FILED July 11, 2024 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 11 REDACTED TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS DAVID E. DISMUKES, PH.D.

July 11, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas R. Harper Atty. No. 16735-53 Deputy Consumer Counselor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION 1
II.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS 2
III.	COST OF SERVICE STUDY 5
A.	Introduction
B.	Overview of the Company's Cost of Service Studies11
C.	Production Plant Classification14
D.	Incorrect MSS-Based Classifications for Distribution Plant
E.	CCOSS Summary and Recommendations
IV.	REVENUE DISTRIBUTION
V.	RATE DESIGN
VI.	CUSTOMER MIGRATION ADJUSTMENT 46
VII	.CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 48

EXHIBIT LIST

CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit DED-1 - Results of Company Allocated Cost of Service Study

- Exhibit DED-2 Company Estimated System Load Factors, 2019-2023
- Exhibit DED-3 Analysis of Company Electric Generation Unit Capacity Factors, 2023
- Exhibit DED-4 Analysis of Company Electric Generation Unit Costs to MISO Estimated CONE Price
- Exhibit DED-5 Summary of Test Year Net Production Plant in Service
- Exhibit DED-6 Forecasted Capital Transmission and Distribution Investments, 2023-2025
- CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit DED-7 Results of Alternative Proposed Allocated Cost of Service Study
- CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit DED-8 Company's Proposed Revenue Distribution
- CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit DED-9 Alternative Proposed Revenue Distribution
- Exhibit DED-10 Comparison of Current and Proposed Customer Charges
- Exhibit DED-11 Current Customer Charge Revenues to Costs
- Exhibit DED-12 Survey of Regional Customer Charges

I. **INTRODUCTION** 1

2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is David E. Dismukes. My business address is 5800 One Perkins Place Drive,
4		Suite 5-F, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 70808.
5	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION AND CURRENT PLACE OF
6		EMPLOYMENT.
7	A.	I am a consulting economist with the Acadian Consulting Group ("ACG").
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE ACG AND ITS AREAS OF EXPERTISE.
9	A.	ACG is a research and consulting firm that specializes in the analysis of regulatory,
10		economic, financial, accounting, statistical, and public policy issues associated with
11		regulated and energy industries. ACG is a Louisiana-registered partnership, formed in
12		1995, and located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
13	Q.	DO YOU HOLD ANY ACADEMIC POSITIONS?

A. Yes. I am a professor emeritus at Louisiana State University ("LSU"). Prior to my 14 15 retirement in January 2023, I served as a full professor, executive director, and director of 16 policy analysis at the LSU Center for Energy Studies and as a full tenured professor in the 17 Department of Environmental Sciences and the director of the Coastal Marine Institute in the LSU College of the Coast and Environment. I also serve as a senior fellow at the 18 19 Institute of Public Utilities at Michigan State University, where I have taught energy 20 regulatory staff and other utility stakeholders about principles, trends, and issues in the electric and natural gas industries. I also currently serve as a Distinguished Fellow and 21 22 Senior Economist with the Institute for Energy Research in Washington, D.C.

Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION?

A. Yes. My academic vitae is attached as Appendix A. It includes a list of the Indiana Utility
Regulatory Commission ("Commission" or "IURC") proceedings in which I have testified,
a list of all my publications, presentations, pre-filed expert witness testimony in other
jurisdictions, expert reports, expert legislative testimony, and affidavits.

7 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

8 A. I have been retained by the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") to

9 address certain regulatory and policy issues related to the general rate case filed by Duke

10 Energy Indiana, LLC ("Duke Energy Indiana," "Duke," or "the Company"). I specifically

11 have been asked to address the Company's proposed class cost of service study

12 ("CCOSS"), revenue distribution, and rate design.

13 Q. HOW IS THE REMAINDER OF YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

- 14 A. The balance of my testimony is organized into the following sections:
- Section II: Summary of Recommendations
- Section III: Cost of Service Study
- Section IV: Revenue Distribution
- 18 Section V: Rate Design
- Section VI: Proposed Customer Migration Adjustment
- Section VII: Conclusion and Recommendations
- 21 II. <u>SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE
 COMPANY'S PROPOSED PRODUCTION PLANT CLASSIFICATION
 METHODS.

A. I recommend the Commission reject the Company's COSS for ratemaking purposes. The 1 Company's COSS incorrectly classifies fixed costs associated with production plant assets 2 as being exclusively demand-related. Such an approach is inconsistent with how thermal 3 production plants have been/are used. The Company's production plant classification 4 approaches are also entirely inconsistent with the capacity accreditation of newer 5 6 renewable generation facilities. Lastly, the Company's proposed production plant classification approaches deviate from commonly accepted cost allocation practices that 7 recognize the dual role production facilities serve. 8

9 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE 10 COMPANY'S PROPOSED DISTRIBUTION PLANT CLASSIFICATION 11 METHODS.

A. The Company has produced a faulty minimum system study ("MSS") approach to classify
 part of the Company's distribution investments as being customer related. There are a host
 of well recognized deficiencies with the use of MSS approaches for classifying distribution
 plants into customer versus load components. The Commission should reject the
 Company's proposed methods and instead classify the relevant distribution plant as fully
 demand-related.

18 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE COMPANY'S 19 PROPOSED REVENUE DISTRIBUTION?

A. I recommend the Commission adopt a revenue distribution allocation method based on my
 alternative CCOSS results. I furthermore recommend, consistent with the policy goals of
 rate gradualism, the Commission limit rate increases to any single rate class to no more
 than 1.15 times the overall system average increase. My proposed revenue distribution

methodology reduces the maximum total base revenue increase of any single rate class to
18.63 percent, compared to the Company's proposed maximum rate increase of 19.96
percent.

4

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CUSTOMER CHARGE RECOMMENDATIONS?

A. I recommend the Commission reject the Company's proposed customer charge increases
for residential and commercial customers. The proposed increases are not needed and are
not consistent with the public policy goals of promoting energy efficiency and
affordability. Increasing fixed customer charges will burden low-use and low-income
customers with a greater than system average percent rate increase.

10 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE COMPANY'S 11 CUSTOMER MIGRATION ADJUSTMENT?

A. I recommend the Company's customer migration adjustment for the residential service 12 ("RS") and small commercial service ("CS") customer classes be reduced to one-third of 13 that proposed by the Company. This results in an updated assumption that only 16.5 percent 14 of residential and small commercial will adopt economic time-of-use ("TOU") rates, an 15 adoption rate that is more realistic based on historic experience. This reduces the 16 Company's proposed revenue requirement for the RS customer class by approximately 17 \$2.3 million and the CS customer class by \$0.2 million. In total, this adjustment decreases 18 19 the Company's proposed revenue requirement by \$2.5 million.

1

2

III. <u>COST OF SERVICE STUDY</u>

A. Introduction

3 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF COST OF SERVICE STUDIES?

A. Cost of service studies reconcile utility costs and revenues across difference service
territories, in the case of a jurisdictional cost of service study ("JCOSS"), or across different
customer classes in the case of a CCOSS. The goal of these studies is to evaluate the cost
of providing service and the revenue responsibility for each individual jurisdiction or
customer class. CCOSS results are used to estimate class specific rates of return and can
serve as a guidepost for class revenue responsibilities and ultimately rates.

10

Q. HOW ARE THESE STUDIES TYPICALLY PREPARED?

A. Cost of Service studies typically utilize a set of historic or project cost information that is
(1) "functionalized," (2) "classified," and (3) "allocated." The functionalization process
simply categorizes costs based upon the functions they serve within a utility's overall
operations (i.e. production, transmission, and distribution). The classification process
characterizes (or "classifies") costs by "type" including those that are (1) demand-related,
(2) commodity-related, or (3) customer-related. The last step of the process "allocates"
each of these costs to a respective jurisdiction or customer class as appropriate.

18 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN DEMAND-RELATED COSTS.

A. Demand-related costs are associated with meeting maximum electricity demands. At the distribution level, electric substations and line transformers are designed, in part, to meet
the maximum customer demand requirements. The most common demand allocation factors used in a COSS are those related to system coincident peaks ("CP") or non-coincident peaks ("NCP"). At the production level, most power plants, also referred to as production plants, or electric generation units ("EGUs"), are typically viewed as being

designed to serve both the utility's energy and demand/capacity needs. The exact degree
of this split between energy and demand depends on the individual EGU in question and
how that unit is dispatched, with baseload units serving more of the utility's energy needs
and peaking units serving more of the utility's capacity or demand needs. Therefore, it is
not uncommon to develop composite energy and demand allocators to allocate plant-inservice costs associated with a utility's generation fleet.

7

Q. HOW ARE ENERGY-RELATED COSTS DEFINED?

8 A. Energy-related costs are defined as those that tend to change with the amount or volume of
9 electricity (i.e., kilowatt-hour ("kWh")) sold. Electric generation costs and high-voltage
10 transmission lines, for instance, can be allocated, in part, based on some measure of
11 electricity sales.

12

Q. WHAT ABOUT CUSTOMER-RELATED COSTS?

A. Customer-related costs are those associated with connecting customers to the distribution
 system, metering household or business usage, and performing a variety of other customer
 support functions.

16 Q. IS THIS A RELATIVELY SIMPLE PROCESS?

A. No. Some costs can be clearly identified and directly assigned to a function or category,
while other costs are more ambiguous and difficult to assign. The primary challenge in
conducting a cost of service study is the treatment of what are known as "joint and
common" costs. Given their shared or integrated nature, these joint and common costs can
often be difficult to compartmentalize. Therefore, unique allocation factors are utilized in
a cost of service study to classify joint and common costs. The process of developing these

cost allocation factors can become subjective and is often imbued with policy
 considerations.

3 Q. HOW DO COSS RELATE TO COMMONLY QUOTED ECONOMIC 4 PRINCIPLES?

Cost of service studies are referred to as a "fully allocated cost study" since it allocates test 5 A. 6 year revenues, rate base, expenses, and depreciation to various jurisdictions and customer classes based upon a series of different allocation factors. The purpose of the CCOSS is to 7 develop cost responsibility estimates for each customer class, which in turn, can be used to 8 9 develop rates. A COSS is based upon a set of historic utility book costs that have accumulated over decades. Rates are, therefore, based upon historic average costs, while 10 economic theory suggests the most efficient form of pricing in perfectly competitive 11 markets should be based upon marginal costs. However, regulated utilities do not operate 12 in perfectly competitive markets and, by their very nature, are natural monopolies. Thus, 13 14 reaching the ideal pricing formula outlined in economic theory is impossible since the nature of natural monopolies makes pricing in the presence of declining average costs, 15 coupled with the presence of joint and common costs, difficult. 16

17 Q. ARE THERE ANY OTHER CONFOUNDING PROBLEMS THAT CAN ARISE 18 WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF THESE STUDIES?

A. Yes. The problems listed above are confounded by the fact that the cost information
utilized in most cost of service analyses are usually historic and static, not dynamic and
forward-looking. These analytic deficiencies undermine many experts' cost
causation/pricing claims. As a result, in regular practice there is no single correct answer
that is revealed in a particular type of study, particularly in a CCOSS. It is often up to

regulators to exercise an appropriate level of judgment regarding the nature of these costs,
the results of the CCOSS, and the implications both have in setting fair, just, and reasonable
rates. This is one of the reasons why many regulators use CCOSS results as a "guide" in
setting rates and are not unnecessarily bound by their results.

5 6

Q. WHAT CONTROVERSIES ARISE IN THE ANALYSIS AND COMPARISON OF VARIOUS CCOSS METHODOLOGIES?

The CCOSS process is significantly different than the revenue requirement or cost of 7 A. capital phase of a typical rate case. While the latter two processes focus on determining 8 9 how much revenue will be recovered through rates, the CCOSS process determines how those costs (revenue requirements) will be recovered through customer rates. The primary 10 controversy with the evaluation of various CCOSS results often rests with determining 11 whether costs (revenue requirements) will be recovered by the relative customer share of 12 each class, the peak load contributions of each customer class, or whether and how the 13 approach will be tempered through the use of customer, peak, and off-peak usage 14 considerations. Methodologies that are heavily skewed toward customer and peak 15 considerations, for instance, can tend to shift costs more than proportionally to relatively 16 17 lower load-factor customers, such as residential and small commercial customers, and less costs to larger high load factor customer classes and off-peak customers. These approaches 18 19 can also fail to capture the service being provided by the utility (i.e., electric service in this 20 case), and how the value of that service varies by the amount purchased by different customer classes. 21

22 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE BIASES IN METHODOLOGIES THAT ARE SKEWED 23 TOWARD PEAK CONSIDERATIONS.

A. Residential and small commercial customer electricity loads are typically weather 1 sensitive. Larger industrial customers, on the other hand, use electricity in processes that 2 are generally not weather sensitive and tend not to cycle up and down, but rather, run on a 3 more continuous basis. Because of this, daily and annual usage patterns for these two 4 customer classes are significantly different. The peak loads for residential and small 5 6 commercial customers tend to be more "peaked" than those for industrial customers, which are steadier and more evenly distributed across peak and non-peak hours. For example, an 7 average residential customer may have relatively little electricity use during overnight 8 9 hours and during weekday daytime working hours. Residential customers exhibit relatively significant use during early summer evening hours corresponding to returning 10 home from work, and potentially during chilly early winter morning hours if the customer 11 uses electric resistance heating. Similarly, small commercial customers see limited 12 electricity use outside of workday hours. Thus, residential and small commercial 13 customers tend to have relatively lower load factors than large industrial customers. 14

15

Q. PLEASE DEFINE WHAT IS MEANT BY A "LOAD FACTOR."

A. A load factor is defined as the ratio of the average load in kilowatt hours supplied during a designated period to the peak or maximum load in kilowatts occurring in that period. The load factor is expressed as a percentage and may be derived by taking the energy used during a period and dividing it by the product of the maximum demand and the number of hours in the period. A system that is estimated to have a high load factor is often thought to be utilizing electricity more efficiently since usage is consistent and does not swing largely between average and peak periods. Conversely, systems with low load factors must 1

2

maintain idle capacity to meet the relatively large swings in load between average and peak periods.

3 Q. DOES A HIGH LOAD FACTOR INDICATE GREATER SYSTEM EFFICIENCY?

Yes, since a higher system load factor can be indicative of, or lead to, better system 4 A. 5 resource utilization, other things being equal. However, it should be recognized that all 6 utilities inherently have customers with different load profiles due to differences in how customers use electricity. Furthermore, the development of integrated wholesale bulk 7 electricity transmission systems has allowed utilities to collectively diversify generation 8 9 resources and individual system demands, which has reduced the impact of individual system load characteristics on generation needs in recent years. While rates should 10 recognize and promote the efficient utilization of utility system resources, one should use 11 caution in placing too much emphasis on this principle of rewarding high load factor 12 industrial customers to the detriment of low load factor residential and small commercial 13 14 customers.

15 Q. WHAT IMPACT DOES COST ALLOCATION HAVE ON REVENUE 16 RECOVERY?

A. Higher use customers, such as industrial customers, are inherently more price sensitive
than lower use customers due to the relative impact increases in rates can have on these
customers' total utility bills and the margins of produced goods. These higher use
industrial customers tend to have more energy supply alternatives that can include fuel
switching and self-generation, which is part of the reason why they are more price
sensitive. These considerations can result in differences in revenue generation given the

differences in the price elasticities of demand (i.e., price sensitivities) for the two sets of
 customers (residential, industrial).

3 Q. EXPLAIN HOW SOME COSS METHODS CAN BE BIASED AGAINST LOWER 4 LOAD-FACTOR CUSTOMERS.

5 Utilities by their nature are capital intensive industries with high levels of capital A. 6 expenditure required to develop systems to generate and transmit power to customers. Therefore, deciding the appropriate allocation of costs associated with utility capital 7 investments (e.g., utility "plant in service") largely affects the cost of providing service. 8 9 Utilities can often over-emphasize peak demand factors in allocating these large plant costs in order to assign more costs away from their price sensitive customers. Likewise, utilities 10 can emphasize non-diversified single CP demands, NCP demands, and individual customer 11 demands in allocating costs associated with transmission and distribution plant facilities to 12 favor high-load factor customers relative to low-load factor customers. Finally, utilities 13 can over-emphasize customer connection aspects of lower voltage distribution facilities to 14 favor high-use customers relative to low-use customers. 15

16 B. Overview of the Company's Cost of Service Studies

17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S COST OF SERVICE STUDY
18 DEVELOPMENT.

A. The Company's cost of service analysis in the current proceeding contains elements of both
 a JCOSS (which the Company refers to as its jurisdictional separation study) and a CCOSS
 (which the Company refers to as its retail cost of service study).¹ Both studies functionalize
 costs into four functional categories: production, transmission, distribution, and customer.²

¹ Direct Testimony of Maria T. Diaz at 7:1-13.

² Id. at 7:18-19.

Then, each study separates this functional data between steam and electric customers.³ 1 Finally, both of these studies allocate costs to either a jurisdiction or retail customers based 2 on cost allocators grouped into classified groupings (i.e. demand, energy, and customer 3 cost allocators).⁴ 4

5

6

PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE COMPANY CLASSIFIES ITS PRODUCTION **Q**. AND TRANSMISSION PLANT COSTS.

The Company classifies all fixed costs associated with production and transmission plant 7 A. assets as 100 percent demand related. The Company then utilizes the average class 8 9 contribution to coincident system peak during the 12 calendar months of the historic base period ("12CP") to allocate these costs to various rate classes.⁵ The Company notes it 10 examined system monthly peak load data for the historic base period and found that its 11 system peak loads came close to passing all tests used by the Federal Energy Regulatory 12 Commission ("FERC").⁶ The Company states it chose to allocate its production and 13 transmission plant costs based on 12 CP based on this result and to enhance the 14 affordability of Company rates.⁷ 15

PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE COMPANY CLASSIFIES ITS DISTRIBUTION Q. 16 17 PLANT INVESTMENTS.

The Company separates distribution plant investments into two categories: facility-related 18 A. investments and connection-related investments.⁸ Facility-related investments (such as 19 distribution substations) are allocated based on class NCP.⁹ Connection-related 20

³ Id. at 7:20-21.

⁴ Direct Testimony of Maria T. Diaz at 7:21 to 8:1 and 11:6 to 12:9.

⁵ Direct Testimony of Maria T. Diaz at 23:15-16.

⁶ Id. at 28:19 to 29:15

⁷ Id. at 29:20-21.

⁸ Id. at 33:5-10.

⁹ *Id.* at 34:1-4.

investments (which include investments associated with electric meters and customer
 accounts) are allocated based on number of customers or customer-specific non-coincident
 peak demand.¹⁰ Finally, the Company in its 12 CP cost of service scenario identified a
 portion of costs associated with distribution lines and poles as customer-related based on a
 minimum system study, or MSS.¹¹

6

Q. HAVE YOU EXAMINED THE COMPANY'S COSS RESULTS?

A. Yes. Exhibit DED-1 presents the results of the Company's COSS which estimates an
overall test year rate of return ("ROR") at current rates of 3.27 percent. Estimated
individual class returns range from -0.30 percent for the area lighting customer class to
20.84 percent for the street lighting class. The residential service ("RS") rate class is
estimated by the Company to have achieved an ROR of 2.15 percent during the test year
under current rates, which is 0.66 of the system average on a relative rate of return
("RORR") basis.

14 Q. DO YOU DISAGREE WITH ANY OF THE ASSUMPTIONS OR ALLOCATION

15

FACTORS INCORPORATED IN THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED COSS?

A. Yes. I disagree with the Company's classification of fixed production costs as exclusively
 demand-related. I also disagree with the Company's reliance on the results of its MSS to
 classify line transformer distribution plant assets as being partially customer-related. I will
 discuss each of these disagreements in greater detail in the following sections of my
 testimony.

¹⁰ Id. at 34:5-8.

¹¹ *Id.* at 34:8-11.

- 1
- C. Production Plant Classification

2 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S PRODUCTION PLANT 3 CLASSIFICATION METHODS.

A. The Company classifies all of its fixed production plant costs as being entirely demandrelated and allocates such costs to classes based on each classes' contribution to test year
12 CP demand.¹²

7 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CONCERNS YOU HAVE WITH THE COMPANY'S 8 PRODUCTION PLANT CLASSIFICATION.

9 A. I disagree with the Company's classification of production plant assets since it assumes 10 that the only purpose of these assets is to support maximum system demands. Such an assumption is inconsistent with the dual role these production/generation assets play in 11 serving both peak demand and low-cost energy requirements for off-peak periods on the 12 Equally important is the fact that the Company's proposed 13 Company's system. classification ignores the significant portion of its current production plant in service that 14 is associated with renewable generation assets, which provide very limited capacity 15 16 benefits and should not be exclusively classified as demand related.

Q. HOW DOES THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED PRODUCTION PLANT CLASSIFICATION DIFFER FROM HOW THESE ASSETS ARE USED IN PRACTICE?

A. Electric generation units, or EGUs, are typically viewed as serving both energy and
 demand/capacity needs of a utility. The exact degree of this demand/energy split, however,
 varies by individual utility depending on its composition of generation plants and the role

¹² *Id.* at 23:15-16.

each generation plant plays in system dispatch. Historically, "baseload" generation units
were used to serve steady, consistent, multi-hour energy loads, whereas natural gas turbines
and other "peakers" were used as demand changed in any given day. It is not uncommon,
therefore, to develop composite energy and demand allocators that represent this mixed
use and classification.

6 Q. HAVE OTHER REGULATORY AGENCIES RECOGNIZED THIS JOINT 7 ENERGY AND DEMAND ROLE FOR PRODUCTION PLANT ASSETS?

A. Yes. The Michigan Public Service Commission ("MPSC") has recognized that energy
loads are an important contributing factor of production plant costs and have classified a
portion of these production costs as energy-related.¹³ In a 2015 review of cost of service
allocations for DTE Electric Company ("DTE Electric"), the MPSC explained that a utility
does not design generation to meet the needs of its various customer types for only a few
hours of the year, but rather, utilizes a variety of generators to both provide sufficient
capacity and provide low-cost energy to customers:

The Commission agrees with the Staff, the Attorney 15 General, Energy Michigan, and [Environmental and 16 Consumer Advocates] that DTE Electric's production 17 system was not designed and built solely for the purpose of 18 providing capacity for four hours a year. Indeed, if that were 19 the case, DTE Electric's generation asset portfolio would be 20 very different and would certainly include far fewer of the 21 large base load units that comprise much of the company's 22 current fleet. Instead of building a system to simply meet 23 demand, the company developed its production plant to both 24 25 deliver energy and provide capacity at the lowest overall cost to all customers who use the system. Thus, DTE Electric's 26 generating system includes a mix of base load plants that 27 28 were significant investments, but that provide abundant, reliable, and low-cost energy to all customers, and peaking 29

¹³ In re: the Commission's own motion to commence a proceeding to implement the provisions of Public Act 169 of 2014; MCL 460.11 (3) et seq., with regard to DTE Electric Co. Case No. 17689, Opinion and Order, dated June 15. 2015.

1 2 3 4		plants, with low fixed production costs and typically higher fuel costs than the base load units. These peaking plants are the units that are used to meet peak demand in the summer months. ¹⁴
5	Q.	CAN YOU PROVIDE SOME EXAMPLES OF COMMONLY USED
6		CLASSIFICATION METHODS THAT REFLECT THE DIVERSITY OF
7		PRODUCTION PLANT USE?
8	A.	Yes. Examples of these composite energy and demand allocators include the Average and
9		Peak ("A&P") cost allocation methodology, also called the Peak and Average cost
10		allocation methodology, and the Average and Excess ("A&E") cost allocation
11		methodology.
12	Q.	EXPLAIN HOW THE A&P METHOD CLASSIFIES PRODUCTION PLANT
13		COSTS.
14	A.	The A&P method is a subset of the larger category of production plant cost allocation
15		methods categorized by the NARUC Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual ("NARUC
16		Cost Allocation Manual" or "NARUC Manual") as "Judgmental Energy Weightings." ¹⁵
17		The A&P method has two components. The first component, referred to as the "average"
18		component, represents each customer class average hourly energy consumption throughout
19		the test year, and is calculated by simply dividing annual energy consumption for each
20		customer class by 8,760, the number of hours in a year. The second component, referred
21		to as the "peak" component, represents each class's contribution to system peak demand.
22		Judgment is used to determine the appropriate weighting of each of these two

¹⁴ Id.

 ¹⁵ Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC"), pp. 57-59 (January 1992).

1	components, ¹⁶ though one empirical way in which these weightings can be derived is based
2	on a utility's system load factor. In this way, the average component is weighted by the
3	utility's overall system load factor while the excess component is weighted by the inverse
4	of the system load factor (i.e., one minus the system load factor).

5 Q. HAVE YOU CALCULATED THE SYSTEM LOAD FACTOR FOR THE 6 COMPANY?

7 A. Yes. Exhibit DED-2 shows the Company's system load factor for 2022 using the 12 CP
8 measure of peak demand. My analysis shows the Company's system load factor is 75.4
9 percent when using a 12 CP measure of peak demand.

10 Q. ARE THE RESULTS OF YOUR ANALYSIS TIME SPECIFIC?

A. No. Exhibit DED-2 shows the historic trends in the Company's system load factors for a
 five-year period, 2019 through 2023, which tend to be relatively stable, between 42.5 and
 45.2 percent.

14 Q. WHAT DO THE COMPANY'S SYSTEM LOAD FACTORS FOR THE TEST 15 YEAR IMPLY?

A. The results of the analysis presented in Exhibit DED-2 suggest that a 100 percent capacity
 classification of production plant costs is too heavily weighted toward demand
 considerations relative to energy when compared to the Company's actual reported data.

19 Q. ARE THERE WAYS TO EMPIRICALLY ASSESS THE FUNCTION 20 INDIVIDUAL GENERATION UNITS PROVIDE TO A UTILITY'S ELECTRICAL 21 SYSTEM?

¹⁶ *Id.* at 57.

A. Yes. The most basic method is an examination of individual units' "capacity factor." The
 capacity factor is a measure of a generation plant's utilization. Units with a high-capacity
 factor are said to be operating at high utilization (like a baseload generation plant), whereas
 a low capacity factor unit is typically one held in reserve to meet peak loads that are
 typically stimulated by weather.

6 Q. HAVE YOU ANALYZED THE COMPANY'S GENERATOR-SPECIFIC 7 CAPACITY FACTORS?

Yes. Exhibit DED-3 presents the result of an analysis associated with each of the A. 8 9 Company's non-renewable EGUs, and each unit's capacity factor during the test year to characterize the role the unit serves in the Company's dispatch of electricity. All facilities 10 with annual capacity factors of less than 15 percent were assumed to be fully classified as 11 serving the utility's demand requirements, while most other facilities were divided between 12 energy and demand classifications. This means that the Noblesville unit, which had a 51.3 13 14 percent capacity factor during 2023, was classified as 51.3 percent energy-related and 48.7 percent demand-related. 15

16

17

Q.

WHAT ARE THE RESULTS OF YOUR ANALYSIS OF THE RELATIVE CLASSIFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL COMPANY GENERATION UNITS?

A. Exhibit DED-3 finds that a substantial portion of the Company's 2023 non-renewable gross
 plant in service was devoted to the provision of energy and not directly associated with
 meeting the Company's demand-needs. Specifically, I find that 34.92percent of the
 Company's 2023 non-renewable gross plant in service is appropriately classified as being
 energy-related, and 65.05 percent is appropriately classified as being demand-related. The
 Company's methodology, however, would classify 100 percent of this gross generation

1

2

plant in service as necessary to meet its peak demand requirements, regardless of how those units are typically utilized.

3 Q. ARE THERE OTHER WAYS TO ANALYZE GENERATION FUNCTIONS?

Yes. Besides examination of individual capacity factors, one can also examine the 4 A. 5 levelized cost of each generation unit relative to established market analyses. For instance, 6 Exhibit DED-4 presents the results of an analysis that examines the levelized annual cost for each of the Company's non-renewable EGUs compared with the "Cost of New Entry" 7 (or "CONE") prices estimated by MISO in its most recent analysis of the 2024/2025 8 Planning Resource Auction ("PRA") results.¹⁷ All costs less than the MISO CONE price 9 can be classified as demand-related, whereas prices above the MISO CONE can be 10 classified as energy-related. 11

12

Q. WHAT ARE THE RESULTS OF YOUR CONE ANALYSIS?

A. Exhibit DED-4 finds that, at most, 72 percent of the Company's non-renewable production
plant in service could be classified as being associated with the provision of demand
functions. This again is significantly different than the Company's proposed methods,
which classify 100 percent of its production plant as demand-related.

17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE AN A&E COST ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY.

A. Conceptually, A&E classification methods involve developing two components that are
combined by the use of a weighted average.¹⁸ The first component, referred to as the
"average" component, represents each rate class's average hourly energy consumption
throughout the test year, and is calculated by simply dividing annual energy consumption
for each rate class by 8,760, the number of hours in a year. The second component, referred

¹⁷ 2024/2025 Planning Resource Auction (PRA) Results; (April 25, 2024); MISO.

¹⁸ See NARUC Cost Allocation Manual, pp. 49-51 (January 1992).

to as the "excess" component, represents each rate class's contribution to the sum of each 1 customer class's maximum annual peak demand, or NCP. These components are 2 combined through the use of a weighted average. The average component is weighted by 3 the utility's overall system load factor while the excess component is weighted by the 4 inverse of the system load factor (*i.e.*, 1 minus the system load factor). 5

6 **Q**. WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR THE EXCESS DEMAND MEASURE VERSUS FULL **PEAK DEMAND?** 7

Superficially, the A&E method appears to develop a hybrid weighted energy and demand 8 A. 9 allocation factor, recognizing the joint energy and demand functions of production plant. However, it should not be confused with a simple weighting of class demand and energy 10 requirements, similar to the previously referenced A&P methodology.¹⁹ Proponents of the 11 A&E cost allocation approach, typically large/industrial customer groups, argue that using 12 full class peak demand "double counts" class energy use during periods. These 13 stakeholders often argue that the use of "excess demand" rather than total demand solves 14 this purported "double counting problem." However, in using the excess component only, 15 the A&E methodology directly places a higher emphasis on each class's demand 16 17 contribution relative to energy. Thus, the A&E method, itself, suffers from a bias that favors relatively higher load factor classes like industrial customers, at lower load factor 18 classes' expense (such as residential and small commercial customers). 19

20

Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH "DOUBLE COUNTING" CLAIMS MADE ABOUT THE **A&P METHODOLOGY?** 21

¹⁹ *Id.* at 57-58.

1 A. No, such arguments incorrectly conflate the concepts of energy and demand and the roles each of these play in utility system planning. These arguments are also faulty since they 2 effectively presume that utilities design systems to first meet the needs of baseload 3 customers and only later develop resources dedicated to customers that have peaking 4 requirements. In other words, it assumes utilities plan one set of generation plants for one 5 6 group of customers (i.e., industrial), and an entirely different set of plants to serve another (i.e., residential and small commercial). All of these arguments are incorrect since, in 7 reality, demand and energy reflect separate and differing utility planning parameters, and 8 9 system planners develop resources to meet all of their load requirements, not separately to meet individual, or class-specific requirements. 10

11 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN BY CONFLATING THE CONCEPTS OF

12

ENERGY AND DEMAND AS IT RELATES TO UTILITY SYSTEM PLANNING.

This conflation presumes that energy and peak energy use are virtually consubstantial, with A. 13 energy being part of peak energy use, and presumably, peak being a part of energy. Peak 14 energy usage, for instance, can be divided into a portion representing its average annual 15 system requirement, and a second portion representing its load requirement in excess of 16 17 this requirement. However, this conflation does not reflect the reality of utility system planning wherein a utility is required to plan for energy and capacity system requirements 18 19 as independent, not a single consubstantial system parameter. A utility must ensure it has 20 enough generating capacity to meet its peak system requirements (i.e., its coincident peak), as well as assure that the plant it develops to meet its load requirements is least cost in 21 22 nature.

1

Q.

CAN YOU PROVIDE AN EXAMPLE OF THIS LOGICAL ERROR?

2 Yes. Consider a customer class with a 100 percent load factor. The A&E methodology A. will assign the "excess demand" component of the calculation a zero value, since peak 3 demand requirements equal average demand requirements, effectively considering the 4 class as having no peak demand requirements. However, customer classes with a 100 5 6 percent load factor utilize system resources during all hours, both peak and off-peak. Thus, the A&E methodology effectively views the utility role in system planning as first serving 7 the needs of its high load factor customers through baseload generation units, and then 8 9 serving the needs of lower load factor customers through more expensive peaker generation units. The utility considers its needs on a total system basis, ensuring that it has sufficient 10 resources to supply its customers during peak demand periods and sufficient baseload 11 generation resources to supply its customers with relatively inexpensive energy during base 12 demand periods. 13

14 Q. ARE THERE OTHER CONCERNS ASSOCIATED WITH AN A&E COST 15 ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY?

A. Yes. There is a mathematical error that arises in the use of the A&E method underscoring
its weakness. In order to "make the math work," the A&E method cannot use a traditional
CP measure of demand (like the Company, and most utilities use), but instead must use an
NCP measure.

20

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THIS MATHEMATICAL ISSUE.

A. The NARUC Manual notes that use of a 1 CP demand measure within an A&E calculation
 will result in estimates that are identical to a general 1 CP demand-only measure, which
 effectively undermines the entire purpose of developing a hybrid demand-energy

1		classification. ²⁰ In order to prevent this outcome from occurring, the NARUC Manual
2		suggests using an NCP demand measure:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		If your objective is – as it should be using [an A&E] method – to reflect the impact of average demand on production plant costs, then it is a mistake to allocate the excess demand with a coincident peak allocation factor because it produces allocation factors that are identical to those derived using a CP method. Rather, use the NCP to allocate the excess demands. ²¹
11	Q.	IS THE USE OF NCP AN APPROPRIATE MEASURE OF PEAK DEMAND FOR
12		ALLOCATING COSTS RELATED TO PRODUCTION PLANT ASSETS?
13	A.	No. First, utilities typically do not use NCP measures in planning, developing, or operating
14		generation units since an NCP assumes a low level of load diversity, thus amplifying
15		customer peak demand requirements on the utility's system. ²² In other words, if a utility
16		did use an NCP measure for planning purposes, it would have to develop a unique set of
17		generation plants for each of its major customer classes – something that clearly does not
18		happen. While the use of an NCP may be appropriate for distribution facilities which serve
19		isolated segments of a utility's system, it is not appropriate for generation assets that serve
20		regional system demands with high levels of load diversity. ²³ The observed computational
21		problem inherent in the A&E method does not support its use and, if anything, suggests
22		the need to use an alternative classification method that avoids this computation error.

²⁰ NARUC Cost Allocation Manual, p. 15 (January 1992).

²¹ *Id.*

 ²² See Response to Data Request OUCC 13.27; Importantly, the Company has not performed any analysis examining the load diversity of its customers.
 ²³ See NARUC Cost Allocation Manual at 97.

Q. ARE THERE OTHER CONCERNS WITH THE COMPANY'S CLASSIFICATION OF ALL FIXED COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH ITS PRODUCTION PLANT ASSETS AS 100 PERCENT DEMAND-RELATED?

A. Yes. The Company's classification of all fixed costs associated with its production plant
assets as 100 percent demand-related ignores the significant portion of the Company's
production plant in service that is related to renewable EGUs. As stated previously, the
composition of generation plants and the role each generating plant plays in system
dispatch contributes to the classification of the asset for COSS purposes. Renewable
generation facilities provide limited capacity service for a utility, mainly providing energy
service for a utility.²⁴

11 Q. HAVE YOU EXAMINED THE COMPOSITION OF THE COMPANY'S TEST 12 YEAR PRODUCTION PLANT ASSETS?

A. Yes. Exhibit DED-5 examines the individual units comprising the Company's test year
 production plant in service, including the unit, primary fuel, gross and net plant in service.
 This analysis shows that 0.6 percent of the Company's test year net plant in service is
 associated with non-dispatchable renewable generation resources.

17 Q. HAS THE UNIQUE ROLE OF RENEWABLE GENERATION ASSETS BEEN 18 RECOGNIZED BY OTHER REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?

- 19 A. Yes. The Iowa Utilities Board ("IUB") in a March 2014 Order involving the MidAmerican
- 20 Energy Company found that demand-based allocations assume, as a basic premise, that all
- 21 generation is built to meet peak demand, but that this is not the case when examining
 - ²⁴ See Cause No. 45564 (IURC June 28, 2022) at 17.

renewable generation such as wind generators.²⁵ The IUB noted that at the time the average
capacity accreditation for wind generation by Midcontinent Independent System Operator,
Inc. ("MISO") was 14 percent, meaning that 86 percent of nameplate capacity associated
with these generators cannot be used to fulfill resource adequacy requirements in MISO.²⁶
The IUB thus found that allocating renewable generation using demand-based allocations
produced unreasonable results compared to approaches that considered use during nonpeak periods such as hourly cost modeling.²⁷

8 Q. DO ANY ELECTRIC UTILITIES ACCOUNT FOR THE DIFFERING NATURE 9 OF RENEWABLE AND NON-RENEWABLE GENERATION ASSETS IN THEIR 10 CCOSS MODELS?

Yes. In a November 4, 2022, rate case filing with the Montana Public Service Commission 11 A. ("MPSC"), Montana-Dakota Utilities Company ("MDU") included an allocated COSS that 12 recognized the need to distinguish between renewable and non-renewable generation 13 assets.²⁸ Specifically, MDU estimated the demand-related portion of its renewable 14 production plant assets separately from its fossil fuel production plant assets by examining 15 the ratio of accredited Zonal Resource Credits ("ZRC") from MISO compared to the asset's 16 nameplate capacity.²⁹ A similar process has been used in a recent rate case filing by 17 Interstate Power and Light ("IPL") before the Iowa Utilities Board.³⁰ 18

 ²⁵ In re: MidAmerican Energy Co., Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2013-0004, Order Approving Settlement, With Modifications, and Requiring Additional Information dated March 17, 2014 at 83.
 ²⁶ Id.
 ²⁷ Id.

