FILED October 4, 2019 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

OFFICIAL

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBITS DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK DIRECTOR, PORTFOLIO REGULATORY STRATEGY AND SUPPORT DUKE ENERGY BUSINESS SERVICES LLC ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 BEFORE THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

1		I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE IURC PETITIONER'S			
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS			
3	А.	My name is Karen K. Holbrook. My business address is 400 South Tryon Street, REPORTER			
4		Charlotte, North Carolina.			
5	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?			
6	A.	I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC ("Duke Energy Business			
7		Services"), a service company affiliate of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC ("Duke Energy			
8		Indiana" or "Company") and a subsidiary of Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke Energy"),			
9		as Director, Portfolio Regulatory Strategy and Support. In this capacity, I provide			
10		services to Duke Energy Indiana, LLC and other regulated utility subsidiaries of Duke			
11		Energy Corp.			
12	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL			
13		QUALIFICATIONS.			
14	A.	I graduated from Marshall University in 1986 with a Bachelor of Science degree in			
15		Accounting and passed the Certified Public Accounting exam in 1988. I started my			
16		career in 1986 in general accounting for the Kanawha County Parks and Recreation			
17		Commission and was promoted to Controller after two years. I left in 1989 to join			
18		Columbia Gas Transmission, a subsidiary of Columbia Energy Group, Inc. I remained			

KAREN K. HOLBROOK

- 1 -

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1		with Columbia Gas Transmission until 1999 working in a variety of financial areas		
2		including Financial Reporting, Management Discussion & Analysis (for SEC reporting),		
3		Operational and Capital Budgeting, Financial Planning, and Economic Analysis. I joined		
4		Duke Energy in 1999 and have worked in a variety of financial areas including Financial		
5		Planning, Financial Analysis, Corporate Finance, Risk Management, Financial Re-		
6		engineering and assumed my current role as Director Program Performance in September		
7		2010.		
8	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES AS		
9		DIRECTOR OF PORTFOLIO REGULATORY STRATEGY AND SUPPORT.		
10	A.	In addition to regulatory strategy and stakeholder engagement, I am responsible for		
11		tracking performance of Duke Energy's Energy Efficiency and Demand Response ("EE")		
12		products, programs, and portfolio including calculation of revenues and impacts, tracking		
13		of expenses, and reporting the status of the programs in relation to various mandates and		
14		requirements. I also am responsible for the development of the EE budget and five-year		
15		plan. These calculations are then used in a variety of filings across multiple jurisdictions.		
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?		
17	A.	My testimony discusses the relief sought by the Company. I will also discuss the various		
18		calculations performed for this filing and the processes and sources used to develop		
19		actual costs of providing programs that were used in the 2018 reconciliation, and the		
20		update of programs that were previously used in the 2015, 2016, and 2017		
21		reconciliations.		

7

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1	Q.	WHAT PREVIOUS CASES ARE RELEVANT TO THIS FILING?			
2	A.	There are seven (7) cases that are relevant to this filing:			
3		• Cause No. 43955, which approved programs for 2012 and 2013 and			
4		established the basic paradigm for Duke Energy Indiana programs.			
5		Specifically, it approved recovery of program costs, performance incentives			
6		and lost revenues for three (3) years.			
7		• In Cause No. 43955 DSM-1 ("DSM-1"), the Commission approved a			
8		Settlement Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana and the Indiana Office			
9		of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC"), which modified the basic			
10		paradigm in Cause No. 43955. DSM-1 approved programs for 2014 and lost			
11		revenues for the life of the measure dating back to 2012. Duke Energy			
12		Indiana also agreed to reconcile estimated lost revenues with actual lost			
13		revenues with evaluation, measurement and verification ("EM&V") applied			
14		retrospectively to the previous reconciled period for each program.			
15		• In Cause No. 43955 DSM-2 ("DSM-2"), the Commission again approved a			
16		Settlement Agreement between Duke Energy Indiana and the OUCC for 2015			
17		programs, which made minor modifications to the Settlement Agreement			
18		approved in DSM-1, including changes to the calculation of 2015			
19		performance incentives.			
20		• In Cause No. 43955 DSM-3 ("DSM-3"), although the Company requested			
21		approval of Duke Energy Indiana's portfolio of programs for 2016-2018 under			
22		Ind. Code § 8-1-8.5-10 ("Section 10"), the Commission approved the portfolio			

