#### FILED May 14, 2019 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

I&M Exhibit: \_\_\_\_\_

Cause No. 45235

#### INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

PRE-FILED VERIFIED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL N. KELLY

#### **INDEX**

PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	3
FEDERAL AND STATE INCOME TAX EXPENSE	4
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL	6
TAX EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS	7
GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR	10
EFFECTIVE FEDERAL INCOME TAX RATE	10

#### PRE-FILED VERIFIED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL N. KELLY ON BEHALF OF INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY

#### 1 Q. Please state your name, position, and business address.

A. My name is Michael N. Kelly. I am the Manager - Taxes of Tax Accounting and
Regulatory Support for American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC).
AEPSC supplies engineering, financing, accounting, planning, advisory, and other
services to the subsidiaries of the American Electric Power (AEP) system, one of
which is Indiana Michigan Power Company (I&M or the Company). My business
address is 1 Riverside Plaza, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

## Q. Please briefly describe your educational background and professional experience.

10 Α. I earned a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from Virginia Polytechnic 11 Institute and State University (Virginia Tech) in 1984. I have been a Certified Public 12 Accountant since 1987. I joined Appalachian Power Company, a subsidiary of AEP, 13 in April 1984 as an Associate Staff Accountant. I was promoted to Staff Accountant 14 in 1986, Accounting Staff Assistant II in 1989, Accounting Staff Assistant in 1992, 15 General Records Supervising Accountant in January 1996, and General Records 16 Supervisor in December 1996. I was promoted to Administrator of Regulated 17 Accounting in May 1998 and transferred to the AEPSC. In 2000, I transferred to the 18 Corporate Development as the Principal Financial Coordinator; in 2001, I transferred 19 to the Tax Department as Tax Project Manager. I was promoted to my current 20 position effective January 1, 2005.

Q. What are your responsibilities as Manager of Taxes – Tax Accounting and
 Regulatory Support?

3 Α. As Manager of Taxes – Tax Accounting and Regulatory Support, my responsibilities 4 include participation in the recording of the tax accounting entries and records of AEP 5 and its subsidiaries. I am also responsible for coordinating and developing State and Federal tax data provided by the AEPSC Tax Department for regulatory proceedings. 6 7 Included in my responsibilities are participation in the recording of accounting entries 8 and records related to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 (SFAS 9 109), "Accounting for Income Taxes," and the associated regulatory assets and 10 liabilities. I have attended numerous tax, accounting, and regulatory seminars 11 throughout my professional career.

12 Q. Have you previously testified in any regulatory proceeding?

13 Α. Yes. I have filed testimony and testified before the Virginia State Corporation 14 Commission on behalf of Appalachian Power Company. I have also filed testimony 15 before the Michigan Public Service Commission and the Indiana Utility Regulatory 16 Commission on behalf of Indiana Michigan Power Company. In addition, I have filed 17 testimony with the Public Service Commission of Kentucky on behalf of Kentucky 18 Power Company, the Public Service Commission of West Virginia, the Public Utility 19 Commission of Texas on behalf of AEP Texas and with the Federal Energy 20 Regulatory Commission in a transmission rate case for the eastern AEP Operating 21 Companies.

1		PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY				
2	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?				
3	A.	The purpose of my testimony in this proceeding is to present and support the				
4		following:				
5		Federal and state income tax expense for the historical period ended December				
6		31, 2018 and for the forward-looking test period ended December 31, 2020 (Test				
7		Year).				
8		Income tax expense adjustments related to certain ratemaking adjustments made				
9		to the Test Year as supported by other Company witnesses.				
10		Calculation of the gross revenue conversion factor and certain taxes other than				
11		income taxes.				
12		Calculation of effective federal income tax rates.				
13	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in this proceeding?				
14	Α.	I am sponsoring the following exhibits or portions of exhibits:				
15		Exhibit A-5: List of Tax Expense Adjustments.				
16		• Exhibit A-8: Test Year Calculation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor.				
17		Exhibit A-9: Test Year Effective Tax Rate.				
18	Q.	Are you sponsoring any attachments in this proceeding?				
19	Α.	I am sponsoring the following attachments to my direct testimony:				
20		Attachment MNK-1: Test Year State Income Tax Rate				
21		Attachment MNK-2: Test Year Interest Synchronization				
22	Q.	Are you sponsoring any workpapers in this proceeding?				
23	Α.	I am sponsoring the following workpapers:				