²⁷ Id.

²⁸ In re: the Application of Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. for Authority to Establish Increased Rates for *Electrical Service;* MPSC Docket No. 2022.11.099; Application at Schedule L-2 and Direct Testimony of David E. Dismukes at 17:16 to 18:5.

²⁹ *Id.*, Direct Testimony of David E. Dismukes at 18:9-17.

³⁰ In re: Interstate Power and Light Co., Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2023-0002, Direct Testimony of Lucas Bressan at 11:9-19.

1

2

Q. WHAT IS MISO'S CURRENT CAPACITY ACCREDITATION METHODOLOGY FOR SOLAR RENEWABLE GENERATION UNITS?

A. MISO's current process for accrediting solar photovoltaic resources is based on three years
of historical average output for hours ending 15, 16, and 17 Eastern Standard Time ("EST")
for the most recent spring, summer, and fall months and hours ending 8, 9, 19, and 20 EST
for the most recent winter months.³¹ New solar resources are accredited at 50 percent of
nameplate capacity for spring, summer, and fall months and at 5 percent of nameplate
capacity for winter months.³²

9 Q. HAVE YOU ANALYZED THE PORTION OF TEST YEAR PRODUCTION 10 PLANT WHICH SHOULD BE CLASSIFIED AS 100 PERCENT ENERGY11 RELATED?

A. Yes. Exhibit DED-5 analyzes the portion of Company test year production plant in service that should be classified as serving 100 percent energy-related functions. This analysis values 50 percent of net production plant in service associated with the Company's rate based solar facilities as being strictly energy-related based on MISO's accreditation for such resources. This analysis finds that 0.3 percent of the Company's test year net plant in service should be classified as 100 percent energy-related, with the remainder classified as serving joint demand and energy functions.

19 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE APPROPRIATE

20

CLASSIFICATION OF COSTS RELATED TO PRODUCTION PLANT?

 ³¹ Resource Accreditation White Paper (November 2023), Midcontinent Independent Systems Operator, version 1.1 at 12.
 ³² Id.

A. I recommend the Commission reject the Company's proposal to classify all production 1 plant assets as being 100 percent demand-related. The Company's proposal is inconsistent 2 with customer demands placed on the Company's system, inconsistent with the function 3 generation serves as recognized by the Commission and other regulatory commissions in 4 the past, and inconsistent with the capacity accreditation of the Company's renewable 5 6 generation facilities. Instead, I recommend the Commission rely on the results of my alternative COSS, which (1) classifies 50 percent of costs associated with the Company's 7 renewable generation assets as fully energy-related, and (2) uses an A&P method to 8 9 classify the remaining production plant costs based on the Company's observed test year system load factors. My proposed classification method classifies 42.5 percent of the 10 Company's production plant costs as being energy-related, with the inverse (57.5 percent) 11 being classified as demand related for the test year. 12

13

D. Incorrect MSS-Based Classifications for Distribution Plant

14 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE COMPANY CLASSIFIES THE CUSTOMER

15 AND DEMAND COMPONENTS OF ITS DISTRIBUTION PLANT.

A. The Company provides the results of an MSS to classify primary and secondary-voltage assets associated with FERC Accounts 364 – Poles, Towers, and Fixtures; 365 – Overhead
 Conductors and Devices; and 367 – Underground Conductors and Devices, as customerrelated.³³ The Company's analysis finds that between 68.22 and 77.37 percent of costs associated with these accounts are customer-related. Notably, the Company's primary CCOSS does not rely on the results of its MSS.

³³ Petitioner's Exhibit No. 8, Direct Testimony of Bickey Rimal, Attachment 8-C.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE THEORETIC BASIS FOR A "MINIMUM SYSTEM" STUDY OR ANALYSIS.

A. Such studies are often advocated by those holding the view that higher level distribution
plant investments are made to serve a dual-nature: one consisting of meeting system load
requirements, the other being focused on customer interconnection or access that requires
a customer-based allocation component. This minimum system component is determined
through an MSS or a related Zero-Intercept Study.

8 Q. WHAT ARE MINIMUM SYSTEM AND ZERO-INTERCEPT STUDIES?

9 A. Minimum system and zero-intercept studies are cost allocation methodologies that attempt to estimate separate customer-related versus load-related costs. An MSS does this by 10 estimating the hypothetical costs of developing a "minimum" system that only provides 11 customers with connection to a utility's electric distribution system, but not a system 12 sufficient to actually serve the customer's electrical requirements. Likewise, a zero-13 14 intercept study utilizes regression analysis techniques to estimate the relationship between the electric demand requirements on a system and costs associated with installation of new 15 distribution plant assets. Using these regression analyses, a zero-intercept study then 16 17 calculates a hypothetical minimum cost by calculating the costs of the distribution plant assets given zero demand requirements. 18

19

Q.

PLEASE DESCRIBE THE MECHANICS OF AN MSS.

A. Many distribution system assets can be classified as having both a customer and an energy
 component. For instance, distribution substations are built to serve customers, but are often
 expanded to meet increases in customer loads. An MSS attempts to separate the customer related portion of total system costs from those associated with serving loads (or service

volumes). An MSS estimates the hypothetical costs of developing a minimum system to 1 serve customers with no load. These calculations involve subjectivity since they use 2 accounting and engineering analyses to develop assumptions about the minimum sizes and 3 costs associated with various distribution system components, while still satisfying system 4 requirements such as pole height and efficient conductor and transformer sizes. The costs 5 6 associated with these "minimum" components are then added together to derive the total minimum costs associated with the hypothetical system with no energy usage. This 7 estimate is then divided by total actual system costs to approximate the customer-related 8 9 share of overall distribution system costs.

Q. ARE THERE ANY THEORETICAL SHORTCOMINGS TO USING MINIMUM SYSTEM AND ZERO-INTERCEPT BASED STUDIES FOR CLASSIFICATION OF DISTRIBUTION PLANT ASSETS?

Both minimum system and zero-intercept studies depend on deeply flawed A. 13 Yes. 14 counterfactual theoretical premises. MSS-based analyses deal in hypotheticals that do not exist in the real world, including the assumption that somehow there is an electric 15 distribution system out there in the world that could or would be plausibly built to serve 16 17 customers but not load. No such system exists, making the underlying assumptions and modeling of a "minimum system" difficult, if not impossible, to verify. Even if a minimum 18 19 electric distribution system could be constructed in real life, it would still have the ability 20 to service at least a portion of customers' loads, undermining this modeling approach's fundamental premise. 21

22 Q. DOES THE NARUC COST ALLOCATION MANUAL RECOGNIZE THESE23 CHALLENGES?

1	А.	Yes. The NARUC Cost Allocation Manual, or NARUC Manual, recognized this
2		fundamental failing of MSS approaches in its discussion of the approach.
3 4 5 6 7 8		Cost analysts disagree on how much of the demand costs should be allocated to customers when the minimum-size distribution method is used to classify distribution plant. When using this distribution method, the analyst must be aware that the minimum-size distribution equipment has a certain load-carrying capability, which can be viewed as a demand-related cost. ³⁴
9	Q.	WHAT ARE THE THEORETICAL FAILINGS OF ZERO-INTERCEPT BASED
10		STUDIES?
11	A.	A zero-intercept-based approach is simply a statistically-based MSS approach and suffers,
12		conceptually, from the same shortcomings. A zero-intercept analysis attempts to model an
13		empirical relationship that does not exist. One should recognize that the argument that
14		electric distribution costs are related to the number of customers on a utility's system is not
15		a new argument, and the academic literature in utility regulation has questioned for quite
16		some time the use of both minimum system and zero-intercept studies.
17	Q.	HOW HAS THE ACADEMIC LITERATURE IN UTILITY REGULATION
18		QUESTIONED THE USE OF MINIMUM SYSTEM AND ZERO-INTERCEPT
19		STUDIES?
20	A.	Dr. James Bonbright, in his seminal work on public utility regulation, published originally
21		in the 1970s, raises a number of questions about the use of minimum system and zero-
22		intercept methodologies in classifying costs. Dr. Bonbright's primary concern was the lack
23		of empirical support in the academic literature for a causal relationship between
24		distribution system costs and the number of customers. The true driving factors of utility
25		distribution system costs are much more complicated and depend on a host of other factors,

³⁴ NARUC Cost Allocation Manual, p. 95 (January 1992).

such as the size of a service territory and the population density within. The incremental
costs of constructing an appropriate distribution system to serve an additional customer
within an urban area with existing nearby infrastructure is substantially less than the costs
to extend an existing utility system by potentially miles to serve an additional customer
located in a rural area, a fact inherently ignored by minimum system and zero-intercept
methodologies.

7 ...the annual costs of this phantom, minimum-sized distribution system are treated as customer costs and are 8 deducted from the annual costs of the existing system, only 9 the balance being included among those demand-related 10 costs to be mentioned in the following section. Their 11 [minimum distribution costs] inclusion among the customer 12 costs is defended on the ground that, since they vary directly 13 with the area of the distribution system (or else with the 14 lengths of the distribution lines, depending on the type of 15 distribution system), they therefore vary directly with the 16 number of customers. Alternatively, they are calculated by 17 the "zero-intercept" method whereby regression equations 18 are run relating cost to various sizes of equipment and 19 eventually solving for the cost of a zero-sized system 20 (Sterzinger, 1981). 21 22 What this last-named cost imputation overlooks, of course, 23

is the very weak correlation between the area (or the mileage) of a distribution system and the number of 24 customers served by this system. For it makes no allowance 25 for the density factor (customers per linear mile or per square 26 mile). Our casual empiricism is supported by a more 27 systematic regression analysis in (Lessels, 1980) where no 28 statistical association was found between distribution costs 29 and number of customers. Thus, if the company's entire 30 service area stays fixed, an increase in number of customers 31 does not necessarily betoken any increase whatever in the 32 costs of a minimum-sized distribution system.³⁵ 33

34 Q. WHAT WAS DR. BONBRIGHT'S CONCLUSION REGARDING THE USE OF

35 MINIMUM SYSTEM AND ZERO-INTERCEPT STUDIES?

³⁵ James C. Bonbright, et al. <u>Principles of Public Utility Rates</u>. 1988 Edition. Arlington, VA: Public Utilities Reports, Inc., p. 491.

A. Dr. Bonbright found attempts to classify costs associated with a minimum-sized distribution system, whether determined through the use of a MSS or a zero-intercept study, as something other than demand-related as potentially of merit. However, he ultimately concluded that classifying these costs as customer-related, as the Company has done in the current proceeding, is "clearly indefensible,"³⁶ due to the lack of a relationship between changes in number of customers on a utility system and its distribution costs.

7 Q. HAVE OTHER JURISDICTIONS REJECTED THE USE OF AN MSS?

A. Yes. In 2021, the Michigan Public Service Commission rejected a proposal that
Consumers Energy be required to submit an MSS in its next rate case.³⁷ Likewise, in 2010,
the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission rejected a request that it require the use of a
minimum system study for Narragansett Electric Company D/B/A National Grid.³⁸

Q. ARE THERE ANY OTHER FACTORS THE COMMISSION SHOULD CONSIDER IN EVALUATING THE CLASSIFICATION OF ELECTRIC UTILITY DISTRIBUTION PLANT?

A. Many of the differences of opinion surrounding the classification of electric utility distribution plant surrounds methods and concerns expressed over two decades ago, when
the primary utility planning challenge was meeting growing peak loads and using the most cost-effective resources to capitalize on economies of scale. This world no longer exists.
Today, most utility capital investment, particularly for distribution plant, is designed to meet reliability, resiliency, and clean energy policy requirements. As shown in Exhibit

³⁶ *Id.*at 492.

 ³⁷ In re: the Application of Consumers Energy Co. for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for Other Relief. Case No. U-20963, Order, dated December 22, 2021.
 ³⁸ In re: the Application of The Narragansett Electric Co. D/B/A National Grid For Approval of A Change in Electric Base Distribution Rates. Docket No. 4065, Decision and Order, dated April 29, 2010.

DED-6, only between 4 and 13 percent of planned transmission investments for the years 2023-2025 are growth related. Likewise, only 28 to 40 percent of distribution plant 3 investments for the years 2023-2025 are similarly growth related. In both cases, the 4 majority of planned transmission and distribution capital investments are associated with 5 meeting reliability requirements.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE RELIANCE ON AN MSS TO ALLOCATE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH DISTRIBUTION PLANT ASSETS?

9 A. I recommend the Commission reject the Company's proposed MSS approaches in the classification of distribution plant. MSS and related zero-intercept approaches are 10 fundamentally flawed and provide little to no value as to the just and reasonable setting of 11 Research has shown these methods are flawed and some state regulatory 12 rates. commissions have gone so far as to reject their use. Further, while MSS is used by some 13 utilities, it is not commonly used by all utilities. Thus, I recommend the Commission 14 appropriately classify assets included in distribution plant accounts 364-367 as 100 percent 15 demand-related, consistent with the Company's initial filing in this proceeding. 16

17

E. CCOSS Summary and Recommendations

18 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CCOSS RECOMMENDATIONS.

A. I recommend the Commission utilize CCOSS methods which (1) classifies 50 percent of
 costs associated with production plant assets as 100 percent energy related; (2) utilizes an
 A&P cost allocation approach to allocate remaining costs associated with production plant
 assets; and (3) appropriately classifies costs associated with distribution plant accounts
 364-367 as 100 percent demand-related. My alternative CCOSS, provided in Exhibit
 DED-7, shows that the Company's incorrect classification of production and distribution

plant assets skews the allocation of costs and revenue responsibilities away from larger
 customers and onto residential and small commercial customers. I recommend the
 Commission rely on the results of my alternative COSS as a fair and reasonable estimation
 of relative costs of service between the Company's customer classes.

5

IV. <u>REVENUE DISTRIBUTION</u>

6 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF THE REVENUE DISTRIBUTION 7 PROCESS IN SETTING RATES.

8 A. The revenue distribution process allocates a utility's overall revenue deficiency across 9 customer classes, which in turn, is used to establish a new set of retail rates. The revenue distribution process often uses the results from the CCOSS as its starting point, but not 10 11 necessarily as its ending point. Class-specific revenue responsibilities are established by allocating the system-wide revenue deficiency to classes that are under-earning, relative to 12 13 their estimated ROR, and assigning, at least in theory, revenue decreases to those classes 14 that are over-earning relative to their CCOSS-estimated class returns. The final class revenue responsibilities are then used, in conjunction with each class's billing determinants, 15 to determine rates. In summary, the revenue distribution process can be thought of as the 16 17 initial step taken to establish rates.

18 Q. DOES THE REVENUE DISTRIBUTION PROCESS INCLUDE ANY POLICY

19

CONSIDERATIONS?

A. Yes. Allocating the overall system-wide revenue deficiency entirely on a full cost of
 service basis can result in a very significant and adverse rate impact for certain under earning classes. To avoid such a result, regulators often temper the revenue responsibilities

34

1		assigned to various customer classes in order to meet a set of broad ratemaking policy		
2		goals.		
3	Q.	WHAT ARE THOSE BROADER RATEMAKING POLICY GOALS?		
4	А.	Generally accepted utility ratemaking principles include:		
5		1) Rates should be fair, just, and reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory.		
6 7		2) To the extent possible, gradualism should be used to protect customers from rate shock.		
8		3) Rate continuity should be maintained.		
9 10		4) Rates should be informed by costs, but class cost of service results need not be the only factor used in rate development.		
11		5) Rates should be understandable to customers.		
12	Q.	HOW ARE THE ABOVE PRINCIPLES APPLIED IN DEVELOPING RATES FOR		
13		A REGULATED UTILITY?		
14	А.	It is important to consider all of the principles I mentioned above. However, any principle's		
15		relative weight can change depending upon the importance of certain policy goals. Rate		
16		design should strike a balance between policy goals and resulting rates that are fair, just,		
17		and reasonable. There is no pre-set or universally accepted formula for developing rates		
18		and, as a result, sound judgment is necessary to formulate a rate design that meets these		
19		objectives.		
20	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE COMPANY PROPOSES TO DISTRIBUTE ITS		
21		CLASS REVENUE REQUIREMENTS.		
22	А.	The Company states that its CCOSS results in shifting of revenue requirement to three		
23		lighting rates: Unmetered Light-emitting Diode Outdoor Lighting Service ("Rate LED"),		
24		Unmetered Outdoor Lighting Service ("Rate UOLS"), and Street Lighting Service ("Rate		

SL"), causing these rate classes to see rate increases of over 100 percent in some cases.³⁹ 1 The Company proposes to cap the rate increase to these three rate classes to 30 percent 2 over existing rates, reallocating the excess revenue requirement to the rest of the 3 Company's rate classes.⁴⁰ Exhibit DED-8 presents the Company's estimated current class 4 relative rates of return ("RROR") and its proposed revenue distribution. 5

6

Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY A RROR?

The RROR effectively standardizes the class-specific rate of return estimated by an 7 A. CCOSS to the overall system average. In other words, it divides the estimated class ROR 8 9 by the estimated system ROR. For instance, assume that the residential class is earning a class-specific eight percent ROR, and further assume that the system-wide average ROR 10 estimated by the same CCOSS is also eight percent. The residential class, in this example, 11 can be said to be earning a 1.0 RROR if the estimated ROR is the same as the overall 12 system (*i.e.*, eight percent divided by eight percent equals 1.0). Put another way, any class 13 14 earning a 1.0 RROR can be said to be making its full contribution to the system's overall ROR (i.e., there is no cross-subsidy). A RROR that is greater than 1.0 indicates that a 15 particular class is contributing more than the system average contribution to the Company's 16 17 overall return. Likewise, a class that earns a RROR less than 1.0 but greater than zero can be said to be making a less-than-average contribution to the overall system. 18

19

Q. DO YOU AGREE THAT A CLASS RROR LESS THAN 1.0 IS PROBLEMATIC OR

- 20 **INEQUITABLE?**
- Not necessarily. Consistent with the principles identified above, there may be policy 21 A. 22 reasons to support a result that reflects an inequitable cross-subsidization. For example, the

³⁹ Direct Testimony of Roger A. Flick, III at 8:20-22.

⁴⁰ *Id.* at 8:22 to 9:2.

presence and/or continuation of a RROR below 1.0 could be the result of a prior agreedupon rate freeze that prevents class rates from increasing to correct the revenue deficiency
(relative to cost of service). In this example, the presence of a RROR below 1.0 is simply
a function of a prior policy decision, not necessarily the result of some arbitrary or
intentionally designed inequity.

6 Q. WHAT ARE THE CLASS RATE INCREASES UNDER THE COMPANY'S 7 PROPOSED REVENUE DISTRIBUTION?

A. The Company proposes to increase base rates by 16.20 percent on a system-wide average
basis. However, under the Company's proposed revenue distribution, small commercial
customers would receive a 19.96 percent increase in total rates, and residential customers
would receive a 19.13 percent increase in total rates. This is equal to 1.23 and 1.18,
respectively, times the proposed system average increase of 16.20 percent.⁴¹

13 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED REVENUE 14 DISTRIBUTIONS?

A. No. The Company's proposed revenue distributions suffer from two major deficiencies. First, the Company's proposal is based on the results of a faulty COSS that overstates the extent of any current subsidy from high-load factor industrial customers to low-load factor residential and small commercial customers. Second, the Company's proposal to use the full results of its COSS for most customer classes is inconsistent with rate gradualism and could also negatively impact energy affordability, particularly for the Company's low- and middle-income customers.

⁴¹ Petitioner's Petition Workpaper 1, 46038_DEI_Workpaper 1 – Average Bill Increase Detailed_040424.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED REVENUE DISTRIBUTION?

My primary recommendation is that the Commission use my proposed CCOSS for revenue 3 A. distribution across customer classes. This will result in an 18.00 percent increase in 4 residential rates. However, should the Commission choose to use the Company's CCOSS 5 6 methods, the I recommend the Commission adopt a more reasonable revenue distribution allocation method that limits the rate increase to any single customer class to 1.15 times 7 the overall system average increase. This reduces the maximum total revenue increase 8 9 under the Company's proposed revenue distribution of any single rate class to 18.63 percent, compared to the Company's proposed maximum rate increase of 19.96 percent. 10

11 Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED A SUMMARY OF THE EFFECTS OF YOUR 12 PROPOSED REVENUE DISTRIBUTION?

A. Yes. Exhibit DED-9 presents an illustrative summary of the effects of my proposed revenue distribution under the Company's proposed system average rate increase of 16.20 percent.
My proposed revenue distribution would increase base rates for the small commercial class by 18.33 percent, compared to the Company's proposal which would increase such rates by 19.96 percent. Likewise, my proposal would increase rates for the residential class by 18.00 percent, compared to the Company's proposal which would increase such rates by 18.00 percent, compared to the Company's proposal which would increase such rates by 19.13 percent.

20 V. <u>RATE DESIGN</u>

21 Q. HOW SHOULD POLICY BALANCE COST ASSIGNMENTS BETWEEN 22 CUSTOMER CHARGES AND VOLUMETRIC RATES?

38

A. Modern utility pricing theory is primarily concerned with the development of optimal tariff
design, which over the years has become dominated by a form of pricing referred to as a
"two-part tariff," sometimes referred to more technically as a non-linear (or non-uniform)
pricing approach. Once a class revenue requirement is established, the goal for regulators
should be one that sets the most appropriate rates based upon various efficiency and equity
considerations. Balancing the weight of how costs are recovered among fixed rates,
variable rates, block rates, and seasonal rates is an integrated part of that process.

8

Q.

WHAT IS THE APPROPRIATE ROLE OF COSTS IN SETTING RATES FOR A

9

TWO-PART TARIFF?

A. Costs can be instructive in establishing a baseline upon which prices may be set, but costs 10 do not need to serve as the sole or exclusive basis for rates in order for them to be set 11 optimally (*i.e.*, fixed charges do not need to strictly equal fixed costs, variable rates need 12 not strictly equal variable costs). Unfortunately, the "fixed charge-equals-fixed cost" 13 philosophy gets repeated so often that it can often drown out meaningful discussions about 14 other equally important considerations in setting rates in imperfect markets. In fact, 15 appropriate rate setting in the context of a two-part tariff typically has more to do with 16 17 consumer demand than it does with cost.

18 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE COMPANY'S CUSTOMER CHARGE PROPOSALS.

A. Exhibit DED-10 presents a summary of the Company's proposed increases in monthly
 customer charges for its rate classes. For Rates RS and CS, the Company proposes to raise
 the current fixed monthly customer charge of \$10.54 to \$13.70. The Company claims that

its request will improve "pricing and cost of service alignment" across these customer
 classes.⁴²

3 Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED AN ANALYSIS OF COMMON CUSTOMER-RELATED 4 COSTS TO CURRENT CUSTOMER CHARGES?

5 Yes, and this analysis is provided in Exhibit DED-11. Customer-related costs included in A. 6 this analysis include: a return of and on electric meters and service drops; meter operating expenses (i.e. removing and setting meters); meter maintenance expenses; and customer 7 account expenses, such as the expenses for meter reading, customer records, and customer 8 9 billing and accounting. The analysis shows that the Rate RS recovers 138 percent of customer-related expenses through the current customer charge. Likewise, Rate CS 10 customers recover 105 percent of current customer-related expenses through the current 11 customer charge. These results do not demonstrate a need to increase residential and small 12 commercial customer charges on a cost-causation basis since all customer-related costs are 13 14 recovered through the base monthly customer charge.

15

Q. DID THE COMPANY SURVEY OTHER UTILITIES' RATES?

A. No. The Company failed to conduct a survey of other utility rates, which would have
 provided this rate increase request with a comprehensive benchmark peer analysis.

18 Q. HAVE YOU DEVELOPED AN ANALYSIS OF RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER

19

CHARGES ACROSS REGIONAL ELECTRIC PEER UTILITIES?

A. Yes. This analysis, presented in Exhibit DED-12 which compares current residential and
 small commercial customer charges for major electric utility companies operating in
 Indiana and surrounding states, compares the Company's residential customer charge to

⁴² Direct Testimony of Roger A. Flick, III at 25:13-16.

other regional electric utilities. This analysis demonstrates the Company's current
residential customer charge of \$10.54 per month is below the average residential customer
charge of \$11.78 for other regional utilities. However, The Company's proposed increase
to a \$13.70 monthly residential customer charge is above the peer group average of \$11.78,
or 16.3 percent higher.

6 Q. IS THE COMPANY'S PROPOSAL TO INCREASE ITS RESIDENTIAL AND 7 COMMERCIAL CUSTOMER CHARGES CONSISTENT WITH THE 8 PROMOTION OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION?

9 A. No. The Company's rate design proposal is inconsistent with energy efficiency since it
 reduces economic incentives for ratepayers to control monthly utility bills through energy
 efficiency and conservation efforts, because only the variable component of bills is
 avoidable.

Q. HAVE OTHER REGULATORS RECOGNIZED THE NEGATIVE IMPACTS THAT CUSTOMER CHARGE INCREASES CAN HAVE FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY?

A. Yes. In rejecting a request by Baltimore Gas and Electric ("BGE") to increase customer
charges as part of a larger rate design proposal, the Maryland Public Service Commission
("MD PSC") recognized the need to allow customers the opportunity to control their
monthly bills by reducing energy usage.

20 Even though this issue was virtually uncontested by the parties, we find we must reject Staff's proposal to increase 21 the fixed customer charge from \$7.50 to \$8.36. Based on the 22 reasoning that ratepayers should be offered the opportunity 23 to control their monthly bills to some degree by controlling 24 their energy usage, we instead adopt the Company's 25 26 proposal to achieve the entire revenue requirement increase through volumetric and demand charges. This approach also 27

1 2		is consistent with and supports our EmPOWER Maryland goals. ⁴³
3	Q.	CAN YOU POINT TO ANY OTHER REGULATORY EXAMPLES?
4	A.	Yes. The Montana Public Service Commission ("MT PSC") previously rejected a
5		proposed straight fixed variable rate design for Energy West Montana citing several
6		reasons, including the impact of the proposal on energy conservation efforts. MT PSC
7		stated in its decision that:
8 9 10 11 12		The Commission agrees that most distribution costs are not avoidable, and that volumetric distribution charges may encourage conservation actions that, all other things being equal, reduce the utility's embedded cost recovery between rate cases and contribute to future rate increases.
13		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		The Commission agrees that an SFV rate design is a clean and administratively inexpensive way to decouple revenue from volume. An often-cited public policy justification for revenue decoupling is to remove the volume disincentive for cost-effective conservation investment by a gas distribution company, which through SFV and other decoupling methods is rendered indifferent to the volume of gas consumed. Yet, SFV rates decouple revenue at the cost of decreasing returns to conservation investment by customers. For this reason the net conservation benefit of revenue decoupling via SFV rates is not clear, and may be negative. ⁴⁴
25	Q.	ARE THERE OTHER REGULATORY EXAMPLES IN WHICH A COMMISSION
26		REJECTED A PROPOSED INCREASE IN FIXED CUSTOMER CHARGES DUE
27		TO THE DETRIMENTAL EFFECT ON EFFORTS TO CONSERVE
28		ELECTRICITY?

⁴³ Maryland Public Service Commission Case No. 9299, In re: the Application of Baltimore Gas and Elec. Co. for Adjustment in its Elec. and Gas Base Rates (*"Case No. 9299"*). Order No. 85374 at p. 99, rel. February 22, 2013.

⁴⁴ In re: Energy West Montana, Application to Establish Increased Service Rates in Its Great Falls, Cascade, and West Yellowstone Service Areas, Montana Public Service Commission, Docket No. D2010.9.90, Order No. 7132c. at 29–30.

A. Yes. In 2012, the Missouri Public Service Commission ("MO PSC") rejected Ameren
 Missouri's proposed increase in the customer charge for residential and small service
 classes. The Commission expressed opposition to shifting costs from volumetric rates to
 fixed customer charges because this would send the erroneous message to customers that
 the Commission is discouraging efforts to conserve electricity:

Shifting customer costs from variable volumetric rates, 6 which a customer can reduce through energy efficiency 7 efforts, to fixed customer charges, that cannot be reduced 8 through energy efficiency efforts, will tend to reduce a 9 customer's incentive to save electricity. Admittedly, the 10 effect on payback periods associated with energy efficiency 11 efforts would be small, but increasing customer charges at 12 this time would send exactly [the] wrong message...⁴⁵ 13

14 Q. IS THERE A RECENT EXAMPLE OF A REGULATORY COMMISSION

15 REJECTING A PROPOSED INCREASE IN RESIDENTIAL AND SMALL

16 COMMERCIAL CUSTOMER CHARGES?

- 17 A. Yes. In rejecting a request by Northern States Power Company to increase customer
- 18 charges⁴⁶ as part of a larger rate design proposal, the Minnesota Public Utilities
- 19 Commission ("MPUC") recognized the need to allow customers the opportunity to control
- 20 their monthly bills by reducing energy usage.

21 Monthly customer charges are an important component of the Company's Residential and Small General Service rates 22 by facilitating recovery of the costs caused by each customer 23 that do not vary with the amount of energy used. However, 24 higher fixed customer charges discourage customers from 25 conserving energy and investing in renewable energy by 26 27 reducing the impact of these efforts on the customers' bills. Customer charges also tend to confuse and alienate 28

⁴⁵ Missouri Public Service Commission, Report and Order, In the Matter of Union Elec. Co. Tariff to Increase Its Annual Revenues for Elec. Serv., File No. ER-2012-0166, December 12, 2012, pp. 110-111.

⁴⁶ In re the Appl. of Northern States Power Co., for Authority to Increase Rates for Elec. Serv. in the State of Minn., Docket E-002/GR-21-630, Findings of Fact, Conclusions, and Order, at 114 (MPUC July 17, 2023).

1 2 4 5 6 7 8 9		customers by impairing customer understanding of their energy bills. The Commission notes that Minn. Stat. §216B.03 requires the Commission to design rates to encourage energy conservation and renewable-energy use to 'the maximum reasonable extent.' Considering this statutory mandate and the evidence submitted by the parties, the Commission agrees with the ALJ that it is reasonable and appropriate to lower the monthly customer charge for the Residential and Small General Service classes to \$ 6.00. ⁴⁷
10	Q.	ARE THESE COMMISSIONS ALONE IN THEIR BELIEF THAT HIGH FIXED
11		CHARGES DISCOURAGE EFFICIENT USE OF ENERGY?
12	A.	No. A research document presented for consideration by the membership of the National
13		Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") lists a straight-fixed
14		variable ("SFV") rate design as an alternative to decouple utility revenue from sales. An
15		SFV rate design places all fixed costs into fixed charges while relegating only variable
16		costs to volumetric rates. The Company's current customer charge proposal, which
17		attempts to recover an additional level of class revenue responsibilities through the
18		customer charge, regardless of costs. The NARUC research noted this type of rate design
19		is problematic because of its effects on customer incentives to conserve energy:
20		Straight-Fixed Variable Rate Design. This mechanism
21		eliminates all variable distribution charges and costs are
22		recovered through a fixed delivery services charge or an
23		increase in the fixed customer charge alone. With this
24		approach, it is assumed that a utility's revenues would be
25		unaffected by changes in sales levels if all its overhead or
26		fixed costs are recovered in the fixed portion of customers'
27		bills. This approach has been criticized for having the
28		unintended effect of reducing customers' incentive to use
29		less electricity or gas by eliminating their volumetric charges

⁴⁷ *Id*. at 116-117.

1 2		and billing a fixed monthly rate, regardless of how much customers consume. ⁴⁸
3	Q.	HAS ANY NATIONAL PUBLIC POLICY ANALYSIS NOTED THE EFFICIENCY
4		DISINCENTIVES ASSOCIATED WITH SFV-TYPE RATE DESIGNS?
5	A.	Yes. The National Action Plan for Energy Efficiency ("NAPEE"), a joint venture of the
6		U.S. Department of Energy and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, published a
7		whitepaper on various rate design effects on encouraging energy efficient behaviors. The
8		NAPEE postulated that SFV had a detrimental effect on economic signals to encourage
9		customers to change energy usage behavior and investments in energy efficiency devices,
10		and specifically noted that such disincentives persist even when applied to individual
11		components of a customer's utility bill, such as SFV for strictly distribution services:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Because [SFV] tends to shift costs out of volumetric charges, it tends to reduce customers' efficiency incentive, because the marginal price of additional consumption is reduced. While SFV rates are being considered to better reflect the utility's costs behind the rate, these rates do not encourage customers to change energy usage behavior or invest in efficiency technologies. Such customer disincentives persist even when SFV rates are applied to individual components of the bill, such as charges for distribution service. ⁴⁹
21	Q.	CAN HIGH CUSTOMER CHARGES LEAD TO OTHER PROBLEMS?
22	A.	Yes. In addition to disincentivizing energy efficiency, increased customer charges also
23		shift the rate burden within a customer class to lower-use customers. This results in equity

⁴⁸ "Decoupling for Electric & Gas Utilities: Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)" Grants & Research Department, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, at 5 (Sept. 2007) (emphasis added), <u>https://www.maine.gov/mpuc/legislative/archive/2006legislation/DecouplingRpt-AttachC.pdf</u>.

⁴⁹ Customer Incentives for Energy Efficiency Through Electric and Natural Gas Rate Design, National Action Plan for Energy Efficiency at 13-14, prepared by William Prindle, ICF International, Inc. (Sept. 2009) (emphasis added), https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-08/documents/rate_design.pdf.

concerns, as lower-use customers have been shown to be associated with lower-income
 households in empirical research.⁵⁰

3 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CUSTOMER CHARGE RECOMMENDATIONS AND 4 CONCLUSIONS?

A. I recommend the Commission reject the Company's proposal to increase customer charges.
The Company's current base customer charges for Rates RS and CS are in-line with
regional customer charges and would recover more than fifty percent of monthly customerrelated costs for these customer classes. The Company's proposed increase to its base
customer charges detrimentally impacts the public policy goals of promoting energy
efficiency and burdens low-use customers.

11 VI. <u>CUSTOMER MIGRATION ADJUSTMENT</u>

12 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RATE MIGRATION ADJUSTMENT PROPOSED BY 13 THE COMPANY.

A. The Company's rate design proposals includes a redesign or modification to its existing
 time-of-use, or TOU, rates, which has the potential for certain customers to choose more
 economical rate schedules compared to that under which they are currently billed.⁵¹ The
 Company has calculated \$32.5 million in potential customer savings from this rate
 migration if all customers migrate to the most cost-effective rate schedule after the approval
 of its new proposed rates.⁵² However, experience suggests that even full awareness of

⁵⁰ See 2020 Residential Energy Consumption Survey ("RECS"), U.S. Energy Information Administration; *See also* Kontokosta, Constantine, *et al.* (2020), "Energy Cost Burdens for Low-Income and Minority Households," *Journal of the American Planning Association*, Vol. 86 no. 1; and Brown, Marilyn A, *et. al.* (March 2020), "Low-Income Energy Affordability: Conclusions from a Literature Review," Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

⁵¹ Petitioner's Exhibit No. 7, Direct Testimony of Roger A. Flick, III at 9:9-12.

⁵² *Id.* at 9:12-14.

savings opportunities will be insufficient to cause some customers to change rates, so the
 Company reduced this estimated potential customer savings by 50 percent, or \$16.3
 million.⁵³ This \$16.3 million rate migration adjustment is applied to the required
 requirements for rates RS, SC, low-load factor ("LLF") and high-load factor ("HLF") to
 account for anticipated reduced revenues.⁵⁴

6 Q. WHAT IS THE BASIS FOR THE COMPANY'S 50 PERCENT MIGRATION 7 ASSUMPTION?

A. The Company notes that its experience suggests that even with awareness of bill savings
 opportunities some customers will not change rates.⁵⁵ The Company provides no
 additional support for its 50 percent migration assumption besides this anecdotal statement.

11 Q. DOES THE DATA SUPPORT A 50 PERCENT MIGRATION RATE FOR 12 POTENTIAL TOU CUSTOMERS?

A. No. Research has found that residential and small commercial customer adoption of timevariant rates when offered as an opt-in option, is very low. For example, a 2019 study
found that 60 percent of investor-owned utilities ("IOU") offering TOU rates had
enrollment rates of less than one percent of all customers.⁵⁶ Indeed, in 2018 only four
percent of all residential customers in the U.S. took service under a TOU rate, with 15
utilities in eight states accounting for 86 percent of all TOU deployments.⁵⁷

19 Q. HAS ANY STUDY EXAMINED TOU RATE ADOPTION OF NEW OR

20

REDESIGNED TOU RATES?

⁵³ *Id.* at 9:14-18.

⁵⁴ Id. at 9:18-21.

⁵⁵ *Id.* at 9:14-16.

 ⁵⁶ Ahmad Faruqui, *et al.* (November 12, 2019), "A Survey of Residential Time-Of-Use (TOU) Rates," at 6.
 ⁵⁷ Tim Schittekatte, *et al.* (October 2022), "Electricity Retail Rate Design in a Decarbonizing Economy: An Analysis of Time-of-Use and Critical Peak Pricing," at 4.

A. Yes. A 2018 meta-analysis of studies of TOU rate implementation across the globe found
that adoption rates of TOU tariffs could be as low as 1 percent.⁵⁸ Keep in mind that a
"meta study" is often referred to as a "study of studies" and is essentially a survey of other
comparable studies, their methodologies and their results. Thus, this 2018 meta-study,
itself, is based off a summary of 27 other TOU studies/analyses. This meta-study found
that even with efforts to encourage TOU adoption through marketing, enrollment rates
would likely not exceed 43 percent.⁵⁹

8 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE COMPANY'S 9 CUSTOMER MIGRATION ADJUSTMENT?

