FILED
September 7, 2018
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE INDIANA UTILITY)	
REGULATORY COMMISSION'S)	
INVESTIGATION INTO THE IMPACTS OF THE)	
TAX CUTS AND JOBS ACT OF 2017 AND)	CAUSE NO. 45032 S20
POSSIBLE RATE IMPLICATIONS UNDER)	
PHASE 2 FOR COMMUNITY UTILITIES OF)	
INDIANA, INC		

SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY

OF

MARGARET A. STULL - PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 1-S

ON BEHALF OF THE

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

September 7, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

Tiffany T. Murray, Atty. No. 28916-49

Deputy Consumer Counselor

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing *Office of Utility Consumer Counselor Settlement Testimony of Margaret A. Stull* has been served upon the following counsel of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic service on September 7, 2018.

Jeffrey M. Peabody
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
11 South Meridian Street
Indianapolis Indiana 46204

Email: jpeabody@btlaw.com\

Tiffany T. Murray Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

115 West Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204 infomgt@oucc.in.gov 317/232-2494 – Phone 317/232-5923 – Facsimile

SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS MARGARET A. STULL CAUSE NO. 45032 S20 COMMUNITY UTILITIES OF INDIANA, INC.

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is Margaret A. Stull, and my business address is 115 W. Washington St.,
3		Suite 1500 South, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46204.
4	Q:	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A:	I am employed by the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") as
6		a Chief Technical Advisor with the Water/Wastewater Division. My qualifications
7		are set forth in Appendix A of this document.
8	Q:	What is the purpose of your settlement testimony in this subdocket?
9	A:	My testimony provides a review of the rate adjustment and ratepayer benefits
10		achieved by the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement entered into between
11		Community Utilities of Indiana, Inc. ("CUII" or "Respondent") and the OUCC
12		(collectively, the "Settling Parties") relating to CUII's Phase 2 tax issues, as those
13		are defined in the Commission's investigation into the impacts of the Tax Cuts and
14		Jobs Act of 2017 ("TCJA") in Cause No. 45032-S20 ("Settlement").

II. PHASE 2 TAX ISSUES

2	Ų:	CUII's customers.
3	A:	At page 2, the Commission's Order issued on February 16, 2018 ("February 16
4		Order") provides the scope of issues to be addressed in Phase 2 of the
5		Commission's investigation:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12		Phase 2 will address all remaining issues, including (1) the amount and amortization of normalized and non-normalized excess accumulated deferred income taxes and the regulatory accounting being used by Respondents as required by the Commission's January 3, 2018 Order in this Cause for estimated impacts resulting from the Act, and (2) the timing and method for how these benefits will be realized by customers, whether directly or indirectly.
13		As such, this Settlement provides Phase 2 tax benefits to customers in two ways: 1)
14		one-time bill credit of the regulatory liability created by excess taxes embedded in
15		CUII's base rates since January 1, 2018; and 2) amortization of excess accumulated
16		deferred income taxes ("ADIT") as of December 31, 2017.
17 18	Q:	How does the Settlement resolve treatment of CUII's regulatory liability created by embedded excess taxes?
19	A:	CUII witness Mr. Steve Lubertozzi states that CUII implemented temporary base
20		rates on January 8, 2018 that reflected the new 21% corporate income tax rate.
21		(Lubertozzi Direct at 6.) The Settlement states that the Settling Parties agree that
22		CUII's over-collected revenues for the period January 1, 2018 through January 7,
23		2018 are \$4,056.28, and that such over-collected revenue will be credited to active
24		water and wastewater customers through a one-time bill credit of \$0.49 for a water
25		or wastewater customer and \$0.98 for water and wastewater customers, to be

reflected in the first full billing month following a Final Order approving the

Settlement Agreement.

How does the Settlement address the amortization of excess ADIT?

O:

A:

Excess ADIT represents the amounts that a utility has collected from ratepayers to pay future taxes that, as a result of the reduction in tax rates, will not now be imposed. Essentially, ADIT represents a "loan" from ratepayers to the utility, and when the income tax rate decreases, the amount of the "loan" from ratepayers is reduced and needs to be "repaid" or returned to customers. Excess ADIT represents the amount of the "loan" to be repaid. Excess ADIT can either be protected (results from temporary federal income tax differences generated by the different between book and tax depreciation rates) or unprotected (all other temporary federal income tax differences). Protected excess ADIT must be returned to ratepayers using the average rate assumption method ("ARAM") or, if the utility does not have adequate data to apply ARAM, the "Reverse South Georgia" method may be used. Unprotected excess ADIT can be returned to ratepayers over a time period determined by the jurisdictional regulatory body.

With that understanding, the Settling Parties agree that as of December 31, 2017, CUII's total protected excess ADIT balance (after gross-up) is \$723,570, and the unprotected balance after gross-up is \$276,312. The Settlement Agreement reflects that CUII's protected excess ADIT is to be amortized over a 30-year period and CUII's unprotected excess ADIT is to be amortized back to customers over a 4-year period. This results in an annual revenue reduction of \$24,119 for protected

1		excess ADIT and \$69,078 for unprotected excess ADIT. These calculations are
2		accurately reflected in Settlement Agreement Attachment A.
3 4	Q:	Did you validate the rate reductions shown in CUII's revised tariffs, included in Settlement Agreement Attachment B?
5	A:	Yes. Settlement Agreement Attachment B properly implements the revenue
6		reductions created by the specific terms of the Settlement.
7	Q:	Do you believe the Settlement is in the public interest?
8	A:	Yes. The Settlement reflects compromises made by CUII from the positions taken
9		in its Phase 2 subdocket testimony, and provides a fair result for CUII's ratepayers.
10	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?
11	A:	Yes, it does.

APPENDIX A

Q: Please describe your educational background and experience.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A: I graduated from the University of Houston at Clear Lake City in August 1982 with a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting. From 1982 to 1985, I held the position of Gas Pipeline Accountant at Seagull Energy in Houston, Texas. From 1985 to 2001, I worked for Enron in various positions of increasing responsibility and authority. I began in gas pipeline accounting, was promoted to a position in financial reporting and planning, for both the gas pipeline group and the international group, and finally was promoted to a position providing accounting support for infrastructure projects in Central and South America. In 2002, I moved to Indiana, where I held non-utility accounting positions in Indianapolis. In August 2003, I accepted my current position with the OUCC. In 2011, I was promoted to Senior Utility Analyst. In 2018, I was promoted to Chief Technical Advisor. Since joining the OUCC I have attended the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") Eastern Utility Rate School in Clearwater Beach, Florida, and the Institute of Public Utilities' Advanced Regulatory Studies Program in East Lansing, Michigan. I have also attended several American Water Works Association and Indiana Rural Water Association conferences. I have also attended several NARUC Sub-Committee on Accounting and Finance Spring and Fall conferences. I have participated in the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA") Water Committee and the NASUCA Tax and

1		Accounting Committee. In March 2016 I was appointed chair of the NASUCA Tax
2		and Accounting Committee.
3	Q:	Please describe your duties and responsibilities at the OUCC.
4	A:	I review Indiana utilities' requests for regulatory relief filed with the Commission.
5		I also prepare and present testimony based on my analyses, and make
6		recommendations to the Commission on behalf of Indiana utility customers.
7	Q:	Have you held any professional licenses?
8	A:	Yes. I passed the CPA exam in 1984 and was licensed as a CPA in the State of
9		Texas until I moved to Indiana in 2002.
10 11	Q:	Have you previously testified before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission")?
12	A:	Yes. I have testified before the Commission as an accounting witness in various
13		causes involving water, wastewater, electric, and gas utilities.