KAREN K. HOLBROOK - 3 -

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1	under Ind. Code § 8-1-8.5-9. In doing so, the Commission did not grant a
2	performance incentive and limited the recovery of lost revenues for programs
3	approved in DSM-3 to four (4) years. Performance incentives and lost
4	revenues approved in previous proceedings were unchanged.
5	In June 2017, Cause No. 43955 DSM-3 S1 ("DSM-3 S1") was
6	approved. This supplemental filing included additional funding and
7	associated lost revenues for the Company's Smart \$aver Non-Residential
8	Prescriptive Program.
9	• In Cause No. 43955 DSM-4 ("DSM-4"), issued on December 28, 2017, the
10	Commission approved the Company's revised 3-year portfolio of energy
11	efficiency programs consistent with its 2015 Integrated Resource Plan,
12	consisting of programs to be offered during calendar years $2017 - 2019$. The
13	Commission's Order approved lost revenues for the life of the measure and a
14	shared savings performance incentive for programs to be offered during the
15	2017-2019 calendar years, the calculation of which I will explain in detail in
16	my testimony.
17	• In Cause No. 43955 DSM-5 ("DSM-5), the Commission approved on July 25,
18	2018, Duke Energy Indiana's reconciliation of the costs incurred, including
19	lost revenues, for programs for 2016 with amounts actually collected from
20	customers from EE Rider billings. The Commission's Order also approved
21	updated reconciliation of lost revenues for 2012 through 2015 and authorized
22	Duke Energy Indiana to implement its requested EE Rider adjustment factors,

r 2

> KAREN K. HOLBROOK - 4 -

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1		which also included the revenue requirements associated with the forecast for
2		the 2018 programs previously approved as part of the 2017-2019 Plan.
3		• Most recently in Cause No. 43955 DSM-6 ("DSM-6"), the Commission
4		approved on December 19, 2018, Duke Energy Indiana's forecasted 2019
5		program costs, including lost revenues and performance incentives, as well as
6		reconciliation of the 2017 costs incurred, including lost revenues and
7		performance incentives, and re-reconciliations of prior years.
8		II. <u>RELIEF SOUGHT</u>
9	Q.	WHAT RELIEF IS DUKE ENERGY INDIANA SEEKING IN THIS
10		PROCEEDING?
11	A.	Duke Energy Indiana is seeking approval to implement revised rider factors under the
12		Company's Standard Contract Rider No. 66-A, Energy Efficiency Revenue Adjustment
13		("EE Rider") that reflect the following:
14		• The 2018 reconciliation that has been prepared using actual 2018 costs and
15		energy savings results;
16		• Re-reconciliations of 2015, 2016 and 2017 based on the application of
17		additional EM&V results related to lost revenues; and
18		• An adjustment to certain costs recorded in 2018 related to 2014, 2015, 2016,
19		and 2017 programs to ensure the costs are assigned to the appropriate non-
20		residential opt out groups.

5

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1		III. UNDERLYING CALCULATIONS FOR THIS FILING			
2	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR ORGANIZATION'S ROLE IN PERFORMING			
3		CALCULATIONS RELATIVE TO THIS FILING?			
4	A.	My organization is responsible for various aspects of the program performance for Duke			
5		Energy's EE products, programs and portfolio. Key responsibilities include:			
6		• Tracking performance of Duke Energy's EE products, programs, and portfolio			
7		including calculation of revenues and impacts, tracking of expenses, and			
8		reporting the status of the programs in relation to various mandates and			
9		requirements;			
10		• Development of the EE budget and five-year plan; and			
11		• Support of revenue and impact calculations for new products and programs			
12		being developed.			
13		These calculations are used in a variety of filings across multiple jurisdictions.			
14	Q.	WHAT TYPES OF CALCULATIONS DID YOUR GROUP PERFORM FOR			
15		THIS FILING?			
16	A.	My group performed both non-financial and financial calculations consisting of impacts			
17		(kWh and kW), program costs, cost recovery revenue, performance incentives and lost			
18		revenues for the 2018 and prior year reconciliations.			
19	Q.	HOW WERE THE NON-FINANCIAL CALCULATIONS PERFORMED AND			
20		WHAT WERE THEY USED FOR?			
21	A.	We calculated actual impacts (kWh and kW) for each measure for the reconciliations of			
22		previous filings. My group performed this using a single participant DSMore file			