A. I recommend the Company's customer migration adjustment for the RS and CS customer
 classes be reduced to one-third of what the Company proposed. This results in an updated
 assumption that only 16.5 percent of residential and small commercial will adopt economic
 TOU rates, an adoption rate that is more realistic based on historic experience. This
 reduces the Company's proposed revenue requirement for the RS customer class by
 approximately \$2.3 million and the CS customer class by \$0.2 million. In total, this
 adjustment decreases the Company's proposed revenue requirement by \$2.5 million.

17 VII. <u>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

18 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE

19 COMPANY'S PROPOSED PRODUCTION PLANT CLASSIFICATION 20 METHODS.

 ⁵⁸ Moira L. Nicolson, *et al.* (December 2018), "Consumer demand for time of use electricity tariffs: A systematized review of the empirical evidence," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 97, pp. 276-89.
 ⁵⁹ *Id.*

A. I recommend the Commission reject the Company's CCOSS for ratemaking purposes. The 1 Company's CCOSS incorrectly classifies fixed costs associated with production plant 2 assets as being exclusively demand-related. Such an approach is inconsistent with how 3 thermal production plant has been/is used. The Company's production plant classification 4 approaches are also entirely inconsistent with the capacity accreditation of newer 5 6 renewable generation facilities. Lastly, the Company's proposed production plant classification approaches deviate from commonly accepted cost allocation practices that 7 recognize the dual role production facilities serve. 8

9 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING THE 10 COMPANY'S PROPOSED DISTRIBUTION PLANT CLASSIFICATION 11 METHODS.

A. The Company has produced a faulty minimum system study ("MSS") approach to classify
 part of the Company's distribution investments as being customer related. There are a host
 of well recognized deficiencies with the use of MSS approaches for classifying distribution
 plant into customer versus load components. The Commission should reject the
 Company's proposed methods and instead classify the relevant distribution plant as fully
 demand-related.

18 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE COMPANY'S 19 PROPOSED REVENUE DISTRIBUTION?

A. I recommend the Commission adopt a revenue distribution allocation method based on my
 alternative CCOSS results. I furthermore recommend, consistent with the policy goals of
 rate gradualism, the Commission limit rate increases to any single rate class to no more
 than 1.15 times the overall system average increase. My proposed revenue distribution

49

methodology reduces the maximum total base revenue increase of any single rate class to
 18.63 percent, compared to the Company's proposed maximum rate increase of 19.96
 percent.

4

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CUSTOMER CHARGE RECOMMENDATIONS?

A. I recommend the Commission reject the Company's proposed customer charge increases
for residential and commercial customers. The proposed increases are not needed and are
not consistent with the public policy goals of promoting energy efficiency and
affordability. Increasing fixed customer charges will burden low-use and low-income
customers with a greater than system average percent rate increase.

10 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE COMPANY'S 11 CUSTOMER MIGRATION ADJUSTMENT?

A. I recommend the Company's customer migration adjustment for the RS and CS customer
 classes be reduced to one-third of that proposed by the Company. This results in an updated
 assumption that only 16.5 percent of residential and small commercial will adopt economic
 TOU rates, an adoption rate that is more realistic based on historic experience. This reduces
 the Company's proposed revenue requirement for the RS customer class by approximately
 \$2.3 million and the CS customer class by \$0.2 million. In total, this adjustment decreases
 the Company's proposed revenue requirement by \$2.5 million.

19 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

20 A. Yes.

50

Witness: Dismukes Cause No. 46038 Appendix A Page **1** of **84**

DAVID E. DISMUKES, PH.D.

Professor Emeritus Center for Energy Studies Louisiana State University Baton Rouge, LA 70803-0301 Phone: (225) 578-4343 <u>dismukes@lsu.edu</u> Consulting Economist Acadian Consulting Group, LLC 5800 One Perkins Place Drive Suite 5-F Baton Rouge, LA 70808 Phone: (225) 769-2603 <u>daviddismukes@acadianconsulting.com</u>

URL: <u>www.enrg.lsu.edu</u>

URL: <u>www.acadianconsulting.com</u>

EDUCATION

Ph.D., Economics, Florida State University, 1995.M.S., Economics, Florida State University, 1992.M.S., International Affairs, Florida State University, 1988.B.A., History, University of West Florida, 1987.A.A., Liberal Arts, Pensacola State College, 1985.

Master's Thesis: Nuclear Power Project Disallowances: A Discrete Choice Model of Regulatory Decisions

Ph.D. Dissertation: An Empirical Examination of Environmental Externalities and the Least-Cost Selection of Electric Generation Facilities

ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana

Center for Energy Studies

2023-Current	Professor Emeritus
2014-2023	Executive Director (Retired in 2023)
2007-2023	Director, Division of Policy Analysis
2006-2023	Professor
2003-2014	Associate Executive Director
2001-2006	Associate Professor
1999-2001	Research Fellow and Adjunct Assistant Professor
1995-2000	Assistant Professor

College of the Coast and the Environment (Department of Environmental Studies)

2014-2023	Professor (Joint Appointment with CES)
2010-2023	Director, Coastal Marine Institute
2010-2014	Adjunct Professor

E.J. Ourso College of Business Administration (Department of Economics)

2006-2023	Adjunct Professor
2001-2006	Adjunct Associate Professor
1999-2000	Adjunct Assistant Professor

Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan

Institute of Public Utilities

2018-Current Senior Fellow

Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida

College of Social Sciences, Department of Economics

1995 Instructor

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Acadian Consulting Group, Baton Rouge, Louisiana

2001-Current	Consulting Economist/Principal
1995-1999	Consulting Economist/Principal

Econ One Research, Inc., Houston, Texas

1999-2001Senior Economist

Florida Public Service Commission, Tallahassee, Florida

Division of Communications, Policy Analysis Section

1995 Planning & Research Economist

Division of Auditing & Financial Analysis, Forecasting Section

1993Planning & Research Economist1992-1993Economist

Project for an Energy Efficient Florida/FlaSEIA, Tallahassee, Florida

1994 Energy Economist

Ben Johnson Associates, Inc., Tallahassee, Florida

1991-1992	Research Associate
1989-1991	Senior Research Analyst
1988-1989	Research Analyst

GOVERNMENT & ADVISORY APPOINTMENTS

2023 – Current

Distinguished Fellow & Senior Economist Institute For Energy Research

	Washington, D.C.
2017 Current	Member, National Petroleum Council. U.S. Department of Energy.
2020-2023	Co-Chairperson, Energy Advisory Committee, World Trade Center New Orleans, Louisiana.
2007-2023	Louisiana Representative, Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission; Energy Resources, Research & Technology Committee.
2007-2023	Louisiana Representative, University Advisory Board Representative; Energy Council (Center for Energy, Environmental and Legislative Research).
2005	Member, Task Force on Energy Sector Workforce and Economic Development (HCR 322).
2003-2005	Member, Energy and Basic Industries Task Force, Louisiana Economic Development Council
2001-2003	Member, Louisiana Comprehensive Energy Policy Commission.

PUBLICATIONS: BOOKS AND MONOGRAPHS

- 1. *Energy and Environment: The Grand Challenges of 21st Century.* (2022). With Chris F. D'Elia and Bryan F. Snyder. New York: Kendell Hunt Publishers. Pp. 153.
- 2. *Power System Operations and Planning in a Competitive Market*. (2002). With Fred I. Denny. New York: CRC Press. Pp. 133.
- 3. *Distributed Energy Resources: A Practical Guide for Service*. (2000). With Ritchie Priddy. London: Financial Times Energy. Pp. 60.

PUBLICATIONS: PEER REVIEWED ACADEMIC JOURNALS

- 1. "Anticipating and Adapting to the Future Impacts of Climate Change on the Health, Security, and Welfare of Low Elevation Coastal Zone (LECZ) Communities in Southeastern USA" (2021). With Thomas Allen, Joshua Behr, Anamaria Bukvic, Ryan S.D. Calder, Kiki Caruson, Charles Connor, Christopher D'Elia, Robin Ersing, Rima Franklin, Jesse Goldstein, Jonathon Goodall, Scott Hemmerling, Jennifer Irish, Steven Lazarus, Derek Loftis Mark Luther, Leigh McCallister, Karen McGlathery, Molly Mitchell, William Moore, Carhles Reid Nichols, Karinna Nunez, Matthew Reidenbach, Julie Shortridge, Robert Weisberg, Robert Weiss, Lynn Donelson Wright, Meng Xia, Kehui Xu, Donald Young, Gary Zarillo, and Julie C. Zinnert. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*. 9 (11) 1196.
- 2. "The Potential Impact of the U.S. Carbon Capture and Storage Tax Credit Expansion on the Economic Feasibility of Industrial Carbon Capture and Storage" (2021). With Brittany

Tarufelli and Brian Snyder. Energy Policy. Vol. 149.

- 3. "Current Trends and Issues in Reforming State-level Solar Net Energy Metering Policies." (2020). *Journal of Energy Law and Resources*. Vol. VIII: 419-451.
- 4. "A cash flow model of an integrated industrial CCS-EOR project in a petrochemical corridor: a case study in Louisiana. (2019). With Brian Snyder and Michael Layne. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control.* 93(08).
- 5. "Understanding the challenges of industrial carbon capture and storage: An example in a U.S. petrochemical corridor." (2019). With Michael Layne and Brian Snyder. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy* 38(1):13-23.
- 6. "Understanding the Mississippi River Delta as a coupled natural-human system: research methods, challenges, and prospects. (2018). With Nina S.N. Lam, Y. Jun Xu, Kam-Biu Liu, Margaret Reams, R. Kelly Pace, Yi Qiang, Siddhartha Narra, Kenan Li, Thomas Blanchette, Heng Cei, Lei Zou, and Volodymyr Mihunov. *Water*. 10(8).
- 7. "Understanding the challenges of industrial carbon capture and storage: an example in a U.S. petrochemical corridor." (2018). With Brian Snyder and Michael Layne. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*. 38(1):1-11
- 8. "Sea level rise and coastal inundation: a case study of the Gulf Coast energy infrastructure." (2018). With Siddhartha Narra. *Natural Resources*. 9: 150-174.
- 9. "The energy pillars of society: perverse interactions among human resource use, the economy and environmental degradation." (2018). With Adrian R.H. Wiegman, John W. Day, Christopher F. D'Elia, Jeffrey S. Rutherford, Charles Hall. *BioPhysical Economics and Resource Quality.* 3(2) 1-16.
- "Modeling the impacts of sea-level rise, oil price, and management strategy on the costs of sustaining Mississippi delta marshes with hydraulic dredging." (2018). with Adrian R.H. Wiegman, John W. Day, Christopher F. D'Elia, Jeffrey S. Rutherford, James T. Morris, Eric D. Roy, Robert R. Lane, and Brian F. Snyder. *Science of the Total Environment* 618 (2018): 1547-1559.
- 11. "Identifying Vulnerabilities of Working Coasts Supporting Critical Energy Infrastructure." (2016). With Siddhartha Narra. *Water*. 8(1).
- 12. "Economies of Scale, Learning Effects and Offshore Wind Development Costs" (2015). With Gregory B. Upton, Jr. *Renewable Energy*. 61-66.
- 13. "Economic impact of Gulf of Mexico ecosystem goods and services and integration into restoration decision-making." (2014) With Shepard, A.N., J.F. Valentine, C.F. D'Elia, D.W. Yoskowitz. *Gulf Science*.
- 14. "An Empirical Analysis of Differences in Interstate Oil and Natural Gas Drilling Activity." (2012). With Mark J. Kaiser and Christopher J. Peters. *Exploration & Production: Oil and Gas Review.* 30(1): 18-22.
- 15. "The Value of Lost Production from the 2004-2005 Hurricane Seasons in the Gulf of

Mexico." (2009). With Mark J. Kaiser and Yunke Yu. *Journal of Business Valuation and Economic Loss Analysis*. 4(2).

- 16. "Estimating the Impact of Royalty Relief on Oil and Gas Production on Marginal State Leases in the US." (2006). With Jeffrey M. Burke and Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. *Energy Policy* 34(12): 1389-1398.
- 17. "Using Competitive Bidding As A Means of Securing the Best of Competitive and Regulated Worlds." (2004). With Tom Ballinger and Elizabeth A. Downer. *NRRI Journal of Applied Regulation*. 2 (November): 69-85. (*Received 2005 Best Paper Award by NRRI*).
- 18. "Deregulation of Generating Assets and the Disposition of Excess Deferred Federal Income Taxes." (2004). With K.E. Hughes II. *International Energy Law and Taxation Review.* 10 (October): 206-212.
- 19. "Reflections on the U.S. Electric Power Production Industry: Precedent Decisions Vs. Market Pressures." (2003). With Robert F. Cope III and John W. Yeargain. *Journal of Legal, Ethical, and Regulatory Issues*. Volume 6, Number 1.
- 20. "A is for Access: A Definitional Tour Through Today's Energy Vocabulary." (2001) *Public Resources Law Digest.* 38: 2.
- 21. "A Comment on the Integration of Price Cap and Yardstick Competition Schemes in Electrical Distribution Regulation." (2001). With Steven A. Ostrover. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems.* 16 (4): 940 -942.
- 22. "Modeling Regional Power Markets and Market Power." (2001). With Robert F. Cope. *Managerial and Decision Economics*. 22:411-429.
- 23. "A Data Envelopment Analysis of Levels and Sources of Coal Fired Electric Power Generation Inefficiency" (2000). With Williams O. Olatubi. *Utilities Policy*. 9 (2): 47-59.
- 24. "Cogeneration and Electric Power Industry Restructuring" (1999). With Andrew N. Kleit. *Resource and Energy Economics*. 21:153-166.
- 25. "Capacity and Economies of Scale in Electric Power Transmission" (1999). With Robert F. Cope and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. *Utilities Policy* 7: 155-162.
- 26. "Oil Spills, Workplace Safety, and Firm Size: Evidence from the U.S. Gulf of Mexico OCS." (1997). With O. O. Iledare, A. G. Pulsipher, and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. *Energy Journal* 4: 73-90.
- 27. "A Comment on Cost Savings from Nuclear Regulatory Reform" (1997). Southern Economic Journal. 63:1108-1112.
- 28. "The Demand for Long Distance Telephone Communication: A Route-Specific Analysis of Short-Haul Service." (1996). *Studies in Economics and Finance* 17:33-45.

PUBLICATIONS: PEER REVIEWED PROCEEDINGS

- "Hydraulic Fracturing: A Look at Efficiency and the Environmental Effects of Fracking" (2014). With Emily C. Jackson. *Environmental Science and Technology: Proceedings* from the 7th International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology. Volume1 of 2: edited by George A. Sorial and Jihua Hong. (Houston, TX: American Science Press, ISBN: 978-0976885368): 42-46.
- 2. "Economic and Policy Issues in Sustaining an Adequate Oil Spill Contingency Fund in the Aftermath of a Catastrophic Incident." (2014). With Stephen R. Barnes and Gregory B. Upton. *Proceedings of the Thirty-seventh AMOP Technical Seminar on Environmental contamination and Response.* June: 506-524.
- 3. "Technology Based Ethical Issues Surrounding the California Energy Crisis." (2002). With Robert F. Cope III and John Yeargain. *Proceedings of the Academy of Legal, Ethical, and Regulatory Issues*. September: 17-21.
- 4. "Electric Utility Restructuring and Strategies for the Future." (2001). With Scott W. Geiger. *Proceedings of the Southwest Academy of Management*. March.
- 5. "Applications for Distributed Energy Resources in Oil and Gas Production: Methods for Reducing Flare Gas Emissions and Increasing Generation Availability" (2000). With Ritchie D. Priddy. *Proceedings of the International Energy Foundation – ENERGEX* 2000. July.
- 6. "Power System Operations, Control, and Environmental Protection in a Restructured Electric Power Industry" (1998). With Fred I. Denny. *IEEE Proceedings: Large Engineering Systems Conference on Power Engineering*. June: 294-298.
- 7. "New Paradigms for Power Engineering Education." (1997). With Fred I. Denny. *Proceedings of the International Association of Science and Technology for Development*. October: 499-504.
- 8. "Safety Regulations, Firm Size, and the Risk of Accidents in E&P Operations on the Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf" (1996). With Allan Pulsipher, Omowumi Iledare, and Bob Baumann. Proceedings of the American Society of Petroleum Engineers: Third International Conference on Health, Safety, and the Environment in Oil and Gas Exploration and Production, June.
- 9. "Comparing the Safety and Environmental Records of Firms Operating Offshore Platforms in the Gulf of Mexico." (1996). With Allan Pulsipher, Omowumi Iledare, Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, William Daniel, and Bob Baumann. *Proceedings of the American Society* of Mechanical Engineers: Offshore and Arctic Operations 1996, January.

PUBLICATIONS: OTHER SCHOLARLY PROCEEDINGS

1. "A Collaborative Investigation of Baseline and Scenario Information for Environmental Impact Statements" (2005). *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Information Technology*

Meetings. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Gulf Coast Region, New Orleans, LA. January 12, 2005.

- 2. "Trends and Issues in the Natural Gas Industry and the Development of LNG: Implications for Louisiana. (2004) *Proceedings of the 51st Mineral Law Institute*, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA. April 2, 2004.
- 3. "Competitive Bidding in the Electric Power Industry." (2003). *Proceedings of the Association of Energy Engineers*. December 2003.
- 4. "The Role of ANS Gas on Southcentral Alaskan Development." (2002). With William Nebesky and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. *Proceedings of the International Association for Energy Economics: Energy Markets in Turmoil: Making Sense of It All.* October.
- 5. "A New Consistent Approach to Modeling Regional Economic Impacts of Offshore Oil and Gas Activities." (2002). With Vicki Zatarain. *Proceedings of the 2002 National IMPLAN Users Conference*: 241-258.
- 6. "Analysis of the Economic Impact Associated with Oil and Gas Activities on State Leases." (2002). With Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, Robert H. Baumann, and Allan G. Pulsipher. *Proceedings of the 2002 National IMPLAN Users Conference*: 149-155.
- 7. "Do Deepwater Activities Create Different Impacts to Communities Surrounding the Gulf OCS?" (2001). Proceedings of the International Association for Energy Economics: 2001: An Energy Odyssey? April.
- "Modeling the Economic Impact of Offshore Activities on Onshore Communities." (2000). With Williams O. Olatubi. *Proceedings of the 20th Annual Information Transfer Meeting*. U.S. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service: New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 9. "Empirical Challenges in Estimating the Economic Impacts of Offshore Oil and Gas Activities in the Gulf of Mexico" (2000). With Williams O. Olatubi. *Proceedings of the International Association for Energy Economics: Transforming Energy Markets*. August.
- 10. "Asymmetric Choice and Customer Benefits: Lessons from the Natural Gas Industry." (1999). With Rachelle F. Cope and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. *Proceedings of the International Association for Energy Economics: The Only Constant is Change* August: 444-452.
- "Modeling Electric Power Markets in a Restructured Environment" (1998). With Robert F. Cope and Dan Rinks. *Proceedings of the International Association for Energy Economics: Technology's Critical Role in Energy and Environmental Markets*. October: 48-56.
- 12. "Assessing Environmental and Safety Risks of the Expanding Role of Independents in E&P Operations on the Gulf of Mexico OCS." (1996). With Allan Pulsipher, Omowumi Iledare, Bob Baumann, and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Information Transfer Meeting*. U.S. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service: New Orleans, Louisiana: 162-166.

 "Comparing the Safety and Environmental Performance of Offshore Oil and Gas Operators." (1995). With Allan Pulsipher, Omowumi Iledare, Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, William Daniel, and Bob Baumann. Proceedings of the 15th Annual Information Transfer Meeting. U.S. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service: New Orleans, Louisiana.

PUBLICATIONS: BOOK CHAPTERS

- "The Role of Distributed Energy Resources in a Restructured Power Industry." (2006). In Electric Choices: Deregulation and the Future of Electric Power. Edited by Andrew N. Kleit. Oakland, CA: The Independent Institute (Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc.), 181-208.
- 2. "The Road Ahead: The Outlook for Louisiana Energy." (2006). In *Commemorating Louisiana Energy: 100 Years of Louisiana Natural Gas Development*. Houston, TX: Harts Energy Publications, 68-72.
- 3. "Competitive Power Procurement An Appropriate Strategy in a Quasi-Regulated World." (2004). In *Electric and Natural Gas Business: Using New Strategies, Understanding the Issues.* With Elizabeth A. Downer. Edited by Robert Willett. Houston, TX: Financial Communications Company, 91-104.
- 4. "Alaskan North Slope Natural Gas Development." (2003). In *Natural Gas and Electric Industries Analysis 2003*. With William E. Nebesky, Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, and Jeffrey M. Burke. Edited by Robert Willett. Houston, TX: Financial Communications Company, 185-205.
- "Challenges and Opportunities for Distributed Energy Resources in the Natural Gas Industry." (2002). In *Natural Gas and Electric Industries Analysis 2001-2002*. Edited by Robert Willett. With Martin J. Collette, Ritchie D. Priddy, and Jeffrey M. Burke. Houston, TX: Financial Communications Company, 114-131.
- 6. "The Hydropower Industry of the United States." (2000). With Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. In *Renewable Energy: Trends and Prospects*. Edited by E.W. Miller and A.I. Panah. Lafayette, PN: The Pennsylvania Academy of Science, 133-146.
- 7. "Electric Power Generation." (2000). In the *Macmillan Encyclopedia of Energy*. Edited by John Zumerchik. New York: Macmillan Reference.

PUBLICATIONS: BOOK REVIEWS

- Review of *Renewable Resources for Electric Power: Prospects and Challenges*. Raphael Edinger and Sanjay Kaul. (Westport, Connecticut: Quorum Books, 2000), pp 154. ISBN 1-56720-233-0. *Natural Resources Forum*. (2000).
- Review of *Electricity Transmission Pricing and Technology*, edited by Michael Einhorn and Riaz Siddiqi. (Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996) pp. 282. ISBN 0-7923-9643-X. *Energy Journal* 18 (1997): 146-148.

3. Review of *Electric Cooperatives on the Threshold of a New Era* by Public Utilities Reports. (Vienna, Virginia: Public Utilities Reports, 1996) pp. 232. ISBN 0-910325-63-4. *Energy Journal* 17 (1996): 161-62.

PUBLICATIONS: TRADE AND PROFESSIONAL JOURNALS

- 1. "The Impact of Globalization, Decarbonization, and Politicization: Forecasting the outlook for the energy and energy transition along the Gulf Coast. *Landman* (2023, Forthcoming, Fall Edition).
- 2. "Opportunities for Carbon Capture, Utilization and Storage in Louisiana." (2020). LOGA Industry Report. Summer: 18-21.
- 3. "The Challenges of the Regulatory Review of Diversification Mergers." (2016). With Michael W. Deupree. *Electricity Journal*. 29 (2016): 9-14.
- 4. "Unconventional Natural Gas and the U.S. Manufacturing Renaissance" (2013). *BIC Magazine*. Vol. 30: No. 2, p. 76 (March).
- 5. "Louisiana's Tuscaloosa Marine Shale Development: Emerging Resource and Economic Potentials" (2012). *Spectrum*. January-April: 18-20.
- 6. "The Impact of Legacy Lawsuits on Louisiana's Conventional Drilling Activity" (2012). LOGA Industry Report. Spring 2012: 27-34.
- 7. "Value of Production Losses Tallied for 2004-2005 Storms." (2008). With Mark J. Kaiser and Yunke Yu. *Oil and Gas Journal*. Vol. 106.27: 32-26 (July 21) (part 3 of 3).
- 8. "Model Framework Can Aid Decision on Redevelopment." (2008). With Mark J. Kaiser and Yunke Yu. *Oil and Gas Journal*. Vol. 106.26: 49-53 (July 14) (part 2 of 3).
- 9. "Field Redevelopment Economics and Storm Impact Assessment." (2008). With Mark J. Kaiser and Yunke Yu. *Oil and Gas Journal*. Vol. 106.25: 42-50 (July 7) (part 1 of 3).
- 10. "The IRS' Latest Proposal on Tax Normalization: A Pyrrhic Victory for Ratepayers," (2006). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly*. 55(1): 217-236
- 11. "Executive Compensation in the Electric Power Industry: Is It Excessive?" (2006). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 54(4): 913-940.
- 12. "Renewable Portfolio Standards in the Electric Power Industry." With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 54(3): 693-706.
- 13. "Regulating Mercury Emissions from Electric Utilities: Good Environmental Stewardship or Bad Public Policy? (2005). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 54 (2): 401-424.
- 14. "Using Industrial-Only Retail Choice as a Means of Moving Competition Forward in the Electric Power Industry." (2005). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 54(1): 211-223.
- 15. "The Nuclear Power Plant Endgame: Decommissioning and Permanent Waste Storage.

(2005). With K.E. Hughes II. Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly. 53 (4): 981-997.

- 16. "Can LNG Preserve the Gas-Power Convergence?" (2005). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 53 (3):783-796.
- 17. "Competitive Bidding as a Means of Securing Opportunities for Efficiency." (2004). With Elizabeth A. Downer. *Electricity and Natural Gas* 21 (4): 15-21.
- 18. "The Evolving Markets for Polluting Emissions: From Sulfur Dioxide to Carbon Dioxide." (2004). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 53(2): 479-494.
- 19. "The Challenges Associated with a Nuclear Power Revival: Its Past." (2004). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 53 (1): 193-211.
- 20. "Deregulation of Generating Assets and The Disposition of Excess Deferred Federal Income Taxes: A 'Catch-22' for Ratepayers." (2004). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 52: 873-891.
- 21. "Will Competitive Bidding Make a Comeback?" (2004). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 52: 659-674.
- 22. "An Electric Utility's Exposure to Future Environmental Costs: Does It Matter? You Bet!" (2003). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly*. 52: 457-469.
- 23. "White Paper or White Flag: Do FERC's Concessions Represent A Withdrawal from Wholesale Power Market Reform?" (2003). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 52: 197-207.
- 24. "Clear Skies" or Storm Clouds Ahead? The Continuing Debate over Air Pollution and Climate Change" (2003). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 51: 823-848.
- 25. "Economic Displacement Opportunities in Southeastern Power Markets." (2003). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. USAEE Dialogue. 11: 20-24.
- 26. "What's Happened to the Merchant Energy Industry? Issues, Challenges, and Outlook" (2003). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 51: 635-652.
- 27. "Is There a Role for the TVA in Post-Restructured Electric Markets?" (2002). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 51: 433-454.
- 28. "The Role of Alaska North Slope Gas in the Southcentral Alaska Regional Energy Balance." (2002). With William Nebesky and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. *Natural Gas Journal*. 19: 10-15.
- 29. "Standardizing Wholesale Markets For Energy." (2002). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 51: 207-225.
- 30. "Do Economic Activities Create Different Economic Impacts to Communities Surrounding the Gulf OCS?" (2002). With Williams O. Olatubi. *IAEE Newsletter*. Second Quarter: 16-20.
- 31. "Will Electric Restructuring Ever Get Back on Track? Texas is not California." (2002).

With K.E. Hughes II. Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly. 50: 943-960.

- 32. "An Assessment of the Role and Importance of Power Marketers." (2002). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 50: 713-731.
- 33. "The EPA v. The TVA, et. al. Over New Source Review." (2001) With K.E. Hughes, II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 50:531-543.
- 34. "Energy Policy by Crisis: Proposed Federal Changes for the Electric Power Industry." (2001). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly*. 50:235-249.
- 35. "A is for Access: A Definitional Tour Through Today's Energy Vocabulary." (2001). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 49:947-973.
- 36. "California Dreaming: Are Competitive Markets Achievable?" (2001). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 49: 743-759.
- 37. "Distributed Energy Must Be Watched As Opportunity for Gas Companies." (2001). With Martin Collette, and Ritchie D. Priddy. *Natural Gas Journal*. January: 9-16.
- 38. "Clean Air, Kyoto, and the Boy Who Cried Wolf." (2000). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly*. December: 529-540.
- 39. "Energy Conservation Programs and Electric Restructuring: Is There a Conflict?" (2000). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly*. September: 211-224.
- 40. "The Post-Restructuring Consolidation of Nuclear-Power Generation in the Electric Power Industry." (2000) With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 49: 751-765.
- 41. "Issues and Opportunities for Small Scale Electricity Production in the Oil Patch." (2000). With Ritchie D. Priddy. *American Oil and Gas Reporter*. 49: 78-82.
- 42. "Distributed Energy Resources: The Next Paradigm Shift in the Electric Power Industry." (2000). With K.E. Hughes II *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly.* 48:593-602.
- 43. "Coming to a neighborhood near you: the merchant electric power plant." (1999). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas, and Energy Quarterly.* 48:433-441.
- 44. "Slow as molasses: the political economy of electric restructuring in the south." (1999). With K.E. Hughes II. *Oil, Gas, and Energy Quarterly.* 48: 163-183.
- 45. "Stranded investment and non-utility generation." (1999). With Michael T. Maloney. *Electricity Journal*. 12: 50-61.
- 46. "Reliability or profit? Why Entergy quit the Southwest Power Pool." (1998). With Fred I. Denny. *Public Utilities Fortnightly*. February 1: 30-33.
- 47. "Electric utility mergers and acquisitions: a regulator's guide." (1996). With Kimberly H. Dismukes. *Public Utilities Fortnightly*. January 1.

PUBLICATIONS: OPINION AND EDITORIAL ARTICLES

1. "Disappointing offshore wind lease sale is first step, but development process will be long."

Baton Rouge Advocate. Friday, September 8, 2023.

- 2. "Irreparable changes are coming to American oil and gas industry". (2020). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report, Q1.
- 3. "An exceptionally uncertain time for energy markets." (2019). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report, Q4.
- 4. "LNG's changing fortunes." (2019). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report, Q3.
- 5. "A tenuous recovery." (2019). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report, Q2.
- 6. "The 2019 Gulf Coast Energy Outlook." (2019). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report, Q1.
- 7. "Why an offshore recovery may never happen." (2018). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report, Q4.
- 8. "The dangers of trade protectionism for Louisiana energy development." (2018). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report, Q3.
- 9. "The irrelevance of energy dominance." (2018). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report, Q2.
- 10. "The whys and hows of maintaining the oil price rise." (2018). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report, Q1.
- 11. "Taxing energy infrastructure." (2017). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report. Q:4.
- "A summer of discontent." (2017). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report. Q:3.
- 13. "Low cost hydrocarbons continue to benefit the Gulf Coast." (2017). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report. Q:2.
- 14. "Reading the tea leaves for 2017's crude oil markets." (2017). *10/12 Industry Report*. Baton Rouge Business Report. Q:1.
- 15. "The unappreciated role of energy infrastructure." (2016). *10/12 Industry Report*. Baton Rouge Business Report. Q:4.
- 16. "Other ways in which the energy world is changing." (2016). *10/12 Industry Report*. Baton Rouge Business Report. Q:3.
- 17. "Are oil prices bouncing back?" (2016). *Baton Rouge Business Report*, May 10 edition. (reprint of Industry Report article).
- 18. "Are we there yet? Have energy prices started to rebound?" (2016). *10/12 Industry Report*. Baton Rouge Business Report. Q:2.
- 19. Challenging Times for the South Louisiana Energy Economy. (2016). 10/12 Industry Report. Baton Rouge Business Report. Q:1.

- 20. "Reading the Signs for the Energy Complex" (2015). *10/12 Industry Report*. Baton Rouge Business Report. Q:1.
- 21. "Louisiana's Export Opportunities." (2015). *10/12 Industry Report*. Baton Rouge Business Report. September, 15.
- 22. "Don't Kill Hydraulic Fracturing: It's the Golden Goose." (2015). *Mobile Press Register*. May 22. Also carried by Alabama Media Group and the following newspapers: *Birmingham News, Huntsville Times*, and *Birmingham Magazine*.
- 23. "The Least Effective Way to Invest in Green Energy." (2014). *Wall Street Journal*. Journal Reports: Energy. New York: Dow Jones & Company, October 2.
- 24. "Stop Picking Winners and Losers." (2013). *Wall Street Journal*. Journal Reports: Energy. New York: Dow Jones & Company, June 18.

PUBLICATIONS: REPORTS AND OTHER MANUSCRIPTS

- 1. The economic implications of carbon capture and sequestration for the Gulf Coast economy: a case study of Gulf Coast Sequestration. (2022). With Gregory B. Upton and Ron Minsk. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, July, 2022. Pp. 54. Report prepared on behalf of Gulf Coast Sequestration.
- 2. Atlantic Fact Book update: onshore oil and gas infrastructure to support development in the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf region. (2022). New Orleans (LA): US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. 528 p. Contract No.: 140M0119C0008. Report No.: BOEM 2022-076.
- 3. The national importance of post-storm electricity restoration to critical energy infrastructure. (2022). With Gregory B. Upton. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, March 31, 2022. Pp. 55. Report prepared on the behalf of Entergy Corporation.
- 4. *2022 Gulf Coast Energy Outlook.* (2021). With Gregory B. Upton. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, November 2021, 29 Pp.66.
- 5. *Louisiana 2021 Greenhouse Gas Inventory*. David Dismukes (2021). On Behalf of the Governor's Office of Coastal Activities; LSU Center for Energy Studies. October 2021. Pp. 404.
- 6. *The economic impacts of Koch Methanol St. James M1* (2021). Report prepared on behalf of Koch Methanol St. James. With Gregory B. Upton. October 2021. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies.
- 7. *The economic impacts of Koch Methanol St. James M2.* (2021). Report prepared on behalf of Koch Methanol St. James. With Gregory B. Upton. October 2021. Baton Rouge LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies.
- 8. Use and limits of ecosystem services valuations in the Gulf of Mexico. With Brian Snyder, Valentine Gomez, and Sid Narra. (2020). New Orleans (LA): Department of the Interior,

Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. Contract No.: M17AC00018, Report No.: OCS Study BOEM 2020-0xx. 80 Pp.

- 9. 2021 Gulf Coast Energy Outlook. (2020). With Gregory B. Upton. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, November 2020, 29 Pp.66.
- 10. 2020 Gulf Coast Energy Outlook. (2019). With Gregory B. Upton. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, Fall 2019, 29 Pp.
- 11. *The urgency of PURPA reform to assure ratepayer protection*. (2019). Institute of Energy Research, 24 Pp.
- 12. Integrated carbon capture and storage in the Louisiana chemical corridor. (2019). With Mehdi Zeidouni, Muhammad Zulqarnain, Richard G Hughes, Keith B Hall, Brian F. Snyder, Michael Layne, Juan M Lorenzo, Chacko John, Brian Harder. National Energy Technology Laboratories/U.S. Department of Energy. 151 Pp.
- 13. *Actual Benefits of Distributed Generation in Mississippi*. (2019). Report prepared on the behalf of the Mississippi Public Service Commission. 191 Pp.
- 14. *2019 Gulf Coast Energy Outlook*. (2018). Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, Fall 2018, 28 pp.
- 15. *MISO Grid 2033: Preparing for the Transmission Grid of the Future.* (2018). Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, May 7, 87 pp.
- 16. Opportunities and challenges in using industrial CHP as a resiliency measure in Louisiana. (2017). Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, December 17, 52 pp.
- 17. Efficiency and emissions reduction opportunities at existing Louisiana combined heat and power applications. (2017). Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, December 17, 44 pp.
- Louisiana industrial combined heat and power applications: status and operations. (2017). Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, December 17, pp. 54.
- 19. *The potential economic impacts of the Washington Parish Energy Center.* (2017). With Gregory B. Upton, Jr. Report prepared on behalf of Calpine Corporation. 5 pp.
- 20. *Economic impact and re-employment assessment of PES Philadelphia refining complex.* (2017). Report prepared on behalf of Philadelphia Energy Solutions. August 31, 43 pp.
- 21. *The potential economic impacts of the Bayou Bridge Project.* (2017). With Gregory B. Upton, Jr. Report prepared on behalf of Energy Transfer, LLC. 23 pp.
- 22. *Gulf Coast energy outlook (2017)*. With Christopher Coombs, Dek Terrell, and Gregory B. Upton. Center for Energy Studies/Applied Economics Group, 18 pp.
- 23. *Potential economic impacts of the Lake Charles methanol project.* (2017). Report prepared on behalf of the Lake Charles Methanol Project, LLC. 68 pp.

- 24. Estimating the Impact of Net Metering on LPSC Jurisdictional Ratepayers. (2015). Louisiana Public Service Commission, In re: Examination of the Comprehensive Costs and Benefits of Net Metering in Louisiana, Docket No. X-33192. Notice of Issuance of Final Report dated September 11, 2015, 187 pp.
- 25. Beyond the Energy Roadmap: Starting Mississippi's Energy-Based Economic Development Venture. (2014). Report prepared on behalf of the Mississippi Energy Institute, 310 pp.
- 26. Combined Heat and Power in Louisiana: Status, Potentials, and Policies. Phase 4 Report: Policy and Market Opportunities and Challenges for CHP Development. (2013). Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. 17 pp.
- 27. Combined Heat and Power in Louisiana: Status, Potentials, and Policies. Phase 3 Report: Empirical Results, Technical and Cost-Effectiveness Potentials. (2013). Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. 65 pp.
- 28. Combined Heat and Power in Louisiana: Status, Potentials, and Policies. Phase 2 Report: Technical and Cost Effectiveness Methodologies. (2013). Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. 39 pp.
- 29. Combined Heat and Power in Louisiana: Status, Potentials, and Policies. Phase 1 Report: Resource Characterization and Database. (2013). Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. 62 pp.
- 30. Onshore Oil and Gas Infrastructure to Support Development in the Mid-Atlantic OCS Region. (2014). U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, New Orleans, LA. OCS Study BOEM 2014-657. 360 pp.
- 31. Unconventional Resources and Louisiana's Manufacturing Development Renaissance (2013). Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, 93 pp.
- 32. *Removing Big Wind's "Training Wheels:" The Case for Ending the Production Tax Credit* (2012). Washington, DC: American Energy Alliance, 19 pp.
- 33. *The Impact of Legacy Lawsuits on Conventional Oil and Gas Drilling in Louisiana*. (2012). Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, 62 pp.
- 34. Diversifying Energy Industry Risk in the GOM: Post-2004 Changes in Offshore Oil and Gas Insurance Markets. (2011) With Christopher P. Peters. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Gulf of Mexico Region, New Orleans, LA. OCS Study BOEM 2011-054. 95pp.
- OCS-Related Infrastructure Fact Book. Volume I: Post-Hurricane Impact Assessment. (2011). U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Gulf of Mexico Region, New Orleans, LA. OCS Study BOEM 2011-043. 372 pp.
- Fact Book: Offshore Oil and Gas Industry Support Sectors. (2010). U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Gulf of Mexico Region, New Orleans, LA. OCS Study BOEM 2010-042. 138pp.

