### STATE OF INDIANA

PETITION OF INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER ) COMPANY, AN INDIANA CORPORATION, FOR )

CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICE )

REVISED DEPRECIATION

(1) AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES AND

THROUGH A PHASE IN RATE ADJUSTMENT; (2)

RATES; ACCOUNTING RELIEF; INCLUSION IN BASIC RATES AND CHARGES OF QUALIFIED

POLLUTION CONTROL PROPERTY, CLEAN ENERGY PROJECTS AND COST OF BRINGING I&M'S SYSTEM TO ITS PRESENT STATE OF

EFFICIENCY; RATE ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM

STORM DAMAGE RESTORATION RESERVE

MANAGEMENT PROGRAM RESERVE; AND AMORTIZATIONS; AND (3) FOR APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES OF RATES, RULES AND

PROPOSALS: COST DEFERRALS;

DISTRIBUTION

OF:

APPROVAL

REGULATIONS.

AND

### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

)

)

FILED

July 26, 2017

INDIANA UTILITY

**REGULATORY COMMISSION** 

CAUSE NO. 44967-NONE

### SUBMISSION OF DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CHRISTOPHER M. HALSEY

VEGETATION

Petitioner, Indiana Michigan Power Company (I&M), by counsel, respectfully

MAJOR

submits the direct testimony and attachments of Christopher M. Halsey in this Cause.

offy Pas

Teresa Morton Nyhart (Atty. No. 14044-49) Nicholas K. Kile (Atty. No. 15023-23) Jeffrey M. Peabody (Atty No. 28000-53) Barnes & Thornburg LLP 11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 (317) 231-7716 Nyhart Phone: Kile Phone: (317) 231-7768 Peabody Phone: (317) 231-6465 (317) 231-7433 Fax: Email: tnyhart@btlaw.com nkile@btlaw.com ipeabody@btlaw.com

Attorneys for Indiana Michigan Power Company

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing was served upon the following via electronic email, hand delivery or First Class, or United States Mail, postage prepaid

this 26th day of July, 2017 to:

William I. Fine Abby R. Gray Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor Office of Utility Consumer Counselor 115 West Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 infomgt@oucc.in.gov wfine@oucc.in.gov agray@oucc.in.gov

lepting the

Jeffrey M. Peabody

Teresa Morton Nyhart (No. 14044-49) Nicholas K. Kile (No. 15023-23) Jeffrey M. Peabody (No. 28000-53) BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 Nyhart Phone: (317) 231-7716 Kile Phone: (317) 231-7768 Peabody Phone: (317) 231-6465

Attorneys for INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

DMS 10265866v1

I&M Exhibit: \_\_\_\_\_

# INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

# PRE-FILED VERIFIED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

CHRISTOPHER M. HALSEY

# **INDEX**

I.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY		
II.	EXISTING RIDER REVENUE REQUIREMENTS		
III.	ADJUSTMENTS TO REMOVE NET EXPENSES FOR RIDER PROPOSALS		
IV.	PROP	OSED RIDER REVENUE REQUIREMENTS	. 9
	Α.	OSS/PJM Rider	10
	В.	DSM/EE Rider	11
	C.	LCM Rider	14
	D.	ECR	15
	F.	RAR	16
	G.	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF)	17

## PRE-FILED VERIFIED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CHRISTOPHER M. HALSEY ON BEHALF OF INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Christopher M. Halsey. My business address is Indiana Michigan
- 3 Power Center, P.O. Box 60, Fort Wayne, Indiana 46801.

# 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

A. I am employed by Indiana Michigan Power Company (I&M or Company) as a
Regulatory Consultant Principal in the Regulatory Services Department.

# 7 Q. Please briefly describe your educational and professional experience.

- A. I graduated from Kent State University in 2003 with dual Bachelor's Degrees in
  both Accounting and Justice Studies. I obtained my MBA from Ashland University
  with a core concentration in Finance in 2007.
- 11 I started with American Electric Power (AEP) in Canton, Ohio in the AEP 12 Service Corporation (AEPSC) accounting department as a contractor in 2002 and 13 was later hired by AEPSC into the payroll department in 2003. In August 2004, I 14 accepted a position in the Commercial Accounting group of AEPSC as an 15 accountant. In August 2007, I transferred to the AEPSC Regulatory department 16 as a Regulatory Analyst. In July 2010, I transferred to the AEP Transmission 17 organization to work on transmission related matters, and in January 2012, I 18 returned to the AEPSC Regulatory group. In July 2013, I assumed my current 19 position as a Regulatory Consultant Principal in I&M's Regulatory Services 20 department.

# 21 Q. What are your responsibilities as Regulatory Consultant Principal?

A. I am responsible for the preparation of regulatory filings and analyses.

1	Q.	Have you previously testified in any regulatory proceedings?
2	A.	Yes. I have testified on behalf of I&M before the Indiana Utility Regulatory
3		Commission (IURC or Commission) in the following proceedings:
4 5 7 8 9 10 11		<ul> <li>44182 LCM X</li> <li>44422 CSR X</li> <li>44331 ECR X</li> <li>44523 ECR X</li> <li>43992 ECCR X</li> <li>43775 OSS-7</li> <li>44511</li> <li>44511 SPR-2</li> <li>43774 PJM X</li> <li>A3775 OSS-7</li> <li>Clean Energy Solar Pilot Project</li> <li>A3774 PJM X</li> <li>PJM Rider</li> </ul>
13		In addition, I have submitted testimony in Case No. U-18083, 2015
14		Renewable Energy Plan Reconciliation (REP), and Case No. U-18333, 2016 EO
15		Reconciliation, on behalf of I&M before the Michigan Public Service Commission.
16		I. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
18	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to:
19		1. Calculate forecasted rider revenue requirements for the twelve months ending
20		December 31, 2018 (Test Year) under I&M's existing rider recovery
21		mechanisms. That is, I calculate what I&M's rider revenue requirements would
22		be in the Test Year without the changes to riders or I&M's base rates that I&M
23		is proposing in this case.
24		2. Support Adjustments Rider-1 through Rider-3 on Exhibit A-5, which remove
25		from Test Year net operating income all net expenses and off-system sales
26		(OSS) margins that I&M proposes to recover through riders in this proceeding.