1	WP-MNK-1: Test Year Tax Expense Computations				
2	L	also sponsor the following sections of I&M-WP-1 (Total Company):			
3		I&M-WP-1-7: Historic Tax Expense			
4		I&M-WP-1-8: Historic Parent Co. Net Interest Tax Benefit			
5		I&M-WP-1-9: Historic Gross Revenue Conversion Factor			
6		I&M-WP-1-10: Historic Effective Federal Income Tax Rate			
7		I&M-WP-1-11: Historic Effective State Income Tax Rate			
8	Q.	Were the exhibits, attachments, and workpapers that you are sponsoring			
9		prepared by you or under your direction?			
10	Α.	Yes.			
11		FEDERAL AND STATE INCOME TAX EXPENSE			
12	Q.	Please describe the methodology used to develop the federal and state income			
12 13	Q.	Please describe the methodology used to develop the federal and state income tax expense for the Test Year.			
	<b>Q.</b> A.				
13		tax expense for the Test Year.			
13 14		tax expense for the Test Year. Consistent with prior rate filings and Commission Orders including Cause Nos.			
13 14 15		<ul><li>tax expense for the Test Year.</li><li>Consistent with prior rate filings and Commission Orders including Cause Nos.</li><li>44967, 44075, and 43306, the Company's federal and state income tax expense are</li></ul>			
13 14 15 16		<ul> <li>tax expense for the Test Year.</li> <li>Consistent with prior rate filings and Commission Orders including Cause Nos.</li> <li>44967, 44075, and 43306, the Company's federal and state income tax expense are calculated using the <i>stand-alone</i> or <i>separate</i> return methodology. The Company's</li> </ul>			
13 14 15 16 17		tax expense for the Test Year. Consistent with prior rate filings and Commission Orders including Cause Nos. 44967, 44075, and 43306, the Company's federal and state income tax expense are calculated using the <i>stand-alone</i> or <i>separate</i> return methodology. The Company's income tax expense is based in large part on the components of Company pre-tax			
13 14 15 16 17 18		tax expense for the Test Year. Consistent with prior rate filings and Commission Orders including Cause Nos. 44967, 44075, and 43306, the Company's federal and state income tax expense are calculated using the <i>stand-alone</i> or <i>separate</i> return methodology. The Company's income tax expense is based in large part on the components of Company pre-tax book income and expense presented by Company witness Nancy Heimberger, and			
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		tax expense for the Test Year. Consistent with prior rate filings and Commission Orders including Cause Nos. 44967, 44075, and 43306, the Company's federal and state income tax expense are calculated using the <i>stand-alone</i> or <i>separate</i> return methodology. The Company's income tax expense is based in large part on the components of Company pre-tax book income and expense presented by Company witness Nancy Heimberger, and the forecast of other various Schedule M items that would impact the computation of			

MICHAEL KELLY – 5

Workpaper WP-MNK-1 shows the calculation of Test Year federal income tax
expense. All deferred federal income taxes were computed based on the related
Schedule M items as presented in the Company's forecast. (The Company's forecast process is explained in detail by Company witness Heimberger.) In addition,
the workpapers show the calculation of the Test Year state income tax expense. This
was developed using the most recent available state tax rates and apportionment
factors.

8 The Company's state and federal income tax expense has been properly 9 recomputed to reflect the appropriate tax effects resulting from the various 10 ratemaking adjustments supported in this case.

## Q. Please describe the methodology used to develop Taxes Other Than Income tax expense for the Test Year.

A. The Test Year level of forecasted Taxes Other Than Income Tax expense is
 representative of these types of ongoing tax expenses except for those tax
 adjustments that I am sponsoring in this proceeding. The adjusted Test Year level
 of other tax expense is appropriate and necessary and reflects the proper amount of
 going-level expense.

## Q. Please describe the calculation of the effective state income tax rate as shown on Attachment MNK-1.

A. Attachment MNK-1 shows the composite state income tax rate developed by using
 the appropriate state income tax rates and apportionment factors. This composite
 rate is used to compute current state income tax expense and is used in the
 development of the Gross Revenue Conversion Factor.

- Q. Please describe the calculation of interest synchronization for federal income
   tax as shown on Attachment MNK-2.
- A. Attachment MNK-2 shows the calculation of the amount of interest expense
  deduction used by the Company for purposes of computing income tax expense.
  This amount is calculated by multiplying the adjusted rate base by the weighted cost
  of long-term debt. This interest expense deduction methodology is consistent with
  past Commission practice including Cause Nos. 44967, 44075, and 43306.

8 Q. Please describe the development of the federal and state income tax expense

9

#### for the historic period.

A. The Company's historical income tax expense is based on the actual amounts
 recorded on the books of I&M. The historical income tax expense has been adjusted
 for out-of-period or non-ongoing items and is presented in I&M-WP-1-7 for
 informational purposes only.