- 37. The Impacts of Greenhouse Gas Regulation on the Louisiana Economy. (2011). With Michael D. McDaniel, Christopher Peters, Kathryn R. Perry, and Lauren L. Stuart. Louisiana Greenhouse Gas Inventory Project, Task 3 and 4 Report. Prepared for the Louisiana Department of Economic Development. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, 134 pp.
- 38. Overview of States' Climate Action and/or Alternative Energy Policy Measures. (2010). With Michael D. McDaniel, Christopher Peters, Kathryn R. Perry, and Lauren L. Stuart. Louisiana Greenhouse Gas Inventory Project, Task 2 Report. Prepared for the Louisiana Department of Economic Development. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, 30 pp.
- 39. Louisiana Greenhouse Gas Inventory. (2010). With Michael D. McDaniel, Christopher Peters, Kathryn R. Perry, Lauren L. Stuart, and Jordan L. Gilmore. Louisiana Greenhouse Gas Inventory Project, Task 1 Report. Prepared for the Louisiana Department of Economic Development. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, 114 pp.
- 40. *Opportunities for Geo-pressured Thermal Energy in Southwestern Louisiana*. (2010). Report prepared on behalf of Louisiana Geothermal, L.L.C, 41 pp.
- 41. Economic and Energy Market Benefits of the Proposed Cavern Expansions at the Jefferson Island Storage and Hub Facility. (2009). Report prepared on behalf of Jefferson Island Storage and Hub, LLC, 28 pp.
- 42. *The Benefits of Continued and Expanded Investments in the Port of Venice.* (2009). With Christopher Peters and Kathryn Perry. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies. 83 pp.
- Examination of the Development of Liquefied Natural Gas on the Gulf of Mexico. (2008).
 U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, New Orleans, LA OCS Study MMS 2008-017. 106 pp.
- Gulf of Mexico OCS Oil and Gas Scenario Examination: Onshore Waste Disposal. (2007).
 With Michelle Barnett, Derek Vitrano, and Kristen Strellec. OCS Report, MMS 2007-051.
 New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico Region.
- 45. *Economic Impact Analysis of the Proposed Lake Charles Gasification Project.* (2007). Report Prepared on Behalf of Leucadia Corporation.
- 46. *The Economic Impacts of New Jersey's Proposed Renewable Portfolio Standard.* (2005) Report Prepared on Behalf of the New Jersey Division of Ratepayer Advocate.
- 47. *The Importance of Energy Production and Infrastructure in Plaquemines Parish.* (2006). Report Prepared on Behalf of Project Rebuild Plaquemines.
- 48. Louisiana's Oil and Gas Industry: A Study of the Recent Deterioration in-State Drilling Activity. (2005). With Kristi A.R. Darby, Jeffrey M. Burke, and Robert H. Baumann. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana Department of Natural Resources.
- 49. Comparison of Methods for Estimating the NO_x Emission Impacts of Energy Efficiency and

Renewable Energy Projects Shreveport, Louisiana Case Study. (2005). With Adam Chambers, David Kline, Laura Vimmerstedt, Art Diem, and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. Golden, Colorado: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

- 50. *Economic Opportunities for a Limited Industrial Retail Choice Plan in Louisiana*. (2004). With Elizabeth A. Downer and Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana State University Center for Energy Studies.
- 51. *Economic Opportunities for LNG Development in Louisiana*. (2004). With Elizabeth A. Downer and Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana Department of Economic Development and Greater New Orleans, Inc.
- 52. *Marginal Oil and Gas Production in Louisiana: An Empirical Examination of State Activities and Policy Mechanisms for Stimulating Additional Production.* (2004). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov, Jeffrey M. Burke, Robert H. Baumann. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Office of Mineral Resources.
- Deepwater Program: OCS-Related Infrastructure in the Gulf of Mexico Fact Book. (2004). With Louis Berger Associates, University of New Orleans National Ports and Waterways Institute, and Research and Planning Associates. MMS Study No. 1435-01-99-CT-30955. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service.
- 54. The Power of Generation: The Ongoing Benefits of Independent Power Development in Louisiana. With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov, Jeffrey M. Burke, and Elizabeth A. Downer. Baton Rouge, LA: LSU Center for Energy Studies, 2003.
- 55. Modeling the Economic Impact of Offshore Oil and Gas Activities in the Gulf of Mexico: Methods and Application. (2003). With Williams O. Olatubi, Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov, and Allan G. Pulsipher. Prepared by the Center for Energy Studies, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA. OCS Study MMS2000-0XX. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, New Orleans, LA.
- 56. An Analysis of the Economic Impacts Associated with Oil and Gas Activities on State Leases. (2002) With Robert H. Baumann, Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov, and Allan G. Pulsipher. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Office of Mineral Resources.
- 57. *Alaska In-State Natural Gas Demand Study*. (2002). With Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, et.al. Anchorage, Alaska: Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Oil and Gas.
- 58. Moving to the Front of the Lines: The Economic Impacts of Independent Power Plant Development in Louisiana. (2001). With Dmitry Mesyanzhinov and Williams O. Olatubi. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana State University, Center for Energy Studies.
- 59. The Economic Impacts of Merchant Power Plant Development in Mississippi. (2001). Report Prepared on Behalf of the US Oil and Gas Association, Alabama and Mississippi Division. Houston, TX: Econ One Research, Inc.
- 60. *Energy Conservation and Electric Restructuring in Louisiana*. (2000). With Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, Ritchie D. Priddy, Robert F. Cope III, and Vera Tabakova. Baton Rouge,

LA: Louisiana State University, Center for Energy Studies.

- 61. Assessing the Environmental and Safety Risks of the Expanded Role of Independents in Oil and Gas E&P Operations on the U.S. Gulf of Mexico OCS. (1996). With Allan Pulsipher, Omowumi Iledare, Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, William Daniel, and Bob Baumann. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana State University, Center for Energy Studies.
- 62. *Restructuring the Electric Utility Industry: Implications for Louisiana.* (1996). With Allan Pulsipher and Kimberly H. Dismukes. Baton Rouge, LA: Louisiana State University, Center for Energy Studies.

GRANT RESEARCH

- 1. *Co-Principal Investigator* (2022). With Gregory B. Upton, Jr. Estimating the benefits of electricity restoration to critical energy infrastructure. Funded by Entergy Corporation. Total Funding: \$56,088. Status: Completed.
- 2. *Co-Principal Investigator*. (2021). With Gregory B. Upton Jr. Estimating the benefits of underground carbon dioxide storage investments. Funded by Gulf Coast Sequestration. Total Funding: \$124,835. Status: In Progress.
- 3. *Principal Investigator*. (2021). Louisiana Greenhouse Gas Inventory Update and Report. Governor's Office of Coastal Affairs. Total Funding \$65,830. Status: Completed.
- 4. *Principal Investigator*. (2021). Estimating Louisiana's power generation greenhouse gas emissions. The Nature Conservancy. Total Funding: \$9,994. Status: Completed.
- 5. *Co-Principal Investigator*. (2021). With Gregory B. Upton. Estimating the economic impacts of methanol investments in St. James Parish. Koch Industries. Total Funding: \$37,457. Status: Completed.
- 6. *Co-Principal Investigator*. (2019). With Gregory B. Upton Estimating the economic impact of TransCanada pipeline investments. TransCanada Pipelines. Total Funding: \$40,798. Status: Completed.
- 7. *Co-Principal Investigator*. (2018). With Gregory B. Upton. Estimating the economic impact of Enable Pipeline Investments. Total Funding: \$49,798. Status: Completed.
- 8. *Co-investigator*. Estimating offshore Gulf of Mexico carbon capture, sequestration, and utilization opportunities. (2018). With Southern States Energy Board, Advanced Resources International, Argonne Laboratories, University of Alabama, University of South Carolina, and Oklahoma State University. U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory. Total funding: \$731,031 (LSU share of \$4.0 million project, three years, in progress).
- Co-Principal Investigator. Planning Grant: Engineering Research Center for Resiliency Enhancement and Disaster-Impact Interception ("READII") in the Manufacturing Sector. (2018). With Mahmoud El-Halwagi, Mark Stadtherr, Heshmat Aglan, Efstratos Postikopoulus. National Science Foundation (#1840512). Total Funding: \$100,000 (one year). Status: Completed.

- 10. *Principal Investigator*. Understanding MISO long term infrastructure needs and stakeholder positions. (2017). Midcontinent Independent System Operator. Total Project: \$9,500, six months. Status: Completed.
- 11. *Principal Investigator*. Offshore oil and gas activity impacts on ecosystem services in the Gulf of Mexico. (2017). With Brian F, Snyder. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management. Total Project: \$240,982, two years. Status: Completed.
- 12. *Principal Investigator*. Economic Impacts of the Bayou Bridge pipeline. (2017). With Gregory B, Upton, Jr., Energy Transfer Corporation. \$9,900. Status: Completed.
- 13. *Principal Investigator*. Integrated carbon capture, storage and utilization in the Louisiana chemical corridor. (2017). U.S, Department of Energy/National Energy Technology Laboratory. Total funding: \$1,300,000 (18 months). Status: Completed.
- Co-Principal Investigator. Gulf coast energy outlook and analysis. (2016). With Gregory B. Upton and Mallory Vachon. Regions Bank. Total funding: \$20,000, one year. Status: Completed.
- Principal Investigator. GOM energy infrastructure trends and factbook update. (2016). With Gregory B. Upton and Mallory Vachon. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management ("BOEM"). Total funding: \$224,995, two years. Status: In progress.
- 16. *Principal Investigator*. Examining Louisiana's Industrial Carbon Sequestration Potential. Phase 2: Follow-up and estimation. (2016). With Brian F. Snyder. Southern States Energy Board. Total Project: \$69,990, three months. Status: Completed.
- 17. *Principal Investigator*. Examining Louisiana's Industrial Carbon Sequestration Potential. Phase 1: Scoping and Identification. (2016). With Brian F. Snyder. Southern States Energy Board. Total Project: \$29,919, three months. Status: Completed.
- 18. *Principal Investigator*. Energy efficiency building codes for Louisiana. (2016). With Brian F. Snyder. Louisiana Department of Natural Resources. Total Project: \$50,000, one year. Status: Completed.
- 19. *Principal Investigator*. An update of Louisiana's combined heat and power potentials, current utilizations, and barriers to improved operating efficiencies. (2016). Louisiana Department of Natural Resources. Total Project: \$90,000, one year. Status: Completed.
- 20. *Principal Investigator*. Combined Heat and Power Stakeholder Meeting. (2016). Southeastern Energy Efficiency Council. Total Project \$9,160, two months. Status: Completed.
- 21. *Co-Investigator*. "Expanding Ecosystem Service Provisioning from Coastal Restoration to Minimize Environmental and Energy Constraints" (2015). With John Day and Chris D'Elia. Gulf Research Program. Total Project: \$147,937. Status: Completed.
- 22. *Principal Investigator*. "Coastal Marine Institute Administrative Grant" (2104). U.S. Department of the Interior. Total Project \$45,000. Status: Completed.

- 23. *Principal Investigator*. "Analysis of the Potential for Combined Heat and Power (CHP) in Louisiana." (2013). Louisiana Department of Natural Resources. Total Project: \$90,000. Status: Completed.
- 24. *Co-Investigator*. "CNH: A Tale of Two Louisianas: Coupled Natural-Human Dynamics in a Vulnerable Coastal System" (2013) With Nina Lam, Margaret Reams, Kam-Biu Liu, Victor Rivera, Yi-Jun Xu and Kelley Pace. National Science Foundation. Total Project: \$1.5 million. Status: Completed (Sept 2012-Feb 2017).
- 25. *Principal Investigator*. "Examination of Unconventional Natural Gas and Industrial Economic Development" (2012). America's Natural Gas Alliance. Total Project: \$48,210. Status: Completed.
- 26. *Principal Investigator.* "Investigation of the Potential Economic Impacts Associated with Shell's Proposed Gas-To-Liquids Project" (2012). Shell Oil Company, North America. Total Project: \$76,708. Status: Completed.
- 27. *Principal Investigator*. "Analysis of the Federal Wind Energy Production Tax Credit." American Energy Alliance. Total Project: \$20,000. Status: Completed.
- 28. *Principal Investigator*. "Energy Sector Impacts Associated with the Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill." Louisiana Department of Economic Development. Total Project: approximately \$50,000. Status: Completed.
- 29. *Principal Investigator*. "Economic Contributions and Benefits Support by the Port of Venice." Port of Venice Coalition. Total Project: \$20,000. Status: Completed.
- 30. *Principal Investigator*. "Energy Policy Development in Louisiana." Louisiana Department of Natural Resources. Total Project: \$150,000. Status: Completed.
- 31. *Principal Investigator.* "Preparing Louisiana for the Possible Federal Regulation of Greenhouse Gas Regulation." With Michael D. McDaniel. Louisiana Department of Economic Development. Total Project: \$98,543. Status: Completed.
- 32. *Principal Investigator*. "OCS Studies Review: Louisiana and Texas Oil and Gas Activity and Production Forecast; Pipeline Position Paper; and Geographical Units for Observing and Modeling Socioeconomic Impact of Offshore Activity." (2008). With Mark J. Kaiser and Allan G. Pulsipher. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project: \$377,917 (3 years). Status: Completed.
- 33. *Principal Investigator*. "State and Local Level Fiscal Effects of the Offshore Petroleum Industry." (2007). With Loren C. Scott. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project: \$241,216 (2.5 years). Status: Completed.
- 34. *Principal Investigator*. "Understanding Current and Projected Gulf OCS Labor and Ports Needs." (2007). With Allan. G. Pulsipher, Kristi A. R. Darby. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project: \$169,906. (one year). Status: Completed.
- 35. *Principal Investigator*. "Structural Shifts and Concentration of Regional Economic Activity Supporting GOM Offshore Oil and Gas Activities." (2007). With Allan. G.

Pulsipher, Michelle Barnett. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project: \$78,374 (one year). Status: Awarded, Completed.

- 36. *Principal Investigator*. "Plaquemine Parish's Role in Supporting Critical Energy Infrastructure and Production." (2006). With Seth Cureington. Plaquemines Parish Government, Office of the Parish President and Plaquemines Association of Business and Industry. Total Project: \$18,267. Status: Completed.
- Principal Investigator. "Diversifying Energy Industry Risk in the Gulf of Mexico." (2006).
 With Kristi A. R. Darby. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project: \$65,302 (two years). Status: Awarded, Completed.
- 38. *Principal Investigator*. "Post-Hurricane Assessment of OCS-Related Infrastructure and Communities in the Gulf of Mexico Region." (2006). U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project Funding: \$244,837. Status: Completed.
- Principal Investigator. "Ultra-Deepwater Road Mapping Process." (2005). With Kristi A. R. Darby, Subcontract with the Texas A&M University, Department of Petroleum Engineering. Funded by the Gas Technology Institute. Total Project Funding: \$15,000. Status: Completed.
- 40. *Principal Investigator*. "An Examination of the Opportunities for Drilling Incentives on State Leases." (2004). With Robert H. Baumann and Kristi A. R. Darby. Louisiana Office of Mineral Resources. Total Project Funding: \$75,000. Status: Completed.
- 41. *Principal Investigator*. "An Examination on the Development of Liquefied Natural Gas Facilities on the Gulf of Mexico." (2004). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov and Mark J. Kaiser. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project Funding \$101,054. Status: Completed.
- 42. *Principal Investigator*. "Examination of the Economic Impacts Associated with Large Customer, Industrial Retail Choice." (2004). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil and Gas Association. Total Project Funding: \$37,000. Status: Completed.
- Principal Investigator. "Economic Opportunities from LNG Development in Louisiana." (2003). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. Metrovision/New Orleans Chamber of Commerce and the Louisiana Department of Economic Development. Total Project Funding: \$25,000. Status: Completed.
- 44. *Principal Investigator*. "Marginal Oil and Gas Properties on State Leases in Louisiana: An Empirical Examination and Policy Mechanisms for Stimulating Additional Production." (2002). With Robert H. Baumann and Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. Louisiana Office of Mineral Resources. Total Project Funding: \$72,000. Status: Completed.
- 45. *Principal Investigator*. "A Collaborative Investigation of Baseline and Scenario Information for Environmental Impact Statements." (2002). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov and Williams O. Olatubi. U.S. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project Funding: \$557,744. Status: Awarded, In Progress.

- 46. *Co-Principal Investigator*. "An Analysis of the Economic Impacts of Drilling and Production Activities on State Leases." (2002). With Robert H. Baumann, Allan G. Pulsipher, and Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. Louisiana Office of Mineral Resources. Total Project Funding: \$8,000. Status: Completed.
- 47. *Principal Investigator*. "Cost Profiles and Cost Functions for Gulf of Mexico Oil and Gas Development Phases for Input Output Modeling." (1998). With Dmitry Mesyanzhinov and Allan G. Pulsipher. U.S. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project Funding: \$244,956. Status: Completed.
- 48. *Principal Investigator*. "An Economic Impact Analysis of OCS Activities on Coastal Louisiana." (1998). With Dmitry Mesyanzhinov and David Hughes. U.S. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service. Total Project Funding: \$190,166. Status: Completed.
- Principal Investigator. "Energy Conservation and Electric Restructuring in Louisiana." (1997). Louisiana Department of Natural Resources." Petroleum Violation Escrow Program Funds. Total Project Funding: \$43,169. Status: Completed.
- 50. *Principal Investigator*. "The Industrial Supply of Electricity: Commercial Generation, Self-Generation, and Industry Restructuring." (1996). With Andrew Kleit. Louisiana Energy Enhancement Program, LSU Office of Research and Development. Total Project Funding: \$19,948. Status: Completed.
- 51. *Co-Principal Investigator*. "Assessing the Environmental and Safety Risks of the Expanded Role of Independents in Oil and Gas E&P Operations on the U.S. Gulf of Mexico OCS." (1996). With Allan Pulsipher, Omowumi Iledare, Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, William Daniel, and Bob Baumann. U.S. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service, Grant Number 95-0056. Total Project Funding: \$109,361. Status: Completed.

ACADEMIC CONFERENCE PAPERS/PRESENTATIONS

- 1. "The changing nature of Gulf of Mexico energy infrastructure." (2017). Session 3B: New Directions in Social Science Research. 27th Gulf of Mexico Region Information Technology Meetings. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Environmental Studies Program. New Orleans, LA. August 24.
- "Capacity utilization, efficiency trends, and economic risks for modern CHP installations." (2017). U.S. Department of Energy, 2017 Industrial Energy Technology Conference, New Orleans, LA June 21.
- 3. "Vulnerability assessment of the central Gulf of Mexico coast using a multi-dimensional approach." (2016). With Siddhartha Narra. Eighth International Conference on Environmental Science and Technology. June 6-10, Houston, TX.
- 4. "The Impact of Infrastructure Cost Recovery Mechanisms on Pipeline Replacements and Leaks." (2015). With Gregory Upton. Southern Economic Association Meeting 2015. New Orleans, Louisiana. November 23.

- "The Impact of Infrastructure Cost Recovery Mechanisms on Pipeline Replacements and Leaks" (2015). With Gregory Upton. 38th IAEE International Conference, Antalya, Turkey. May 26.
- 6. "Modifying Renewables Policies to Sustain Positive Economic and Environmental Change" (2015). IEEE Annual Green Technologies ("Greentech") Conference. April 17.
- 7. "The Gulf Coast Industrial Investment Renaissance and New CHP Development Opportunities." (2014). Industrial Energy and Technology Conference, New Orleans, Louisiana. May 20.
- 8. "Estimating Critical Energy Infrastructure Value at Risk from Coastal Erosion" (2014). With Siddhartha Narra. American's Estuaries: 7th Annual Summit on Coastal and Estuarine Habitat Restoration. Washington, D.C., November 3-6.
- 9. "Economies of Scale, Learning Curves, and Offshore Wind Development Costs" (2012). With Gregory Upton. Southern Economic Association Annual Conference, New Orleans, LA November 17.
- 10. "Analysis of Risk and Post-Hurricane Reaction." (2009). 25th Annual Information Transfer Meeting. U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. January 7.
- "Legacy Litigation, Regulation, and Other Determinants of Interstate Drilling Activity Differentials." (2008). With Christopher Peters and Mark Kaiser. 28th Annual USAEE/IAEE North American Conference: Unveiling the Future of Future of Energy Frontiers. New Orleans, LA, December 3.
- 12. "Gulf Coast Energy Infrastructure Renaissance: Overview." (2008). 28th Annual USAEE/IAEE North American Conference: Unveiling the Future of Future of Energy Frontiers. New Orleans, LA, December 3.
- 13. "Understanding the Impacts of Katrina and Rita on Energy Industry Infrastructure." (2008). American Chemical Society National Meetings, New Orleans, Louisiana. April 7.
- 14. "Determining the Economic Value of Coastal Preservation and Restoration on Critical Energy Infrastructure." (2007). With Kristi A. R. Darby and Michelle Barnett. International Association for Energy Economics, Wellington, New Zealand, February 19.
- "Regulatory Issues in Rate Design, Incentives, and Energy Efficiency." (2007). 34th Annual Public Utilities Research Center Conference, University of Florida. Gainesville, FL. February 16.
- "An Examination of LNG Development on the Gulf of Mexico." (2007). With Kristi A.R. Darby. US Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. 24th Annual Information Technology Meeting. New Orleans, LA. January 9.
- "OCS-Related Infrastructure on the GOM: Update and Summary of Impacts." (2007). U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service. 24th Annual Information Technology Meeting. New Orleans, LA. January 10.

- "The Economic Value of Coastal Preservation and Restoration on Critical Energy Infrastructure." (2006). With Michelle Barnett. Third National Conference on Coastal and Estuarine Habitat Restoration. Restore America's Estuaries. New Orleans, Louisiana, December 11.
- "The Impact of Implementing a 20 Percent Renewable Portfolio Standard in New Jersey." (2006). With Seth E. Cureington. Mid-Continent Regional Science Association 37th Annual Conference, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana, June 9.
- 20. "The Impacts of Hurricane Katrina and Rita on Energy infrastructure Along the Gulf Coast." (2006). Environment Canada: 2006 Artic and Marine Oilspill Program. Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.
- 21. "Hurricanes, Energy Markets, and Energy Infrastructure in the Gulf of Mexico: Experiences and Lessons Learned." (2006). With Kristi A.R. Darby and Seth E. Cureington. 29th Annual IAEE International Conference, Potsdam, Germany, June 9.
- 22. "An Examination of the Opportunities for Drilling Incentives on State Leases in Louisiana." (2005). With Kristi A.R. Darby. 28th Annual IAEE International Conference, Taipei, Taiwan (June).
- 23. "Fiscal Mechanisms for Stimulating Oil and Gas Production on Marginal Leases." (2004). With Jeffrey M. Burke. International Association of Energy Economics Annual Conference, Washington, D.C. (July).
- 24. "GIS and Applied Economic Analysis: The Case of Alaska Residential Natural Gas Demand." (2003). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. Presented at the Joint Meeting of the East Lakes and West Lakes Divisions of the Association of American Geographers in Kalamazoo, MI, October 16-18.
- 25. "Are There Any In-State Uses for Alaska Natural Gas?" (2002). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov and William E. Nebesky. IAEE/USAEE 22nd Annual North American Conference: "Energy Markets in Turmoil: Making Sense of It All." Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. October 7.
- "The Economic Impact of State Oil and Gas Leases on Louisiana." (2002). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov. 2002 National IMPLAN Users' Conference. New Orleans, Louisiana, September 4-6.
- "Moving to the Front of the Lines: The Economic Impact of Independent Power Plant Development in Louisiana." (2002). With Dmitry V. Mesyanzhinov and Williams O. Olatubi. 2002 National IMPLAN Users' Conference. New Orleans, Louisiana, September 4-6.
- "New Consistent Approach to Modeling Regional Economic Impacts of Offshore Oil and Gas Activities in the Gulf of Mexico." (2002). With Vicki Zatarain. 2002 National IMPLAN Users' Conference. New Orleans, Louisiana, September 4-6.

- 29. "Distributed Energy Resources, Energy Efficiency, and Electric Power Industry Restructuring." (1999). American Society of Environmental Science Fourth Annual Conference. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. December.
- 30. "Estimating Efficiency Opportunities for Coal Fired Electric Power Generation: A DEA Approach." (1999). With Williams O. Olatubi. Southern Economic Association Sixtyninth Annual Conference. New Orleans, November.
- 31. "Applied Approaches to Modeling Regional Power Markets." (1999.) With Robert F. Cope. Southern Economic Association Sixty-ninth Annual Conference. New Orleans, November 1999.
- 32. "Parametric and Non-Parametric Approaches to Measuring Efficiency Potentials in Electric Power Generation." (1999). With Williams O. Olatubi. International Atlantic Economic Society Annual Conference, Montreal, October.
- "Asymmetric Choice and Customer Benefits: Lessons from the Natural Gas Industry." (1999). With Rachelle F. Cope and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. International Association of Energy Economics Annual Conference. Orlando, Florida. August.
- 34. "Modeling Regional Power Markets and Market Power." (1999). With Robert F. Cope. Western Economic Association Annual Conference. San Diego, California. July.
- 35. "Economic Impact of Offshore Oil and Gas Activities on Coastal Louisiana" (1999). With Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. Annual Meeting of the Association of American Geographers. Honolulu, Hawaii. March.
- "Empirical Issues in Electric Power Transmission and Distribution Cost Modeling." (1998). With Robert F. Cope and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. Southern Economic Association. Sixty-Eighth Annual Conference. Baltimore, Maryland. November.
- "Modeling Electric Power Markets in a Restructured Environment." (1998). With Robert F. Cope and Dan Rinks. International Association for Energy Economics Annual Conference. Albuquerque, New Mexico. October.
- 38. "Benchmarking Electric Utility Distribution Performance." (1998) With Robert F. Cope and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. Western Economic Association, Seventy-sixth Annual Conference. Lake Tahoe, Nevada. June.
- 39. "Power System Operations, Control, and Environmental Protection in a Restructured Electric Power Industry." (1998). With Fred I. Denny. IEEE Large Engineering Systems Conference on Power Engineering. Nova Scotia, Canada. June.
- 40. "Benchmarking Electric Utility Transmission Performance." (1997). With Robert F. Cope and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. Southern Economic Association, Sixty-seventh Annual Conference. Atlanta, Georgia. November 21-24.
- 41. "A Non-Linear Programming Model to Estimate Stranded Generation Investments in a Deregulated Electric Utility Industry." (1997). With Robert F. Cope and Dan Rinks. Institute for Operations Research and Management Science Annual Conference. Dallas Texas. October 26-29.

- 42. "New Paradigms for Power Engineering Education." (1997). With Fred I. Denny. International Association of Science and Technology for Development, High Technology in the Power Industry Conference. Orlando, Florida. October 27-30
- 43. "Cogeneration and Electric Power Industry Restructuring." (1997). With Andrew N. Kleit. Western Economic Association, Seventy-fifth Annual Conference. Seattle, Washington. July 9-13.
- 44. "The Unintended Consequences of the Public Utilities Regulatory Policies Act of 1978."
 (1997). National Policy History Conference on the Unintended Consequences of Policy Decisions. Bowling Green State University. Bowling Green, Ohio. June 5-7.
- 45. "Assessing Environmental and Safety Risks of the Expanding Role of Independents in E&P Operations on the Gulf of Mexico OCS." (1996). With Allan Pulsipher, Omowumi Iledare, Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, and Bob Baumann. U.S. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service, 16th Annual Information Transfer Meeting. New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 46. "Empirical Modeling of the Risk of a Petroleum Spill During E&P Operations: A Case Study of the Gulf of Mexico OCS." (1996). With Omowumi Iledare, Allan Pulsipher, and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. Southern Economic Association, Sixty-Sixth Annual Conference. Washington, D.C.
- 47. "Input Price Fluctuations, Total Factor Productivity, and Price Cap Regulation in the Telecommunications Industry" (1996). With Farhad Niami. Southern Economic Association, Sixty-Sixth Annual Conference. Washington, D.C.
- 48. "Recovery of Stranded Investments: Comparing the Electric Utility Industry to Other Recently Deregulated Industries" (1996). With Farhad Niami and Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. Southern Economic Association, Sixty-Sixth Annual Conference. Washington, D.C.
- 49. "Spatial Perspectives on the Forthcoming Deregulation of the U.S. Electric Utility Industry." (1996) With Dmitry Mesyanzhinov. Southwest Association of American Geographers Annual Meeting. Norman, Oklahoma.
- 50. "Comparing the Safety and Environmental Performance of Offshore Oil and Gas Operators." (1995). With Allan Pulsipher, Omowumi Iledare, Dmitry Mesyanzhinov, William Daniel, and Bob Baumann. U.S. Department of Interior, Minerals Management Service, 15th Annual Information Transfer Meeting. New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 51. "Empirical Determinants of Nuclear Power Plant Disallowances." (1995). Southern Economic Association, Sixty-Fifth Annual Conference. New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 52. "A Cross-Sectional Model of IntraLATA MTS Demand." (1995). Southern Economic Association, Sixty-Fifth Annual Conference. New Orleans, Louisiana.

ACADEMIC SEMINARS AND PRESENTATIONS

- 1. Panelist. "Fuel Security, Resource Adequacy & Value of Transmission." (2019). 6th Annual Electricity Dialogue at Northwestern University: Energy and Capacity: Transitions? Northwestern University Center of Law, Regulation, and Economic Growth.
- 2. "Air Emissions Regulation and Policy: The Recently Proposed Cross State Air Pollution Rule and the Implications for Louisiana Power Generation." Lecture before School of the Coast & Environment. November 5, 2011.
- 3. "Energy Regulation: Overview of Power and Gas Regulation." Lecture before School of the Coast & Environment, Course in Energy Policy and Law. October 5, 2009.
- 4. "Trends and Issues in Renewable Energy." Presentation before the School of the Coast & Environment, Louisiana State University. Spring Guest Lecture Series. May 4, 2007.
- 5. "CES Research Projects and Status." Presentation before the U.S. Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Outer Continental Shelf Scientific Committee Meeting, New Orleans, LA May 22, 2007.
- 6. "Hurricane Impacts on Energy Production and Infrastructure." Presentation Before the 53rd Mineral Law Institute, Louisiana State University. April 7, 2006.
- "Trends and Issues in the Natural Gas Industry and the Development of LNG: Implications for Louisiana. (2004) 51st Mineral Law Institute, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA. April 2, 2004.
- 8. "Electric Restructuring and Conservation." (2001). Presentation before the Department of Electrical Engineering, McNesse State University. Lake Charles, Louisiana. May 2, 2001.
- 9. "Electric Restructuring and the Environment." (1998). Environment 98: Science, Law, and Public Policy. Tulane University. Tulane Environmental Law Clinic. March 7, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 10. "Electric Restructuring and Nuclear Power." (1997). Louisiana State University. Department of Nuclear Science. November 7, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- "The Empirical Determinants of Co-generated Electricity: Implications for Electric Power Industry Restructuring." (1997). With Andrew N. Kleit. Florida State University. Department of Economics: Applied Microeconomics Workshop Series. October 17, Tallahassee, Florida.

PROFESSIONAL AND CIVIC PRESENTATIONS

- 1. "The role and outlook for CCS in Louisiana energy manufacturing development." (2024). GINP-CCS International Network. February 20, 2024.
- 2. "Louisiana energy manufacturing development outlook and the energy transition." (2024). Greater Baton Rouge Industry Alliance. February 1, 2024.

- 3. "Gulf Coast Energy Outlook 2024." (2023). LSU Center for Energy Studies, Baton Rouge, LA, Fall 2023.
- 4. "Louisiana clean, green industry: reconciling industrial decarbonization, capital formation, and growth." (2023). Louisiana State Bar Association, Public Utility Section. December 1, 2023.
- 5. "Expert witness training: considerations for preparation and effective execution during public utility regulatory hearings and proceedings." (2023). On the Behalf of the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, Accounting and Finance Subcommittee. September 21, 2023.
- 6. "Gulf cost energy outlook: traditional resources and the energy transition." (2023). AAPL/Gulf Coast Land Institute Meetings. April 26, 2023.
- 7. "Ratepayer considerations in the promotion of clean energy." (2023). Public Utility Law Section Roundtable Discussion. April 21, 2023.
- 8. "Gulf coast energy outlook: traditional resources and the energy transition." (2023). Louisiana Engineering Society. April 19, 2023.
- 9. "Carbon capture & storage: three thoughts and considerations." (2023). Gulf Coast Power Association. 9th Annual MISO/SPP Conference. March 9, 2023.
- 10. "Natural gas markets: prices; trends; and ratepayer impacts." (2023). Maryland Energy Advocates Virtual Monthly Meeting. February 17, 2023.
- 11. "Hydrogen overview and its role in Louisiana decarbonization." (2022). Louisiana Public Service Commission Monthly Business & Executive Meeting. November 17, 2022.
- 12. "High winter natural gas prices and ratepayer impacts." (2022). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA") Annual Conference. November 14, 2022.
- 13. "Facing the future together: the Louisiana energy transition, industrial decarbonization, and capital formation trends." (2022). Louisiana Chemical Association: Annual Meeting 2022. October 27, 2022.
- 14. "Louisiana and the energy transition: reconciling industrial decarbonization, capital formation, and growth." (2022). Louisiana Air and Waste Management 2022 Annual Meeting. October 26, 2022.
- 15. "The Louisiana energy transition, industrial decarbonization, and industrial capital formation trends." (2022). Postlethwaite & Netterville: 2022 Governmental Update. August 4, 2022.
- 16. "Identifying and mapping regulatory requirements for CCUS projects." (2022). SECARB Offshore GOM Gulf Regulator Workshop. New Orleans LA. May 16, 2022.
- 17. "Louisiana industrial decarbonization opportunities." (2022). Louisiana Chemical Association/Louisiana Chemical Industry Alliance Legislative Meeting. May 11, 2022. Baton Rouge, LA.

- "Natural Gas outlook, 2022: supply, demand, and geopolitical considerations." (2022). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA") Monthly Natural Gas Committee Webinar. March 30, 2022.
- 19. "Louisiana industrial decarbonization opportunities." (2022). LSU Law School, Journal of Energy Law and Resources Symposium on Energy Transitions. February 4, 2022. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 20. Panelist. Grid Resiliency in the Era of Extreme Weather. Gulf Coast Power Association 8th Annual MISO/SPP Regional Meeting. February 9, 2022. New Orleans, LA.
- 21. Panelist. Natural Gas Industry Update. (2022). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Annual Meeting. (virtual). November 8, 2021.
- 22. "Overview of Louisiana's greenhouse gas emissions and trends." (2021). Louisiana Energy Users Group ("LEUG") Meeting. November 11, 2021.
- 23. "State of energy in Louisiana: a preview of the 2021 Gulf Coast Energy Outlook." (2021). Financial Planning Association of Baton Rouge. November 10, 2021.
- 24. "Replacing natural gas and industrial decarbonization: utility and ratemaking issues." (2021). Virtual Joint Annual Meeting: Virginia Committee for Fair Utility Rates, Old Dominion Committee for Fair Utility Rates, and Virginia Industrial Gas Users Group Workshop. September 8, 2021.
- 25. "Louisiana 2021 GHG Inventory: Update and summary of preliminary findings." (2021). Presentation before the Climate Initiative Task Force. July 29, 2021.
- 26. "Opportunities for the development of a hydrogen economy in Louisiana." (2021). Louisiana Energy Climate Solutions Workshop. June 15, 2021.
- 27. "Natural gas: Building gas system resilience. Overview of the 2021 polar vortex and its implications for gas resiliency." (2021). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA"). Virtual mid-year meeting. June 14, 2021.
- "Status and briefing on the Louisiana greenhouse gas inventory and emissions analysis." (2021). Scientific Advisory Group ("SAG") Meeting, Governor's Climate Initiative Task Force. March 29, 2021.
- 29. "Louisiana carbon capture: sinks; sources; and the role of transportation in industrial applications." (2021). LSU Journal of Energy Law & Resources Symposium on Carbon Capture and Solutions. February 5, 2021.
- 30. "Natural gas outlook, 2021: production, demand, pandemic and policy." (2021). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA") Monthly Natural Gas Committee Webinar. January 20, 2021.
- 31. "Consumer Perspectives on the Rate Design of the Future." (2020). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA"). Annual Conference, November 10.

