by the Commission. The cost recovery identified in line (2) reflects Commission-approved cost recovery for the TDSIC Plan which occurs via the TDSIC Rider and Commission-approved cost recovery for Hardy Hills, Petersburg Energy Center, Pike County BESS and Hoosier Wind, which occurs via the Environmental Compliance Cost Recovery Adjustment Rider.

Table BDH-1 line (3) reflects the revenue increase for Phase 1 – which is approximately 4.5% (\$85.4 million) and the revenue increase for Phase 2 – which is 5.6% (\$107.6

⁹ This table compiles information supported by Company witness Baker.

million). Together, the incremental revenue increase totals approximately \$192.9 million or 10.1%. When the approximately 17% revenue increase already authorized by the Commission is included in the calculation, the revenue increase compared to the Company's last rate review is approximately 21.9% in Phase 1 and an additional 6.5% in Phase 2 for a total of 28.5%.¹⁰

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Table BDH-1: Revenue Requirement Request Comparison¹¹

			Requ	Revenue uirement (000s)	 cremental enue (000s)	Incremental % Increase
(1)	2024	Base Rates approved in last Rate Case	\$	1,642,636		
(2)	2026	Current Base Rates + Already approved revenue increases*	\$	1,917,683	\$ 275,047	16.74%
(3)	2026	Rate Case Proposed Phase 1	\$	2,003,050	\$ 85,367	4.45%
(4)	2027	Rate Case Proposed Phase 2	\$	2,110,614	\$ 107,564	5.61%
(5)		Rate Case Relate	d Inc	rease to Line (2)	\$ 192,931	10.06%
(6)		Tot	al Inc	rease to Line (1)	\$ 467,978	28.49%

^{*} Increases related to TDSIC, ECR (Hardy Hills, PEC, Pike County Battery, Hoosier Wind).

Q16. Table BDH-1 (Line (5)) reflects incremental revenue of approximately \$192.9 million.

Please elaborate on the need for this additional revenue.

A16. In this case, the Company is forecasting forward to the calendar year 2026. As stated above, this case is driven by the increasing cost of providing service. As of the Company's most recent Fuel Adjustment Charge ("FAC") filing, submitted on March 14, 2025, the Company's non-fuel operating costs exceeded the amount embedded in rates by

AES Indiana Witness Davis-Handy - 7

¹⁰ Company witness Aliff presents the rate phrase-in proposal. AES Indiana witness Aliff Q/A 47.

¹¹ See AES Indiana Workpaper AJB-1 sponsored by AES Indiana witness Baker for table details.

approximately \$74 million.¹² As illustrated below, these costs include storm restoration, vegetation management, property taxes, and depreciation.

• Storm Restoration

Storm damage and the associated restoration cost has been significant since our last rate review. For example, as discussed by Company witness Holtsclaw, on March 19, 2025, an EF-2 tornado touched down in Bartholomew County, Indiana. The tornado was on the ground for 13.6 miles and was estimated to be 350 yards wide with estimated peak winds of 112 MPH. The AES Indiana Columbus to Prescott 345 kV line took a direct hit from the storm. The repairs are estimated to cost over \$17 million. Also, on Wednesday, April 2, 2025, a line of severe thunderstorms moved across the AES Indiana service territory. As discussed by Company witness Holtsclaw, that storm system was responsible for spawning a number of tornados in the surrounding area. There was one confirmed tornado on the northwest side of Marion County. Across the county, wind gusts in excess of 80 MPH were reported by the National Weather Service. Because of the winds associated with the severe thunderstorm, AES Indiana sustained severe damage to overhead distribution infrastructure from trees. The estimated operations and maintenance ("O&M") cost of this storm restoration event is currently \$5 million.¹³

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

¹² The (d)(2) operating expense test from the Company's most recent FAC filing as of the date this testimony (Cause No. 38703-FAC 147) indicates non-fuel costs exceed the level embedded in rates by \$74 million. See Applicant's Attachment NHC-2.