### As with federal and state income tax in the Test Year, the Company's historical federal and state income tax expense is calculated using the *stand-alone* or *separate* return methodology.

17

#### WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL

Q. Did you provide the balances of accumulated deferred federal income taxes
 (ADFIT) and accumulated deferred investment tax credits (ADITC) used by
 Company Witness Messner in his Exhibit A-7?

A. Yes. We calculated and provided balances of \$1,251,804,495 and \$1,297,621,545
for ADFIT and \$24,174,484 and \$18,960,268 for ADITC for the years 2019 and 2020,
respectively used by Company witness Messner.

1

#### TAX EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS

#### 2 Q. What Tax Expense Adjustments are you sponsoring?

3 A. I am sponsoring ratemaking Tax Expense Adjustment Nos. 1-3, as shown on Exhibit

A-5. Each of these adjustments is necessary in order to reflect an adjusted Test Year
level of tax expense that is representative of ongoing operations.

Exhibit A-5 presents Tax Expense Adjustments Nos. 1-3 on a total Company
basis. Company witness Duncan is responsible for all jurisdictional amounts
associated with these adjustments.

#### 9 Q. Please describe Tax Expense Adjustment No. 1.

Tax Expense Adjustment No. 1 decreases the total Company state income tax expense by \$459,957 to reflect the adjustments to state taxable income resulting from the ratemaking adjustments supported by various Company witnesses that affect pre-tax state book income and the related Schedule M adjustments. This adjustment includes all state income tax expense where the Company has a business presence. Consistent with past rate treatment by this Commission, no deferred state income tax expense has been recorded in utility cost of service.

#### 17 Q. Please describe Tax Expense Adjustment No. 2.

A. Tax Expense Adjustment No. 2 decreases the total Company Current Federal
 Income Tax (CFIT) expense by \$689,658 to reflect the current federal income tax
 effect at 21% of the federal taxable income related to the ratemaking adjustments
 supported by various Company witnesses, which affect pre-tax book income and the
 related federal income tax Schedule M adjustments. CFIT expense has been
 adjusted by I&M's allocated share of the tax benefit of the net interest expense portion

of the parent company (American Electric Power Co. Inc.) tax loss as a result of the
 Company's participation in the AEP consolidated federal income tax return. This
 methodology is consistent with the Commission's December 22, 1982 Order in
 Cause No. 36760; the Commission's November 12, 1993 Order in Cause No. 39314;
 and all subsequent Commission Orders. I&M-WP-1-8 shows the computation of this
 amount.

7

#### Q. Please describe Tax Expense Adjustment No. 3.

A. Tax Expense Adjustment No. 3 decreases the total Company Deferred Federal
 Income Tax (DFIT) expense by \$7,280,575 to reflect the appropriate adjustments to
 DFIT expense at 21% of the normalized Schedule M adjustments arising from the
 ratemaking adjustments.

12 Tax Expense Adjustment No. 3 also increases total Company Deferred 13 Investment Tax Credit (DITC) amortization by \$809,517. This adjustment is 14 necessary to reflect the proper level of amortization based on the new book 15 depreciation rates that are being recommended in this filing by Company witness Cash. In addition, the DITC amortization is being adjusted to reflect the Solar 16 17 Investment Projects in which the Investment Tax Credits previously earned are 18 anticipated to be fully utilized in 2019 by I&M as a result of its participation in the AEP 19 Consolidated Federal Income Tax Return. These DITCs are being amortized over 20 the estimated remaining book life of the various Solar Projects.

- Q. Does the total deferred income tax expense contain deferred federal income
   tax expense credits for the amortization of excess normalized and non normalized Accumulated Deferred Federal Income Taxes (ADFIT)?
- 4 Α. Yes. Deferred federal income tax expense reflects a credit to Indiana jurisdictional 5 deferred federal income tax expense of \$5,243,056 for the amortization of normalized excess ADFIT using ARAM which reduces the excess tax reserve over the remaining 6 7 regulatory lives of the property that gave rise to the reserve for deferred taxes during 8 the years in which the deferred tax reserve related to such property is reversing. 9 Deferred federal income tax expense also reflects a credit to Indiana jurisdictional 10 deferred federal income tax expense of \$24,656,944 for the amortization of nonnormalized excess ADFIT. 11

# Q. Does deferred federal income tax expense reflect the amortization and treatment afforded the Company in its last rate case, Cause No. 44967, for the excess normalized and non-normalized ADFIT?