- 32. "Evaluation of Louisiana's Depleted Gas Reservoirs for Geological Carbon Sequestration." (2020). Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil and Gas Association ("LMOGA") Carbon Capture and Underground Storage ("CCUS") Committee Meeting. August 25.
- 33. "The 2020 Gulf Coast Energy Outlook: COVID-19 update." (2020). Baton Rouge Area Chamber of Commerce Business Webinar. COVID-19 and Global Supply Impacts on the Capital Region and Louisiana Economies. Baton Rouge, LA. June 3.
- 34. "Ratepayer benefits of reforming PURPA". (2020). Harvard Electricity Policy Group Webinar. PURPA: A time to reform or reduce its role? March 26.
- 35. "Pipeline industry: economic trends and outlook". (2020). Joint Industry Association Annual Meeting. Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil and Gas Association ("LMOGA") and the Louisiana Oil and Gas Association ("LOGA"). Lake Charles, LA March 5.
- "The outlook for natural gas: storm clouds ahead?" (2020). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA"). Natural Gas Committee Webinar, February 26.
- 37. "The 2020 Gulf Coast Energy Outlook". (2020). University of Louisiana Lafayette, Southern Unconventional Resources Center for Excellence. Lafayette, LA February 16.
- 38. "Opportunities for carbon capture, utilization, and storage in the Louisiana chemical corridor". (2020). Air and Waste Management Association, Louisiana Section Luncheon. Gonzales, LA January 16.
- 39. Panelist. (2020). Baton Route Advocate, 2020 Economic Outlook Summit. Baton Rouge Advocate. January 8.
- 40. "2020 Louisiana business climate outlook: the view from the energy sector." (2019). American Council of Engineering Companies Fall Conference. November 21, 2019. Baton Rouge, LA
- 41. "The urgency of PURPA reform in protecting ratepayers." (2019). Americans for Tax Reform, Fall 2019 Coalition Leaders Summit, November 14, 2019. New Orleans, LA.
- 42. "Louisiana's coast and the energy industry." (2019). 2019 API Delta Chapter Joint Society Luncheon Meeting. November 12, 2019, New Orleans, LA.
- 43. "Reforming PURPA: implications for ratepayers." (2019). Thomas Jefferson Institute for Public Policy, Annual Energy Summit, State Policy Network Annual Meeting. Colorado Springs, CO, October 28.
- 44. "Natural gas outlook: supply, demand and prices." (2019). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, Natural Gas Committee Monthly Meeting. July 30, 2019.
- 45. "The economic impacts and outlook for LNG development on the Gulf Coast." (2019). 73rd Annual Meeting of the Southern Legislative Conference of the Council of State Governments. New Orleans, LA, July 14. (prepared presentation, hurricane cancellation)
- 46. "Natural gas outlook: supply, demand, and prices." (2019). NASUCA Mid-Year Meeting. Portland, OR, June 20.

- 47. "Overview of Louisiana LNG issues and trends." (2019). Berlin: LNG, Energy Security, and Diversity Reporting Tour, LSU Center for Energy Studies. Baton Rouge, LA, May 9.
- 48. "Overview of Louisiana energy issues and outlook." (2019). Australian Media Visit, Greater New Orleans, Inc./Baton Rouge Area Foundation. Baton Rouge, LA, April 29.
- 49. "Gulf Coast Energy Outlook 2019: Regional trends and outlook." (2019). Women's Energy Network. Baton Rouge, LA, April 23.
- 50. "MISO Grid Vision 2033." (2019). 2019 Spring Regulator and Policymaker Forum. New Orleans, LA, April 15-16.
- 51. "Ratepayer benefits of reforming PURPA." (2019). LSU Center for Energy Studies Industry Advisory Council Meeting. March 27.
- 52. "Incentives, risk, and the changing nature of regulation." (2019). NASUCA Water Committee monthly meeting/webinar. March 13.
- "Gulf Coast Energy Outlook 2019: Production, trade and infrastructure trends." (2019).
 66th Annual Mineral Board Institute Meetings. Baton Rouge, LA, March 14.
- 54. "A golden age: energy outlook 2019." (2019). Engineering News Record Webinar. February 13.
- 55. Panelist. (2019). Baton Route Advocate, 2019 Economic Outlook Summit. Baton Rouge Advocate. January 8.
- 56. "MISO Grid Vision 2033." (2018). 2018 Winter Regulatory and Policymaker Forum. New Orleans, LA, December 11.
- 57. "Gulf Coast Energy Outlook 2019." (2018). LSU Center for Energy Studies, Baton Rouge, LA, Fall 2018.
- 58. "How LNG is transforming Louisiana's energy economy." (2018). Louisiana State Bar Association, Public Utility Section. Baton Rouge, LA, November 30.
- 59. "Overview of Louisiana LNG issues and trends." (2018). Kean Miller Law Firm: Energy and Environmental Practice Group. Baton Rouge, LA, November 28.
- 60. "Infrastructure and capacity: challenges for development." (2018). Society of Utility and Regulatory Financial Analysts (SURFA) Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA, April 20.
- 61. "Louisiana industrial cogeneration trends." (2018). Annual Louisiana Solid Waste Association Conference, Lafayette, LA, March 16.
- 62. "Gulf Coast industrial development: overview of trends and issues." (2018). Gulf Coast Power Association Meetings, New Orleans, LA, February 8.
- "Energy outlook reflection on market trends and Louisiana implications." (2017). IberiaBank Corporation Bank Board of Directors Meeting, New Orleans, LA. November 15.

- 64. "Integrated carbon capture and storage in the Louisiana chemical corridor." (2017). Industry Associates Advisory Council Meeting, Baton Rouge, LA. November 7.
- 65. "The outlook for natural gas and energy development on the Gulf Coast." (2017). Louisiana Chemical Association, Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA. October 26.
- 66. "Critical energy infrastructure: the big picture on resiliency research." (2017). National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine. New Orleans, LA. September 18.
- 67. "The changing nature of Gulf of Mexico energy infrastructure." (2017). 27th Gulf of Mexico Region Information Technology Meetings, New Orleans, LA, August 24.
- 68. "Capacity utilization, efficiency trends, and economic risks for modern CHP installations." (2017). Industrial Energy Technology Conference, New Orleans, LA. June 21.
- 69. "Crude oil and natural gas outlook: Where are we and where are we going?" (2017). CCREDC Economic Trends Panel. Corpus Christi, TX, June 15.
- 70. "Navigating through the energy landscape." (2017). Baton Rouge Rotary Luncheon. Baton Rouge, LA, May 24.
- 71. "The 2017-2018 Louisiana energy outlook." (2017). Junior Achievement of Greater New Orleans, JA BizTown Speaker Series. New Orleans, LA, May 12.
- 72. "The Gulf Coast energy economy: trends and outlook." (2017). Society for Municipal Analysts. New Orleans, LA, April 21.
- 73. "Gulf coast energy outlook." (2017). E.J. Ourso College of Business, Dean's Advisory Council, Energy Committee Meeting. Baton Rouge, LA, March 31.
- 74. "Recent trends in energy: overview and impact for the banking community." (2017). Oil and Gas Industry Update, Louisiana Bankers Association. Baton Rouge, LA, March 24.
- 75. "How supply, demand and prices have influenced unconventional development." (2016). Energy Annual Meeting, CLEER-University Advisory Board Lecture. New Orleans, LA, September 17.
- 76. "The Basics of Natural Gas Production, Transportation, and Markets." (2016). Center for Energy Studies. Baton Rouge, LA, August 1.
- 77. "Gulf Coast industrial development: trends and outlook." (2016). Investor Relations Group Meeting, Edison Electric Institute. New Orleans, LA, June 23.
- "The future of policy and regulation: Unlocking the Treasures of Utility Regulation." (2016). Annual Meeting, National Conference of Regulatory Attorneys. Tampa, FL, June 20.
- 79. "Utility mergers: where's the beef?". (2016). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Mid-Year Meetings. New Orleans, LA, June 6.
- 80. "Overview of the Clean Power Plan and its application to Louisiana." (2016). Shell Oil Company Internal Meeting. April 12.

- 81. "Energy and economic development on the Gulf Coast: trends and emerging challenges." (2016). Gas Processors Association Meeting. New Orleans, LA, April 11.
- 82. "Unconventional Oil and Gas Drilling Trends and Issues." (2016). French Delegation Visit, LSU Center for Energy Studies. March 16.
- 83. "Gulf Coast Industrial Growth: Passing clouds or storms on the horizon?" (2016). Gulf Coast Power Association Meetings. New Orleans, LA, February 18.
- 84. "The Transition to Crisis: What do the recent changes in energy markets mean for Louisiana?" (2016). Louisiana Independent Study Group. February 2.
- 85. "Regulatory and Ratepayer Issues in the Analysis of Utility Natural Gas Reserves Purchases" (2016). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Gas Consumer Monthly Meeting. January 25.
- 86. "Emerging Issues in Fuel Procurement: Opportunities & Challenges in Natural Gas Reserves Investment." (2015). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Annual Meeting. Austin, Texas. November 9.
- 87. "Trends and Issues in Net Metering and Solar Generation." (2015). Louisiana Rural Electric Cooperative Meeting. November 5.
- 88. "Electric Power: Industry Overview, Organization, and Federal/State Distinctions." (2015). EUCI. October 16.
- "Natural Gas 101: The Basics of Natural Gas Production, Transportation, and Markets." (2015). Council of State Governments Special Meeting on Gas Markets. New Orleans, LA. October 14.
- 90. "Update and General Business Matters." (2015). CES Industry Associates Meeting. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. Fall 2015.
- 91. "The Impact of Infrastructure Cost Recovery Mechanisms on Pipeline Replacements and Leaks." (2015). 38th IAEE 2015 International Conference. Antalya, Turkey. May 26.
- 92. "Industry on the Move What's Next?" (2015). Event Sponsored by Regional Bank and 1012 Industry Report. May 5.
- 93. "The State of the Energy Industry and Other Emerging Issues." (2015). Lex Mundi Energy & Natural Resources Practice Group Global Meeting. May 5.
- 94. "Energy, Louisiana, and LSU." (2015). LSU Science Café. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. April 28.
- 95. "Energy Market Changes and Impacts for Louisiana." (2015). Kinetica Partners Shippers Meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana. April 22.
- 96. "Incentives, Risk and the Changing Nature of Utility Regulation." (2015). NARUC Staff Subcommittee on Accounting and Finance Meetings, New Orleans, Louisiana. April 22.
- 97. "Modifying Renewables Policies to Sustain Positive and Economic Change." (2015). IEEE Annual Green Technologies ("Greentech Conference"). April 17.

- 98. "Louisiana's Changing Energy Environment." (2015). John P. Laborde Energy Law Center Advisory Board Spring Meeting, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. March 27.
- 99. "The Latest and the Long on Energy: Outlooks and Implications for Louisiana." (2015). Iberia Bank Advisory Board Meeting, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. February 23.
- 100. "A Survey of Recent Energy Market Changes and their Potential Implications for Louisiana." (2015). Vistage Group, New Orleans, Louisiana. February 4.
- 101. "Energy Prices and the Outlook for the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale." (2015). Baton Rouge Rotary Club, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. January 28.
- 102. "Trends in Energy & Energy-Related Economic Development." (2014). Miller and Thompson Presentation, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. December 30.
- 103. "Overview EPA's Proposed Rule Under Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act: Impacts for Louisiana." (2014). Louisiana State Bar: Utility Section CLE Annual Meeting, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. November 7.
- 104. "Overview EPA's Proposed Clean Power Plan and Impacts for Louisiana." (2014). Clean Cities Coalition Meeting, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. November 5.
- 105. "Impacts on Louisiana from EPA's Proposed Clean Power Plan." (2014). Air & Waste Management Annual Environmental Conference (Louisiana Chapter), Baton Rouge, Louisiana. October 29, 2014.
- 106. "A Look at America's Growing Demand for Natural Gas." (2014). Louisiana Chemical Association Annual Meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana. October 23.
- 107. "Trends in Energy & Energy-Related Economic Development." (2014). 2014 Government Finance Officer Association Meetings, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. October 9.
- 108. "The Conventional Wisdom Associated with Unconventional Resource Development." (2014). National Association for Business Economics Annual Conference, Chicago, Illinois. September 28.
- Unconventional Oil & Natural Gas: Overview of Resources, Economics & Policy Issues. (2014). Society of Environmental Journalists Annual Meeting. New Orleans, Louisiana. September 4.
- 110. "Natural Gas Leveraged Economic Development in the South." (2014). Southern Governors Association Meeting, Little Rock, Arkansas. August 16.
- 111. "The Past, Present and Future of CHP Development in Louisiana." (2014). Louisiana Public Service Commission CHP Workshop, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. June 25.
- 112. "Regional Natural Gas Demand Growth: Industrial and Power Generation Trends." (2014). Kinetica Partners Shippers Meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana. April 30.
- 113. "The Technical and Economic Potential for CHP in Louisiana and the Impact of the Industrial Investment Renaissance on New CHP Capacity Development." (2014). Electric Power 2014, New Orleans, Louisiana. April 1.

- 114. "Industry Investments and the Economic Development of Unconventional Development." (2014). Tuscaloosa Marine Shale Conference & Expo, Natchez, Mississippi. March 31.
- 115. Discussion Panelist. Energy Outlook 2035: The Global Energy Industry and Its Impact on Louisiana, (2014). Grow Louisiana Coalition, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. March 18.
- 116. "Natural Gas and the Polar Vortex: Has Recent Weather Led to a Structural Change in Natural Gas Markets?" (2014). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Monthly Gas Committee Meeting. February 19.
- "Some Unconventional Thoughts on Regional Unconventional Gas and Power Generation Requirements." (2014). Gulf Coast Power Association Special Briefing, New Orleans, Louisiana. February 6.
- 118. "Leveraging Energy for Industrial Development." (2013). 2013 Governor's Energy Summit, Jackson, Mississippi. December 5.
- 119. "Natural Gas Line Extension Policies: Ratepayer Issues and Considerations." (2013). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Annual Meeting, Orlando, Florida. November 19.
- 120. "Replacement, Reliability & Resiliency: Infrastructure & Ratemaking Issues in the Power & Natural Gas Distribution Industries." (2013). Louisiana State Bar, Public Utility Section Meetings. November 15.
- 121. "Natural Gas Markets: Leveraging the Production Revolution into an Industrial Renaissance." (2013). International Technical Conference, Houston, TX. October 11.
- 122. "Natural Gas, Coal & Power Generation Issues and Trends." (2013). Southeast Labor and Management Public Affairs Committee Conference, Chattanooga, Tennessee. September 27.
- 123. "Recent Trends in Pipeline Replacement Trackers." (2013). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Monthly Gas Committee Meeting. September 19.
- 124. Discussion Panelist (2013). Think About Energy Summit, America's Natural Gas Alliance, Columbus Ohio. September 16-17.
- 125. "Future Test Years: Issues to Consider." (2013). National Regulatory Research Institute, Teleseminar on Future Test Years. August 28.
- 126. "Industrial Development Outlook for Louisiana." (2013). Louisiana Water Synergy Project Meetings, Jones Walker Law Firm, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. July 30.
- 127. "Natural Gas & Electric Power Coordination Issues and Challenges." (2013). Utilities State Government Organization Conference, Pointe Clear, Alabama. July 9.
- 128. "Natural Gas Market Issues & Trends." (2013). Western Conference of Public Service Commissioners, Santa Fe, New Mexico. June 3.
- 129. "Louisiana Unconventional Natural Gas and Industrial Redevelopment." (2013). Louisiana Chemical Association/Louisiana Chemical Industry Alliance Annual Legislative

Conference, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. May 8.

- 130. "Infrastructure Cost Recovery Mechanism: Overview of Issues." (2013). Energy Bar Association Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. May 1.
- 131. "GOM Offshore Oil and Gas." (2013). Energy Executive Roundtable, New Orleans, Louisiana. March 27.
- 132. "Louisiana Unconventional Natural Gas and Industrial Redevelopment." (2013). Risk Management Association Luncheon, March 21.
- 133. "Natural Gas Market Update and Emerging Issues." (2013). NASUCA Gas Committee Conference Call/Webinar, March 12.
- 134. "Unconventional Resources and Louisiana's Manufacturing Development Renaissance." (2013). Baton Rouge Press Club, De La Ronde Hall, Baton Rouge, LA, January 28.
- 135. "New Industrial Operations Leveraged by Unconventional Natural Gas." (2013) American Petroleum Institute-Louisiana Chapter. Lafayette, LA, Petroleum Club, January 14.
- 136. "What's Going on with Energy? How Unconventional Oil and Gas Development is Impacting Renewables, Efficiency, Power Markets, and All that Other Stuff." (2012). Atlanta Economics Club Monthly Meeting. Atlanta, GA. December 11.
- 137. "Trends, Issues, and Market Changes for Crude Oil and Natural Gas." (2012). East Iberville Community Advisory Panel Meeting. St. Gabriel, LA. September 26.
- 138. "Game Changers in Crude and Natural Gas Markets." (2012). Chevron Community Advisory Panel Meeting. Belle Chase, LA, September 17.
- 139. "The Outlook for Renewables in a Changing Power and Natural Gas Market." (2012). Louisiana Biofuels and Bioprocessing Summit. Baton Rouge, LA. September 11.
- 140. "The Changing Dynamics of Crude and Natural Gas Markets." (2012). Chalmette Refining Community Advisory Panel Meeting. Chalmette, LA, September 11.
- 141. "The Really Big Game Changer: Crude Oil Production from Shale Resources and the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale." (2012). Baton Rouge Chamber of Commerce Board Meeting. Baton Rouge, LA, June 27.
- 142. "The Impact of Changing Natural Gas Prices on Renewables and Energy Efficiency." (2012). NASUCA Gas Committee Conference Call/Webinar. 12 June 2012.
- 143. "Issues in Gas-Renewables Coordination: How Changes in Natural Gas Markets Potentially Impact Renewable Development" (2012). Energy Bar Association, Louisiana Chapter, Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA. April 12, 2012.
- 144. "Issues in Natural Gas End-Uses: Are We Really Focusing on the Real Opportunities?" (2012). Energy Bar Association, Louisiana Chapter, Annual Meeting, New Orleans, LA. April 12, 2012.
- 145. "The Impact of Legacy Lawsuits on Conventional Oil and Gas Drilling in Louisiana." (2012). Louisiana Oil and Gas Association Annual Meeting, Lake Charles, LA. February

27, 2012.

- 146. "The Impact of Legacy Lawsuits on Conventional Oil and Gas Drilling in Louisiana." (2012) Louisiana Oil and Gas Association Annual Meeting. Lake Charles, Louisiana. February 27, 2012.
- 147. "Louisiana's Unconventional Plays: Economic Opportunities, Policy Challenges. Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil and Gas Association 2012 Annual Meeting. (2012) New Orleans, Louisiana. January 26, 2012.
- 148. "EPA's Recently Proposed Cross State Air Pollution Rule ("CSAPR") and Its Impacts on Louisiana." (2011). Bossier Chamber of Commerce. November 18, 2011.
- 149. "Facilitating the Growth of America's Natural Gas Advantage." (2011). BASF U.S. Shale Gas Workshop Management Meeting. Florham Park, New Jersey. November 1, 2011.
- 150. "CSAPR and EPA Regulations Impacting Louisiana Power Generation." (2011). Air and Waste Management Association (Louisiana Section) Fall Conference. Environmental Focus 2011: a Multi-Media Forum. Baton Rouge, LA. October 25, 2011.
- 151. "Natural Gas Trends and Impact on Industrial Development." (2011). Central Gulf Coast Industrial Alliance Conference. Arthur R. Outlaw Convention Center. Mobile, AL. September 22, 2011.
- 152. "Energy Market Changes and Policy Challenges." (2011). Southeast Manpower Tripartite Alliance ("SEMTA") Summer Conference. Nashville, TN September 2, 2011.
- 153. "EPA Regulations, Rates & Costs: Implications for U.S. Ratepayers." (2011). Workshop:
 "A Smarter Approach to Improving Our Environment." 38th Annual American Legislative Exchange Council ("ALEC") Meetings. New Orleans, LA. August 5, 2011.
- 154. Panelist/Moderator. Workshop: "Why Wait? Start Energy Independence Today." 38th Annual American Legislative Exchange Council ("ALEC") Meetings. New Orleans, LA. August 4, 2011.
- 155. "Facilitating the Growth of America's Natural Gas Advantage." Texas Chemical Council, Board of Directors Summer Meeting. San Antonio, TX. July 28, 2011.
- 156. "Creating Ratepayer Benefits by Reconciling Recent Gas Supply Opportunities with Past Policy Initiatives." National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA"), Monthly Gas Committee Meeting. July 12, 2011.
- 157. "Energy Market Trends and Policies: Implications for Louisiana." (2011). Lakeshore Lion's Club Monthly Meeting. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. June 20, 2011.
- 158. "America's Natural Gas Advantage: Securing Benefits for Ratepayers Through Paradigm Shifts in Policy." Southeastern Association of Regulatory Commissioners ("SEARUC") Annual Meeting. Nashville, Tennessee. June 14, 2011.
- 159. "Learning Together: Building Utility and Clean Energy Industry Partnerships in the Southeast." (2011). American Solar Energy Society National Solar Conference. Raleigh Convention Center, Raleigh, North Carolina. May 20, 2011.

- 160. "Louisiana Energy Outlook and Trends." (2011). Executive Briefing. Counsul General of Canada. LSU Center for Energy Studies, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. May 24, 2011.
- 161. "Louisiana's Natural Gas Advantage: Can We Hold It? Grow It? Or Do We Need to be Worrying About Other Problems?" (2011). Louisiana Chemical Association Annual Legislative Conference, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, May 5, 2011.
- 162. "Energy Outlook and Trends: Implications for Louisiana. (2011). Executive Briefing, Legislative Staff, Congressman William Cassidy. LSU Center for Energy Studies, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. March 25, 2011.
- 163. "Regulatory Issues in Inflation Adjustment Mechanisms and Allowances." (2011). Gas Committee, National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA"). February 15, 2011.
- 164. "Regulatory Issues in Inflation Adjustment Mechanisms and Allowances." (2010). 2010 Annual Meeting, National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA"), Omni at CNN Center, Atlanta, Georgia, November 16, 2010.
- 165. "How Current and Proposed Energy Policy Impacts Consumers and Ratepayers." (2010). 122nd Annual Meeting, National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC"), Omni at CNN Center, Atlanta, Georgia, November 15, 2010.
- 166. "Energy Outlook: Trends and Policies." (2010). 2010 Tri-State Member Service Conference; Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi Electric Cooperatives. L'Auberge du Lac Casino Resort, Lake Charles, Louisiana, October 14, 2010.
- 167. "Deepwater Moratorium and Louisiana Impacts." (2010). The Energy Council Annual Meeting. Gulf of Mexico Deepwater Horizon Accident, Response, and Policy. Beau Rivage Conference Center. Biloxi, Mississippi. September 25, 2010.
- 168. "Overview on Offshore Drilling and Production Activities in the Aftermath of Deepwater Horizon." (2010) Jones Walker Banking Symposium. The Oil Spill: What Will it Mean for Banks in the Region? New Orleans, Louisiana. August 31, 2010.
- 169. "Long-Term Energy Sector Impacts from the Oil Spill." (2010). Second Annual Louisiana Oil & Gas Symposium. The BP Gulf Oil Spill: Long-Term Impacts and Strategies. Baton Rouge Geological Society. August 16, 2010.
- 170. "Overview and Issues Associated with the Deepwater Horizon Accident." (2010). Global Interdependence Meeting on Energy Issues. Baton Rouge, LA. August 12, 2010.
- 171. "Overview and Issues Associated with the Deepwater Horizon Accident." (2010).
 Regional Roundtable Webinar. National Association for Business Economics. August 10, 2010.
- 172. "Deepwater Moratorium: Overview of Impacts for Louisiana." Louisiana Association of Business and Industry Meeting. Baton Rouge, LA. June 25, 2010.
- 173. Moderator. Senior Executive Roundtable on Industrial Energy Efficiency. U.S. Department of Energy Conference on Industrial Efficiency. Office of Renewable Energy

and Energy Efficiency. Royal Sonesta Hotel, New Orleans, LA. May 21, 2010.

- 174. "The Energy Outlook: Trends and Policies Impacting Southeastern Natural Gas Supply and Demand Growth." Second Annual Local Economic Analysis and Research Network ("LEARN") Conference. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta. March 29, 2010.
- 175. "Natural Gas Supply Issues: Gulf Coast Supply Trends and Implications for Louisiana." Energy Bar Association, New Orleans Chapter Meeting. Jones Walker Law Firm. January 28, 2010, New Orleans, LA.
- 176. "Potential Impacts of Federal Greenhouse Gas Legislation on Louisiana Industry." LCA Government Affairs Committee Meeting. November 10, 2009. Baton Rouge, LA
- 177. "Regulatory and Ratemaking Issues Associated with Cost and Revenue Tracker Mechanisms." National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA") Annual Meeting. November 10, 2009.
- 178. "Louisiana's Stakes in the Greenhouse Gas Debate." Louisiana Chemical Association and Louisiana Chemical Industry Alliance Annual Meeting: The Billing Dollar Budget Crisis: Catastrophe or Change? New Orleans, LA.
- 179. "Gulf Coast Energy Outlook: Issues and Trends." Women's Energy Network, Louisiana Chapter. September 17, 2009. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 180. "Gulf Coast Energy Outlook: Issues and Trends." Natchez Area Association of Energy Service Companies. September 15, 2009, Natchez, MS.
- 181. "The Small Picture: The Cost of Climate Change to Louisiana." Louisiana Association of Business and Industry, U.S. Chamber of Commerce, Louisiana Oil and Gas Association, and LSU Center for Energy Studies Conference: Can Louisiana Make a Buck After Climate Change Legislation? August 21, 2009. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 182. "Carbon Legislation and Clean Energy Markets: Policy and Impacts." National Association of Conservation Districts, South Central Region Meeting. August 14, 2009. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 183. "Evolving Carbon and Clean Energy Markets." The Carbon Emissions Continuum: From Production to Consumption." Jones Walker Law Firm and LSU Center for Energy Studies Workshop. June 23, 2009. Baton Rouge, LA
- 184. "Potential Impacts of Cap and Trade on Louisiana Ratepayers: Preliminary Results." (2009). Briefing before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. Business and Executive Meeting, May 12, 2009. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 185. "Natural Gas Outlook." (2009). Briefing before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. Business and Executive Meeting, May 12, 2009. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 186. "Gulf Coast Energy Outlook: Issues and Trends." (2009). ISA-Lafayette Technical Conference & Expo. Cajundome Conference Center. Lafayette, Louisiana. March 12, 2009.
- 187. "The Cost of Energy Independence, Climate Change, and Clean Energy Initiatives on

Utility Ratepayers." (2009). National Association of Business Economics (NABE). 25th Annual Washington Economic Policy Conference: Restoring Financial and Economic Stability. Arlington, VA March 2, 2009.

- Panelist, "Expanding Exploration of the U.S. OCS" (2009). Deep Offshore Technology International Conference and Exhibition. PennWell. New Orleans, Louisiana. February 4, 2009.
- 189. "Gulf Coast Energy Outlook." (2008.) Atmos Energy Regional Management Meeting. Louisiana and Mississippi Division. New Orleans, Louisiana. October 8, 2008.
- 190. "Background, Issues, and Trends in Underground Hydrocarbon Storage." (2008). Presentation before the LSU Center for Energy Studies Industry Advisory Board Meeting. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. August 27, 2008.
- 191. "Greenhouse Gas Regulations and Policy: Implications for Louisiana." (2008). Presentation before the Praxair Customer Seminar. Houston, Texas, August 14, 2008.
- 192. "Market and Regulatory Issues in Alternative Energy and Louisiana Initiatives." (2008). Presentation before the 2008 Statewide Clean Cities Coalition Conference: Making Sense of Alternative Fuels and Advanced Technologies. New Orleans, Louisiana, March 27, 2008.
- 193. "Regulatory Issues in Rate Design, Incentives, and Energy Efficiency." (2007) Presentation before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission. Workshop on Energy Efficiency and Revenue Decoupling. November 7, 2007.
- 194. "Regulatory Issues for Consumer Advocates in Rate Design, Incentives, and Energy Efficiency." (2007). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates, Mid-Year Meeting. June 12, 2007.
- 195. "Regulatory and Policy Issues in Nuclear Power Plant Development." (2007). LSU Center for Energy Studies Industry Advisory Council Meeting. Baton Rouge, LA. March 23, 2007.
- 196. "Oil and Gas in the Gulf of Mexico: A North American Perspective." (2007). Canadian Consulate, Heads of Mission EnerNet Workshop, Houston, Texas. March 20, 2007.
- 197. "Regulatory Issues for Consumer Advocates in Rate Design, Incentives & Energy Efficiency. (2007). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA") Gas Committee Monthly Meeting. February 13, 2006.
- 198. "Recent Trends in Natural Gas Markets." (2006). National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, 118th Annual Convention. Miami, FL November 14, 2006.
- 199. "Energy Markets: Recent Trends, Issues & Outlook." (2006). Association of Energy Service Companies (AESC) Meeting. Petroleum Club, Lafayette, LA, November 8, 2006.
- 200. "Energy Outlook" (2006). National Business Economics Issues Council. Quarterly Meeting, Nashville, TN, November 1-2, 2006.
- 201. "Global and U.S. Energy Outlook." (2006). Energy Virginia Conference. Virginia

Military Institute, Lexington, VA October 17, 2006.

- 202. "Interdependence of Critical Energy Infrastructure Systems." (2006). Cross Border Forum on Energy Issues: Security and Assurance of North American Energy Systems. Woodrow Wilson Center for International Scholars. Washington, DC, October 13, 2006.
- 203. "Determining the Economic Value of Coastal Preservation and Restoration on Critical Energy Infrastructure." (2006) The Economic and Market Impacts of Coastal Restoration: America's Wetland Economic Forum II. Washington, DC September 28, 2006.
- 204. "Relationships between Power and Other Critical Energy Infrastructure." (2006). Rebuilding the New Orleans Region: Infrastructure Systems and Technology Innovation Forum. United Engineering Foundation. New Orleans, LA, September 24-25, 2006.
- 205. "Outlook, Issues, and Trends in Energy Supplies and Prices." (2006.) Presentation to the Southern States Energy Board, Associate Members Meeting. New Orleans, Louisiana. July 14, 2006.
- 206. "Energy Sector Outlook." (2006). Baton Rouge Country Club Meeting. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. July 11, 2006.
- 207. "Oil and Gas Industry Post 2005 Storm Events." (2006). American Petroleum Institute, Teche Chapter. Production, Operations, and Regulations Annual Meeting. Lafayette, Louisiana. June 29, 2006.
- 208. "Concentration of Energy Infrastructure in Hurricane Regions." (2006). Presentation before the National Commission on Energy Policy Forum: Ending the Stalemate on LNG Facility Siting. Washington, DC. June 21, 2006.
- 209. "LNG—A Premier." (2006). Presentation Given to the U.S. Department of Energy's "LNG Forums." Los Angeles, California. June 1, 2006.
- 210. "Regional Energy Infrastructure, Production and Outlook." (2006). Executive Briefing for Board of Directors, Louisiana Oil and Gas Plc., Enhanced Exploration, Inc. and Energy Self-Service, Inc. Covington, Louisiana, May 12, 2006.
- 211. "The Impacts of the Recent Hurricane Season on Energy Production and Infrastructure and Future Outlook." Presentation before the Industrial Energy Technology Conference 2006. New Orleans, Louisiana, May 9, 2006.
- 212. "Update on Regional Energy Infrastructure and Production." (2006). Executive Briefing for Delegation Participating in U.S. Department of Commerce Gulf Coast Business Investment Mission. Baton Rouge, Louisiana May 5, 2006.
- 213. "Hurricane Impacts on Energy Production and Infrastructure." (2006). Presentation before the Interstate Natural Gas Association of America Mid-Year Meeting. Hyatt Regency Hill Country. April 21, 2006.
- 214. "LNG—A Premier." Presentation Given to the U.S. Department of Energy's "LNG Forums." Astoria, Washington. April 28, 2006.

- 215. Natural Gas Market Outlook. Invited Presentation Given to the Georgia Public Service Commission and Staff. Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia. March 10, 2006.
- 216. The Impacts of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita on Louisiana's Energy Industry. Presentation to the Louisiana Economic Development Council. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. March 8, 2006.
- 217. Energy Markets: Hurricane Impacts and Outlook. Presentation to the 2006 Louisiana Independent Oil and Gas Association Annual Conference. L'Auberge du Lac Resort and Casino. Lake Charles, Louisiana. March 6, 2006
- 218. Energy Market Outlook and Update on Hurricane Damage to Energy Infrastructure. Presentation to the Energy Council 2005 Global Energy and Environmental Issues Conference. Santa Fe, New Mexico, December 10, 2005.
- 219. "Putting Our Energy Infrastructure Back Together Again." Presentation Before the 117th Annual Convention of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC). November 15, 2005. Palm Springs, CA
- 220. "Hurricanes and the Outlook for Energy Markets." Presentation before the Baton Rouge Rotary Club. November 9, 2005, Baton Rouge, LA.
- 221. "Hurricanes, Energy Supplies and Prices." Presentation before the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources and Atchafalaya Basin Committee Meeting. November 8, 2005. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 222. "The Impact of the Recent Hurricane's on Louisiana's Energy Industry." Presentation before the Louisiana Independent Oil and Gas Association Board of Directors Meeting. November 8, 2005. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 223. "The Impact of the Recent Hurricanes on Louisiana's Infrastructure and National Energy Markets." Presentation before the Baton Rouge City Club Distinguished Speaker Series. October 13, 2005. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 224. "The Impact of the Recent Hurricanes on Louisiana's Infrastructure and National Energy Markets." Presentation before Powering Up: A Discussion About the Future of Louisiana's Energy Industry. Special Lecture Series Sponsored by the Kean Miller Law Firm. October 13, 2005. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 225. "The Impact of Hurricane Katrina on Louisiana's Energy Infrastructure and National Energy Markets." Special Lecture on Hurricane Impacts, LSU Center for Energy Studies, September 29, 2005.
- 226. "Louisiana Power Industry Overview." Presentation before the Clean Air Interstate Rule Implementation Stakeholders Meeting. August 11, 2005. Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality.
- 227. "CES 2005 Legislative Support and Outlook for Energy Markets and Policy." Presentation before the LMOGA/LCA Annual Post-Session Legislative Committee Meeting. August 10-13, 2005. Perdido Key, Florida.

- 228. "Electric Restructuring: Past, Present, and Future." Presentation to the Southeastern Association of Tax Administrators Annual Conference. Sheraton Hotel and Conference Facility. New Orleans, LA July 12, 2005.
- 229. "The Outlook for Energy." Lagniappe Studies Continuing Education Course. Baton Rouge, LA. July 11, 2005.
- 230. "The Outlook for Energy." Sunshine Rotary Club. Baton Rouge, LA. April 27, 2005.
- 231. "Background and Overview of LNG Development." Energy Council Workshop on LNG/CNG. Biloxi, Ms: Beau Rivage Resort and Hotel, April 9, 2005.
- 232. "Natural Gas Supply, Prices, and LNG: Implications for Louisiana Industry." Cytec Corporation Community Advisory Panel. Fortier, LA January 14, 2005.
- 233. "The Economic Opportunities for a Limited Industrial Retail Choice Plan." Louisiana Department of Economic Development. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. November 19, 2004.
- 234. "Energy Issues for Industrial Customers of Gas and Power." Louisiana Association of Business and Industry, Energy Council Meeting. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. October 11, 2004.
- 235. "Energy Issues for Industrial Customers of Gas and Power." Annual Meeting of the Louisiana Chemical Association and the Louisiana Chemical Industry Alliance. Point Clear, Alabama. October 8, 2004.
- 236. "Energy Issues for Industrial Customers of Gas and Power." American Institute of Chemical Engineers New Orleans Section. New Orleans, LA. September 22, 2004.
- 237. "Natural Gas Supply, Prices and LNG: Implications for Louisiana Industry." Dow Chemical Company Community Advisory Panel Meeting. Plaquemine, LA. August 9, 2004.
- 238. "Energy Issues for Industrial Customers of Gas and Power." Louisiana Chemical Association Post-Legislative Meeting. Springfield, LA. August 9, 2004.
- 239. "LNG In Louisiana." Joint Meeting of the Louisiana Economic Development Council and the Governors Cabinet Advisory Council. Baton Rouge, LA. August 5, 2004.
- 240. "Louisiana Energy Issues." Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil and Gas Association Post Legislative Meetings. Sandestin, Florida. July 28, 2004.
- 241. "The Gulf South: Economic Opportunities Related to LNG." Presentation before the Energy Council's 2004 State and Provincial Energy and Environmental Trends Conference. Point Clear, AL, June 26, 2004.
- 242. "Natural Gas and LNG Issues for Louisiana." Presentation before the Rhodia Community Advisory Panel. May 20, 2004, Baton Rouge, LA.
- 243. "The Economic Opportunities for LNG Development in Louisiana." Presentation before the Louisiana Chemical Association Plant Managers Meeting. May 27, 2004. Baton Rouge, LA.