¹³ See AES Indiana Financial Exhibit AESI-OPER, Schedule OM11-WP5.

• Vegetation Management

Tree failure remains a leading cause of distribution power outages and is responsible for the highest percentage of Customer Minutes Interrupted ("CMI"). ¹⁴ As shown by Company witness Flint, the significant urban tree canopy within AES Indiana's assigned service area poses challenges for AES Indiana to maintain adequate clearances. Since our last rate review filing, the Company has gained experience with the new protocol for managing vegetation on the distribution system we presented in the last rate review. Data presented by Company witness Flint shows the new extended trimming specification is more effective in reducing customer interruptions and customer minutes interrupted versus the Box Cut specification. However, the cost of this work (as corroborated by contracts bid and negotiated in 2024) exceeds previous estimates. Nevertheless, AES Indiana believes that the extended trimming specification is the optimal approach for line clearing on our distribution system. Company witness Flint has brought a proposal forward in this case to implement this protocol using a five-year cycle instead of a four-year cycle to balance costs.

• Property Taxes

As discussed by Company witness Miller, the Company's annual property tax expense is material and experiences significant volatility due to increased investment, changes in assessed value determined by the Assessor and changes in property tax rates. While the starting point of any property tax expense is based on capital spend and property placed in service, the ultimate methodologies used to assign an assessed value on that property are determined by the Indiana Department of Local Government Finance. As

¹⁴ See Company witness Flint Table CAF-1.

mentioned by Mr. Miller, there has been an increased focus on property taxes in the Indiana legislative sessions in recent years which makes it challenging to forecast property tax expense accurately. This year, legislation was passed that could impact assessed values over time. While the passage of this bill, is not expected to have any immediate impact on the Company's property tax expense, it does have the potential to become impactful over time. The Company proposes to implement a Property Tax Adjustment Rider to provide an efficient flow through of cost increases and decreases resulting from a change in property taxes manner.

• Depreciation

The Company's depreciation expert (John Spanos) continues to recommend use of the Equal Life Group ("ELG") procedure for calculating remaining life accrual. He testifies that this procedure is the most accurate procedure for matching asset utilization with asset recovery. This is the method used and accepted in rate cases prior to the settlement in the last rate review (Cause No. 45911) which, solely for settlement purposes, used the Average Life Group ("ALG") procedure. To balance the interest of present and future generations of customers, the Company has proposed new depreciation rates based on Mr. Spanos' recommendation. This is a significant policy issue. Thus, as previously agreed, and so as to allow the Commission to understand the impact of the ELG proposal versus use of the ALG procedure, Mr. Spanos has also calculated depreciation expense using the ALG procedure. The additional calculation should facilitate an assessment of the relative differences between the two methods.

¹⁵ Senate Enrolled Act No. 1, 2025 Session.

¹⁶ Company witness Spanos QA38-39.

¹⁷ Attachment JJS-3.

• Rate Base

As shown by Company witness Peters, the Company's filing reflects annual capital investments of approximately \$953 million and \$957 million in the forecasted for 2025 and 2026, respectively. Much of this investment (approximately 66%) has previously been approved by the Commission and is rolling into base rates in this proceeding. 19

• Cost of Capital

As stated by Company witness Illyes, the Company's WACC is forecasted to be 7.52% with a regulatory capital structure of 47.33% long-term debt and 46.48% common equity as of December 31, 2026, which is consistent with the Company's long-term targeted capital structure.²⁰

The Company's proposed package of basic rates and rate adjustment mechanisms is necessary to align the Company's price for service with the costs incurred to provide service, and in doing so, to support the Company's ongoing efforts to meet the energy and capacity needs of our customers in a safe and reliable manner.

The Company's proposals are supported by the testimony of numerous subject matter experts and an index of the filing is provided as part of the petition included with my testimony as <u>AES Indiana Attachment BDH-1</u>.

¹⁸ AES Indiana witness Peters, Table DCP-2.