1		3. Calculate forecasted rider revenue requirements for the Test Year under I&M's
2		rider recovery mechanism proposals in this proceeding. That is, I calculate
3		what I&M's rider revenue requirements will be in the Test Year with the changes
4		to riders that I&M is proposing in this case. I calculate the rider revenue
5		requirements for all riders except the Phase-In Rate Adjustment, which is
6		supported by Company witness Stegall.
7	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in this proceeding?
8	Α.	As noted above, I support Adjustments Rider-1 through Rider-3 on Exhibit A-5.
9	Q.	Are you sponsoring any attachments in this proceeding?
10	A.	I am sponsoring the following attachments that calculate Test Year rider revenue
11		requirements under I&M's existing rider recovery mechanisms:
12		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-1: Existing Capacity Settlement Rider (CSR)</li> </ul>
13		Attachment CMH-2: Existing Clean Coal Technology Rider (CCTR)
14 15		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-3: Existing Demand Side Management / Energy Efficiency Program Cost Rider (DSM/EE)</li> </ul>
16 17		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-4: Existing Environmental Compliance Cost Rider (ECCR)</li> </ul>
18 19		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-5: Existing Off-System Sales Margin Sharing Rider (OSS)</li> </ul>
20		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-6: Existing PJM Cost Rider (PJM)</li> </ul>
21		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-7: Existing Life Cycle Management Rider (LCM)</li> </ul>
22		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-8: Existing Federal Mandate Rider (FMR)</li> </ul>
23		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-9: Existing Solar Power Rider (SPR)</li> </ul>
24		I also sponsor the following attachments that calculate Test Year rider revenue
24		
25		requirements under I&M's rider recovery mechanism proposals in this proceeding:
26 27		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-10: Proposed Off-System Sales / PJM Cost Rider (OSS/PJM)</li> </ul>

1 2		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-11: Proposed Demand Side Management / Energy Efficiency Program Cost Rider (DSM/EE)</li> </ul>
3		Attachment CMH-12: Proposed Life Cycle Management Rider (LCM)
4		<ul> <li>Attachment CMH-13: Proposed Environmental Cost Rider (ECR)</li> </ul>
5		Attachment CMH-14: Proposed Resource Adequacy Rider (RAR)
6	Q.	Are you sponsoring any workpapers in this proceeding?
7	A.	I sponsor the following workpapers:
8		• WP-CMH-1, in reference to Attachment CMH-2 (CCTR)
9		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-2, in reference to Attachment CMH-3 (DSM/EE)</li> </ul>
10		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-3, in reference to Attachment CMH-5 (OSS)</li> </ul>
11		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-4, in reference to Attachment CMH-6 (PJM)</li> </ul>
12		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-5, in reference to Attachment CMH-7 (LCM)</li> </ul>
13		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-6, in reference to Attachment CMH-8 (FMR)</li> </ul>
14		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-7, in reference to Attachment CMH-9 (SPR)</li> </ul>
15		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-8, in reference to Attachment CMH-10 (OSS/PJM)</li> </ul>
16		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-9, in reference to Attachment CMH-11 (DSM/EE)</li> </ul>
17		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-10, in reference to Attachment CMH-12 (LCM)</li> </ul>
18		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-11, in reference to Attachment CMH-13 (ECR)</li> </ul>
19		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-12, in reference to Attachment CMH-14 (RAR)</li> </ul>
20		<ul> <li>WP-CMH-13 in reference to Adjustment Rider 1</li> </ul>
21		WP-CMH-14 in reference to Adjustment Rider 2
22		WP-CMH-15 in reference to Adjustment Rider 3
23	Q.	Were the exhibit, attachments, and workpapers that you are supporting
24		prepared by you or under your direction?
25	Δ	Ves

25 A. Yes.

1

### II. EXISTING RIDER REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

# Q. Please describe the purpose of calculating the Test Year revenue requirements for I&M's existing rider recovery mechanisms for which you were responsible.

5 Calculating Test Year revenue requirements for I&M's existing rider recovery Α. 6 mechanisms is necessary in order to accurately forecast I&M's Test Year operating 7 revenue without the increase in basic rates and other requested relief in this 8 proceeding. Specifically, the Test Year revenue requirements that I calculated for 9 I&M's existing riders were used by Company witness Nollenberger to calculate 10 Test Year rates for I&M's existing riders. Company witness Stegall then used 11 these Test Year rider rates to calculate Test Year revenues for I&M's existing 12 riders. As Company witness Stegall describes, these Test Year rider revenues 13 formed the basis of Mr. Stegall's Operating Revenue Adjustment No. 1 to Exhibit 14 A-5.

# 15 Q. How did you calculate the Test Year revenue requirements for I&M's existing riders?

A. Using the Company's forecast, I calculated a Test Year revenue requirement for
 each existing rider based on the recovery mechanism that has been approved by
 the Commission. I obtained the forecasted expenses or other components for
 each rider from I&M's forecast, which is sponsored by Company witness Lucas.
 These calculations are shown in Attachments CMH-1 through CMH-9 and are
 further supported by Workpapers WP-CMH-1 through WP-CMH-7.

# Q. Please summarize the existing riders for which you calculated Test Year revenue requirements.

A. I calculated Test Year revenue requirements for the riders indicated on Figure
 CMH-1 below. Figure CMH-1 provides the name of the rider, the Cause in which
 the rider mechanism was authorized, and a summary of the existing rider
 mechanism.

Existing Rider	Cause No.	Summary of Existing Rider Mechanism
Capacity Settlement Rider (CSR)	44422	Reconciles actual capacity equalization settlement receipts or payments compared to the level of capacity equalization settlement receipts in I&M's base rates approved in Cause No. 44075.
Clean Coal Technology Rider (CCTR)	44523	Recognizes cost of service associated with I&M's ownership share of the Rockport Unit 1 SCR project.
Demand Side Management / Energy Efficiency Program Cost Rider (DSM)	43827	Recognizes DSM plan costs, including program direct and indirect costs, net lost revenues, and performance incentives.
Environmental Compliance Cost Rider (ECCR)	43992	Recognizes I&M's net emission allowance costs related to consumption of allowances, and gains and losses on disposition of allowances.
Off-System Sales Margin Sharing Rider (OSS)	43775	Shares 50% margins above or below the level embedded in the revenue requirement in Cause No. 44075 down to \$0.
PJM Cost Rider (PJM)	43774	Recognizes certain PJM costs above the level included in I&M's base rates approved in Cause No. 44075, as approved in Cause No. 43774 and specifically in 43774 PJM-4 S1.
Life Cycle Management Rider (LCM)	44182	Recognizes cost of service associated with the Cook Nuclear Plant LCM project.

# Figure CMH-1 Existing Riders

Existing Rider	Cause No.	Summary of Existing Rider Mechanism
Federal Mandate Rider (FMR)	44331	Recognizes cost of service, including preconstruction and consumables cost, associated with the Rockport Clean Coal Technology (CCT) Project to install a DSI system.
Solar Power (SPR)	44511	Recognizes cost of service associated with I&M's Clean Energy Solar Pilot Project (CESPP), including marketing expenses associated with the GPR.