- 244. The Economic Opportunities for LNG Development in Louisiana." Presentation before the Louisiana Chemical Association/Louisiana Chemical Industry Alliance Legislative Conference. May 26, 2004. Baton Rouge, LA.
- 245. "The Economic Opportunities for LNG Development in Louisiana." Presentation before the Petrochemical Industry Cluster, Greater New Orleans, Inc. May 19, 2004, Destrehan, LA.
- 246. "Industry Development Issues for Louisiana: LNG, Retail Choice, and Energy." Presentation before the LSU Center for Energy Studies Industry Associates. May 14, 2004, Baton Rouge, LA.
- 247. "The Economic Opportunities for LNG Development in Louisiana." Presentation before the Board of Directors, Greater New Orleans, Inc. May 13, 2004, New Orleans, LA.
- 248. "Natural Gas Outlook: Trends and Issues for Louisiana." Presentation before the Louisiana Joint Agricultural Association Meetings. January 14, 2004, Hotel Acadiana, Lafayette, Louisiana.
- 249. "Natural Gas Outlook" Presentation before the St. James Parish Community Advisory Panel Meeting. January 7, 2004, IMC Production Facility, Convent, Louisiana.
- 250. "Competitive Bidding in the Electric Power Industry." Presentation before the Association of Energy Engineers. Business Energy Solutions Expo. December 11-12, 2003, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 251. "Regional Transmission Organization in the South: The Demise of SeTrans" Presentation before the LSU Center for Energy Studies Industry Associates Advisory Council Meeting. December 9, 2003. Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- 252. "Affordable Energy: The Key Component to a Strong Economy." Presentation before the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC"), November 18, 2003, Atlanta, Georgia.
- 253. "Natural Gas Outlook." Presentation before the Louisiana Chemical Association, October 17, 2003, Pointe Clear, Alabama.
- 254. "Issues and Opportunities with Distributed Energy Resources." Presentation before the Louisiana Biomass Council. April 17, 2003, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- 255. "What's Happened to the Merchant Energy Industry? Issues, Challenges, and Outlook" Presentation before the LSU Center for Energy Studies Industry Associates Advisory Council Meeting. November 12, 2002. Baton Rouge, Louisiana.
- 256. "An Introduction to Distributed Energy Resources." Presentation before the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency, State Energy Program/Rebuild America Conference, August 1, 2002, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- 257. "Merchant Energy Development Issues in Louisiana." Presentation before the Program Committee of the Center for Legislative, Energy, and Environmental Research (CLEER), Energy Council. April 19, 2002.

- 258. "Merchant Power Plants and Deregulation: Issues and Impacts." Presentation before 24th Annual Conference on Waste and the Environment. Sponsored by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality. Lafayette, Louisiana, Cajundome. March 18, 2002.
- 259. "Merchant Power and Deregulation: Issues and Impacts." Presentation before the Air and Waste Management Association Annual Meeting. Baton Rouge, LA, November 15, 2001.
- 260. "Moving to the Front of the Lines: The Economic Impact of Independent Power Production in Louisiana." Presentation before the LSU Center for Energy Studies Merchant Power Generation and Transmission Conference, Baton Rouge, LA. October 11, 2001.
- 261. "Economic Impacts of Merchant Power Plant Development in Mississippi." Presentation before the U.S. Oil and Gas Association Annual Oil and Gas Forum. Jackson, Mississippi. October 10, 2001.
- 262. "Economic Opportunities for Merchant Power Development in the South." Presentation before the Southern Governor's Association/Southern State Energy Board Meetings. Lexington, KY. September 9, 2001.
- 263. "The Changing Nature of the Electric Power Business in Louisiana." Presentation before the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality. Baton Rouge, LA, August 27, 2001.
- 264. "Power Business in Louisiana: Background and Issues." Presentation before the Louisiana Interagency Group on Merchant Power Development . Baton Rouge, LA, July 16, 2001.
- 265. "The Changing Nature of the Electric Power Business in Louisiana: Background and Issues." Presentation before the Louisiana Office of the Governor. Baton Rouge, LA, July 16, 2001.
- 266. "The Changing Nature of the Electric Power Business in Louisiana: Background and Issues." Presentation before the Louisiana Department of Economic Development. Baton Rouge, LA, July 3, 2001.
- 267. "The Economic Impacts of Merchant Power Plant Development In Mississippi." Presentation before the Mississippi Public Service Commission. Jackson, Mississippi, March 20, 2001.
- 268. "Energy Conservation and Electric Restructuring." With Ritchie D. Priddy. Presentation before the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources. Baton Rouge, Louisiana, October 23, 2000.
- 269. "Pricing and Regulatory Issues Associated with Distributed Energy." Joint Conference by Econ One Research, Inc., the Louisiana State University Distributed Energy Resources Initiative, and the University of Houston Energy Institute: "Is the Window Closing for Distributed Energy?" Houston, Texas, October 13, 2000.
- 270. "Electric Reliability and Merchant Power Development Issues." Technical Meetings of the Louisiana Public Service Commission. Baton Rouge, LA. August 29, 2000.

- 271. "A Introduction to Distributed Energy Resources." Summer Meetings, Southeastern Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (SEARUC). New Orleans, LA. June 27, 2000.
- 272. Roundtable Moderator/Discussant. Mid-South Electric Reliability Summit. U.S. Department of Energy. New Orleans, Louisiana. April 24, 2000.
- 273. "Electricity 101: Definitions, Precedents, and Issues." Energy Council's 2000 Federal Energy and Environmental Matters Conference. Loews L'Enfant Plaza Hotel, Washington, D.C. March 11-13, 2000.
- 274. "LSU/CES Distributed Energy Resources Initiatives." Los Alamos National Laboratories. Office of Energy and Sustainable Systems. Los Alamos, New Mexico. February 16, 2000.
- 275. "Distributed Energy Resources Initiatives." Louisiana State University, Center for Energy Studies Industry Associates Meeting. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. December 15, 1999.
- 276. "Merchant Power Opportunities in Louisiana." Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil and Gas Association (LMOGA) Power Generation Committee Meetings. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. November 10, 1999.
- 277. Roundtable Discussant. "Environmental Regulation in a Restructured Market" The Big E: How to Successfully Manage the Environment in the Era of Competitive Energy. PUR Conference. New Orleans, Louisiana. May 24, 1999.
- 278. "The Political Economy of Electric Restructuring In the South" Southeastern Electric Exchange, Rate Section Annual Conference. New Orleans, Louisiana. May 7, 1999.
- 279. "The Dynamics of Electric Restructuring in Louisiana." Joint Meeting of the American Association of Energy Engineers and the International Association of Facilities Managers. Metairie, Louisiana. April 29, 1999.
- 280. "The Implications of Electric Restructuring on Independent Oil and Gas Operations." Petroleum Technology Transfer Council Workshop: Electrical Power Cost Reduction Methods in Oil and Gas Field Operations. Lafayette, Louisiana, March 24, 1999.
- 281. "What's Happened to Electricity Restructuring in Louisiana?" Louisiana State University, Center for Energy Studies Industry Associates Meeting. March 22, 1999.
- 282. "A Short Course on Electric Restructuring." Central Louisiana Electric Company. Sales and Marketing Division. Mandeville, Louisiana, October 22, 1998.
- 283. "The Implications of Electric Restructuring on Independent Oil and Gas Operations." Petroleum Technology Transfer Council Workshop: Electrical Power Cost Reduction Methods in Oil and Gas Field Operations. Shreveport, Louisiana, October 13, 1998.
- 284. "How Will Utility Deregulation Affect Tourism." Louisiana Travel Promotion Association Annual Meeting, Alexandria, Louisiana. January 15, 1998.
- 285. "Reflections and Predictions on Electric Utility Restructuring in Louisiana." With Fred I. Denny. Louisiana State University, Center for Energy Studies Industry Associates Meeting. November 20, 1997.

- 286. "Electric Utility Restructuring in Louisiana." Hammond Chamber of Commerce, Hammond, Louisiana. October 30, 1997.
- 287. "Electric Utility Restructuring." Louisiana Association of Energy Engineers. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. September 11, 1997.
- 288. "Electric Utility Restructuring: Issues and Trends for Louisiana." Opelousas Chamber of Commerce, Opelousas, Louisiana. June 24, 1997.
- 289. "The Electric Utility Restructuring Debate In Louisiana: An Overview of the Issues." Annual Conference of the Public Affairs Research Council of Louisiana. Baton Rouge, Louisiana. March 25, 1997.
- 290. "Electric Restructuring: Louisiana Issues and Outlook for 1997." Louisiana State University, Center for Energy Studies Industry Associates Meeting, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, January 15, 1997.
- 291. "Restructuring the Electric Utility Industry." Louisiana Propane Gas Association Annual Meeting, Alexandria, Louisiana, December 12, 1996.
- 292. "Deregulating the Electric Utility Industry." Eighth Annual Economic Development Summit, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, November 21, 1996.
- 293. "Electric Utility Restructuring in Louisiana." Jennings Rotary Club, Jennings, Louisiana, November 19, 1996.
- 294. "Electric Utility Restructuring in Louisiana." Entergy Services, Transmission and Distribution Division, Energy Centre, New Orleans, Louisiana, September 12, 1996
- 295. "Electric Utility Restructuring" Louisiana Electric Cooperative Association, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, August 27, 1996.
- 296. "Electric Utility Restructuring -- Background and Overview." Louisiana Public Service Commission, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, August 14, 1996.
- 297. "Electric Utility Restructuring." Sunshine Rotary Club Meetings, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, August 8, 1996.
- 298. Roundtable Moderator, "Stakeholder Perspectives on Electric Utility Stranded Costs." Louisiana State University, Center for Energy Studies Seminar on Electric Utility Restructuring in Louisiana, Baton Rouge, May 29, 1996.
- 299. Panelist, "Deregulation and Competition." American Nuclear Society: Second Annual Joint Louisiana and Mississippi Section Meetings, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, April 20, 1996.

EXPERT WITNESS, LEGISLATIVE, AND PUBLIC TESTIMONY; EXPERT REPORTS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND AFFIDAVITS

1. Expert Testimony. Docket No. UD-24-01. (2024). Before the Council of the City of New Orleans. *Delta States Utilities No, LLC and Entergy New Orleans, LLC, Ex Parte. In RE: Application for authority to operate as a local distribution company and incur*

indebtedness and joint application for approval of transfer and acquisition of local distribution company assets and related relief. On Behalf of Delta Utilities No. LLC. Issues: revenue requirement, bill impact, transaction costs.

- 2. Expert Testimony. Docket No. UE-240006 and UG-240007. (2024). Before the Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission. *Complainant, v. Avista Corporation d/b/a Avista Utilities Respondent*. On Behalf of the Washington State Office of the Attorney General Public Counsel Unit. Issues: rate design, customer charge.
- 3. Expert Testimony. Docket No. R-2024-3046519. (2024). Before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission. *Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania, Inc.* On Behalf of the Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate. Issues: regulatory lag, decoupling, weather normalization.
- 4. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 20240025-EI. (2024). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. *In Re: Petition for rate increase by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.* On Behalf of The Citizens of the State of Florida. Issues: load forecasting, multi-year rate increase, energy affordability.
- 5. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 20240026. (2024). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. *In Re: Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company*. On Behalf of The Citizens of the State of Florida. Issues: load forecasting, affordability.
- 6. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2024-34-E. (2024). Before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. *In the Matter of: Application of Dominion Energy South Carolina, incorporated for authority to adjust and increase its retail electric rate schedules tariffs, and terms and conditions.* On Behalf of South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs. Issues: GRID investment, cost of service, revenue allocation, rate design.
- 7. Expert Testimony. Cause No. 46011. (2024). Before the State of Indiana, Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission. *Petition of Ohio Valley Gas, Inc. for (1) authority to increase its rates and charges for gas utility service, (2) approval of new schedules of rates and charges, (3) approval of decoupling through a new sales reconciliation component rider, and (4) approval of necessary and appropriate accounting relief and other requests.* On Behalf of Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor. Issues: decoupling, sales reconciliation component rider.
- 8. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2024-UA-42. (2024). Before the Mississippi Public Service Commission. Joint Application of Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp. and Delta Utilities MS, LLC for all necessary authorizations and approvals for Delta Utilities MS, LLC to acquire the assets of Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp. and for approval of a certificate of public convenience and necessity for Delta Utilities MS, LLC, and for related relief. On Behalf of Delta Utilities MS, LLC. Issues: economic benefits, ratemaking, other benefits.
- 9. Expert Testimony. Docket No. S-37187. (2024). Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. Delta Utilities No. LA, LLC, Delta Utilities S. LA., and Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp. Ex. Parte. In RE: Application for authority to operate as a local distribution company and incur indebtedness and joint application for approval for

transfer and acquisition of local distribution company assets and related relief. On Behalf of Delta Utilities No. LA, LLC. Issues: economic benefits, ratemaking, other benefits.

- Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 23-150. (2024). Before the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of Massachusetts Electric Company and Nantucket Electric Company each d/b/a National Grid Pursuant to G.L. c. 164 § 94 and 220 C.M.R.* 5.00 for Approval of an Increase in Base Distribution Rates and Approval of a *Performance-Based Ratemaking Plan.* On Behalf of the Massachusetts Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: capital tracker, Y-factor, IDRF, PBR, alternative regulation, benchmarking analysis.
- Expert Testimony. Cause No. 45990. (2024). Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory 11. Commission. Verified Petition of Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company D/B/A Centerpoint Energy Indiana South ("CEI South) for (1) Authority to modify its rates and charges for electric utility service through a phase in of rates (2) approval of new schedules of rates and charges and new and revised riders, including but not limited to a new tax adjustment rider and a new green power rider (3) approval of a critical peak pricing ("CPP") pilot program, (4) approval of revised depreciation rates applicable to electric and common plant in service, (5) approval of necessary and appropriate accounting relief, including authority to capitalize as rate base all cloud computing costs and defer to a regulatory asset amounts not already included in base rates that are incurred for thirdparty cloud computing arrangements, and (6) approval of an alternative regulatory plant granting CEI South a waiver from 170 IAC 4-1-16(f) to allow for remote disconnection for non-payment. On Behalf of Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor. Issues: proposed rate increases, cost of service study, minimum system study, revenue distribution, rate design, TOU-CPP pilot.
- 12. Expert Testimony. Cause No. 45967. (2024). Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission. Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Pursuant to Ind. Code §§ 8-1-2-42, 8-1-2-42.7 and 8-1-2-61 for (1) authority to modify its retail rates and charges for gas utility service through a phase in of rates; (2) approval of new schedules of rates and charges, general rule sand regulations, and riders (both existing and new): (3) approval of a new sales reconciliation adjustment mechanism; (4) approval of revised gas deprecation rates applicable to its gas plant in service; (5) approval of necessary and appropriate accounting relief, including but not limited to approval of certain deferral mechanisms for pensions, other post-retirement benefits and line locate expenses; and (6) to the extent necessary, approval of any of the relief requested herein pursuant to Ind. Code Ch. 8-1-2-5. On Behalf of Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor. Issues: sales reconciliation adjustment.
- 13. Expert Testimony. F.C. No. 1176. (2024). Before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia. *In the Matter of the Application of Potomac Electric Power Company for Authority to Implement a Multiyear Rate Plan for Electric Distribution Service in the District of Columbia*. On Behalf of the Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia. Issues: affordability, revenue distribution, rate design, multi-year rate planning, bill stabilization adjustment.

- 14. Expert Testimony. Case No. 23-0460-E-42T (2023). Before the Public Service Commission of West Virginia Charleston. *In the Matter of Monongahela Power Company and the Potomac Edison Company rule 42T tariff filing to increase rates and charges.* On Behalf of the Consumer Advocate Division of the Public Service Commission of West Virginia. Issues: cost of service, zero intercept study, revenue allocation, rate design, net energy metering rider.
- 15. Expert Testimony. Docket No. DPU 23-81. (2023). Before the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company d/b/a Unitil (Gas Division), pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 94 and 220 CMR 5.00, for Approval of a General Increase in Base Distribution Rates for Gas Service and a Performance-Based Ratemaking Plan.* On Behalf of the Massachusetts Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Direct and Surrebuttal. Issues: alternative regulation performance-based ratemaking, cost of service, revenue distribution, rate design.
- 16. Expert Testimony. Docket No. DPU 23-80. (2023). Before the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company d/b/a Unitil (Electric Division), pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 94 and 220 CMR 5.00, for Approval of a General Increase in Base Distribution Rates for Electric Service and a Performance-Based Ratemaking Plan.* On Behalf of the Massachusetts Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Direct and Surrebuttal. Issues: alternative regulation performance-based ratemaking, cost of service, revenue distribution, rate design.
- 17. Expert Testimony. Case No. 23-03803-W-42T and 23-0384-S-42T (2023). Before the Public Service Commission of West Virginia Charleston. In the Matter of West Virginia-America Water Company rule 42T application to increase rates and charges. On Behalf of the Consumer Advocate Division of the Public Service Commission of West Virginia. Issues: revenue distribution, rate design, affordability, service quality.
- 18. Expert Testimony. Cause No. 45933 (2023). Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission. Petition of Indiana Michigan Power Company an Indiana Corporation, for authority to increase rates and charges for electric utility service through a phase in rate adjustment; and for approval of related relief including: (1) revised depreciation rates, including cost of removal less salvage, and updated depreciation expense; (2) accounting relief, including deferrals and amortization; (3) inclusion of capital investment; (4) rate adjustment mechanism proposals, including new grant projects rider and modified tax rider; (5) a voluntary residential customer powerpay program; (6) waiver or declination of jurisdiction with respect to certain rules to facilitate implementation of the powerpay program; (7) cost recovery for cook plant subsequent license renewal evaluation project; and (8) new schedules of rates, rules and regulations. On Behalf of Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor. Issues: cost of service, rate design, revenue distribution, service fees.
- 19. Expert Report. (2023). *Alternative regulation deficiencies and potential ratepayer harms*. On Behalf of the Office of the Consumer Advocate of Iowa. October 3, 2023.

- 20. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2023.06.057. (2023). Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Montana. *In the Matter of Energy West Montana's Application for Approval of Gas Cost Hedging Plan for West Yellowstone*. On Behalf of the Montana Consumer Counsel. Issues: gas hedging program.
- 21. Legislative Testimony. (2023). Ratepayer harms from alternative regulation in Oklahoma. Appearing on the Behalf of the Petroleum Alliance of Oklahoma. October 23, 2023.
- 22. Expert Testimony. Cause No. 45911. (2023). Before the State of Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission. Petition of Indianapolis Power & Light Company D/B/A AES Indiana ("AES Indiana") for authority to increase rates and charges for electric utility service, and for approval of related relief, including (1) revised depreciation rates, (2) accounting relief, including deferrals and amortizations, (3) inclusion of capital investments, (4) rate adjustment mechanism proposals, including new economic development rider, (5) remote disconnect/reconnect process and (6) new schedules of rates, rules and regulations for service. On Behalf of Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor. Direct and Cross-Answering. Issues: allocated cost of service, revenue distribution, rate design, trackers.
- 23. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 23-06007. (2023). Before the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada. In the Matter of the Application by Nevada Power Company D/B/A NV Energy, filed pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) and NRS 704.110(4), addressing its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of electric customers. On Behalf of the Nevada Bureau of Consumer Protection. Issues: marginal cost of service study, embedded cost of service study, revenue distribution, rate design.
- 24. Expert Testimony. Docket No. UE-230172. (2023). Before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. *Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Complainant v. Pacificorp dba Pacific Power & Light Company, Respondent.* On Behalf of the Washington State Office of the Attorney General Public Counsel Unit. Issues: rate design, revenue distribution, cost of service.
- 25. Expert Testimony. Case No. U-21389. (2023). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. *In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for other Relief.* On Behalf of the Michigan Department of the Attorney General. Issues: capital expenditure adjustments, overview of proposal.
- 26. Expert Report. Case No. 22-1094-WW-AIR. (2023). *Audit of the Application to Increase Rates of Aqua Ohio, Inc. For the Period July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023.* Prepared for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Issues: cost of service, billing determinants, revenue distribution, rate design.
- 27. Expert Report. Case No. 22-1096-ST-AIR. (2023). Audit of the Application to Increase Rates of Aqua Ohio Wastewater, Inc. For the period July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023. Prepared for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Issues: cost of service, billing determinants, revenue distribution, rate design.
- 28. Expert Report. Analysis of the effectiveness and ratepayer impacts regarding the Natural

Gas Rate Stabilization Act of 2005. (S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-5-410). On Behalf of the South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs. July 27, 2023.

- 29. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2023-70-G. (2023). Before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. *In the Matter of: Dominion Energy South Carolina, Inc's application for adjustments in its natural gas rate schedules and tariffs.* On Behalf of the South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs. Issues: revenue credit, revenue distribution, rate design. Direct and Surrebuttal.
- 30. Expert Testimony. Docket No. E-01345A-22-0144. (2023). Before the Arizona Corporation Commission. In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for a hearing to determine the fair value of the utility property of the company for ratemaking purposes, to fix a just and reasonable rate of return thereon, and to approve rate schedules designed to develop such return. On Behalf of the Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission. Issues: cost of service, revenue distribution, rate design. Direct and Surrebuttal.
- 31. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 23-0068 (consol.) 23-0069. (2023). Before the Illinois Commerce Commission. North Shore Gas Company, The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company Proposed general increase in rates and revisions to service classifications, riders and terms and conditions of service. On Behalf of the People of the State of Illinois. Issues: integrity management, infrastructure metrics, natural gas policy, state gas policy.
- 32. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 23-067. (2023). Before the Illinois Commerce Commission. *Ameren Illinois Company Proposed general increase in gas delivery service rates.* On Behalf of the Illinois Attorney General. Issues: integrity management, infrastructure metrics, natural gas policy, state gas policy.
- 33. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 23-066. (2023). Before the Illinois Commerce Commission. *Northern Illinois Gas Company d/b/a Nicor Gas Company Proposed general increase in gas rates*. On Behalf of the People of the State of Illinois. Issues: integrity management, infrastructure metrics, natural gas policy, state gas policy.
- 34. Expert Testimony. Docket No. U-22-081. (2023). Before the Regulatory Commission of Alaska. *In the Matter of the Revenue Requirement Study Designated as TA334-4 Filed by Enstar Natural Gas Company, A Division of SEMCO Energy, Inc.* On Behalf of the Attorney General, Regulatory Affairs & Public Advocacy Section. Issues: cost of service, rate design, revenue distribution.
- 35. Expert Testimony. Docket No. U-22-078. (2023). Before the Regulatory Commission of Alaska. *In the Matter of the Revenue Requirement Study and Tariff Filing Designated as TA510-1 Filed by Alaska Electric Light & Power Company*. On Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Regulatory Affairs & Public Advocacy Section. Issues: cost of service, rate design, seasonal rates, revenue allocation, customer charge.
- 36. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2022.11.099. (2023). Before the Department of Public Service Regulation. In the Matter of Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. for Authority to Establish Increased Rates for Electric Service. On Behalf of the Montana Consumer

Counsel. Direct and Cross-Answering. Issues: rate increase, cost of service study, marginal cost of service, revenue allocation, rate design.

- 37. Expert Testimony. Docket No. U-22-078. (2023). Before the Regulatory Commission of Alaska. *In the Matter of the Revenue Requirement Study and Tariff Filing Designated as TA510-1 Filed by Alaska Electric Light & Power Company*. On Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Regulatory Affairs & Public Advocacy Section. Issues: rate design, cost of service, revenue allocation, seasonal rates.
- 38. Expert Testimony. Docket No. U-21193. (2023). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. *In the matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for Approval of its Integrated Resource Plan pursuant to MCL 460.6t, and for other relief.* On Behalf of the Michigan Department of the Attorney General. Issues: Resource planning, coal retirements, asset amortization, financial compensation mechanism.
- 39. Expert Testimony. Docket No. RP22-1033. (2023). Before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. *Northern Natural Gas Company*. On Behalf of the Northern Municipal Distributors Group and the Midwest Region Gas Task Force Association. Issues: tariff provisions, rate analysis, discount adjustment.
- 40. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 22-061-U. (2023). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. *In the Matter of an Investigation into Potential Cost Shifting Associated with Net Metering*. On Behalf of the Office of Tim Griffin, Attorney General of Arkansas. Issues: policy, net metering background.
- 41. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 22F-0263EG. (2023). Before the Public Utility Commission of the State of Colorado. *Olson's Greenhouses of Colorado, LLC. Complainant, v. Public Service Company of Colorado Respondent*. On Behalf of Olson's Greenhouses of Colorado, LLC. Issues: reliability, system upgrades, weather normalization.
- 42. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2022.07.078. (2022). Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Montana. In the Matter of NorthWestern Energy's Application for Authority to Increase Retail Electric and Natural Gas Utility Rates and for Approval of Electric and Natural Gas Service Schedules and Rules and Allocated Cost of Service and Rate Design. On Behalf of the Montana Consumer Counsel. Direct and Cross-Intervenor. Issues: riders, fixed cost recovery mechanism, power cost adjustment, cost of service, revenue distribution.
- 43. Expert Testimony. Docket No 2022-254-E. (2022). Before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. *In the Matter of: Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC for Authority to Adjust and Increase its Electric Rates and Charges.* On Behalf of South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs. Direct and Surrebuttal. Issues: Cost of service, revenue allocation, rate design.
- 44. Expert Testimony Docket No. 22-06014. (2022). Before the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada. In the Matter of the Application by Sierra Pacific Power Company D/B/A NV Energy, filed pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) and NRS 704.110(4), addressing its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of electric customers. On

Behalf of the Nevada Bureau of Consumer Protection. Issues: rate design, cost of services, marginal cost of service, revenue distribution.

- 45. Expert Testimony Docket No. 2022.06.067. (2022). *Before the Public Service Commission* of the State of Montana. In RE NorthWestern Energy's Application for an Advanced Metering Opt-Out Tariff. On Behalf of the Montana Consumer Counsel. Direct and Rebuttal. Issues: meter issues, opt-out fees, tariffs options.
- 46. Expert Testimony Docket No. 16-036-FR. (2022). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Entergy Arkansas, INC., Pursuant to APSC Docket NO. 15-015-U. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: Rate design, netting adjustment, performance standards, projected year adjustments.
- 47. Expert Testimony Formal Case No. 1169. (2022). *Before the Public Service Commission* of the District of Columbia. In the Matter of the application of Washington Gas Light Company for authority to increase existing rates and charges for gas service. On Behalf of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia. Direct and Rebuttal. Issues: Revenue allocation, weather normalization, rate design.
- 48. Expert Testimony Case No. U-21224. (2022). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for authority to increase its rates for the generation and distribution of electricity and for other relief. On Behalf of the Michigan Department of the Attorney General. Issues: cost of service, revenue distribution, policy overview.
- 49. Expert Report. Case No. 695287. (2022). Before the Nineteenth Judicial District Court, The Parish of East Baton Rouge, State of Louisiana. *Washington-St. Tammany Electric Cooperative, Inc. and Claiborne Electric Cooperative, Inc., Plaintiff v. Louisiana Generating, L.L.C., Defendant.* On Behalf of Louisiana Generating, L.L.C. Issues: environmental regulations, re-fueling, regulatory rules, collateral benefits.
- 50. Expert Report. Case No. 0:20-cv-60981-AMC. (2022). Café, Gelato & Panini LLC, d/b/a Café Gelato Panini, on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff v. Simon Property Group, Inc., Simon Property Group, L.P., M. S. Management Associates, Inc. And The Town Center at Boca Raton Trust, Defendant. On Behalf of Simon Property Group, Inc.
- 51. Expert Testimony Case No. U-20836. (2022). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for authority to increase its rates, amend its rate schedules and rules governing the distribution and supply of electric energy, and for miscellaneous accounting authority. On Behalf of the Michigan Department of the Attorney General. Issues: cost of service, revenue distribution, peer comparison.
- 52. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 22-22. (2022). Before the Department of Public Utilities of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Petition of NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy for Approval of a Performance-Based Ratemaking Plan and Increase in Base

Distribution Rates for Electric Service Pursuant to G.L. c. 164, §94 and 220 C.M.R. §5.00. On Behalf of Massachusetts Office of the Attorney General Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: rate design, TFP analysis, rate increases, benchmark analysis, revenue distribution. Direct and Surrebuttal.

- 53. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 21-097-U. (2022). In the Matter of the Application of Black Hills Energy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs. On Behalf of the Office of Arkansas Attorney General. Issues: cost of service, rate design, reliability, billing determinant adjustment.
- 54. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2021-361-G. (2022). Before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. *In the Matter of: Dominion Energy South Carolina, Inc.'s Request for Approval of New Natural Gas Energy Efficiency Programs*. On Behalf of South Carolina Department of Consumer Affairs. Issues: DSM Rider, energy efficiency, shared savings. Direct and Surrebuttal.
- 55. Expert Report. Case No. 21-596-ST-AIR. (2022). Audit of the Application to Increase Rates of Aqua Ohio Wastewater, Inc. For the Period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021. Prepared for Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Issues: rate design, cost of service, revenue distribution.
- 56. Expert Report. Case No. 21-595-WW-AIR. (2022). Audit of the Application to Increase Rates of Aqua Ohio, Inc. For the Period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021. Prepared for Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. Issues: rate design, cost of service, revenue distribution.
- 57. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2021.09.112. (2022). *Before the Public Service Commission* of the State of Montana. In the Matter of NorthWestern Energy's Annual PCCAM Filing and Application for Approval of Tariff Changes. On Behalf of the Montana Consumer Counsel. Issues: wholesale energy hedging, market exposure, overview of PCCAM filing, demand side management costs.
- 58. Expert Affidavit. Docket No. 2:21-cv-1074. (2021). In the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana. *The State of Louisiana by and through its Attorney General, Jeff Landry et al. Plantiffs, v. Joseph R. Biden, Jr., in his official capacity as President of the United States; et al., Defendants.* On Behalf of the Attorney General of Louisiana. Issues: social cost of carbon, carbon tax, environmental policy.
- 59. Expert Testimony. Case No. U21090. (2021). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. In the matter of the application of Consumers Energy Company for approval of its Integrated Resource Plan pursuant to MCL 460.6t, certain accounting approvals, and for other relief. On Behalf of the Michigan Department of the Attorney General. Issues: IRP, coal plant retirements, acquisition premiums, financial compensation mechanism.
- 60. Expert Testimony. Docket No 16-036-FR. (2021). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-015-U. On Behalf of the Office of Arkansas Attorney

General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: netting adjustments, rate increases, projected year adjustments, reliability.

- 61. Expert Report. Docket JCCP No. 4861. (2021). Before the Superior Court of the State of California County of Los Angeles, Central Civil West. *Coordination Proceeding Special Title [Rule 3.550] Southern California Gas Leak Cases*. On Behalf of Toll Brothers. Issues: gas leak, public service obligation, integrity management.
- 62. Expert Testimony. Docket No. U-35927. (2021). Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. *In Re: Application of 1803 Electric Cooperative, Inc. for Approval of Power Purchase Agreements and for Cost Recovery*. Direct and Cross-Answering. On Behalf of Cleco Cajun LLC. Issues: tolling agreements, generation acquisition, risk factors.
- 63. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 21-060-U. (2021). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of Joint Application of Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp. and Summit Utilities Arkansas, Inc. For all Necessary Authorizations and Approvals for Summit Utilities Arkansas, Inc. To Acquire the Arkansas Assets of Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp. and for Approval of a Certificate of Public Convenience and necessity for Summit Utilities Arkansas, Inc. Direct and Surrebuttal. On Behalf of the Office of Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: asset acquisition, ratepayer benefits, acquisition synergies, Rider FRP.
- 64. Expert Affidavit. Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-00778 (2021). Before the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana. *The State of Louisiana v. Joseph R. Biden, Jr.* Issues: leasing and drilling moratorium, state revenue, coastal restoration, economic activity.
- 65. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 21-044-U (2021). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp. D/B/A Centerpoint Energy Arkansas Gas' Request to Extend Rider FRP. On Behalf of the Office of Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: ratepayer benefits, service quality, cost of service, FRP extension.
- 66. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 17-010-FR (2021). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp. D/B/A Centerpoint Energy Arkansas Gas Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-098-U. On Behalf of the Office of Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: rate increase, investment and expense trends, revenue deficiency, leak performance.
- 67. Expert Testimony. Case No. U-20963 (2021). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. *In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for authority to increase its rates for the generation and distribution of electricity and for other relief.* On Behalf of the Michigan Department of the Attorney General. Issues: cost of service, peak allocation, revenue distribution.
- 68. Expert Testimony. U-20-072, U-20-073, U-20-074. (2021). Before the Regulatory Commission of Alaska. In the Matter of the Revenue Requirement study and Tariff Filing designated as TA886-2 filed by Alaska Power Company, In the Matter of the Revenue

Requirement study and Tariff filing designated as TA6-521 filed by Goat Lake Hydro, Inc., In the Matter of the Revenue Requirement study and Tariff filing designated as TA4-573 filed by BBL Hydro, Inc. On Behalf of the Alaska Office of Attorney General. Issues: rate groups, cost of service.

- 69. Expert Testimony. Docket No. P20-001. (2021). Before the Louisiana Pilotage Fee Commission. In Re: Request for Increase in Approved Pilot Complement; Increased Funding for necessary Additional Manpower; Upward Adjustment of Estimated Average Annual Pilot Compensation; and Related Relief Pursuant to LA R.S. 34:112. On Behalf of the Louisiana Chemical Association (LCA) and Louisiana Mid-Continent Oil & Gas Association (LMOGA). Issues: unreasonable requests, fee structure, economic impact, over earnings.
- 70. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 20-120. (2021). Before the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Before the Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of Boston Gas Company d/b/a National Grid Pursuant to G.L. c. 164, 94 and 220 C.M.R. 5.00 for Approval of an Increase in Base Distribution Rates and Approval of a Performance-Based Ratemaking Plan.* On Behalf of the Massachusetts Office of the Attorney General Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: rate increase, accelerated depreciation, benchmarking analysis, performance incentive mechanism.
- 71. Expert Testimony. RPU-2020-0001. (2020). Before the Iowa Utilities Board. *In Re: Iowa-American Water Company*. On Behalf of the Office of Consumer Advocate. Issues: rate increase, test trackers, RSM accounting ratemaking construct.
- 72. Expert Testimony. BPU Docket Nos. QO19010040 and GO20090622. (2020). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Petition of New Jersey Natural Gas Company for Approval of Energy Efficiency Programs and the Associated Cost Recovery Mechanisms Pursuant to the Clean Energy Act, N.J.S.A. 48:3-87.8 et seq. and 48:3-98.1 et seq. On behalf of the Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: CBA requirements, capacity benefits, volatility benefits.
- 73. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2020-125-E. (2020). Before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. In the Matter of: Application of Dominion Energy South Carolina, Incorporated for Adjustments of Rates and Charges (See Commission Order No. 2020-313). On Behalf of the South Carolina department of Consumer Affairs. Issues: cost of service, revenue allocation, rate design.
- 74. Answering Testimony. Before the United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Docket No. RP20-614-000 and RP20-618-000. (2020). *Transcontinental Gas Pile Line Company, LLC*. On Behalf of the North Carolina Utilities Commission. Issues: Tariff revisions, assessment of Transco claims.
- 75. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 16-036-FR. (2020). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-015-U. Direct and Surrebuttal. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: rate increases, investment and expenses trends, load forecast, historic year netting adjustment, reliability issues.

- 76. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2019.12.101. (2020). Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Montana. *In the Matter of NorthWestern Energy's Application for Approval of Capacity Resource Acquisition*. On the Behalf of the Montana Consumer Counsel. Issues: sale of capital asset, evaluation benefits, ratepayer cost exposure, reserve fund.
- 77. Expert Testimony. Formal Case No. 1162. (2020). Before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia. *In the Matter of the Application of Washington Gas Light Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges for Gas Service*. On Behalf of the Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: rate increase, revenue adjustment, weather normalization, rate design, revenue distribution.
- 78. Expert Testimony. Docket No. E-01345A-19-0236. (2020). Before the Arizona Corporation Commission. In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Public Service Company for Ratemaking Purposes to Fix a Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop such Return. Direct and Surrebuttal. On Behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission. Issues: Cost of Service, Revenue Distribution, Rate Design.
- 79. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 17-010-FR. (2020). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp. D/B/A Centerpoint Energy Arkansas Gas Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-098-U. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: rate increase, leak replacement and reduction, netting adjustment, revenue deficiency, accounting policy changes.
- 80. Expert Testimony. Case No. U-20697. (2020). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for authority to increase its rates for the generation and distribution of electricity and for other relief. On Behalf of the Michigan Department of Attorney General. Issues: cost of service, revenue distribution, rate design.
- 81. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2019.09.058. (2020). Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Montana. *In the Matter of NorthWestern Energy's Annual PCCAM Filing and Application for Approval of Tariff Changes*. On the Behalf of the Montana Consumer Counsel. Issues: purchase power expenses, cost sharing, PCAAM power cost.
- 82. Expert Testimony. Formal Case No. 1156. (2020). Before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia. *In the matter of Potomac Electric Power Company for authority to implement a multiyear rate plan for electric distribution service in the district of Columbia*. Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal, Supplemental, and Second Supplemental. On Behalf of the Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: revenue distribution, rate design, customer charge, performance metric policies, performance metric incentives.
- 83. Expert Testimony. Case No. U-20561. (2019). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. In the matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for authority to increase its rates, amend its rate schedules and rules governing the distribution and supply

of electric energy, and for miscellaneous accounting authority. On Behalf of the Michigan Department of Attorney General. Issues: Cost of service, allocation of production plant, allocation of sub-transmission plant, revenue distribution.

- 84. Expert Testimony. Cause No. 45253. (2019). Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission. Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC Pursuant to Ind. Code 8-1-2-42.7 and 8-1-2-61, for (1) Authority to Modify its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service through a Step-In of New Rates and Charges using a Forecasted Test Period; (2) Approval of New Schedules of Rates and Charges, General Rules and Regulations, and Riders; (3) Approval of a Federal Mandate Certificate Under Ind. Code 8-1-8.4-1; (4) Approval of Revised Electric Depreciation Rates Applicable to its Electric Plant in Service; (5) Approval of Necessary and Appropriate Accounting Deferral Relief; and (6) Approval of a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism for Certain Customers Classes. On Behalf of the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counsel. Issues: Decoupling, revenue decoupling mechanism and design, commission policy, benchmarking analysis.
- 85. Expert Testimony. Docket 19-019-U. (2019). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Petition of Entergy Arkansas, LLC for Approval of a Build-Own-Transfer Arrangement for a Renewable Resource and for all other Related Approvals. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: Solar investment, risk assessment, proposed rider.
- 86. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 16-036-FR. (2019). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. *In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-015-U.* On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: rate design, reliability, and formula rate plan.
- 87. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 19-019-U. (2019). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Petition of Entergy Arkansas, LLC for Approval of a Build-Own-Transfer Arrangement for a Renewable Resource and for all other Related Approvals. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: Solar project approval, ratepayer risk, cost allocation.
- 88. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 17-010-FR. (2019). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Centerpoint Energy Resources Corp. D/B/A Centerpoint Energy Arkansas Gas Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-098-U. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: retail rates, leak analysis, revenue deficiency, investments.
- 89. Expert Testimony. Case No. U-20471. (2019). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. *In the matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for approval of its Integrated Resource Plan pursuant to MCL 460.6t, and for other relief.* On Behalf of the Michigan Department of Attorney General. Issues: load forecasting, least-cost system planning.
- 90. Expert Report. Docket No. 18-004422. (2019). Before the State of Florida Division of Administrative Hearings. *Peoples Gas System vs. South Sumter Gas Company, LLC and the City of Leesburg.* On Behalf of the City of Leesburg. Issues: retail rates, customer

growth, sales trends and forecasts, policy, cost of service, socio-economic trends and forecasts.