¹⁹ AES Indiana witness Peters, Q/A 68.

²⁰ AES Indiana witness Illyes QA16; Company witness McKenzie supports the Company's return on equity.

Q17. Please discuss the ongoing efforts taken by AES Indiana to manage the cost of providing service.

As discussed by Company witness Peters, the Company's forecast process seeks to allocate resources efficiently and effectively to maintain reliable service while managing costs.²¹ Mr. Peters testifies that the Company's non-fuel, non-labor O&M in the forecasted 2026 Adjusted Test Year is relatively flat compared to this cost during the 2024 Historical Base Period.²² This illustrates AES Indiana's ongoing efforts to prudently manage costs despite inflationary pressures. In February of 2025, AES Indiana conducted a restructuring and simplification of its organizational structure. This effort reduced redundant roles and flattened the organization. The Company has also implemented initiatives for continuous improvement in performance, efficiency, and operational cost controls across the organization.

Q18. Is AES Indiana taking steps to communicate with its customers regarding this case?

Yes. AES Indiana commits to continue our efforts to engage in a respectful dialogue with all our stakeholders about the costs that drive the price for electric service. AES Indiana communication plan include using diverse tactics to communicate to all customer classes. The communication plan includes direct mail, email, website, media, social media and community meetings. AES Indiana will provide notice of this case to our residential customers in accordance with the Commission's rules. AES Indiana's website makes additional information available to customers and provides customers with the ability to assess their individual bill impact with a bill calculator. After the filing of this case, AES

A18.

²¹ Company witness Peters Q/A 18.

²² Company witness Peters Q/A 45.

Indiana will continue to meet with customers via neighborhood meetings to explain and discuss the filing.

4. FIVE PILLARS

Q19. Are you familiar with codified as Ind. Code §§ 8-1-2-0.5 and 8-1-2-0.6?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A19. Yes. In Ind. Code § 8-1-2-0.5, the Indiana General Assembly declared it is the continuing policy of the state to use all practicable means and measures, including financial and technical assistance, in a manner calculated to create and maintain conditions under which utilities plan for and invest in infrastructure necessary for operation and maintenance while protecting the affordability of utility services for present and future generations of Indiana citizens.
 - In Ind. Code § 8-1-2-0.6, the Indiana General Assembly declared it is the continuing policy of the state that decisions concerning Indiana's electric generation resource mix, energy infrastructure, and electric service ratemaking constructs must consider each of "Five Pillars" of electric utility service: reliability, affordability, resiliency, stability, and environmental sustainability."

Q20. Does the Company consider the Five Pillars in its decision-making?

- 17 A20. Yes. The Company reasonably considered the Five Pillars in preparing this rate review request. We also consider the Five Pillars in our Integrated Resource Planning and in the Company's general planning and management of the cost of providing service.
- 20 Q21. Please elaborate on the Company's effort to manage the cost of providing service.
- A21. As illustrated above and discussed by Company witness Peters, the Company understands the importance of controlling costs as the cost incurred to provide service must necessarily

be recognized in the price our customers pay for service. The Company uses the Integrated					
Resource Planning ("IRP") process to identify the reasonable least cost mix of resources					
(referred to as a Preferred Portfolio) to meet the need for electricity within our service area.					
The Company uses competitive solicitations and negotiations to safeguard the					
reasonableness of the cost of resource selected as a result of our Integrated Resource					
Planning process. The renewable energy and other generation and storage being rolled into					
basic rates in this case are the result of the integrated resource process. These projects and					
the associated rate impact (present value revenue requirement) were previously reviewed					
and approved by the Commission.					
The Commission has corroborated the Company's perspective, finding that (1) the overall					
cumulative effect of AES Indiana's resource planning decisions is assessed through the					
IRP process and AES Indiana reasonably considered the Five Pillars in the development of					
our IRP; (2) the IRP modeling and the competitive bidding process are designed to identify					
the reasonable least cost solution(s) for customers and are consistent with the affordability					
pillar; and (3) the Present Value Revenue Requirement analysis and rate impact calculation					
demonstrate that the proposed accounting and ratemaking reasonably consider					
affordability. ²³					
The Company has and continues to use investment tax credits and joint ventures to reduce					
the cost of new renewable resources. The Joint Venture structure with a tax equity partner					
enables the effective use of the investment tax credits to reduce the overall cost of projects					