1	II	I. ADJUSTMENTS TO REMOVE NET EXPENSES FOR RIDER PROPOSALS
2	Q.	Which Company witness supports I&M's proposals regarding rider
3		mechanisms?
4	A.	Company witness Williamson supports I&M's proposals to establish, merge,
5		change, and eliminate certain riders. He also discusses the Company's request
6		for any new rate adjustment mechanisms.
7	Q.	Please summarize the adjustments you are sponsoring related to I&M's
8		riders and why these adjustments are necessary.
9	Α.	I am sponsoring three adjustments to I&M's net operating income, as shown on
10		Exhibit A-5, to remove the net expenses that I&M has included in riders in this
11		proceeding. These adjustments, labeled Adjustments Rider-1 through Rider-3, are
12		necessary in order to accurately calculate I&M's requested basic rates. The net
13		expenses that I&M proposes to recover and include in riders are not part of the
14		cost of service used to calculate basic rates, and thus must be removed from I&M's
15		net operating income on Exhibit A-5. Specifically, I support adjustments related to
16		I&M's ECR, DSM/EE Rider, and OSS/PJM Rider.

- Q. How did I&M treat revenues produced by existing riders and existing base
   rates that are associated with the net expenses included in I&M's rider
   proposals?
- A. Adjustments OR-2 through OR-7 on Exhibit A-5 removes from net operating
  income all Test Year revenues associated with the net expenses that the Company
  has included in riders. Company witness Stegall supports Adjustments OR-2
  through OR-7.

### 8 Q. How did you calculate Adjustments Rider-1 through Rider-3?

9 A. I calculated each adjustment using the forecasted level of net expenses and OSS
10 margins obtained from the Company's forecast, which is sponsored by Company
11 witness Lucas. Workpapers WP-CMH-13 through WP-CMH-15 show my
12 calculations for these adjustments. These adjustments are included on Exhibit
13 A-5.

### 14 Q. Please explain Adjustment Rider-1.

A. Adjustment Rider-1 removes from Test Year net operating income all expenses
that I&M included in the ECR – specifically, generation consumables expense (i.e.,
expense for activated carbon, ammonia, and sodium bicarbonate) and net
emission allowance costs. Adjustment Rider 1 increases Test Year net operating
income by \$27,333,492 on a total Company basis.

20 Q. Please explain Adjustment Rider-2.

A. Adjustment Rider-2 removes from Test Year net operating income all expenses
 that I&M included in the DSM/EE Rider. As discussed by Company witness
 Williamson, this adjustment excludes DSM-related costs associated with the

1	Electric	Energy	Consumption	Optimization	(EECO)	and	Work	Energy
2	Manage	ment (WE	M) programs, w	hich are include	ed in I&M's	propo	sed bas	sic rates.
3	Adjustmo	ent Rider-	2 increases Tes	st Year net oper	rating inco	me by	\$20,61	1,897 on
4	a total C	ompany b	asis.					

5 **Q.** 

# Please explain Adjustment Rider-3.

A. Adjustment Rider-3 removes from Test Year net operating income all net expenses
that I&M included in the OSS/PJM Rider – specifically, OSS margins and the net
expenses incurred as a result of I&M's membership in PJM as a transmission
owner (TO), a generator, and a Load Serving Entity (LSE). Adjustment Rider-3
increases Test Year net operating income by \$247,068,510 on a total Company
basis.

12 Q. Are you sponsoring adjustments for the LCM Rider, Fuel Adjustment Clause
 13 (FAC) and the RAR?

# A. No. No adjustment is needed for the LCM Rider due to the Phase-In Rate Adjustment, which is discussed by Company witness Williamson. No adjustment is needed for the FAC and RAR because each is set at an initial rate of zero.

17

### IV. PROPOSED RIDER REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

# Q. Why did you calculate Test Year revenue requirements for I&M's proposed rider rates?

A. I calculated Test Year revenue requirements to be used by Company witness
 Nollenberger to create rider rates that I&M proposes to put in place when new
 basic rates are implemented as part of this proceeding. Specifically I calculated
 revenue requirements for the OSS/PJM Rider, DSM/EE Rider, LCM Rider, and

1 ECR. I also present an example of how the revenue requirement for the RAR will 2 be calculated. For each of the riders I cover below, Company witness Nollenberger 3 calculates the proposed rider rates and presents the rate design and Company 4 witness Cooper presents the tariffs. 5 Q. What methodology did you use in calculating the proposed rider revenue 6 requirements? 7 Α. I followed a methodology consistent with what I&M uses in its existing rider filings. 8 Attachments CMH-10 through CMH-14 provide the calculations for the revenue 9 requirements. 10 A. OSS/PJM Rider 11 Q. Company witness Williamson discusses the Company's proposal to 12 combine the OSS and PJM Riders. How did you calculate the Test Year 13 revenue requirement for the proposed OSS/PJM Rider rates? 14 Α. Using forecasted PJM costs, OSS margins, and other data from the Company's 15 forecast, I created a revenue requirement for the OSS/PJM Rider as it is proposed 16 by Company witness Williamson. Specifically, the OSS/PJM Rider revenue 17 requirement includes (1) 100% of I&M's net PJM expenses and (2) sharing 50% 18 of I&M's net OSS margins starting from \$0. As Company witness Williamson explains, the determination of OSS margins excludes the OVEC demand-related 19 20 costs that I&M has included in base rates and requests to track incrementally in 21 the RAR.

1	Q.	What PJM-related costs are included in the OSS/PJM Rider?
2	Α.	The OSS/PJM Rider revenue requirement includes all net expenses that relate to
3		I&M's membership in PJM as a transmission owner (TO), a generator and a load
4		serving entity (LSE). Examples of these net expenses include the following:
5		PJM administrative
6		PJM Regional Transmission Expansion Project (RTEP)
7		Ancillary services
8		Net transmission congestion
9		Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT)
10	Q.	In what format did you present the OSS/PJM Rider revenue requirement?
11	Α.	As shown on Attachment CMH-10 and Workpaper WP-CMH-8, I presented the
12		OSS and PJM components of the OSS/PJM Rider revenue requirement in
13		separate schedules. Each schedule follows a format consistent with what I&M has
14		used for rider update filings in Cause No. 43774 (for the PJM Cost Rider) and
15		Cause No. 43775 (for the Off-System Sales Margin Sharing Rider).
16	Q.	What is the Test Year revenue requirement for the proposed OSS/PJM Rider
17		rates?
18	Α.	The Test Year revenue requirement for the OSS/PJM Rider is \$215,204,720 on an
19		Indiana jurisdictional basis, as shown on Attachment CMH-10.
20		B. <u>DSM/EE Rider</u>
21	Q.	Is the Test Year revenue requirement for the DSM/EE Rider the same as
22		Adjustment Rider-2?
23	A.	No. Due to the Settlement in Cause No. 44841, the revenue requirement for the
24		proposed DSM/EE Rider rates is slightly different than Adjustment Rider-2. As

1 described above, Adjustment Rider-2 removes from the Test Year expenses that 2 I&M proposes to recover in the DSM/EE Rider. To calculate Adjustment Rider-2 3 and the other adjustments I am sponsoring. I used the forecasted data available in 4 I&M's forecast. Because this forecast was finalized before I&M entered into the 5 Settlement in Cause No. 44841, the forecast does not fully reflect the Settlement 6 Agreement. Also, the Settlement established a set of average rates to be charged 7 over the three-year plan period ending in 2019. This approach is necessary to 8 implement the DSM/EE Rider rates consistent with that agreement. This will also 9 minimize the variance in customer revenues and actual costs incurred over the 10 three-year plan period.