- 91. Expert Testimony. Docket Nos. GO18101112 and EO18101113. (2019). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. *In the Matter of the Public Service Electric and Gas Company for Approval of its Clean Energy Future-Energy Efficiency ("CEF-EE") Program on a Regulated Basis.* On behalf of the Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: economic impact, cost benefit analysis, decoupling mechanisms.
- 92. Expert Testimony. Docket Nos. EO18060629 and GO18060630. (2019). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. *In the Matter of the Public Service Electric and Gas Company for Approval of the Second Energy Strong Program (Energy Strong II)*. On behalf of the Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: economic impact, cost benefit analysis, infrastructure replacement, cost recovery tracker mechanisms.
- 93. Expert Report. Docket No. 2011-AD-2. (2019). On Behalf of the Mississippi Public Service Commission. Order Establishing Docket to Investigate the Development and Implementation of Net Metering Programs and Standards. On Behalf of the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff. Issues: Net-metering, distributed generation.
- 94. Expert Testimony. Docket No. D2018.2.12. (2018). Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Montana. *In the Matter of NorthWestern Energy's Application for Authority to Increase Retail Electric Utility Service Rates and for Approval of Electric Service Schedules and Rules and Allocated Cost of Service and Rate Design.* On Behalf of the Montana Consumer Counsel. Issues: Net-metering, cost of service, revenue distribution, rate design.
- 95. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 19-SEPE-054-MER. (2018). Before the Kansas Corporation Commission. In the Matter of the Joint Application of Sunflower Electric Power Corporation and Mid-Kansas Electric Company, Inc. for an Order Approving the Merger of Mid-Kansas Electric Company, Inc. into Sunflower Electric Power Corporation. On the Behalf of the Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. Issues: merger impacts, rates, tariffs.
- 96. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 18-046-FR. (2018). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. *In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 16-052-U.* On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: formula rate plan, plant investment and expenses benchmarking analysis, reliability.
- 97. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 16-036-FR. (2018). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-015-U. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: rate design, reliability, and formula rate plan.
- 98. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2017-AD-0112. (2018). Before the Mississippi Public Service Commission. *In Re: Encouraging Stipulation of Matters in Connection with the Kemper County IGCC Project*. On Behalf of the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff. Issues:

cost of service and rate design.

- 99. Expert Affidavit. Docket No. 87011-E. (2018). Before the 16th Judicial District Court Parish of St. Martin State of Louisiana. *Bayou Bridge Pipeline, LLC versus 38.00 Acres, More or Less, Located in St. Martin Parish; Barry Scott Carline, et al.* Issues: economic impacts.
- 100. Expert Testimony. Docket No. QO18080843. (2018). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Petition of Nautilus Offshore Wind, LLC for the Approval of the State Waters Wind Project and Authorizing Offshore Wind Renewable Energy Certificates. On behalf of the Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: regulatory policy and cost-benefit analyses.
- 101. Expert Testimony. Docket No. ER18010029 and GR18010030. (2018). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Electric and Gas Company for Approval of an Increase in Electric and Gas Rates and for Changes in the Tariffs for Electric and Gas Service, B.P.U.N.J. No. 16 Electric and B.P.U.N.J No. 16 Gas, and for Changes in Depreciation Rates, Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-18, N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.1, and for Other Appropriate Relief. On behalf of the Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: rate proposal, revenue decoupling, regulatory policy, cost benchmarking.
- 102. Expert Testimony. Docket No. T-34695. (2018). Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. In re: Application for a rate increase on service originating at Grand isle and termination at St. James for Crude Petroleum as currently outlined in LPSC Tariff No. 75.2. On Behalf of Energy XXI GOM, LLC. Issues: cost of service, rate design, and alternative regulation.
- 103. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 17-071-U. (2018). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. *In the Matter of the Application of Black Hills Energy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs*. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: cost of service, rate design, billing determinates.
- 104. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 17-010-FR. (2018). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filing of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. D/B/A CenterPoint Energy Arkansas Gas Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-098-U. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: cost of service, rate design, alternative regulation, formula rate plan.
- 105. Expert Testimony. Case No. PU-17-398. (2018). Before the North Dakota Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Application of Otter Tail Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Utility Service in North Dakota. On Behalf of the North Dakota Service Commission Advocacy Staff. Issues: cost of service, marginal cost of service, and rate design.
- 106. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 20170179-GU. (2018). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. *In re: Petition for rate increase and approval of depreciation study by Florida City Gas.* On Behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. Issues: policy issues

concerning long-term gas capacity procurement.

- 107. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 18-KCPE-095-MER. (2018). Before the Kansas Corporation Commission. In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy, Inc. for Approval of the Merger of Westar, Inc. and Great Plains Energy Incorporated. On the Behalf of the Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. Issues: merger/acquisition policy, financial risk, and ring-fencing.
- 108. Expert Testimony. Docket No. GR17070776. (2018). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Electric and Gas Company for Approval of the Next Phase of the Gas System Modernization Program and Associated Cost Recovery Mechanism ("GSMP II"). On behalf of the Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: economic impact, infrastructure replacement program rider, pipeline replacement, leak rate comparisons and cost benefit analysis.
- 109. Expert Affidavit. Case No. 18-489. (2018). Before the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana. *Bayou Bridge Pipeline, LLC versus The White Castle Lumber and Shingle Company Limited and Jeanerette Lumber & Shingle CO. L.L.C.* Issues: economic impact of crude oil pipeline development.
- 110. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 16-036-FR. (2017). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-015-U. On behalf of the Office of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issue: cost of service, rate design, alternative regulation, formula rate plan.
- 111. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2017-AD-0112. (2017). Before the Mississippi Public Service Commission. *In re: Encouraging Stipulation of Matters in Connection with the Kemper County IGCC Project*. On Behalf of the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff. Issues: financial analysis, rates and cost trends, economic impacts of proposal.
- 112. Expert Testimony. Case No. 2017-00179. (2017). Before the Public Service Commission, Commonwealth of Kentucky. *Electronic Application of Kentucky power Company For (1)* A General Adjustment of Its Rates for Electric Service; (2) An Order Approving Its 2017 Environmental Compliance Plan; (3) An Order Approving Its Tariffs and Riders; (4) An Order Approving Accounting Practices to Establish a Regulatory Asset or Liability Related to the Big Sandy 1 Operation Rider; and (5) An Order Granting All Other Required Approvals and Relief. On Behalf of the Office of the Kentucky Attorney General. Issues: rate design, revenue allocation, economic development.
- 113. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 17-010-FR. (2017). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filing of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. D/B/A CenterPoint Energy Arkansas Gas Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-098-U. On Behalf of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: cost of service, rate design, alternative regulation, formula rate plan.
- 114. Expert Testimony. Formal Case No. 1142. (2017). Before the Public Service Commission

of the District of Columbia. *In the Matter of the Merger of AltaGas Ltd. and WGL Holdings, Inc.* On Behalf of the Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: merger/acquisition policy, financial risk, ring-fencing, and reliability.

- 115. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 17-05. (2017). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of NSTAR Electric Company and Western Massachusetts Electric Company each d/b/a Eversource Energy for Approval of an Increase in Base Distribution Rates for Electric Service Pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 94 and 220 C.M.R. § 5.00.* On Behalf of the Massachusetts Office of the Attorney General Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: performance-based ratemaking, multi-factor productivity estimation.
- 116. Deposition and Testimony. (2017) Before the Nebraska Section 70, Article 13 Arbitration Panel. Northeast Nebraska Public Power District, City of South Sioux City Nebraska; City of Wayne, Nebraska; City of Valentine, Nebraska; City of Beatrice, Nebraska; City of Scribner, Nebraska; Village of Walthill, Nebraska, vs. Nebraska Public Power District. On the Behalf of Baird Holm LLP for the Plaintiffs. Issues: rate discounts; cost of service; utility regulation, economic harm.
- 117. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 16-052-U. (2017). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. *In the Matter of the Application of the Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates, Charges and Tariffs.* On the Behalf of the Office of Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issues: cost of service, rate design, alternative regulation, formula rate plan.
- 118. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 16-KCPE-593-ACQ. (2016). Before the Kansas Corporation Commission. In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy, Inc. for Approval of the Acquisition of Westar, Inc. by Great Plains Energy Incorporated. On the Behalf of the Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. Issues: merger/acquisition policy, financial risk, and ring-fencing.
- 119. Expert Testimony. Formal Case No. 1139. (2016). Before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia. *In the Matter of the Application of Potomac Electric Power Company for Authority to Increase Existing Retail Rates and Charges for Electric Distribution Service.* On the Behalf of the Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia. Issues: cost of service, rate design, alternative regulation.
- 120. Expert Affidavit. Docket No. CP15-558-000 (2016). Before the United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. *PennEast Pipeline Company, LLC.* Affidavit and Reply Affidavit. On the Behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: pipeline capacity, peak day requirements.
- 121. Expert Testimony. Docket No. RPU-2016-0002. (2016). Before the Iowa Utilities Board. *In re: Iowa American Water Company application for revision of rates.* On behalf of the Office of Consumer Advocate. Issue: revenue stabilization mechanism, revenue decoupling.

- 122. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 15-015-U. (2016). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Formula Rate Plan Filings of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Pursuant to APSC Docket No. 15-015-U. On behalf of the Office of the Arkansas Attorney General Leslie Rutledge. Issue: formula rate plan evaluation.
- 123. Expert Testimony. Docket Nos. 160021-EI, 160061-EI, 160062-EI, and 160088-EI. (2016). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. *In re: Petition for rate increase by Florida Power & Light Company (consolidated)*. On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. Issue: load forecasting.
- 124. Expert Testimony. Docket Nos. 160021-EI, 160061-EI, 160062-EI, and 160088-EI. (2016). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. *In re: Petition for rate increase by Florida Power & Light Company (consolidated)*. On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. Issue: off-system sales incentives.
- 125. Expert Testimony. Project No. 5-103. (2016). United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. *Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes Energy Keepers, Incorporated*. On behalf of the Flathead, Mission, and Jocko Valley Irrigation Districts and the Flathead Joint Board of Control of the Flathead, Mission, and Jocko Valley Irrigation Districts.
- 126. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 15-098-U. (2016). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Application of CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. d/b/a CenterPoint Energy Arkansas Gas for a General Change or Modification in its Rates, Charges and Tariffs. On behalf of the Office of the Arkansas Attorney General. Issues: formula rate plan, cost of service and rate design.
- 127. Expert Testimony. BPU Docket No. GM15101196. (2016). *In the Matter of the Merger of Southern Company and AGL Resources, Inc.* On behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: merger standards of review, customer dividend contributions, synergy savings and costs to achieve, ratemaking treatment of merger-related costs.
- 128. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 15-078-U. (2015). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Joint Application of SourceGas Inc., SourceGas LLC, SourceGas Holdings LLC and Black Hills Utility Holdings, Inc. for all Necessary Authorizations and Approvals for Black Hills Utility Holdings, Inc. to Acquire SourceGas Holdings LLC. On behalf of the Office of the Arkansas Attorney General. Issues: public policy and regulatory policy associated with the acquisition.
- 129. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 15-031-U. (2015). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Application of SourceGas Arkansas Inc. for an Order Approving the Acquisition of Certain Storage Facilities and the Recovery of Investments and Expenses Associated Therewith. On behalf of the Office of the Arkansas Attorney General. Issues: cost-benefit analysis, transmission cost analysis, and a due diligence analysis.
- 130. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 15-015-U. (2015). Before the Arkansas Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of

Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service. On behalf of the Office of the Arkansas Attorney General. Issues: economic development riders and production plant cost allocation.

- 131. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 7970. (2015). Before the Vermont Public Service Board. Petition of Vermont Gas Systems, Inc., for a certificate of public good pursuant to 30 V.S.A.§ 248, authorizing the construction of the "Addison Natural Gas Project" consisting of approximately 43 miles of new natural gas transmission pipeline in Chittenden and Addison Counties, approximately 5 miles of new distribution mainlines in Addison County, together with three new gate stations in Williston, New Haven, and Middlebury, Vermont. On behalf of AARP-Vermont. Issues: net economic benefits of proposed natural gas transmission project.
- 132. Expert Testimony. File No. ER-2014-0370 (2015). Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri. *In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company for Authority Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service*. On behalf of the Missouri Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: customer charges, rate design, revenue distribution, class cost of service, and policy and ratemaking considerations in connection with electric vehicle charging stations.
- 133. Expert Testimony. File No. ER-2014-0351 (2015). Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri. In the Matter of The Empire District Electric Company for Authority To File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers In the Company's Missouri Service Area. On behalf of the Missouri Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: customer charges, rate design, revenue distribution, and class cost of service.
- 134. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 14-130 (2015). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company d/b/a Unitil for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of the Company's 2015 Gas System Enhancement Program Plan, pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 145, and for rates effective May 1, 2015.* On behalf of the Attorney General's Office. Issues: ratepayer protections, cost allocations, rate design, performance metrics.
- 135. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 14-131 (2015). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. Petition of The Berkshire Gas Company for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of the Company's Gas System Enhancement Program Plan for 2015, pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 145, and for rates effective May 1, 2015. On behalf of the Attorney General's Office. Issues: ratepayer protections, cost allocations, rate design, performance metrics.
- 136. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 14-132 (2015). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of Boston Gas Company and Colonial Gas Company d/b/a National Grid for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of the Companies' Gas System Enhancement Program for 2015, pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 145, and for rates effective May 1, 2015.* On behalf of the Attorney General's Office. Issues: ratepayer protections, cost allocations, rate design, performance metrics.
- 137. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 14-133 (2015). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public

Utilities. Petition of Liberty Utilities for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of the Company's Gas System Enhancement Program Plan for 2015, pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 145, and for rates effective May 1, 2015. On behalf of the Attorney General's Office. Issues: ratepayer protections, cost allocations, rate design, performance metrics.

- 138. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 14-134 (2015). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of Bay State Gas Company d/b/a Columbia Gas of Massachusetts for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of the Company's Gas System Enhancement Program Plan for 2015, pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 145, and for rates to be effective May 1, 2015.* On behalf of the Attorney General's Office. Issues: ratepayer protections, cost allocations, rate design, performance metrics.
- 139. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 14-135 (2015). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of NSTAR Gas Company for approval by the Department of Public Utilities of the Company's Gas System Enhancement Program Plan for 2015, pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 145, and for rates to be effective May 1, 2015.* On behalf of the Attorney General's Office. Issues: ratepayer protections, cost allocations, rate design, performance metrics.
- 140. Expert Report. Docket No. X-33192 (2015). Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. *Examination of the Comprehensive Costs and Benefits of Net Metering in Louisiana*. On behalf of the Louisiana Public Service Commission. Issues: cost-benefit, cost of service, rate impact.
- 141. Expert Testimony. F.C. 1119 (2014). Before the District of Columbia Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Merger of Exelon Corporation, Pepco Holdings, Inc., Potomac Electric Power Company, Exelon Energy Delivery Company, LLC, and new Special Purpose Entity, LLC. On behalf of the Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: economic impact analysis, reliability, consumer investment fund, regulatory oversight, impacts to competitive electricity markets.
- 142. Expert Report. Civil Action 1:08-cv-0046 (2014). Before the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. *Anthony Williams, et al., v. Duke Energy International, Inc., et al.* On behalf of Markovits, Stock & DeMarco, Attorneys & Counselors at Law. Issues: public utility regulation, electric power markets, economic harm.
- 143. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 14-64 (2014). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *NSTAR Gas Company/HOPCO Gas Services Agreement. On behalf of the Office of the Public Advocate.* Issues: certain ratemaking features associated with the proposed Gas Service Agreement.
- 144. Expert Testimony. Docket Nos. 14-0224 and 14-0225 (2014). Before the Illinois Commerce Commission. *In the Matter of the Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company and North Shore Gas Company Proposed General Increase in Rates for Gas Service (consolidated)*. On behalf of the People of the State of Illinois. Issues: test year expenses, cost benchmarking analysis, pipeline replacement, and leak rate comparisons.
- 145. Expert Testimony. Docket 8191 (2014). Before the Vermont Public Service Board. In Re:

Petition of Green Mountain Power Corporation for Approval of a Successor Alternative Regulation Plan. On the behalf of AARP-Vermont. Issues: Alternative Regulation.

- 146. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 2013-00168 (2014). Before the Maine Public Utilities Commission. In the Matter of the Request for Approval of an Alternative Rate Plan (ARP 2014) Pertaining to Central Maine Power Company. On behalf of the Office of the Public Advocate. Issues: class cost of service study, marginal cost of service study, revenue distribution and rate design.
- 147. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 13-90 (2013). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *Petition of Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company (Electric Division) d/b/a Unitil to the Department of Public Utilities for approval of the rates and charges and increase in base distribution rates for electric service.* On behalf of the Office of the Ratepayer Advocate. Issues: capital cost adjustment mechanism and performance-based regulation.
- 148. Expert Testimony. BPU Docket Nos. EO13020155 and GO13020156. (2013). Before the State of New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. *I/M/O The Petition of Public Service Electric & Gas Company for the Approval of the Energy Strong Program*. On behalf of the Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: economic impact, infrastructure replacement program rider, pipeline replacement, leak rate comparisons and cost benefit analysis.
- 149. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 13-75 (2013). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. Investigation by the Department of Public Utilities on its Own Motion as to the Propriety of the Rates and Charges by Bay State Gas Company d/b/a Columbia Gas of Massachusetts set forth in Tariffs M.D.P.U. Nos. 140 through 173, and Approval of an Increase in Base Distribution Rates for Gas Service Pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 94 and 220 C.M.R. § 5.00 et seq., filed with the Department on April 16, 2013, to be effective May 1, 2013. On the Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: Target infrastructure replacement program rider, pipeline replacement, and leak rate comparisons; environmental benefits analysis; O&M offset; and cost benchmarking analysis.
- 150. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 13-115 (2013). Before the Delaware Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light Company FOR an Increase in Electric Base Rates and Miscellaneous Tariff Changes (Filed March 22, 2013). On the Behalf of Division of the Public Advocate. Issues: pro forma infrastructure proposal, class cost of service study, revenue distribution, and rate design.
- 151. Expert Testimony. Formal Case No. 1103 (2013). Before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia. *In the Matter of the Application of the Potomac Electric Power Company for Authority to Increase Existing Retail Rates and Charges for Electric Distribution Service*. On the Behalf of the Office of the People's Counsel of the District of Columbia. Issues: Pro forma adjustment for reliability investments.
- 152. Expert Testimony. Case No. 9326 (2013). Before the Public Service Commission of Maryland. In the Matter of the Application of Baltimore Gas and Electric Company for Adjustments to its Electric and Gas Base Rates. On the Behalf of the Maryland Office of

the People's Counsel. Issues: Electric Reliability Investment ("ERI") initiatives, pro forma gas infrastructure proposal, tracker mechanisms, class cost of service study, revenue distribution, and rate design

- 153. Rulemaking Testimony. (2013). Before the Louisiana Tax Commission. Examination of Louisiana Assessors' Association Well Diameter Analysis, economic development policies regarding midstream assets and industrial development.
- 154. Expert Testimony. Case No. 9317 (2013). Before the Public Service Commission of Maryland. In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light Company for Adjustments to its Retail Rates for the Distribution of Electric Energy. Direct, and Surrebuttal. On the Behalf of the Maryland Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: Grid Resiliency Charge, tracker mechanisms, pipeline replacement, class cost of service study, revenue distribution, and rate design.
- 155. Expert Testimony. Case No. 9311 (2013). Before the Public Service Commission of Maryland. *In the Matter of the Application of Potomac Electric Power Company for an Increase in its Retail Rates for the Distribution of Electric Energy*. Direct, and Surrebuttal. On the Behalf of the Maryland Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: Grid Resiliency Charge, tracker mechanisms, pipeline replacement, class cost of service study, revenue distribution, and rate design.
- 156. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 12AL-1268G (2013). Before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado. *In the Matter of the Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado with Advice No. 830 – Gas. Answer.* On the Behalf of the Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel. Issues: Pipeline System Integrity Adjustment, tracker mechanisms, pipeline replacement and leak rate comparisons.
- 157. Expert Testimony. BPU Docket No. EO12080721 (2013). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. *In the Matter of the Public Service Electric & Gas Company for Approval of an Extension of Solar Generation Program*. On the Behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel. Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal. Issues: solar energy market design, solar energy market conditions, solar energy program design and net economic benefits.
- 158. Expert Testimony. BPU Docket No. EO12080726 (2013). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. *In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Electric & Gas Company for Approval of a Solar Loan III Program.* On the Behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel. Direct, Rebuttal and Surrebuttal. Issues: solar energy market design, solar energy market conditions, solar energy program design.
- 159. Expert Testimony. BPU Docket No. EO11050314V. (2012). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Petition of Fishermen's Atlantic City Windfarm, LLC for the Approval of the State Waters Project and Authorizing Offshore Wind Renewable Energy Certificates. On the Behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel. December 17, 2012. Issues: approval of offshore wind project and ratepayer financial support for the proposed project.

- 160. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 12-25. (2012). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. *In the Matter of Bay State Gas Company d/b/a/ Columbia Gas Company of Massachusetts Request for Increase in Rates*. On the Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: Target infrastructure replacement program rider, pipeline replacement and leak rate comparisons.
- 161. Expert Testimony. Docket Nos. UE-120436, et.al. (consolidated). (2012). Before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. *Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Avista Corporation D/B/A Avista Utilities*. On the Behalf of the Washington Attorney General, Office of the Public Counsel. Issues: Revenue Decoupling, lost revenues, tracker mechanisms, attrition adjustments.
- 162. Expert Testimony. Case No. 9286. (2012) Before the Public Service Commission of Maryland. *In Re: Potomac Electric Power Company ("Pepco") General Rate Case*. On the Behalf of the Maryland Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: Capital tracker mechanisms/reliability investment mechanisms, reliability issues, regulatory lag, class cost of service, revenue distribution, rate design.
- 163. Expert Testimony. Case No 9285. (2012) Before the Public Service Commission of Maryland. *In Re: the Delmarva Power and Light Company General Rate Case*. On the Behalf of the Maryland Office of the People's Counsel. Issues: Capital tracker mechanisms/reliability investment mechanisms, reliability issues, regulatory lag, class cost of service, revenue distribution, rate design.
- 164. Expert Testimony. Docket Nos. UE-110876 and UG-110877 (consolidated). (2012). Before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Avista Corporation D/B/A Avista Utilities. On the Behalf of the Washington Attorney General, Office of the Public Counsel. Issues: Revenue Decoupling, lost revenues, tracker mechanisms.
- 165. Expert Testimony. BPU Docket No. EO11050314V. (2012). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Petition of Fishermen's Atlantic City Windfarm, LLC for the Approval of the State Waters Project and Authorizing Offshore Wind Renewable Energy Certificates. On the Behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel. February 3, 2012. Issues: approval of offshore wind project and ratepayer financial support for the proposed project.
- 166. Expert Testimony. Docket No. NG 0067. (2012). Before the Public Service Commission of Nebraska. In the Matter of the Application of SourceGas Distribution, LLC Approval of a General Rate Increase. On the Behalf of the Public Advocate. January 31, 2012. Issues: Revenue Decoupling, Customer Adjustments, Weather Normalization Adjustments, Class Cost of Service Study, Rate Design.
- 167. Expert Testimony. Docket No. G-04204A-11-0158. (2011). Before the Arizona Corporation Commission. On the Behalf of the Arizona Corporation Commission Staff. In the Matter of the Application of UNS Gas, Inc. for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realize a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of Its Arizona Properties. Issues: Revenue Decoupling; Class Cost of Service

Modeling; Revenue Distribution; Rate Design.

- 168. Expert Testimony. Formal Case Number 1087. (2011). Before the Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia. On the Behalf of the Office of the People's Counsel of the District of Columbia. *In the Matter of the Application of Potomac Electric Power Company for Authority to Increase Existing Retail Rates and Charges for Electric Distribution Service*. Issues: Regulatory lag, ratemaking principles, reliability-related capital expenditure tracker proposals.
- 169. Expert Affidavit. Case No. 11-1364. (2011). The State of Louisiana, the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, and the Louisiana Public Service Commission v. United States Environmental Protection Agency and Lisa P. Jackson. Before the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. On the behalf of the State of Louisiana, the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, and the Louisiana Public Service Commission. Issues: Impacts of environmental costs on electric utilities, compliance requirements, investment cost of mitigation equipment, multi-area dispatch modeling and plant retirements.
- 170. Expert Affidavit. Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0491. (2011). Before the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Federal Implementation Plans: Interstate Transport of Fine Particulate Matter and Ozone and Correction of SIP Approvals*. On the Behalf of the Louisiana Public Service Commission. Issues: Impacts of environmental costs on electric utilities, compliance requirements, investment cost of mitigation equipment, multi-area dispatch modeling and plant retirements.
- 171. Expert Testimony. Case No. 9296. (2011). Before the Maryland Public Service Commission. On the Behalf of the Maryland Office of People's Counsel. In the Matter of the Application of Washington Gas Light Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges and Revise its Terms and Conditions for Gas Service. Issues: Infrastructure Cost Recovery Rider; Class Cost of Service Modeling; Revenue Distribution; Rate Design.
- 172. Expert Testimony. Docket No. G-01551A-10-0458. (2011). Before the Arizona Corporation Commission. On the Behalf of the Arizona Corporation Commission Staff. In the Matter of the Application of Southwest Gas Corporation for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realize A Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of its Properties throughout Arizona. Issues: Revenue Decoupling; Class Cost of Service Modeling; Revenue Distribution; Rate Design.
- 173. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 11-0280 and 11-0281. (2011). Before the Illinois Commerce Commission. On the Behalf of the Illinois Attorney General, the Citizens Utility Board, and the City of Chicago, Illinois. *In re: Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company and North Shore Natural Gas Company*. Issues: Revenue Decoupling and Rate Design. (Direct and Rebuttal)
- 174. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 11-01. (2011). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. On the Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. *Petition of the Fitchburg Electric and Gas Company (Electric Division) for Approval of A General Increase in Electric Distribution Rates and Approval of a Revenue*

Decoupling Mechanism. Issues: Capital Cost Rider, Revenue Decoupling.

- 175. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 11-02. (2011). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. On the Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. *Petition of the Fitchburg Electric and Gas Company (Gas Division) for Approval of A General Increase in Electric Distribution Rates and Approval of a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism.* Issues: Pipeline Replacement Rider, Revenue Decoupling.
- 176. Expert Affidavit. Docket No. EL-11-13 (2011). Before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Petition for Preliminary Ruling, Atlantic Grid Operations. On the Behalf of the New Jersey Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: Offshore wind generation development, offshore wind transmission development, ratemaking treatment of development costs, transmission development incentives.
- 177. Expert Opinion. Case No. CI06-195. (2011). Before the District Court of Jefferson County, Nebraska. On the Behalf of the City of Fairbury, Nebraska and Michael Beachler. In re: Endicott Clay Products Co. vs. City of Fairbury, Nebraska and Michael Beachler. Issues: rate design and ratemaking, time of use and time differentiated rate structures, empirical analysis of demand and usage trends for tariff eligibility requirements.
- 178. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 10-114. (2010). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. On the Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Petition of the New England Gas Company for Approval of A General Increase in Electric Distribution Rates and Approval of a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism. Issues: infrastructure replacement rider.
- 179. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 10-70. (2010). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. Petition of the Western Massachusetts Electric Company for Approval of A General Increase in Electric Distribution Rates and Approval of a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism. On the Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: Revenue decoupling; infrastructure replacement rider; performance-based regulation; inflation adjustment mechanisms; and rate design.
- 180. Expert Testimony. G.U.D. Nos. 998 & 9992. (2010). Before the Texas Railroad Commission. In the Matter of the Rate Case Petition of Texas Gas Services, Inc. On the Behalf of the City of El Paso, Texas. Issues: Cost of service, revenue distribution, rate design, and weather normalization.
- 181. Expert Testimony. B.P.U Docket No. GR10030225. (2010). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Petition of New Jersey Natural Gas Company for Approval of Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative Programs and Associated Cost Recovery Mechanisms Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:3-98.1. On the Behalf of the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: solar energy proposals, solar securitization issues, solar energy policy issues.
- 182. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 10-55. (2010). Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. Investigation Into the Propriety of Proposed Tariff Changes for Boston Gas Company, Essex Gas Company, and Colonial Gas Company. (d./b./a. National Grid). On

the Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: Revenue decoupling; pipeline-replacement rider; performance-based regulation; partial productivity factor estimates, inflation adjustment mechanisms; and rate design.

- 183. Expert Testimony. Cause No.43839. (2010). Before the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission. In the Matter of Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company d/b/a/ Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana, Inc. (Vectren South-Electric). On the behalf of the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor (OUCC). Issues: revenue decoupling, variable production cost riders, gains on off-system sales, transmission cost riders.
- 184. Congressional Testimony. Before the United States Congress. (2010). U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on Natural Resources. Hearing on the Consolidated Land, Energy, and Aquatic Resources Act. June 30, 2010.
- 185. Expert Testimony. Before the City Counsel of El Paso, Texas; Public Utility Regulatory Board. (2010). On the Behalf of the City of El Paso. In Re: Rate Application of Texas Gas Services, Inc. Issues: class cost of service study (minimum system and zero intercept analysis), rate design proposals, weather normalization adjustment, and its cost of service adjustment clause, conservation adjustment clause proposals, and other cost tracker policy issues.
- 186. Expert Testimony. Docket 09-00183. (2010). Before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority. In the Matter of the Petition of Chattanooga Gas Company for a General Rate Increase, Implementation of the EnergySMART Conservation Programs, and Implementation of a Revenue Decoupling Mechanism. On the Behalf of Tennessee Attorney General, Consumer Advocate & Protection Division. Issues: revenue decoupling and energy efficiency program review and cost effectiveness analysis.
- 187. Expert Testimony and Exhibits. Docket No. 10-240. (2010). Before the Louisiana Office of Conservation. In Re: Cadeville Gas Storage, LLC. On the Behalf of Cardinal Gas Storage, LLC. Issues: alternative uses and relative economic benefits of conversion of depleted hydrocarbon reservoir for natural gas storage purposes.
- 188. Expert Testimony. Docket No. 09505-EI. (2010). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. In Re: Review of Replacement Fuel Costs Associated with the February 26, 2008 outage on Florida Power & Light's Electrical System. On the Behalf of the Florida Office of Public Counsel for the Citizens of the State of Florida. Issues: Replacement costs for power outage, regulatory policy/generation development incentives, renewable and energy efficiency incentives.
- 189. Expert Report, Recommendation, and Proposed Rule: Docket Number R-29380-A, ex parte, (2009). Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. In re: Environmental Adjustment Clause and Environmental Certification for Electric Power Generation Resources. On the behalf of the Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff. Report and Recommendation. Issues: environmental regulation and cost recovery; allowance allocations and air credit markets cost recovery treatment; other generation planning issues.
- 190. Expert Testimony. Docket 09-00104. (2009). Before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority.

In the Matter of the Petition of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. to Implement a Margin Decoupling Tracker Rider and Related Energy Efficiency and Conservation Programs. On the Behalf of the Tennessee Attorney General, Consumer Advocate & Protection Division. Issues: revenue decoupling, energy efficiency program review, weather normalization.

- 191. Expert Testimony. Docket Number NG-0060. (2009). Before the Nebraska Public Service Commission. In the Matter of SourceGas Distribution, LLC Approval for a General Rate Increase. On the Behalf of the Nebraska Public Advocate. October 29, 2009. Issues: revenue decoupling, inflation trackers, infrastructure replacement riders, customer adjustment rider, weather normalization rider, weather normalization adjustments, estimation of normal weather for ratemaking purposes.
- 192. Expert Report and Deposition. Before the 23rd Judicial District Court, Parish of Assumption, State of Louisiana. On the Behalf of Dow Hydrocarbons and Resources, Inc. September 1, 2009. (Deposition, November 23-24, 2009). Issues: replacement and repair costs for underground salt cavern hydrocarbon storage.
- 193. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 09-39. Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. (2009). Investigation Into the Propriety of Proposed Tariff Changes for Massachusetts Electric Company and Nantucket Electric Company (d./b./a. National Grid). On the Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: Revenue decoupling; infrastructure rider; performance-based regulation; inflation adjustment mechanisms; revenue distribution; and rate design.
- 194. Expert Testimony. D.P.U. 09-30. Before the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities. (2009). In the Matter of Bay State Gas Company Request for Increase in Rates. On the Behalf of the Office of the Attorney General, Office of Ratepayer Advocacy. Issues: Revenue decoupling; target infrastructure replacement program rider; revenue distribution; and rate design.
- 195. Expert Testimony. Docket EO09030249. (2009). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Electric and Gas Company for Approval of a Solar Loan II Program and An Associated Cost Recovery Mechanism. On the Behalf of the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: solar energy market design, renewable portfolio standards, solar energy, and renewable financing/loan program design.
- 196. Expert Testimony. Docket EO0920097. (2009). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Rockland Electric Company for Approval of an SREC-Based Financing Program and An Associated Cost Recovery Mechanism. On the Behalf of the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: solar energy market design; renewable energy portfolio standards; solar energy.
- 197. Expert Rebuttal Report. Civil Action No.: 2:07-CV-2165. (2009). Before the U.S. District Court, Western Division of Louisiana, Lake Charles Division. Prepared on the Behalf of the Transcontinental Pipeline Corporation. Issues: expropriation and industrial use of property.

- 198. Expert Testimony. Docket EO06100744. (2008). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Renewable Portfolio Standard Amendments to the Minimum filing Requirements for Energy Efficiency, Renewable Energy, and Conservation Programs and For Electric Distribution Company Submittals of Filings in connection with Solar Financing (Atlantic City Electric Company). On the Behalf of the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: Solar energy market design; renewable energy portfolio standards; solar energy. (Rebuttal and Surrebuttal)
- 199. Expert Testimony. Docket EO08090840. (2008). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Renewable Portfolio Standard Amendments to the Minimum filing Requirements for Energy Efficiency, Renewable Energy, and Conservation Programs and For Electric Distribution Company Submittals of Filings in connection with Solar Financing (Jersey Central Power & Light Company). On the Behalf of the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: Solar energy market design; renewable energy portfolio standards; solar energy. (Rebuttal and Surrebuttal)
- 200. Expert Testimony. Docket UG-080546. (2008). Before the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. On the Behalf of the Washington Attorney General (Public Counsel Section). Issues: Rate Design, Cost of Service, Revenue Decoupling, Weather Normalization.
- 201. Congressional Testimony. (2008). Senate Republican Conference: Panel on Offshore Drilling in the Restricted Areas of the Outer Continental Shelf. September 18, 2008.
- 202. Expert Testimony. Appeal Number 2007-125 and 2007-299. (2008). Before the Louisiana Tax Commission. On the Behalf of Jefferson Island Storage and Hub, LLC (AGL Resources). Issues: Valuation Methodologies, Underground Storage Valuation, LTC Guidelines and Policies, Public Purpose of Natural Gas Storage. July 15, 2008 and August 20, 2008.
- 203. Expert Testimony. Docket Number 07-057-13. (2008). Before the Utah Public Service Commission. In the Matter of the Application of Questar Gas Company to File a General Rate Case. On the Behalf of the Utah Committee of Consumer Services. Issues: Cost of Service, Rate Design. August 18, 2008 (Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal).
- 204. Rulemaking Testimony. (2008). Before the Louisiana Tax Commission. Examination of Replacement Cost Tables, Depreciation and Useful Lives for Oil and Gas Properties. Chapter 9 (Oil and Gas Properties) Section. August 5, 2008.
- 205. Legislative Testimony. (2008). Examination of Proposal to Change Offshore Natural Gas Severance Taxes (HB 326 and Amendments). Joint Finance and Appropriations Committee of the Alabama Legislature. March 13, 2008.
- 206. Public Testimony. (2007). Issues in Environmental Regulation. Testimony before Gubernatorial Transition Committee on Environmental Regulation (Governor-Elect Bobby Jindal). December 17, 2007.
- 207. Public Testimony. (2007). Trends and Issues in Alternative Energy: Opportunities for

Louisiana. Testimony before Gubernatorial Transition Committee on Natural Resources (Governor-Elect Bobby Jindal). December 13, 2007.