for the benefit of AES Indiana's customers.²⁴

January 17, 2024, Order in Cause No. 45920, pp. 23-24.
 IURC Cause No. 45493, AES Indiana witness Rogers Direct Testimony.

1	Q22.	Please provide an overview of the Company's consideration of affordability. Please
2		explain.

A23.

- A22. In addition to working to manage the cost of providing service as discussed above, the Company considered affordability in the context of the overall rate package presented in this Cause and with respect to present and future customers. We also consider customer feedback. This feedback shows our customers want information on ways to reduce usage and promotion of cost savings opportunities like rebates. For this reason, and as discussed below, the Company also prioritizes supporting affordability through our initiatives such as DSM/EE and payment assistance programs.
 - Q23. Please further discuss how the Company considered affordability in the context of the overall rate package presented in this Cause and with respect to present and future customers.
 - As discussed below, affordability is considered in the context of ratemaking constructs, cost causation and rate design. In particular, the Company has and continues to use 100% of the off-system sales margins generated in the competitive wholesale market to reduce the retail price for electricity. The revenue requirement embeds an annual amount of \$24.9 million OSS margins as a credit to reduce the base price for retail electric service; these margins will continue to adjust via the OSS Rider following this Rate Review. This is discussed by Company witness Steiner.

As discussed by Company witness Aliff, the Company uses reasonable amortization periods to spread the recovery of certain costs over multiple years. This reduces customer rate impact. Additionally, AES Indiana employs reserve treatment for vegetation management expense and storm expense that safeguards against the Company spending

less on those costs as compared to what was embedded in base rates. The balance of these reserves is trued up in the next rate case.

To balance the interests of all customer classes, the Company rate proposals rest on principles of cost causation as discussed by Company witness Rimal.²⁵ He also explains that gradualism is another objective reflected in the proposed rate design.²⁶ Thus, as Mr. Rimal explains, even though the goal is to move all rate classes to their cost of service, consistent with the policy of the state, the Company considered affordability for each of the customer classes and determined that the percentage rate increases experienced by individual rate schedules should be mitigated to moderate the impacts on individual rate schedules.²⁷

As presented by AES Indiana witness Baker, customer bills will remain comparatively low under the Company's proposals. The impact of the proposed rate increase on customers is further mitigated by two-step phase-in plan proposed by the Company. The first step of the phase-in plan will be implemented following the Commission's order in this Cause in Q2 2026. The second step will be implemented effective January 1, 2027.

In sum, the Company's filing reasonably applies principles of cost causation and gradualism and uses ratemaking constructs to result in retail electric service that is affordable and competitive across the residential, commercial, and industrial customer classes.

²⁵ Company witness Rimal O/A 26.

²⁶ E.g. Company witness Rimal Q/As 64, 69.

²⁷ See Company witness Rimal Q/A 56.

Q24. You stated above that the Company also addresses affordability through other initiatives, such as DSM/EE. Please explain.

A24. Factors that may increase a customer's bill for electricity include the physical condition of a home, the customer's ability to invest in energy-efficiency, and the customer knowledge of how energy conservation measures and energy efficiency can offer a long-term solution to high energy burdens.

The Company offers energy efficiency and demand-side management programs in accordance with its DSM Plan approved in a separate proceeding. These programs help educate customers and empower them to take advantage of opportunities to reduce their overall bill. The Company's current programs can help households reduce their energy usage with measures that support heating and cooling system upgrades, home insulation, efficient appliances, behavior change and demand response. These measures help lower energy bills and can also improve home health, comfort, and safety. The Company also works to educate customers on conserving energy.