1

KAREN K. HOLBROOK - 6 -

,

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1		multiplied by actual participation. My group then took those impacts and calculated		
2		actual achievement levels, actual avoided costs (when applicable), and actual lost revenue		
3		by vintage, or year of participation.		
4	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TERM "SINGLE PARTICIPANT" AND WHY IT IS		
5		USED.		
6	A.	DSMore is used for both estimating program cost effectiveness, as well as calculating		
7		impacts. To calculate cost effectiveness, the total amount of forecasted participation and		
8		costs are used with the avoided costs and other relevant calculations for the entire		
9		population of forecasted eligible participants. However, for purposes of calculating		
10		actual impacts, we receive a DSMore file that calculates the impacts achieved for a single		
11		(or each) unit of participation in an EE program. Impacts from this "single participant"		
12		file are then multiplied by actual participation to calculate monthly impacts used to		
13		calculate avoided cost (when applicable) and lost revenues and achievement level for		
14		purposes of determining performance incentive amounts. Impacts reflect EM&V applied		
15		as approved in DSM-1.		
16	Q.	WHAT FINANCIAL CALCULATIONS DID YOUR GROUP PERFORM FOR		
17		THIS FILING?		
18	A.	We gathered actual program costs and calculated cost recovery revenue, performance		
19		incentive amounts and lost revenue for the 2018 reconciliation and updates to the $2015 -$		
20		2017 reconciliations.		

5

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1		IV. 2018 RECONCILIATION
2	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT COMMISSION ORDERS ARE RELEVANT TO THE
3		2018 RECONCILIATION.
4	А.	For 2018, the Company was operating under authority granted in Cause No. 43955 DSM-
5		4.
6	Q.	WHAT DID THE COMMISSION APPROVE IN TERMS OF COST RECOVERY
7		IN CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-4?
8	А.	In that proceeding, the Commission approved the recovery of program costs, lost
9		revenues for the life of the measure, and the following shared savings performance
10		incentive structure tied to tiered levels of energy savings achieved versus the as filed
11		target and the net present value of the net benefits of the Utility Cost Test ("UCT") for
12		each of its programs.

13

Performance Incentives		
Achievement Level (kWh)	Incentive Level (NPV of net benefits of UCT)	
110%	10%	
100-109.99%	8%	
90-99.99%	7%	
80-89.99%	6%	
75-79.99%	5%	
0-74.99%	0%	

14 Q. WHAT COSTS DID YOUR GROUP CALCULATE TO INCLUDE AS INPUT TO

15 THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT INCLUDED IN MS. LILLY'S RATE

16 CALCULATIONS?

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1	A.	As shown on Petitioner's Exhibit 1-D, we calculated all relevant aspects of costs and	
2		performance in 2018. These calculations include impacts as measured in gross kWh,	
3		both at the plant and at the meter; cost recovery revenue, including program costs, an	
4		adjustment for spend over the cap (as discussed below) and M&V costs; utility incentive,	
5		as further described below and shown in more detail on Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit	
6		1-F; and prior period and current period lost revenues.	
7	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE 2018 ACTUAL PROGRAM COSTS WERE	
8		DETERMINED.	
9	A.	All relevant costs (expenses) for the programs are recorded in our General Ledger as they	
10		are incurred, including program costs, EM&V and administrative overhead costs by	
11		program and type of cost. Duke Energy Program Managers review costs charged to their	
12		programs monthly to ensure that the costs are recorded correctly. For purposes of the	
13		2018 reconciliation and Duke Energy Indiana witness Ms. Kathryn C. Lilly's use in	
14		developing rates for the various non-residential opt-out groups, we also reviewed all	
15		charges to determine if any costs recorded in 2018 for accounting purposes were	
16		applicable to prior program years. We then took all relevant charges recorded to the	
17		programs in 2018 from the General Ledger and categorized them as shown on	
18		Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit 1-F. As shown in column B, we reflected the additional	
19		amount spent for the Power Manager for Business Program in excess of the amount that	
20		was approved for 2018 in the plan approved in DSM-4 (referred to on my exhibit in	
21		column B as "Amount in Excess of Cap"). For purposes of cost recovery, we removed	
22		the "Amount in Excess of Cap" from the costs provided to Ms. Lilly for her use in	

KAREN K. HOLBROOK - 9 -

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

ratemaking.