- 208. Expert Report and Recommendation: Docket Number S-30336 (2007). Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. In re: Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Application for Approval of Advanced Metering Pilot Program. Issues: pilot program for demand response programs and advanced metering systems.
- 209. Expert Testimony. Docket EO07040278 (2007). Before the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Electric & Gas Company for Approval of a Solar Energy Program and An Associated Cost Recovery Mechanism. On the Behalf of the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel. Issues: renewable energy market development, solar energy development, SREC markets, rate impact analysis, cost recovery issues.
- 210. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 05-057-T01 (2007). Before the Utah Public Service Commission. In the Matter of: Joint Application of Questar Gas Company, the Division of Public Utilities, and Utah Clean Energy for Approval of the Conservation Enabling Tariff Adjustment Options and Accounting Orders. On the behalf of the Utah Committee of Consumer Services. Issues: Revenue Decoupling, Demand-side Management; Energy Efficiency policies. (Direct, Rebuttal, and Surrebuttal Testimony)
- 211. Expert Testimony (Non-sworn rulemaking testimony) Docket Number RR-2008, (2007). Before the Louisiana Tax Commission. In re: Commission Consideration of Amendment and/or Adoption of Tax Commission Real/Personal Property Rules and Regulations. Issues: Louisiana oil and natural gas production trends, appropriate cost measures for wells and subsurface property, economic lives and production decline curve trends.
- 212. Expert Report, Recommendation, and Proposed Rule: Docket Number R-29213 & 29213-A, ex parte, (2007). Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. In re: In re: Investigation to determine if it is appropriate for LPSC jurisdictional electric utilities to provide and install time-based meters and communication devices for each of their customers which enable such customers to participate in time-based pricing rate schedules and other demand response programs. On the behalf of the Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff. Report and Recommendation. Issues: demand response programs, advanced meter systems, cost recovery issues, energy efficiency issues, regulatory issues.
- 213. Expert Report, Recommendation, and Proposed Rule: Docket Number R-29712, ex parte, (2007) Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. In re: Investigation into the ratemaking and generation planning implications of nuclear construction in Louisiana. On the behalf of the Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff. Report and Recommendation. Issues: nuclear cost power plant development, generation planning issues, and cost recovery issues.
- 214. Expert Testimony, Case Number U-14893, (2006). Before the Michigan Public Service Commission. In the Matter of SEMCO Energy Gas Company for Authority to Redesign and Increase Its Rates for the Sale and Transportation of Natural Gas In its MPSC Division and for Other Relief. On the behalf of the Michigan Attorney General. Issues: Rate

Design, revenue decoupling, financial analysis, demand-side management program and energy efficiency policy. (Direct and Rebuttal Testimony).

- 215. Expert Report, Recommendation, and Proposed Rule: Docket Number R-29380, ex parte, (2006). Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission. In re: An Investigation Into the Ratemaking and Generation Planning Implications of the U.S. EPA Clean Air Interstate Rule. On the behalf of the Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff. Report and Recommendation. Issues: environmental regulation and cost recovery; allowance allocations and air credit markets; ratepayer impacts of new environmental regulations.
- 216. Expert Affidavit Before the Louisiana Tax Commission (2006). On behalf of ANR Pipeline, Tennessee Gas Transmission and Southern Natural Gas Company. Issues: Competitive nature of interstate and intrastate transportation services.
- 217. Expert Affidavit Before the 19th Judicial District Court (2006). Suit Number 491, 453 Section 26. On behalf of Transcontinental Pipeline Corporation, et.al. Issues: Competitive nature of interstate and intrastate transportation services.
- 218. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 05-057-T01 (2006). Before the Utah Public Service Commission. In the Matter of: Joint Application of Questar Gas Company, the Division of Public Utilities, and Utah Clean Energy for Approval of the Conservation Enabling Tariff Adjustment Options and Accounting Orders. On the behalf of the Utah Committee of Consumer Services. Issues: Revenue Decoupling, Demand-side Management; Energy Efficiency policies. (Rebuttal and Supplemental Rebuttal Testimony)
- 219. Legislative Testimony (2006). Senate Committee on Natural Resources. Senate Bill 655 Regarding Remediation of Oil and Gas Sites, Legacy Lawsuits, and the Deterioration of State Drilling.
- 220. Expert Report: Rulemaking Docket (2005). Before the New Jersey Bureau of Public Utilities. In re: Proposed Rulemaking Changes Associated with New Jersey's Renewable Portfolio Standard. Expert Report. The Economic Impacts of New Jersey's Proposed Renewable Portfolio Standard. On behalf of the New Jersey Office of Ratepayer Advocate. Issues: Renewable Portfolio Standards, rate impacts, economic impacts, technology cost forecasts.
- 221. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 2005-191-E. (2005). Before the South Carolina Public Service Commission. On behalf of NewSouth Energy LLC. In re: General Investigation Examining the Development of RFP Rules for Electric Utilities. Issues: Competitive bidding; merchant development. (Direct and Rebuttal Testimony).
- 222. Expert Testimony: Docket No. 05-UA-323. (2005). Before the Mississippi Public Service Commission. On the behalf of Calpine Corporation. In re: Entergy Mississippi's Proposed Acquisition of the Attala Generation Facility. Issues: Asset acquisition; merchant power development; competitive bidding.
- 223. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 050045-EI and 050188-EI. (2005). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. On the behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. In re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company. Issues: Load forecasting;

O&M forecasting and benchmarking; incentive returns/regulation.

- 224. Expert Testimony (non-sworn, rulemaking): Comments on Decreased Drilling Activities in Louisiana and the Role of Incentives. (2005). Louisiana Mineral Board Monthly Docket and Lease Sale. July 13, 2005
- Legislative Testimony (2005). Background and Impact of LNG Facilities on Louisiana. Joint Meeting of Senate and House Natural Resources Committee. Louisiana Legislature. May 19, 2005.
- 226. Public Testimony. Docket No. U-21453. (2005). Technical Conference before the Louisiana Public Service Commission on an Investigation for a Limited Industrial Retail Choice Plan.
- 227. Expert Testimony: Docket No. 2003-K-1876. (2005). On Behalf of Columbia Gas Transmission. Expert Testimony on the Competitive Market Structure for Gas Transportation Service in Ohio. Before the Ohio Board of Tax Appeals.
- 228. Expert Report and Testimony: Docket No. 99-4490-J, *Lafayette City-Parish Consolidated Government, et. al. v. Entergy Gulf States Utilities, Inc. et. al.* (2005, 2006). On behalf of the City of Lafayette, Louisiana and the Lafayette Utilities Services. Expert Rebuttal Report of the Harborfront Consulting Group Valuation Analysis of the LUS Expropriation. Filed before 15th Judicial District Court, Lafayette, Louisiana.
- 229. Expert Testimony: ANR Pipeline Company v. Louisiana Tax Commission (2005), Number 468,417 Section 22, 19th Judicial District Court, Parish of East Baton Rouge, State of Louisiana Consolidated with Docket Numbers: 480,159; 489,776;480,160; 480,161; 480,162; 480,163; 480,373; 489,776; 489,777; 489,778;489,779; 489,780; 489,803; 491,530; 491,744; 491,745; 491,746; 491,912;503,466; 503,468; 503,469; 503,470; 515,414; 515,415; and 515,416. In re: Market structure issues and competitive implications of tax differentials and valuation methods in natural gas transportation markets for interstate and intrastate pipelines.
- 230. Expert Report and Recommendation: Docket No. U-27159. (2004). On Behalf of the Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff. Expert Report on Overcharges Assessed by Network Operator Services, Inc. Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission.
- 231. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 2004-178-E. (2004). Before the South Carolina Public Service Commission. On behalf of Columbia Energy LLC. In re: Rate Increase Request of South Carolina Electric and Gas. (Direct and Surrebuttal Testimony)
- 232. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 040001-EI. (2004). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. On behalf of Power Manufacturing Systems LLC, Thomas K. Churbuck, and the Florida Industrial Power Users Group. In re: Fuel Adjustment Proceedings; Request for Approval of New Purchase Power Agreements. Company examined: Florida Power & Light Company.
- 233. Expert Affidavit: Docket Number 27363. (2004). Before the Public Utilities Commission of Texas. Joint Affidavit on Behalf of the Cities of Texas and the Staff of the Public

Utilities Commission of Texas Regarding Certified Issues. In Re: Application of Valor Telecommunications, L.P. For Authority to Establish Extended Local Calling Service (ELCS) Surcharges For Recovery of ELCS Surcharge.

- 234. Expert Report and Testimony. Docket 1997-4665-PV, 1998-4206-PV, 1999-7380-PV, 2000-5958-PV, 2001-6039-PV, 2002-64680-PV, 2003-6231-PV. (2003) Before the Kansas Board of Tax Appeals. (2003). In the Matter of the Appeals of CIG Field Services Company from orders of the Division of Property Valuation. On the Behalf of CIG Field Services. Issues: the competitive nature of natural gas gathering in Kansas.
- 235. Expert Report and Testimony: Docket Number U-22407. Before the Louisiana Public Service Commission (2002). On the Behalf of the Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff. Company examined: Louisiana Gas Services, Inc. Issues: Purchased Gas Acquisition audit, fuel procurement and planning practices.
- 236. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 000824-EI. Before the Florida Public Service Commission. (2002). On the Behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. Company examined: Florida Power Corporation. Issues: Load Forecasts and Billing Determinants for the Projected Test Year.
- 237. Public Testimony: Louisiana Board of Commerce and Industry (2001). Testimony on the Economic Impacts of Merchant Power Generation.
- 238. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 24468. (2001). On the Behalf of the Texas Office of Public Utility Counsel. Public Utility Commission of Texas Staff's Petition to Determine Readiness for Retail Competition in the Portion of Texas Within the Southwest Power Pool. Company examined: AEP-SWEPCO.
- 239. Expert Report. (2001) On Behalf of David Liou and Pacific Richland Products, Inc. to Review Cogeneration Issues Associated with Dupont Dow Elastomers, L.L.C. (DDE) and the Dow Chemical Company (Dow).
- 240. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 01-1049, Docket Number 01-3001. (2001) On behalf the Nevada Office of Attorney General, Bureau of Consumer Protection. Petition of Central Telephone Company-Nevada D/b/a Sprint of Nevada and Sprint Communications L.P. for Review and Approval of Proposed Revised Performance Measures and Review and Approval of Performance Measurement Incentive Plans. Before the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada.
- 241. Expert Affidavit: Multiple Dockets (2001). Before the Louisiana Tax Commission. On the Behalf of Louisiana Interstate Pipeline Companies. Testimony on the Competitive Nature of Natural Gas Transportation Services in Louisiana.
- 242. Expert Affidavit before the Federal District Court, Middle District of Louisiana (2001). Issues: Competitive Nature of the Natural Gas Transportation Market in Louisiana. On behalf of a Consortium of Interstate Natural Gas Transportation Companies.
- 243. Public Testimony: Louisiana Board of Commerce and Industry (2001). Testimony on the Economic and Ratepayer Benefits of Merchant Power Generation and Issues Associated

with Tax Incentives on Merchant Power Generation and Transmission.

- 244. Expert Testimony: Docket Number 01-1048 (2001). Before the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada. On the Behalf of the Nevada Office of the Attorney General, Bureau of Consumer Protection. Company analyzed: Nevada Bell Telephone Company. Issues: Statistical Issues Associated with Performance Incentive Plans.
- 245. Expert Testimony: Docket 22351 (2001). Before the Public Utility Commission of Texas. On the Behalf of the City of Amarillo. Company analyzed: Southwestern Public Service Company. Issues: Unbundled cost of service, affiliate transactions, load forecasting.
- 246. Expert Testimony: Docket 991779-EI (2000). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. On the Behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. Companies analyzed: Florida Power & Light Company; Florida Power Corporation; Tampa Electric Company; and Gulf Power Company. Issues: Competitive Nature of Wholesale Markets, Regional Power Markets, and Regulatory Treatment of Incentive Returns on Gains from Economic Energy Sales.
- 247. Expert Testimony: Docket 990001-EI (1999). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. On the Behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. Companies analyzed: Florida Power & Light Company; Florida Power Corporation; Tampa Electric Company; and Gulf Power Company. Issues: Regulatory Treatment of Incentive Returns on Gains from Economic Energy Sales.
- 248. Expert Testimony: Docket 950495-WS (1996). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. On the Behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida. Company analyzed: Southern States Utilities, Inc. Issues: Revenue Repression Adjustment, Residential and Commercial Demand for Water Service.
- 249. Legislative Testimony. Louisiana House of Representatives, Special Subcommittee on Utility Deregulation. (1997). On Behalf of the Louisiana Public Service Commission Staff. Issue: Electric Restructuring.
- 250. Expert Testimony: Docket 940448-EG -- 940551-EG (1994). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. On the Behalf of the Legal Environmental Assistance Foundation. Companies analyzed: Florida Power & Light Company; Florida Power Corporation; Tampa Electric Company; and Gulf Power Company. Issues: Comparison of Forecasted Cost-Effective Conservation Potentials for Florida.
- 251. Expert Testimony: Docket 920260-TL, (1993). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. On the Behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff. Company analyzed: BellSouth Communications, Inc. Issues: Telephone Demand Forecasts and Empirical Estimates of the Price Elasticity of Demand for Telecommunication Services.
- 252. Expert Testimony: Docket 920188-TL, (1992). Before the Florida Public Service Commission. On the Behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission Staff. Company analyzed: GTE-Florida. Issues: Telephone Demand Forecasts and Empirical Estimates of the Price Elasticity of Demand for Telecommunication Services.

REFEREE AND EDITORIAL APPOINTMENTS

Contributor, 2014-2018, *Wall Street Journal, Journal Reports*, Energy Editorial Board Member, 2015-2017, *Utilities Policy* Referee, 2014-Current, *Utilities Policy* Referee, 2010-Current, *Economics of Energy & Environmental Policy* Referee, 1995-Current, *Energy Journal* Contributing Editor, 2000-2005, *Oil, Gas and Energy Quarterly* Referee, 2005, *Energy Policy* Referee, 2004, *Southern Economic Journal* Referee, 2002, *Resource & Energy Economics* Committee Member, IAEE/USAEE Student Paper Scholarship Award Committee, 2003

PROPOSAL TECHNICAL REVIEWER

California Energy Commission, Public Interest Energy Research (PIER) Program (1999).

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS

American Economic Association, American Statistical Association, Southern Economic Association, Western Economic Association, International Association of Energy Economists ("IAEE"), United States Association of Energy Economics ("USAEE"), the National Association for Business Economics ("NABE"), and the Energy Bar Association (National and Louisiana Chapter; current Board member of LA chapter).

HONORS AND AWARDS

Baton Rouge Business Report, Selected as one of the "Capital Region 500" (2023).

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC). Best Paper Award for papers published in the *Journal of Applied Regulation* (2004).

Baton Rouge Business Report, Selected as "Top 40 Under 40" (2003).

Omicron Delta Epsilon (1992-Current).

Interstate Oil and Gas Compact Commission (IOGCC) "Best Practice" Award for Research on the Economic Impact of Oil and Gas Activities on State Leases for the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources (2003).

Distinguished Research Award, Academy of Legal, Ethical and Regulatory Issues, Allied Academics (2002).

Florida Public Service Commission, Staff Excellence Award for Assistance in the Analysis of

Local Exchange Competition Legislation (1995).

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

Energy and the Environment (Survey Course)

Principles of Microeconomic Theory

Principles of Macroeconomic Theory

Lecturer, Environmental Management and Permitting. Lecture in Natural Gas Industry, LNG and Markets.

Lecturer, Electric Power Industry Environmental Issues, Field Course on Energy and the Environment. (Dept. of Environmental Studies).

Lecturer, Electric Power Industry Trends, Principles Course in Power Engineering (Dept. of Electric Engineering).

Lecturer, LSU Honors College, Senior Course on "Society and the Coast."

Continuing Education. Electric Power Industry Restructuring for Energy Professionals.

"The Gulf Coast Energy Situation: Outlook for Production and Consumption." Educational Course and Lecture Prepared for the Foundation for American Communications and the Society for Professional Journalists, New Orleans, LA, December 2, 2004

"The Impact of Hurricane Katrina on Louisiana's Energy Infrastructure and National Energy Markets." Educational Course and Lecture Prepared for the Foundation for American Communications and the Society for Professional Journalists, Houston, TX, September 13, 2005.

"Forecasting for Regulators: Current Issues and Trends in the Use of Forecasts, Statistical, and Empirical Analyses in Energy Regulation." Instructional Course for State Regulatory Commission Staff. Institute of Public Utilities, Kellogg Center, Michigan State University. July 8-9, 2010.

"Regulatory and Ratemaking Issues with Cost and Revenue Trackers." Michigan State University, Institute of Public Utilities. Advanced Regulatory Studies Program. September 29, 2010.

"Demand Modeling and Forecasting for Regulators." Michigan State University, Institute of Public Utilities. Advanced Regulatory Studies Program. September 30, 2010.

"Demand Modeling and Forecasting for Regulators." Michigan State University, Institute of Public Utilities, Forecasting Workshop, Charleston, SC. March 7-9, 2011.

"Regulatory and Cost Recovery Approaches for Smart Grid Applications." Michigan State University, Institute of Public Utilities, Smart Grid Workshop for Regulators. Charleston, SC. March 7-11, 2011.

"Regulatory and Ratemaking Issues Associated with Cost and Expense Adjustment Mechanisms." Michigan State University, Institute of Public Utilities, Advanced Regulatory Studies Program. Lansing, Michigan. September 28, 2011. "Utility Incentives, Decoupling, and Renewable Energy Programs." Michigan State University, Institute of Public Utilities, Advanced Regulatory Studies Program. Lansing, Michigan. September 29, 2011.

"Regulatory and Cost Recovery Approaches for Smart Grid Applications." Michigan State University, Institute of Public Utilities, Smart Grid Workshop for Regulators. Charleston, SC. March 6-8, 2012.

"Traditional and Incentive Ratemaking Workshop." New Mexico Public Utilities Commission Staff. Santa Fe, NM October 18, 2012.

"Traditional and Incentive Ratemaking Workshop." New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Staff. Newark, NJ. March 1, 2013.

"Natural Gas Issues and Recent Market Trends." Michigan State University Institute of Public Utilities, GridSchool Regulatory Studies Program, East Lansing, Mich., March 29, 2017.

"Gas Supply Planning and Procurement: Regulatory Overview and issues." Michigan State University Institute of Public Utilities, Basic Regulatory Studies Program, East Lansing, Mich., Aug 17, 2017.

"Natural Gas Supply Issues and Challenges." Michigan State University Institute of Public Utilities, Basic Regulatory Studies Program, East Lansing, Mich., Aug 17, 2017.

"Incentives, Risk and Changes in the Nature of Regulation." Michigan State University Institute of Public Utilities, Basic Regulatory Studies Program, East Lansing, Mich., Aug 18, 2017.

"Traditional and Alternative Forms of Regulation: Background and Overview." Michigan State University Institute of Public Utilities, Advanced Regulatory Studies Program, East Lansing, Mich., October 2, 2017.

"Traditional and Alternative Forms of Regulation: Utility and policy motivations for risk and change." Michigan State University Institute of Public Utilities, Advanced Regulatory Studies Program, East Lansing, Mich., October 2, 2017.

"Traditional and Alternative Forms of Regulation: Incentives and Formula Based Methods." Michigan State University Institute of Public Utilities, Advanced Regulatory Studies Program, East Lansing, Mich., October 2, 2017.

THESIS/DISSERTATIONS COMMITTEES

<u>Active:</u> 1 Thesis Committee Memberships (Environmental Studies) 2 Ph.D. Dissertation Committee (Economics) <u>Completed:</u> 8 Thesis Committee Memberships (Environmental Studies, Geography) 4 Doctoral Committee Memberships (Information Systems & Decision Sciences, Agricultural and Resource Economics, Economics, Education and Workforce Development).

2 Doctoral Examination Committee Membership (Information Systems & Decision Sciences, Education and Workforce Development)

1 Senior Honors Thesis (Journalism, Loyola University)

LSU SERVICE AND COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIPS

Committee Member, Energy Education Curriculum Committee. E.J. Ourso College of Business. LSU (2016-Current).

Chairman, LSU Energy Initiative/LSU Energy Council (2014-Current).

Co-Director & Steering Committee Member, LSU Coastal Marine Institute (2009-2014).

CES Promotion Committee, Division of Radiation Safety (2006).

Search Committee Chair (2006), Research Associate 4 Position.

Search Committee Member (2005), Research Associate 4 Position.

Search Committee Member (2005), CES Communications Manager.

LSU Graduate Research Faculty, Associate Member (1997-2004); Full Member (2004-2010); Affiliate Member with Full Directional Rights (2011-2014); Full Member (2014-current).

LSU Faculty Senate (2003-2006).

Conference Coordinator. (2005-Current) Center for Energy Studies Conference on Alternative Energy.

LSU CES/SCE Public Art Selection Committee (2003-2005).

Conference Coordinator. Center for Energy Studies Annual Energy Conference/Summit. (2003-Current).

Conference Coordinator. Center for Energy Studies Seminar Series on Electric Utility Restructuring and Wholesale Competition. (1996-2003).

Co-Chairman, Review Committee, Louisiana Port Construction and Development Priority Program Rules and Regulations, On Behalf of the LSU Ports and Waterways Institute. (1997).

LSU Main Campus Cogeneration/Turbine Project, (1999-2000).

LSU InterCollege Environmental Cooperative. (1999-2001).

LSU Faculty Senate Committee on Public Relations (1997-1999).

LSU Faculty Senate Committee on Student Retention and Recruitment (1999-2003).

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE

Board Member (2018). Energy Bar Association, Louisiana Chapter.

Program Committee Member (2017). Gulf Coast Power Association Conference. New Orleans.

Program Committee Member (2016). Gulf Coast Power Association Conference. New Orleans.

Program Committee Member (2015). Gulf Coast Power Association Workshop/Special Briefing. "Gulf Coast Disaster Readiness: A Past, Present and Future Look at Power and Industry Readiness in MISO South."

Advisor (2008). National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. Study Committee on the Impact of Executive Drilling Moratoria on Federal Lands.

Steering Committee Member, Louisiana Representative (2008-Current). Southeast Agriculture & Forestry Energy Resources Alliance. Southern Policies Growth Board.

Advisor (2007-Current). National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates ("NASUCA"), Natural Gas Committee.

Program Committee Chairman (2007-2008). U.S. Association of Energy Economics ("USAEE") Annual Conference, New Orleans, LA

Finance Committee Chairman (2007-2008). USAEE Annual Conference, New Orleans, LA

Committee Member (2006), International Association for Energy Economics Nominating Committee.

Founding President (2005-2007) Louisiana Chapter, USAEE.

Secretary (2001) Houston Chapter, USAEE.

Advisor, Louisiana LNG Buyers/Developers Summit, Office of the Governor/Louisiana Department of Economic Development/Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, and Greater New Orleans, Inc. (2004).

AFFIRMATION

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the foregoing representations are true.

o. Alson nulles

David E. Dismukes Acadian Consulting Group ("ACG") for Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

Cause No. 46038 DEI, LLC

Date: July 11, 2024

Title	Exhibit
Results of Company's Class Cost of Service Study	CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit DED-1
Company Estimated System Load Factors, 2019-2023	Exhibit DED-2
Analysis of Company Electric Generation Unit Capacity Factors, 2023	Exhibit DED-3
Analysis of Company Electric Generation Unit Costs to MISO Estimated CONE Price	Exhibit DED-4
Summary of Test Year Net Production Plant in Service	Exhibit DED-5
Forecasted Capital Transmission and Distribution Investments, 2023-2025	Exhibit DED-6
Results of Alternative Class Cost of Service Study	CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit DED-7
Company's Proposed Revenue Distribution	CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit DED-8
Alternative Proposed Revenue Distribution	CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit DED-9
Comparison of Current and Proposed Customer Charges	Exhibit DED-10
Comparison of Current Customer Charge Revenues to Customer-Related Costs	CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit DED-11
Survey of Regional Customer Charge	Exhibit DED-12
Discovery Responses	Exhibit DED-13

CONFIDENTIAL OUCC EXHIBIT DED-1 CAUSE NO. 46038

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Total MWh Sold	27,836,983	26,322,902	26,938,817	27,289,913	25,618,885
Total Hours in Year	8,760	8,784	8,760	8,760	8,760
Avg. Demand Factor	3,178	2,997	3,075	3,115	2,925
4 CP Peak Demand	7,033	6,775	7,079	7,319	6,880
System Load Factor	45.2%	44.2%	43.4%	42.6%	42.5%

Witness: Dismukes Cause No. 46038 Exhibit DED-3 Page 1 of 1

Station	Plant	Nameplate Capacity	2023 Net Generation	Capacity	Allo	ocation		Plant in Service	
Name	Туре	(MW)	(MWh)	Factor	Energy	Demand	Energy	Demand	Total
Cadiz (Henry County)	Combustion Turbine	182	243,933	15.30%	0.00%	100.00%	\$ -	\$ 95,582,685	\$ 95,582,685
Cayuga	Steam	1062	4,198,158	45.13%	45.13%	54.87%	726,652,073	883,609,341	1,610,261,414
Edwardsport IGCC	Combined Cycle	805	3,273,390	46.42%	46.42%	53.58%	1,296,258,643	1,496,245,977	2,792,504,620
Gibson	Steam	3006	7,241,332	27.50%	27.50%	72.50%	1,173,247,850	3,093,179,848	4,266,427,698
Madison	Combustion Turbine	692	928,096	15.31%	0.00%	100.00%	-	348,181,708	348,181,708
Noblesville	Combined Cycle	283	1,271,967	51.31%	51.31%	48.69%	156,237,287	148,271,190	304,508,477
Vermillion	Combustion Turbine	433	355,575	9.37%	0.00%	100.00%	-	166,773,295	166,773,295
Wheatland	Combustion Turbine	500	83,325	1.90%	0.00%	100.00%	-	14,786,803	14,786,803
Subtotals:							\$ 3,352,395,853	\$ 6,246,630,847	\$ 9,599,026,700
1					Production Pla	nt Classification:	34.92%	65.08%	100.00%

Analysis of Electric Generation Unit Costs To MISO Estimated CONE Price

Witness: Dismukes Cause No. 46038 Exhibit DED-4 Page 1 of 1

Station	Plant	Estimated	Nameplate Capacity	Total Plant	Fixed Costs	Variable Costs	Levelized Cost	MISO CONE Zone 6	All	ocation	Pla	nt in Service	
Name	Туре	Service Life	(MW)	In Service	(\$/year)	(\$)	(\$/kW-year)	(\$/MW-day)(\$/kW-year)	Energy	Demand	Energy	Demand	Total
Cadiz (Henry County)	Combustion Turbine	37.00	182	\$ 95,582,685	\$ 2,583,316	\$ 9,500,129	\$ 66.39	\$ 329.70 \$ 120.34	0.00%	100.00%	\$ - \$	95,582,685 \$	95,582,685
Cayuga	Steam	57.50	1062	1,610,261,414	28,004,546	165,606,569	182.31	\$ 329.70 \$ 120.34	33.99%	66.01%	56,290,602	109,315,967	165,606,569
Edwardsport IGCC	Combined Cycle	32.00	805	2,792,504,620	87,265,769	176,916,153	328.18	\$ 329.70 \$ 120.34	63.33%	36.67%	112,041,943	64,874,210	176,916,153
Gibson	Steam	56.80	3006	4,266,427,698	75,113,164	372,857,564	149.03	\$ 329.70 \$ 120.34	19.25%	80.75%	71,769,105	301,088,459	372,857,564
Madison	Combustion Turbine	41.00	692	348,181,708	8,492,237	35,129,581	63.04	\$ 329.70 \$ 120.34	0.00%	100.00%	-	35,129,581	35,129,581
Noblesville	Combined Cycle	42.60	283	304,508,477	7,148,086	40,908,432	169.81	\$ 329.70 \$ 120.34	29.13%	70.87%	11,917,727	28,990,705	40,908,432
Vermillion	Combustion Turbine	43.00	433	166,773,295	3,878,449	14,786,803	43.11	\$ 329.70 \$ 120.34	0.00%	100.00%	-	14,786,803	14,786,803
Wheatland	Combustion Turbine	38.00	500	128,673,158	3,386,136	5,946,284	18.66	\$ 329.70 \$ 120.34	0.00%	100.00%	-	5,946,284	5,946,284
Subtotals:											\$ 252,019,376 \$	655,714,695 \$	907,734,071
1		_							Production Pla	nt Classification:	27.76%	72.24%	100.00%

	Primary			Gross	Ac	cumulated	Net Percent of			Classification		
Unit Name	Fuel	Renewable		Plant		Reserve		Plant	Total		Joint	
		(Y/N)		(\$0	00)			(\$000)	(%)	Energy-Only	Energy/Demand	
Gibson Steam 1-5	Coal	Ν	\$3,	,773,583	\$	(2,096,043)	\$1	,677,540	33.9%	0.0%	33.9%	
Cayuga Steam 1-2	Coal	Ν	\$1,	,492,174	\$	(1,160,954)	\$	331,220	6.7%	0.0%	6.7%	
Gallagher Steam	Coal	Ν	\$	(6,723)	\$	9,132	\$	2,409	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Edwardsport IGCC	Coal	Ν	\$2,	,811,632	\$	(1,192,065)	\$1	,619,568	32.7%	0.0%	32.7%	
Steam Common	Coal	N	\$	777,272	\$	(94,142)	\$	683,130	13.8%	0.0%	13.8%	
Markland Hydro	Hydro	Y	\$	163,482	\$	(38,533)	\$	124,949	2.5%	0.0%	2.5%	
Other Produciton - Gas	Natural Gas	Ν	\$1,	,251,943	\$	(781,004)	\$	470,938	9.5%	0.0%	9.5%	
Other Production - Solar	Solar	Y	\$	44,021	\$	(13,981)	\$	30,040	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	
Other Production - Battery Storage	Battery Storage	γ	\$	20,728	\$	(8,759)	\$	11,969	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	
Total Production Plant in Service	·		\$10,	,328,111	\$	(5,376,346)	\$4	,951,765	100.0%	0.3%	99.7%	

		Percentage (%)				
Investment type	2023	2024	2025	2023	2024	2025
Transmission						
Growth	\$ 23,062,105	\$ 9,768,735	\$ 29,068,100	13%	4%	7%
Reliability	138,372,631	161,184,130	249,155,146	78%	66%	60%
Policiy	15,966,073	73,265,514	137,035,330	9%	30%	33%
Total	\$ 177,400,809	\$ 244,218,379	\$ 415,258,576	100%	100%	100%
Distribution						
Growth	\$ 159,568,414	\$ 103,955,348	\$ 140,817,741	40%	28%	34%
Reliability	212,389,082	252,500,061	259,619,437	53%	67%	62%
Policiy	30,967,157	19,538,880	19,531,781	8%	5%	5%
Total	\$ 402,924,653	\$ 375,994,289	\$ 419,968,959	100%	100%	100%

CONFIDENTIAL OUCC EXHIBIT DED-7 CAUSE NO. 46038

CONFIDENTIAL OUCC EXHIBIT DED-8 CAUSE NO. 46038

CONFIDENTIAL OUCC EXHIBIT DED-9 CAUSE NO. 46038

Witness: Dismukes Cause No. 46038 Exhibit DED-10 Page 1 of 1

Company	Current	Proposed	Percent Increase
Rate RS	\$ 10.54 \$	13.70	29.98%
Rate CS High Efficiency Sirens	10.70 26.03 10.70	13.91 33.83 10.68	30.00% 29.97% -0.19%
Rate LLF Secondary Primary Primary Direct Transmission High Efficiency	21.26 84.28 84.28 331.00 21.58	27.63 109.55 109.55 331.00 28.05	29.96% 29.98% 29.98% 0.00% 29.98%
Rate HLF Secondary Primary Primary Direct Common Bulk	24.54 96.64 96.64 658.07 658.07	31.90 125.61 125.61 855.37 855.37	29.99% 29.98% 29.98% 29.98% 29.98%

CONFIDENTIAL OUCC EXHIBIT DED-11 CAUSE NO. 46038

Company	State	Custo	Residential mer Charge (\$/month)
Duke Energy Indiana (current)	IN	\$	10.54
Duke Energy Indiana (proposed)	IN	\$	13.70
Ameren Illinois Company	IL		6.67
Commonwealth Edison Co	IL		13.37
Consumers Energy Co	MI		8.00
DTE Electric Company	MI		8.50
Indiana Michigan Power Co	IN		15.00
Indianapolis Power & Light Co	IN		12.50
Kentucky Utilities Co	KY		16.12
Louisville Gas & Electric Co	KY		13.69
Northern Indiana Pub Serv. Co	IN		14.00
Ohio Power Co	OH		10.00
Peer Group Average		\$	11.78

Witness: Dismukes Cause No. 46038 Exhibit DED-13 Page 1 of 2

Witness: Dismukes Cause No. 46038 Exhibit DED-13

Office of Utility Consumer Counselor IURC Cause No. 46038 Data Request Set No. 13 Received: May 6, 2024

OUCC 13.27

Request:

Please provide all quantitative studies in the Company's possession related to the load diversity of customers on the Company's system. Provide all workpapers and source documents supporting the Company's response in electronic form, with all spreadsheet links and formulas intact, source data used, and explain all assumptions and calculations used. To the extent the data requested is not available in the form requested, provide the information in the form that most closely matches what has been requested.

Objection:

Duke Energy Indiana objects to this request as to the term "all quantitative studies" is vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome. Duke Energy Indiana further objects to this request to the extent that that the term "load diversity" is vague and ambiguous and provides no basis from which Duke Energy Indiana can determine what information is sought. Duke Energy Indiana objects to this request to the extent it seeks a calculation or compilation that has not already been performed and that Duke Energy Indiana objects to performing.

Duke Energy Indiana further objects to the request on the grounds and to the extent the request seeks information that is trade secret or other proprietary, confidential, and competitively sensitive business information of Duke Energy Indiana, its customers, or third parties. Duke Energy Indiana has made reasonable efforts to maintain the confidentiality of this information. Such information has independent economic value and disclosure of the requested information would cause an identifiable harm to Duke Energy Indiana, its customers, or third parties. The responses are "trade secret" under law (Ind. Code § 24-2-3-2) and entitled to protection against disclosure. See also Indiana Trial Rule 26(C)(7). All responses containing designated confidential information are being provided pursuant to nondisclosure agreements between Duke Energy Indiana and the receiving parties.

Response:

Subject to and without waiving or limiting its objections, Duke Energy Indiana responds as follows:

Duke Energy Indiana does not have studies or compilations of data only related to load diversity of customers. Duke Energy Indiana does have cost curve models with diversity of load attributes, along with other attributes, that the Company is willing to make available for review. Due to their size, these cost curve models cannot be easily transmitted. Duke Energy Indiana is willing

Witness: Dismukes Cause No. 46038 Exhibit DED-13 Page 2 of 2

Witness: Dismukes Cause No. 46038 Exhibit DED-13

to make these models available for review at a mutually agreeable time and place to those who have executed a non-disclosure agreement.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing *Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor Public's Exhibit No. 11 Redacted Testimony of OUCC Witness David E. Dismukes, PH.D.* has been served upon the following counsel of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic service on July 11, 2024.

Petitioners

Elizabeth A. Heneghan Andrew J. Wells Liane K. Steffes **DEI, LLC** beth.heneghan@duke-energy.com andrew.wells@duke-energy.com liane.steffes@duke-energy.com

Nicholas K. Kile Hillary J. Close Lauren M. Box Lauren Aguilar BARNES & THORNBURG LLP nicholas.kile@btlaw.com hillary.close@btlaw.com lauren.box@btlaw.com lauren.aguilar@btlaw.com

IG Duke-Intervenor

Todd A. Richardson Aaron A. Schmoll Tabitha L. Balzer LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C. trichardson@lewis-kappes.com aschmoll@lewis-kappes.com tbalzer@lewis-kappes.com

OUCC Consultants

David Garrett Heather Garrett Michael Deupree Emily Mouch Ed Farrar dgarrett@resolveuc.com hgarrett@garrettgroupllc.com michaeldeupree@acadianconsulting.com emilymouch@acadianconsulting.com edfarrarcpa@outlook.com

Blocke, LLC-Intervenor Joseph P. Rompala LEWIS KAPPES, P.C. jrompala@lewis-kappes.com

CAC-Intervenor

Jennifer A. Washburn Citizens Action Coalition jwashburn@citact.org

Copy to: Reagan Kurtz <u>rkurtz@citact.org</u>

Nucor Steel-Indiana-Intervenor

Anne E. Becker Lewis Kappes, P.C. <u>abecker@lewis-kappes.com</u>

WVPA-Intervenor

Jeremy L. Fetty L. Robyn Zoccola PARR RICHEY jfetty@parrlaw.com rzoccola@parrlaw.com

Sierra Club-Intervenor Kim Ferraro CONSERVATION LAW CENTER, INDIANA UNIVERSITY kimferra@iu.edu

River Ridge Property Owners Association-Intervenor

Nikki G. Shoultz Kristina K. Wheeler BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP nshoultz@boselaw.com kwheeler@boselaw.com

Kroger-Intervenors

Kurt J. Boehm Jody Kyler Cohn **BOEHM KURTZ & LOWRY** <u>kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com</u> jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com

John P. Cook JOHN P. COOK & ASSOCIATES John.cookassociates@earthlink.net

Justin Bieber ENERGY STRATEGIES, LLC jbieber@energystrat.com

Walmart-Intervenor Eric E. Kinder Barry A. Naum Steven W. Lee SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC ekinder@spilmanlaw.com bnaum@spilmanlaw.com slee@spilmanlaw.com

Steel Dynamics, Inc.-Intervenor Clayton C. Miller CLAYTON MILLER LAW, P.C. clay@claytonmillerlaw.com

Rolls Royce-Intervenor

Nikki G. Shoultz Kristina K. Wheeler Alexandra L. Jones **BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP** <u>nshoultz@boselaw.com</u> <u>kwheeler@boselaw.com</u> ajones@boselaw.com

City of Westfield-Intervenor

Nikki G. Shoultz Alexandra L. Jones BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP nshoultz@boselaw.com ajones@boselaw.com

Thomas R. Harper Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

115 West Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204 317-232-2494 Main Office 317-232-2786 Thomas' Direct Line 317-232-5923 Facsimile infomgt@oucc.in.gov ThHarper@oucc.in.gov