11

# Q. What DSM/EE Rider rates is I&M proposing?

12 Α. The 3-Year DSM Plan settlement established a set of average rates based on a 13 revenue requirement for plan years 2017 through 2019. These average rates 14 included lost revenues and other costs (EECO and WEM) that will be reflected in 15 I&M's basic rates. As a result, I am adjusting the revenue requirement agreed to 16 in the 3-Year DSM Plan settlement and proposing revenue requirements for two 17 sets of rates, (1) for the Test Year as described above and (2) for 2019 (hereafter 18 referred to as "2019 revenue requirement"). The 2019 revenue requirement was 19 calculated consistent with the Test Year revenue requirement with two exceptions.

- Net lost revenues associated with 50% of the measures installed during
   2018 and 2019 according to the 3-Year DSM/EE Plan are included in
   2019.
- 23
- 2. EECO and WEM program costs specific to 2019 are included.

All other components of my Test Year and 2019 revenue requirements are the
 same and are based on one-third of the related revenue requirement as agreed to
 in the 3-Year DSM/EE Plan. Company witness Williamson describes the EECO
 and WEM program costs included in I&M's proposed basic rates.

# Q. How specifically did you adjust the DSM/EE Rider revenue requirements to account for EECO and WEM program costs?

A. For the EECO program, I excluded costs related to capital expenditures that I&M
is including in basic rates. However, I included in the revenue requirements the
respective period expenses related to the EECO program, including costs
associated with evaluation, management, and verification (EM&V) and internal
support labor, as shown on Workpaper WP-CMH-9. I obtained these costs from
the Company's attachments and workpapers in Cause No 44841.

Likewise, for the WEM program, I excluded costs related to capital expenditures that that I&M is including in basic rates. However, I included in the revenue requirements the respective period expenses related to the WEM program, including costs associated with Program Administration and Support, EM&V, and customer incentives. Again, I obtained these costs from the Company's attachments and workpapers in Cause No 44841.

Q. How is I&M planning on implementing the proposed 2019 DSM/EE Rider
 rates?

A. I&M proposes the Commission approve the DSM/EE Rider rates as part of the
 compliance filing in this basic rate case. We are proposing to implement the 2019
 DSM/EE Rider rates with the first billing cycle January, 2019.

1 Q. What are the revenue requirements for the proposed DSM/EE Rider rates? 2 Α. The Test Year revenue requirement for the DSM/EE Rider is \$21,211,049 on an 3 Indiana Jurisdictional basis, as shown on Attachment CMH-11. The 2019 revenue 4 requirement is \$29,378,791 on an Indiana jurisdictional basis, as shown on 5 Attachment CMH-11. 6 C. LCM Rider 7 Q. How did you calculate the Test Year revenue requirement for the proposed 8 LCM Rider rates? 9 Using data from the Company's forecast, I created a revenue requirement for the Α. 10 LCM Rider as shown on Attachment CMH-12. As supported by Company witness 11 Williamson, once new basic rates are implemented, the Phase-In Rate Adjustment 12 (PRA) will adjust the proposed basic rates to reflect the forecasted LCM plant that 13 is in-service as of December 31, 2017. Therefore, the LCM Rider revenue 14 requirement is based on the 2018 Test Year forecast. 15 Q. Is I&M proposing to modify the ratemaking treatment from that approved by 16 the Commission in existing LCM Rider filings? 17 Yes. As explained by Company Witness Williamson, I&M is requesting to modify Α. 18 the LCM Rider to synchronize the depreciation rate used to calculate ongoing LCM 19 Rider depreciation with the rates approved by the Commission in this proceeding. 20 I&M also proposes to accrue an allowance for funds used during construction 21 (AFUDC) in lieu of a return on construction work in progress (CWIP) for the 22 remaining LCM Rider period. I have reflected these changes in my revenue 23 requirement calculation. Consistent with the recovery approved by the

1		Commission in Cause No. 44182, the following costs are used to determine the
2		revenue requirement for I&M's proposed LCM Rider rates:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	<ul> <li>Depreciation expense.</li> <li>Property tax expense.</li> <li>Pre-tax return on net plant in-service.</li> <li>Amortization of the deferred LCM costs for the period of January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013.</li> <li>Amortization of the E3 independent monitor costs plus associated E3 carrying costs.</li> </ul>
12		in Cause Nos. 44182 LCM 1 through LCM 7?
13	A.	Yes. I&M proposes to maintain schedules similar to those used in existing LCM
14		Rider filings, with the exception of the modifications discussed above.
15	Q.	What is the Test Year revenue requirement for the proposed LCM Rider
16		rates?
17	A.	The Test Year revenue requirement for the LCM Rider is \$4,434,986 on an Indiana
18		Jurisdictional basis, as shown on Attachment CMH-12.
19		D. <u>ECR</u>
20	Q.	Company witness Williamson explains the Company's proposal to merge its
21		environmental cost rate adjustment mechanisms into one ECR. How did you
22		calculate the Test Year revenue requirement for the proposed ECR rates?
23	A.	Using the Company's forecast, I created a revenue requirement for the ECR as it
24		is proposed by Company witness Williamson. Specifically, the ECR revenue
25		requirement includes (1) 100% of consumables expenses (i.e. sodium
26		bicarbonate, activated carbon, and ammonia) and (2) 100% of net allowance
27		expenses, as shown on Workpaper WP-CMH-11.

1	Q.	What is the Test	Year revenue	requirement for	the proposed ECR rates?
---	----	------------------	--------------	-----------------	-------------------------

- A. The Test Year revenue requirement for the ECR Rider is \$17,727,829 on an
  Indiana Jurisdictional basis, as shown on Attachment CMH-13.
- 4

#### F. <u>RAR</u>

5 Q. Please explain the proposed RAR.

A. As supported by Company witness Williamson, I&M is proposing to create a new
expense tracking mechanism, the Resource Adequacy Rider (RAR), to adjust
rates to reflect incremental changes in the Company's purchased power costs,
excluding those recovered through the FAC, compared to the amount embedded
in base rates. This mechanism will ensure customer rates reflect the actual cost
of purchased power incurred to provide service.