The Company's DSM/EE Plan continues to include a program targeted to low-income households. This program provides in-home energy assessments to qualifying customers and offers holistic weatherization measures, including air and duct sealing completed by trained Energy Concierge Advisors. Additional building shell improvements such as attic and wall insulation for qualifying customers are provided at no-cost to customers by local weatherization contractors for electrically heated homes. The program also provides a Healthier Homes assessments to qualifying customers participating in the in-home energy assessment and weatherization offering. Healthier Homes seeks to identify and mitigate health and safety issues within a home that serve as a barrier to additional weatherization

and efficiency improvements, as well as issues that serve as an immediate and urgent health and safety danger to occupants of the dwelling. The program also provides no-cost, turnkey service delivery to property managers and tenants of designated income qualified multifamily housing to help overcome first-cost and disruption barriers typically associated with this type of investment. Trained Energy Concierge Advisors will install low-cost energy saving measures (e.g., showerheads, programmable thermostats, bath and faucet aerators and pipe wrap) within residences at no cost to customers. The program also provides Energy Concierge services to residents living in manufactured homes, including energy assessments, direct install of energy efficient measures, and additional qualifying weatherization services as applicable. Finally, this program incorporates a channel for distribution of energy efficient in-home products through local food pantry partners.

A25.

Q25. You stated above that the Company also addresses affordability through customer assistance initiatives. Please explain.

Seasonal weather changes raise the need for heating and cooling and this too impacts a customer's bill for electricity. Sudden or chronic economic hardship also affects a customer's ability to pay bills. The Company participates in customer assistance programs, such as the Energy Assistance Program (also referred to as Low-Income Energy Assistance Program or "LIHEAP") and the Winter Assistance Program - both are important resources to help low-income customers afford their energy bills, especially during the winter heating season. The Company's Power of Change program also assists income-qualified customers with a one-time grant for electric bill assistance. Together with our DSM/EE programs,

1	these customer assistance programs can assist customers, particularly low-income
2	customers, and mitigate the financial impacts of higher energy prices. ²⁸
3	Additionally, AES Indiana works individually with customers who fall behind on bill
4	payments to work out a plan to get their account current. The Company offers a preferred
5	billing date if a particular time of the month is more convenient for a customer. Budget
6	billing is also offered to help reduce volatility in monthly bills. These options help the
7	customer to avoid shutoff and resume payment.
8	In this case, the Company proposes to maintain the customer support practices
9	implemented as a result of the settlement approved in Cause No. 45911.
10 11 12 13 14 15	LIHEAP Customer Deposit. If an applicant for residential service or current customer is qualified by a Community Action Agency to participate in the LIHEAP program ("LIHEAP Qualified Participant"), the residential deposit will be limited to \$50.00. LIHEAP qualification can be from the current or one-year prior heating season. This provision benefits residential customers who face economic challenges.
16 17 18 19	Residential Late Payment Charge. Once in a rolling twelve-month period, the Company will waive the late payment charge on a delinquent bill, provided payment is tendered not later than the last date for payment of the net amount of the next succeeding month's bill.
20 21 22 23 24 25	Disconnection/Reconnection. With respect to disconnections due to non-payment, AES Indiana will not disconnect service for any residential customer on Fridays, Saturdays, Sundays, and the following Holidays: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, Friday after Thanksgiving Day, December 24, and Christmas Day. The fee for remote disconnection continues to be set at \$0. The fee for remote reconnection remains \$3.
26 27 28 29 30	Medical Hold/Medical Alert. If the customer is a LIHEAP Qualified Participant, the protection from disconnection for Medical Hold will remain continue to reflect the increase from 20 days to 30 days. A Medical Hold will not require proof of the reason for the hold. Before any disconnection, the Company will continue to place a collection call to such customer that prompts the customer to contact the Company

.