15 Α. Yes. The Final Order in Cause No. 44967, which approved a settlement agreement, provided that the total annual amortization of normalized and non-normalized excess 16 17 ADFIT was to equal \$29.9 million. To the extent that the actual annual amortization 18 using ARAM differed from the estimated amount in the filing, the amortization of non-19 normalized excess ADFIT is increased or decreased to equal the \$29.9 million. 20 Deferred federal income tax expense reflects a total of \$29.9 million credit to Indiana 21 jurisdictional deferred federal income tax expense relative to the amortization of 22 normalized excess ADFIT using ARAM and the amortization of non-normalized 23 excess ADFIT.

1	Q.	Did you provide the estimated Indiana jurisdictional balance of excess non-			
2		normalized accumulated deferred federal income taxes (ADFIT) at December			
3		31, 2020 included as an adjustment to rate base and sponsored by Company			
4		Witness Williamson?			
5	Α.	Yes. We calculated and provided an estimated Indiana jurisdictional non-normalized			
6		excess ADFIT balance of \$46,007,835 used by Company Witness Williamson that is			
7		reflected as a rate base reduction.			
8		<b>GROSS REVENUE CONVERSION FACTOR</b>			
9	Q.	Please describe the calculation of the Gross Revenue Conversion Factor as			
10		shown on Exhibit A-8.			
11	Α.	The Gross Revenue Conversion Factor calculated on Exhibit A-8 indicates the			
12		appropriate factor that should be applied to the income deficiency in order to			
13		determine the amount of incremental revenue needed to obtain the required level of			
14		operating income. It is necessary to apply this factor to the income deficiency in order			
15		to provide sufficient revenues to cover the additional federal and state income tax			
16		expense, the Indiana Utility Receipts Tax expense the public utility assessment fees			
17		and uncollectible accounts expense.			
18		EFFECTIVE FEDERAL INCOME TAX RATE			
19	Q.	Please describe the calculation of the effective federal income tax rate as			
20		shown on Exhibit A-9.			
21	Α.	Exhibit A-9 calculates the Company's effective federal income tax rate after taking			
22		into consideration permanent and flow-through timing differences, excess deferred			
23		federal income taxes, and deferred investment tax credit amortization. The overall			

effective federal income tax rate before rate relief is -35.42% and is calculated by
dividing total federal income tax expense by pre-tax electric operating income
including interest expense. The negative effective tax rate is primarily due to the
deferred income tax expense credits resulting from the amortization of excess ADFIT
of \$29.9 million.

#### 6 Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed verified direct testimony?

7 A. Yes.

#### VERIFICATION

I, Michael N. Kelly, Manager of Taxes of Tax Accounting and Regulatory Support of American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEPSC), affirm under penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Date:  $\frac{5/7/19}{19}$ 

Malac M. Kelly Michael N. Kelly

#### **INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY**

Calculation of Effective State Income Tax Rate Forecast Year Ended December 31, 2020 Attachment MNK-1 Page 1 of 1 Witness: M. N. Kelly

Line No.	Column A	Column B	Column C
1	State Income Tax Rate - Indiana	5.375%	
2	Apportionment Factor	74.4700%	
3	Effective Indiana State Income Tax Rate		4.0028%
4	State Income Tax Rate - Illinois	9.500%	
5	Apportionment Factor	1.1849%	
6	Effective Illinois State Income Tax Rate		0.1126%
7	State Income Tax Rate - Kentucky	5.000%	
8	Apportionment Factor	1.3891%	
9	Effective Kentucky State Income Tax Rate		0.0695%
10	State Income Tax Rate - Michigan	6.000%	
11	Apportionment Factor	15.4633%	
12	Effective Michigan State Income Tax Rate		0.9278%
13	State Income Tax Rate - West Virginia	6.500%	
14	Apportionment Factor	2.2067%	
15	Effective West Virginia State Income Tax Rate		0.1434%
16	State Income Tax Rate - Missouri	4.000%	
17	Apportionment Factor	0.0030%	
18	Effective Missouri State Income Tax Rate		0.0001%
19	Total Effective State Income Tax Rate		5.2562%

#### **INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY**

Calculation of Interest Synchronization for FIT Test Year Ended December 31, 2020

Line No.	Column A		Total Company		Indiana Jurisdiction	
1	Adjusted Original Cost Rate Base	\$	6,756,243,261	\$	4,946,962,201	
2	Weighted Cost Rate of Long-Term Debt		1.94%		1.94%	
3	Synchronized Interest Deduction	\$	131,071,119	\$	95,971,067	