12 Currently, I&M's purchased power contracts subject to this rider consists of 13 its Unit Power Agreement with AEG for a portion of the Rockport Plant and Ohio 14 Valley Electric Corporation (OVEC). These costs during the Test Year are 15 \$169,883,355 on a total Company basis, as shown on Attachment CMH-14. This 16 baseline will be used for purposes of calculating the incremental change in ongoing 17 RAR filings. Subsequent to implementing new basic rates, I&M will commence an 18 initial RAR rate proceeding. Thereafter, RAR factors will be established annually 19 based upon a projection of costs to be tracked, and will include a reconciliation of 20 actual costs and revenues. Attachment CMH-14 sets forth an example of how the 21 incremental costs subject to the RAR will be determined. Company witness 22 Nollenberger presents the rate design and Company witness Cooper presents the 23 RAR tariff.

1		G. Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF)
2	Q.	Did I&M include GRCF costs in the calculation of the revenue requirements
3		used to calculate the proposed rider rates?
4	Α.	Yes, I&M has included a GRCF consistent with the methodology that has been
5		approved by the Commission in I&M's existing rider revenue requirement
6		calculations. For purposes of estimating GRCF costs, I&M used December 31,
7		2016 as a basis. It was necessary to include GRCF costs otherwise the proposed
8		rider rates would be understated.
9	Q.	Does this conclude your pre-filed verified direct testimony?
10	A.	Yes.

# VERIFICATION

I, Christopher M. Halsey, Regulatory Consultant Principal for Indiana Michigan Power Company, affirm under penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Hak M. Halsey

Indiana Michigan Power Capacity Settlement Rider (CSR) Revenue Requirement - Test Year 2018	Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-1 Page 1 of 1 Existing Rider
CSR Revenue Requirement	Indiana
Capacity Equalization Settlement Payments/Receipts	\$24,870,514
Subtotal CSR Revenue Requirement:	\$24,870,514
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor <sup>1</sup> Gross Revenue Conversion Factor Revenue Requirement	1.7825% \$451,362
Total CSR Revenue Requirement	\$25,321,876

(1) GRCF based on I&M's last filing in Cause No. 44422, CSR-2, Attachment CMH-1 Source: Commission order in Cause No. 44075, pages 57 & 58

# Indiana Michigan Power Clean Coal Technology Rider (CCTR) Rockport Unit 1 SCR Project Revenue Requirement - Test Year 2018

Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-2 Page 1 of 1 Existing Rider

Line #	Description	Source:	Indiana Demand Amount	E	ndiana Energy Amount	Indiana Total
1	SCR Depreciation Expense	WP-CMH-1, page 1	\$ 8,033,443			\$ 8,033,443
2	SCR Return on Electric Plant in Service (EPIS)	WP-CMH-1, page 2	\$ 9,796,394			\$ 9,796,394
3	SCR Return on CWIP	WP-CMH-1, page 3	\$ 363,052			\$ 363,052
4	O&M Expenses	WP-CMH-1, page 4	\$ 555,768			\$ 555,768
5	Consumables	WP-CMH-1, page 4		\$	921,768	\$ 921,768
6	SCR Incremental Property Taxes	(Future Use)	\$ -			\$ -
7	Revenue Requirement Subtotal	Sum Lines 1 through 6	\$ 18,748,657	\$	921,768	\$ 19,670,425
8	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	WP-CMH-1, page 6	1.6794%		1.6794%	1.6794%
9	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor Revenue Required	Line 7 mulitiplied by (1/(1-Line 8)-1)	\$320,243		\$15,745	\$335,988
10	Total Revenue Requirement	Sum Lines 7 and 9	\$ 19,068,900	\$	937,513	\$ 20,006,413

Indiana Michigan Power Demand Side Management / Energy Efficiency Program Cost Rider (DSM/EE) Revenue Requirement - Test Year 2018	C	Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-3 Page 1 of 1 Existing Rider
	Source	Total Program Cost
Section 1 - Test Year DSM/EE Program Costs:		
Home Energy Products	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$1,278,698
Income Qualified Weatherproofing	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$578,070
Schools Energy Education	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$662,856
Home Appliance Recycling	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$596,999
Home New Construction	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$502,955
Home Weatherproofing	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$542,250
Home Energy Online Checkup	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$75,000
Home Energy Reports	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$2,242,427
Residential Peak Reduction	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$1,912,331
Residential EE Products	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$367,857
Work Prescriptive Rebates	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$4,710,964
Work Custom Rebates	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$5,243,633
	WF-Civili-2, page 1	<b>4</b> 0,240,000
Work Direct Install		
Small Business Efficiency Pilot         Total Direct Program Costs	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$420,506 \$19,134,546
Indirect Program Costs:		
Total Indirect Program Costs	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$1,274,387
EECO Program Costs: Residential EECO	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$1,517,384
C&I EECO	WP-CMH-2, page 1	\$161,911
Total EECO Program Costs		\$1,679,295
Total Test Year Direct, Indirect & EECO Program Costs		\$22,088,228
Section 2 - Test Year Net Lost Revenues:		
Residential	WP-CMH-2, page 2	\$15,441,072
C&I		
EECO Pre-Opt Out Measures - July 2014 Opt Out	WP-CMH-2, page 2 WP-CMH-2, page 2	\$365,377 \$10,675,602
Pre-Opt Out Measures - Jan. 2015 Opt Out Non-Opt Out Customer Portion	WP-CMH-2, page 2 WP-CMH-2, page 2	\$2,189,667 \$4,905,082
Total Net Lost Revenues		\$33,576,800
Section 3 - Test Year Shared Savings		
Residential		\$931,779
C&I, Non Opt Out Customers		\$973,972
Total Shared Savings		\$1,905,751
Total Test Year DSM/EE Plan		\$57,570,779
Section 4 - PY 6 Forecasted True-up		
PY 5 Program Cost, Net Lost Revenues, Shared Savings		
Residential		\$0
C&I All Customers		\$0
All Customers Excluding July 2014 Opt Out Total PY 6 Forecasted True-up		\$0 \$0
Total DSM Costs (All Components)		\$57,570,779
Revenue Tax Rate <sup>1</sup> Gross Revenue Conversion Factor		1.6794% 1.01708
Total DSM Revenue Requirement		\$58,554,130
Residential Customers		\$28,076,992
C&I Customers Total DSM Costs		\$30,477,138 \$58,554,130
(1) GRCF based on December 31. 2017		
(1) GRCF based on December 31, 2017 Source: Test Year Forecast		