1

WHAT ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE TO COSTS AND ACHIEVEMENTS 2 О. 3 **BEFORE PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES WERE CALCULATED?** 4 As shown on Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit 1-F, we made several adjustments to costs, A. 5 kwh achievement and avoided costs to calculate the shared savings performance 6 incentive. We also removed costs that exceeded our DSM-4 budget. This gave us the 7 adjusted costs to be used in incentive calculations. 8 To calculate the shared savings incentive approved in DSM-4, the next step was 9 to take the percentage reduction in total recorded costs by program and apply that 10 reduction to kWh/kW achievement. This served to adjust achievement levels to more 11 appropriately align with the as filed targets. The final adjustment was to take the same 12 percentage reduction and apply it to the Avoided Costs to ensure that they lined up with the kwh/kw achievement. 13 **ONCE THE ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE, HOW WERE INCENTIVES** 14 **Q**.

15 CALCULATED?

A. In accordance with the Final Order in DSM-4 and as shown on Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit 1-F, the net present value of the net benefits of the UCT was calculated for each program. A comparison was made between adjusted actual achievement and target achievement calculated as described above for each program. An achievement percentage was then calculated by dividing the actual adjusted achievement by the achievement targets for the year. That achievement percentage was then used to determine the shared savings performance incentive by multiplying the UCT benefits by

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1		the applicable shared savings percentage associated with the achievement level.
2		Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit 1-F shows the calculations of the amounts of incentives
3		earned by program.
4	Q.	UNDER THE AUTHORITY GRANTED IN DSM-4, WHAT 2018 PROGRAMS
5		AND COSTS ARE ELIGIBLE FOR THE PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE?
6	A.	For 2018, all programs are eligible for a performance incentive with the exception of
7		Low Income Neighborhood.
8	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW 2018 LOST REVENUES WERE CALCULATED.
9	A.	To calculate lost revenues for the participation in 2018, we began with the single
10		participant DSMore files as described above. We used impacts for each participant (kWh
11		and kW) at the meter, net of free riders. We applied all EM&V received by March 31,
12		2019, and then applied the EM&V retrospectively for the purposes of calculating lost
13		revenue, per the Agreement approved in DSM-1. The table below shows what EM&V
14		has been applied retroactively to lost revenue calculations in 2018, and what years were
15		impacted.

T 00

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

	Years Effective
Date Complete	for Lost Rev.
12/11/2017	2015 - 2018
05/03/2018	2016 - 2018
05/03/2018	2016 - 2018
05/03/2018	2016 - 2018
08/10/2018	2016 - 2018
08/10/2018	2016 - 2018
08/10/2018	2016 - 2018
10/31/2018	2016 - 2018
10/31/2018	2016 - 2018
10/31/2018	2016 - 2018
03/06/2019	2016 - 2018
	12/11/2017 05/03/2018 05/03/2018 05/03/2018 08/10/2018 08/10/2018 08/10/2018 10/31/2018 10/31/2018 10/31/2018

1

2 Actual participation was captured by rate schedule in our participation database 3 and confirmed by Program Managers. We multiplied the impacts per participant by the 4 participation in each measure to calculate annual and monthly kWh and kW. We then 5 applied the appropriate lost revenue rate, provided by the Rates group as described in Ms. 6 Lilly's testimony, to the monthly kWh and kW to derive the lost revenue amount for each 7 program. We used the 2018 lost revenue rates provided by the Rates group that reflected 8 the lower federal tax rates from the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act to calculate the lost 9 revenues for the full year. 10 WHAT ADJUSTMENTS DID YOU MAKE TO 2018 COSTS FOR PURPOSES OF **Q**. 11 **PROPER RATEMAKING FOR OPTED OUT GROUPS?** 12 Α. We made three (3) adjustments to 2018 costs and provided them to Ms. Lilly for calculation of rates. The first adjustment was to move program costs recorded in 2018 13

- 14 that were applicable to Smart \$aver[®] Non-Residential Prescriptive and Smart \$aver[®]
- 15 Non-Residential Custom offered in 2015, 2016 and 2017. For Smart \$aver[®] Non-