²⁸ The Company's website also informs customers of community assistance programs, such as the Indiana Housing and Community Development Authority and Indianapolis community centers and trustees. https://www.aesindiana.com/wpayment-assistance

to establish an installment plan. If a residential customer is on the Medical Hold or Medical Alert Program, or a participant in the AMI Opt-Out Program, or does not have an AMI meter, or has not provided a phone number or email address, AES Indiana will make an on-premises visit on the day of disconnect.

Following the last rate review, the Company also collaborated with various stakeholders, including Citizens Action Coalition of Indiana, and representatives of Central Indiana community healthcare organizations, MESH Coalition and Indianapolis EMS to discuss customer education/outreach and Company procedures regarding the Medical Alert and Medical Hold Programs, including but not limited to, the service status of these customers during system-wide outages and other issues. Thus far, the collaborative effort has resulted in 1) a dedicated webpage on its website for information on the Company's Medical Alert program and emergency preparedness tips,²⁹ 2) a dedicated phone number for MESH Coalition to contact AES Indiana during non-emergency events to facilitate communication between the organizations, 3) a working group with local healthcare providers to support customer enrollment in the Medical Alert program and make resources available to assist and support communications with these customers during storm outages; and 4) implementation of new email communications for customers during certain weather situations, including targeted emails to Medical Alert customers.

Finally, lack of access to information about bill payment assistance programs is another factor that can impact affordability. The Company has and continues to work proactively to educate and enroll customers in programs. In October 2022, we formed a program with Citizens Energy Group called Utilities Unite, to educate Central Indiana residents about energy assistance programs and resources available to customers in preparation for winter-

-

²⁹ See https://www.aesindiana.com/medical-support.

heating bills. The objective of this partnership is to raise awareness of resources and make it easier for customers to navigate. The Company also routinely holds meetings with nonprofit partners, community centers and local organizations to discuss energy efficiency, payment and billing resources available for customers. In April of 2025, AES Indiana began hosting office hours with Community Partners to provide in-person support to customers, including direct support for customers in signing up for utility assistance programs and payment options, answering questions about bills, and energy efficiency information to help manage usage.

Q26. Please discuss the Company's consideration of the Reliability, Resiliency and Stability Pillars.

- A26. These considerations are supported by the Company's ongoing investment in production plant, and transmission and distribution systems. This includes the investment we have and continue to make pursuant to our Commission approved TDSIC Plan, and ongoing investment in our generation facilities, including the renewable energy capacity additions we have presented in separate proceedings to address reliability by ensuring the Company's capacity requirement is met. The Company's proposals regarding vegetation management and storm restoration are also consistent with these Pillars. These Pillars are further supported by the direct testimonies of AES Indiana witnesses Baker, Ellis, Flint, Holtsclaw, Peters, and Rimal.
- Q27. Please discuss the Company's consideration of the Environmental Sustainability Pillar.
- A27. The Company's development of renewable energy provides a more environmentally sustainable and diverse generation mix for customers, consistent with state policy. The

development of these resources, which has been reviewed and approved by the Commission, benefits the environment and is consistent with current and potential customers' interest in solar energy as a generating resource. As discussed by Company witnesses Ellis and Guletsky, the ongoing operation and decommissioning of the Company's generating facilities are subject to numerous environmental regulations. The cost of compliance is reasonably forecasted and reflected in the Company's filing.

5. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Q28. What is your recommendation?
- A28. AES Indiana works to control its costs and provides service consistent with Indiana's Five

 Pillars of electric service. The rate request proposed by the Company in this case is

 balanced and necessary to support the Company's ongoing obligation to provide reliable

 electric service and facilities to our customers. I ask the Commission for timely approval

 the Company's proposals.
- 14 Q29. Does this conclude your verified pre-filed direct testimony?
- 15 A29. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

VERIFICATION

I, Brandi Davis-Handy, President of AES Indiana, affirm under penalties for perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Brandi Davis-Handy

Bromeli Davi-Hay

Dated: May 30, 2025

Attachment BDH-1

[Verified Petition – Not Duplicated Herein]