# Indiana Michigan Power Environmental Compliance Cost Rider (ECCR) Revenue Requirement - Test Year 2018

Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-4 Page 1 of 1 Existing Rider

	Total Company ECCR Cost	Indiana ECCR Cost
5090000 Allow Consum Title IV SO2	\$1,529,388	
Total Allowance Expense		\$1,529,388
Less: Allowances Associated with Off System Sales		\$259,513
Allowance Expense to Remove from Base Rates		\$1,269,875
4118007 Comp Allow Gains CSAPR SO2	\$6,000	1
4118008 Comp Allow Gains CSAPR Seas Nox	\$75,000	
4118009 Comp Allow Gains CSAPR An NOx	\$35,000	<u> </u>
Less: Gains/(Losses) on Disposition of Allowances		\$116,000
Total Environmental Costs		\$1,153,875
Indiana Jurisdictional Energy Factor <sup>1</sup>		0.6348797
Indiana Environmental Compliance Costs		\$732,572

(1) Cause No. 44075 Source: Test Year Forecast

# Indiana Michigan Power Off-System Sales Margin Sharing Rider (OSS) Revenue Requirement/(Credit) - Test Year 2018

Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-5 Page 1 of 1 Existing Rider

Forecast Jurisdictional Costs	Source	IN/MI/FERC Demand	IN/MI/FERC Energy	Total
Total Company OSS Margin Forecast	WP-CMH-3, page 1	\$27,978,284	(\$29,748,280)	(\$1,769,997)
Jurisdictional Allocation Factors <sup>1</sup>		64.65519%	63.48797%	
Indiana OSS Margin	-	\$18,089,412	(\$18,886,579)	(\$797,167)
Less: OSS Margin in Basic Rates				(\$26,900,000)
Incremental OSS Margin			—	\$26,102,833
Customer Share of Incremental Margins in Rider (50%)				(\$13,051,417)
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF) <sup>2</sup>				1.7688%
GRCF Revenue Needed				(\$235,010)
Total Rider (Credit)/Charge				(\$13,286,427)
(1) Cause No. 44075				

(2) GRCF based on I&M's last filing in Cause No. 43775, OSS-7 Source: Test Year Forecast

### Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-6 Page 1 of 1 Existing Rider

### Indiana Michigan Power PJM Cost Rider (PJM) Revenue Requirement - Test Year 2018

Forecast Jurisdictional Costs	Source	IN/MI Retail Demand	IN/MI Retail Energy	IN/MI/FERC Demand	IN/MI/FERC Energy	Total
Total Company PJM Cost Forecast	WP-CMH-4, page 1	\$20,448,004	\$0	\$13,272,685	\$13,976,000	\$47,696,689
Jurisdictional Allocation Factors <sup>1</sup>		82.26555%	82.04099%	64.65519%	63.48797%	
Indiana PJM Cost		\$16,821,663	\$0	\$8,581,480	\$8,873,079	\$34,276,222
Incremental Indiana PJM Contested Costs	WP-CMH-4, page 2	\$106,946,818	(\$162,307)	\$0	\$0	\$106,784,511
Less: Contested Costs Exceeding Jan 2017 - Jun 2018 Cap <sup>2</sup>	WP-CMH-4, page 3	(\$5,555,490)				(\$5,555,490)
Less: PJM Admin Costs in Basic Rates		\$0	\$0	\$5,224,801	\$0	\$5,224,801
Total PJM Net Revenue Requirement		\$118,212,991	(\$162,307)	\$3,356,679	\$8,873,079	\$130,280,442
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF) <sup>3</sup>		1.7688%	1.7688%	1.7688%	1.7688%	1.7688%
GRCF Revenue		\$2,128,602	(\$2,923)	\$60,442	\$159,773	\$2,345,895
PJM Revenue Requirement		\$120,341,593	(\$165,229)	\$3,417,121	\$9,032,852	\$132,626,336

(1) Cause No. 44075

(2) Contested Costs based on Cause No. 43774 PJM-4 and PJM-4 S1

(3) GRCF based on I&M's last filing in Cause No. 43774, PJM-7

Source: Test Year Forecast

	Indiana Michigan Power Life Cycle Management Rider (LC Revenue Requirement - Test Year 2		Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-7 Page 1 of 1 Existing Rider
	(A)	(B)	<b>(C)</b> Indiana Jurisdictional Total
Line #		Source:	<u>2018</u>
1	Depreciation Expense	WP-CMH-5, page 3	\$ 19,477,966
2	Return on Electric Plant In-Service	WP-CMH-5, page 4	\$ 39,649,481
3	Property Tax Expense	WP-CMH-5, page 5	\$ 4,386,933
4	Amortization of Deferred Actual Costs for the period of 1/1/18 through 12/31/18	WP-CMH-5, page 6	\$440,565
5	Return on Construction Work in Progress (CWIP)	WP-CMH-5, page 7	\$ 4,391,196
6	Amortizaton of Independent Monitor Costs & Carrying Costs 1/1/18 through 12/31/18	WP-CMH-5, page 8	\$ 143,628
7	Revenue Requirement Subtotal	Sum Lines 1 through 8	\$ 68,489,770
8	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF)	WP-CMH-5, page 9	1.6794%
9	GRCF Revenue Required	Line 8 mulitiplied by (1/(1-Line 9)-1)	\$1,169,864
10	Total Revenue Requirement	Sum Lines 7 and 9	\$69,659,634