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1		Residential Prescriptive program costs, we used the Application Received or Order date
2		as appropriate. For the Smart $e^{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}}$ Non-Residential Custom program costs, we used
3		the Payment Request date to determine the appropriate period.
4		The second adjustment was to move EM&V costs recorded in 2018 for programs
5		to the applicable periods in 2015, 2016, and 2017 based on the period of customer
6		sampling.
7		The third adjustment was a correction to move the 2015 vintage persisting Lost
8		Revenue associated with the C&I Rebate program to the 2014 vintage persisting Lost
9		Revenue to reflect participation in the 2014 opt out period. All the adjustments are
10		shown on Petitioner's Exhibit 1-E.
11	Q.	WHAT ADJUSTMENTS DID YOU MAKE TO THE 2015, 2016 AND 2017
12		RECONCILIATIONS?
13	A.	The 2015, 2016 and 2017 reconciliations were updated for the application of EM&V to
14		lost revenues. We applied the EM&V listed above retroactively to the lost revenue
15		impacts as approved in DSM-1 and subsequent proceedings. Petitioner's Exhibits 1-A, 1-
16		B, and 1-C show the impact of the application of EM&V to lost revenues.
17		V. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
18	Q.	WERE PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS 1-A THROUGH 1-E AND PETITIONER'S
19		CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT 1-F PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR
20		DIRECTION?
21	A.	Yes, they were.

IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. HOLBROOK FILED OCTOBER 4, 2019

1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREPARED TESTIMONY AT THIS TIME?

2 A. Yes, it does.

Duke Energy Indiana 2015 Actuals

Program Summary Updated in 2019

	Α	В	С		D		E		F
Program	Filing Updated in 2018 Annual KWH Gross FR, @ Meter Total	Filing Updated in 2019 Annual KWH Gross FR, @ Meter Total	Difference	-	Updated in 2018 t Revenue	Filing Updated in 2019 Lost Revenue			Difference
Unit	KWH	KWH	KWH		\$		\$		\$
Type			B-A						E-D
e Portfolio Res									
Energy Efficiency				\$	1,349,174	ć	1,349,174	ć	
Home Energy Assessment Income Qualified Weatherization	-	-	-	ş Ş	351,233		351,233		-
	-	-	-	\$ \$	3,357,525		3,357,525		-
Residential Lighting	-	-	-	Ş			2,827,281		-
School Energy Efficiency Total			-	\$	7,885,213	\$	7,885,213		
lotai	-	-	-	Ş	7,885,213	Ş	7,885,213	Ş	-
NonRes									
Energy Efficiency									
School Assessment	-	-	-	\$	97,901	\$	97,901	\$	-
C&I Rebate	10,060,344	10,060,344	-	\$	4,709,556	\$	4,709,556	\$	-
Total	10,060,344	10,060,344	-	\$	4,807,458	\$	4,807,458	\$	-
Total Core	10,060,344	10,060,344	-	\$	12,692,671	s	12,692,671	Ś	-
ana Core Plus									
Res									
Energy Efficiency									
Agency Assistance Portal	1,066,452	1,066,452	-	\$	388,257	\$	388,257	\$	-
Appliance Recycling Program	1,585,632	1,585,632	-	\$	193,655	\$	193,655	\$	-
Energy Education Program for Schools	1,426,503	1,426,503	-	\$	38,995	\$	38,995	\$	-
Low Income Neighborhood	1,338,876	1,338,876	-	\$	9,621	\$	9,621	\$	-
Multi-Family EE Products & Services 1/	522,566	522,566	-	\$	122,959	\$	119,087	\$	(3,87)
My Home Energy Report 2/	32,323,731	32,323,731	-	\$	3,221,902	\$	3,221,902	\$	-
Residental Energy Assessments	983,968	983,968	-	\$	36,234	\$	36,234	\$	-
Smart \$aver® Residential	39,161,094	39,161,094	-	\$	1,201,738	\$	1,201,738	\$	-
Total	78,408,823	78,408,823	-	\$	5,213,360	\$	5,209,488	\$	(3,87
Demand Response									
Power Manager®	-	-	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total	-	-	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
NonRes									
Energy Efficiency									
Smart \$aver [®] Non Residential Prescriptive	23,891,951	23,891,951	-	\$	1,042,420	\$	1,042,420	\$	-
Smart Saver for Non-Residential - Custom	12,431,199	12,431,199		\$	1,554,638	\$	1,554,638	\$	-
Total	36,323,150	36,323,150		\$	2,597,059	\$	2,597,059	\$	-
		111 801 873		\$	7 040 440	•	7,806,546	è	(3,87)
Total Indiana Core Plus	114,731,973	114,731,973	-	<u>ې</u>	7,810,418	<u>ې</u>	7,800,540		(3,3.