## Indiana Michigan Power Federal Mandate Rider (FMR) Rockport CCT Project (DSI) Revenue Requirement - Test Year 2018

			100% Indiana T	otal Revenue Re	qui	rement	ent 80% Indiana Total Revenue Requirement			20% Indiana Total Revenue Requirement						
Line #	Description	Source:	Indiana Demand Amount	Indiana Energy Amount		Indiana Total		Indiana Demand Amount	Indiana Energy Amount		Indiana Indiana Total		Indiana Demand Amount	India Ene Amo	rgy	Indiana Total
1	DSI Depreciation Expense	WP-CMH-6, page 1	\$ 6,964,863	\$	\$	6,964,863	\$	5,571,890		\$	5,571,890	\$	1,392,973			\$ 1,392,973
2	DSI Return on EPIS	WP-CMH-6, page 2	\$ 5,010,656	\$	6	5,010,656	\$	4,008,525		\$	4,008,525	\$	1,002,131			\$ 1,002,131
3	DSI Return on CWIP	WP-CMH-6, page 3	\$ 276,985	\$	6	276,985	\$	221,588		\$	221,588		N/A			N/A
4	O&M Expenses	WP-CMH-6, page 4	\$ 837,543	\$	5	837,543	\$	670,035		\$	670,035	\$	167,509			\$ 167,509
5	Consumables	WP-CMH-6, page 4	\$	13,108,556 \$	5	13,108,556		\$	10,486,8	345 \$	10,486,845		:	\$ 2,6	621,711	\$ 2,621,711
6	DSI Incremental Property Taxes	(Future Use)	\$ -	\$	5	-						\$	-			\$-
7	Revenue Requirement Subtotal	Sum of Lines 1 through 6	\$ 13,090,048 \$	13,108,556 \$	6	26,198,605	\$	10,472,039 \$	10,486,8	345 \$	20,958,884	\$	2,562,613	\$2,6	621,711	\$ 5,184,324
8	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF)	WP-CMH-6, page 6	1.6794%	1.6794%		1.6794%		1.6794%	1.679	94%	1.6794%		1.6794%	1	1.6794%	1.6794%
9	GRCF Revenue Required	Line 7 mulitiplied by (1/(1-Line 8)-1)	\$223,589	\$223,905 \$	6	447,494		\$178,871	\$179, <sup>2</sup>	124 \$	357,995		\$43,772	\$	\$44,781	\$ 88,553
10	Total Revenue Requirement	Sum Line 7 and 9	\$ 13,313,637 \$	13,332,461 \$	6	26,646,100	\$	10,650,910 \$	10,665,9	969 \$	21,316,879	\$	2,606,385	\$ 2,6	666,492	\$ 5,272,877

# Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-8 Page 1 of 1 Existing Rider

# Indiana Michigan Power Solar Power Rider (SPR) Clean Energy Solar Pilot Project (CESPP) Revenue Requirement - Test Year 2018

Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-9 Page 1 of 1 Existing Rider

Line #	Item	Source	2018
1	Depreciation Expense	WP-CMH-7, page 1	1,208,779
2	Pre-Tax Return	WP-CMH-7, page 1	1,854,346
3	Investment Tax Credit (ITC) Amortization	WP-CMH-7, page 2	(481,111)
4	Property Taxes	WP-CMH-7, page 2	329,283
5	Incremental O&M and Solar Forecasting Expenses	WP-CMH-7, page 2	286,760
6	Marketing Expense	WP-CMH-7, page 2	-
7	Green Power Rider Credits	WP-CMH-7, page 2	-
8	Revenue Requirement subtotal	Sum Lines 1 through 7	3,198,056
9	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF)	WP-CMH-7, page 3	1.6794%
10	GRCF Costs	Line 8 mulitiplied by (1/(1-Line 9)-1)	\$54,626
11	Total Revenue Requirement	Sum Lines 8 and 9	3,252,682

Source: Test Year Forecast

# Indiana Michigan Power Off System Sales / PJM Cost Rider (OSS/PJM) Revenue Requirement - Calendar Year 2018

			IN/MI	IN/MI	IN/MI/FERC	IN/MI/FERC	
Line #	Forecast Jurisdictional Costs	Source:	Retail Only Demand	Retail Only Energy	Total Company Demand	Total Company Energy	Total
	PJM						
1	Total Company PJM Cost Forecast	WP-CMH-8, page 2	\$246,053,253	\$1,763,308	\$13,272,685	\$13,976,000	\$275,065,247
2	Jurisdictional Allocation Factors <sup>(1)</sup>	Company witness Stegall, Attachment JMS-1 P. 17	81.89071%	81.79487%	65.21029%	63.76832%	
3	Total Indiana PJM Costs	Line 1 times Line 2	\$201,494,756	\$1,442,295	\$8,655,157	\$8,912,260	\$220,504,468
	OSS						
4	Total Company OSS Margin Forecast	WP-CMH-8, page 1			\$1,751,544	(\$29,748,280)	(\$27,996,737)
5	Jurisdictional Allocation Factors <sup>(1)</sup>	Company witness Stegall, Attachment JMS-1 P. 17			65.21029%	63.76832%	
6	Incremental Indiana OSS Margin	Line 4 times Line 5		-	\$1,142,187	(\$18,969,979)	(\$17,827,792)
7	Customer Share of Incremental Margins in Rider (50%)	Line 8 times 50% of OSS Sharing Margin		-	\$571,094	(\$9,484,990)	(\$8,913,896)
	TOTAL						
8	(Over)/Under Recovery Balance <sup>(2)</sup>	(Future Use)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9	Total OSS & PJM Net Revenue Requirement	Line 3 plus Line 7 plus Line 8	\$201,494,756	\$1,442,295	\$9,226,251	(\$572,730)	\$211,590,572
10	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF)	WP-CMH-8, page 3	1.6794%	1.6794%	1.6794%	1.6794%	1.6794%
11	GRCF Revenue Required	Line 11 mulitiplied by (1/(1-Line 12)-1)	\$3,441,703	\$24,636	\$157,592	(\$9,783)	\$3,614,148
12	Total OSS/PJM Rider (Credit)/Charge	Sum Lines 11 and 13	\$204,936,459	\$1,466,931	\$9,383,843	(\$582,513)	\$215,204,720

(1) Allocation factors requested in this case are supported by Company witness Stegall, see Attachment JMS-1 P. 17
 (2) I&M will reconcile actual revenues and expenses associated with this rider in all subsequent rider updates

# Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-10 Page 1 of 1 Rider Proposal

### Indiana Michigan Power Demand Side Management / Energy Efficiency Program Cost Rider (DSM/EE) 3 Year DSM/EE Settlement & Compliance Program

Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-11 Page 1 of 1 Rider Proposal

Program Description	Total 3-year Settlement Program Costs	Total 2018 Proposed Program Costs	Total 2019 Proposed Program Costs
Section 1 - DSM/EE Program Costs:			
Home Energy Products	\$4,882,804	\$1,627,601	\$1,627,601
Income Qualified Weatherproofing	\$1,713,117	\$571,039	\$571,039
Schools Energy Education	\$1,987,062	\$662,354	\$662,354
Home Appliance Recycling	\$1,784,969	\$594,990	\$594,990
Home New Construction	\$1,466,093	\$488,698	\$488,698
Home Weatherproofing	\$1,554,429	\$518,143	\$518,143
Home Energy Engagement	\$6,798,120	\$2,266,040	\$2,266,040
Home Energy Management	\$6,232,009	\$2,077,336	\$2,077,336
Work Energy Management	\$4,068,667	\$266,477	\$270,505
Work Prescriptive Rebates	\$8,274,562	\$2,758,187	\$2,758,187
Work Custom Rebates	\$10,143,256	\$3,381,085	\$3,381,085
Work Direct Install	\$1,249,467	\$416,489	\$416,489
Public Efficient Streetlighting	\$4,550,520	\$1,516,840	\$1,516,840
Total Direct Program Costs	\$54,705,075	\$17,145,280	\$17,149,307
Indirect Program Costs:			
Total Indirect Program Costs	\$4,135,000	\$1,378,333.33	\$0
EECO Program Costs:			
Residential EECO (1)	\$4,542,725	\$178,632	\$570,621
C&I EECO (1)	\$593,200	\$23,326	\$74,513
Total EECO Program Costs	\$5,135,925	\$201,958	\$645,134
Total PY 8 Direct, Indirect & EECO Program Costs	\$63,976,000	\$18,725,571	\$17,794,442
Section 2 - Net Lost Revenues:			
Residential	\$30,794,952	\$0	\$4,473,152
C&I			
EECO	\$326,414	\$0	\$0
Pre-Opt Out Measures - July 2014 Opt Out	\$29,149,997	\$0	\$0 \$0
Pre-Opt Out Measures - Jan. 2015 Opt Out	\$35,054,693	\$0	\$0
Pre-Opt Out Measures - Jan. 2016 Opt Out	\$45,029,305	\$0	\$0
Non-Opt Out Customer Portion	-\$40,535,442	\$0	\$3,110,211
Total Net Lost Revenues	\$99,819,919	\$0	\$7,583,363

# Section 3 - Shared Savings:

Residential	\$3,404,202	\$1,134,734	\$1,134,734
C&I, Non Opt Out Customers	\$2,983,571	\$994,523.67	\$994,524
Total Shared Savings	\$6,387,773	\$2,129,258	\$2,129,258
Total 3 Year DSM Cost	\$170,183,692	\$20,854,829	\$27,507,062
Revenue Tax Rate	1.7688%	1.6794%	1.6794%
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor <sup>(1)</sup>	1.01801	1.01708	1.01708
Total DSM Revenue Requirement	\$173,248,104	\$21,211,047	\$29,378,791
Residential Customers	\$72.800.645	\$12.446.075	\$17.394.318

C&I Customers	\$100,447,459	\$8,764,974	\$11,984,473
	$\varphi$ 100, 117, 100	$\psi_{0,1}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,$	JII,904,473
Total DSM Costs	\$173,248,104	\$21,211,049	\$29,378,791

\*GS excludes Non-Metered Customers

\*\*Rate for Tariff IS was reduced so that the total bill impact is in line with other tariff classes.

(1) Changed the GRCF for 2018 and 2019 based off of December 31, 2016 year ending value.

	Company Witness: Halsey
Indiana Michigan Power	Attachment CMH-12
Life Cycle Management Rider (LCM) Revenue Requirement	Page 1 of 1
Calendar Year 2018	Rider Proposal

	(A)	(A) (B)		(C)			
Line #	Line Description	Source:	Ju	Indiana risdictional Total			
1	Depreciation Expense	WP-CMH-10, page 3	\$	218,010			
2	Return on Net Plant in Service	WP-CMH-10, page 4	\$	3,557,068			
3	Property Tax Expense	WP-CMH-10, page 5	\$	-			
4	Amortization of Deferred Costs	WP-CMH-10, page 6	\$	440,565			
5	Amortization of and Return on Deferred Independent Monitor Costs	WP-CMH-10, page 7	\$	144,862			
6	(Over)/Under Recovery Balance <sup>(1)</sup>	(Future Use)	\$	-			
7	Revenue Requirement Subtotal	Sum Lines 1 through 7	\$	4,360,505			
8	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF)	WP-CMH-10, page 8		1.6794%			
9	GRCF Revenue Required	Line 8 multiplied by (1/(1-Line 9)-1)		\$74,481			
10	Forecast Total Revenue Requirement	Sum Lines 8 and 10	\$	4,434,986			

(1) I&M will reconcile actual revenues and expenses associated with this rider in all subsequent rider updates

# Indiana Michigan Power Environmental Cost Rider (ECR) Revenue Requirement - Calendar Year 2018

Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-13 Page 1 of 1 Rider Proposal

			Indiana Total Revenue Requirement					
				Indiana		Indiana		Indiana
				Demand		Energy		Total
Line #		Source:		Amount		Amount		Amount
1	Allowances & Consumables	WP-CMH-11, Page 1			\$	17,430,108	\$	17,430,108
2	(Over)/Under Recovery Balance <sup>(1)</sup>	(Future Use)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
3	Revenue Requirement Subtotal	Sum Lines 1 and 2	\$	-	\$	17,430,108	\$	17,430,108
4	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF)	WP-CMH-11, Page 3		1.6794%	þ	1.6794%		1.6794%
5	GRCF Revenue Required	Line 3 mulitiplied by (1/(1-Line 4)-1)		\$0		\$297,721		\$297,721
6	Forecast Total Revenue Requirement	Sum Lines 3 and 5	\$	-	\$	17,727,829	\$	17,727,829

(1) I&M will reconcile actual revenues and expenses associated with this rider in all subsequent rider updates.

Indiana Michigan Power Resource Adequacy Rider (RAR) Revenue Requirement - Calendar Year 2018 Company Witness: Halsey Attachment CMH-14 Page 1 of 1 Rider Proposal

Line #	Resource Adequacy Rider (RAR)	Source:	
1	Total Company Ohio Valley Electric Corporation Purchased Power Expense <sup>(1)</sup>	WP-CMH-12, Page 1	\$26,226,740
2	LESS: Total Company OVEC in Base Rates	WP-CMH-12, Page 1	(\$26,226,740)
3	Incremental Total Company OVEC Expenses	Line 1 plus Line 2	\$0
4	Total Company American Electric Generating (AEG) Purchased Power $Expense^{(1)}$	WP-CMH-12, Page 1	\$143,656,615
5	LESS: Total Company AEG in Base Rates	WP-CMH-12, Page 1	(\$143,656,615)
6	Incremental Total Company AEG Purchase Power Expense	Line 4 plus Line 5	\$0
7	(Over)/Under Recovery Balance <sup>(2)</sup>	(Future Use)	\$0
8	Jurisdictional Allocation Factors <sup>(3)</sup>	Company witness Stegall, see Attachment JMS-1 P. 17	65.21029%
9	Total Indiana Jurisdictional RAR Cost	Line 7 times Line 8	\$0
10	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor (GRCF)	WP-CMH-12, Page 2	1.6794%
11	GRCF Revenue Required	Line 9 mulitiplied by (1/(1-Line 10)-1)	\$0
12	Total Rider (Credit)/Charge	Line 9 plus Line 11	\$0

(1) Purchase Power expense excludes expenses recovered through I&M's fuel adjustment clause (FAC) (2) I&M will reconcile actual revenues and expenses associated with this rider in all subsequent rider updates
 (3) Allocation factors requested in this case are supported by Company witness Stegall, see Attachment JMS-1 P. 17