e de la constance de la constan

Notes:

1/ EMV received in December, 2017 and applied retrospectively for the purposes of lost revenues

32,323,731

2/ My Home Energy Report impacts reflect total annual savings as of December 2015

Month Current Capability Annual KWH Gross FR, @ Meter

Dec - 2015

Duke Energy Indiana 2016 Actuals

Program Summary

Updated in 2019

THE REPORT

a de la comparisación de la

Opdated in 2019		А	в	с	D	E			F
Program		Filing Updated in 2018 Annual KWH Gross FR, @ Meter Total	Filing Updated in 2019 Annual KWH Gross FR, @ Meter Total	Difference	Filing Updated in 2018 Lost Revenue	Filing Updated 2019 Lost Revenu			Difference
Unit		KWH	KWH	кwн	\$	\$			\$
Туре Core Portfolio				B-A					E-D
Res Energy Efficiency									
Home Energy Assessment					\$ 1,304,958	¢ 1304	,958	ć	
Income Qualified Weatherization					\$ 348,679		,679		
Residential Lighting				_	\$ 3,369,537	•	,537		_
School Energy Efficiency				-	\$ 2,837,395		,395		
Total			-	-	\$ 7,860,570				
NonRes									
Energy Efficiency									
School Assessment			-	-	\$ 97,824	\$ 97	,824	Ś	-
C&I Rebate			-	-	\$ 4,712,082		,082		-
Total		-	-	-	\$ 4,809,905		,905		
Total Core		-	-	-	\$ 12,670,475	\$ 12,670	,475	\$	-
Indiana Core Plus									
Res									
Energy Efficiency									
Agency Assistance Portal	1/	504,266	504,266	-	\$ 407,892	\$ 407	,776	\$	(116)
Appliance Recycling Program		21,889	21,889	-	\$ 214,012	\$ 214	,012	\$	-
Energy Education Program for Schools		2,097,996	2,097,996	-	\$ 131,686	\$ 131	,686	\$	-
Low Income Neighborhood		1,440,306	1,440,306	-	\$ 54,733	\$ 54	,733	\$	-
Low Income Weatherization		37,760	37,760	-	\$ 143	\$	143	\$	-
Multi-Family EE Products & Services	1/	510,293	510,293	-	\$ 143,859	\$ 132	,461	\$	(11,398)
My Home Energy Report	2/	51,585,384	51,585,384	-	\$ 3,897,647	\$ 3,529	,917	\$	(367,730)
Residental Energy Assessments		2,196,176	2,196,176	-	\$ 121,256	\$ 121	,256	\$	-
Smart \$aver® Residential	1/	48,708,470	48,708,470	-	\$ 2,439,669	\$ 2,167	,007	\$	(272,662)
Total		107,102,541	107,102,541	-	\$ 7,410,897	\$ 6,758	,990	\$	(651,906)
Demand Response									
Power Manager®		-	-	-	\$-	\$	-	\$	-
Power Manager® for Apartments		-	-		\$	\$	-	\$	-
Total		-	-	-	\$-	\$	•	\$	-
NonRes									
Energy Efficiency									
Small Business Energy Saver	1/	7,017,859	7,017,859	-	\$ 60,533	\$ 64	,645	\$	4,113
Smart \$aver® Non Residential Prescriptive	1/	53,938,823	53,938,823	-	\$ 1,950,619	\$ 1,829	,716	\$	(120,903)
Smart Saver for Non-Residential - Custom		34,660,112	34,660,112	-	\$ 1,890,785	\$ 1,891	,740	\$	956
Total		95,616,795	95,616,795	-	\$ 3,901,936	\$ 3,786	i,102	\$	(115,834)
Demand Response									
Power Manager® for Business			-	u.	\$ -	\$	-	\$	
Total		-	-	-	\$-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Indiana Core Plus		202,719,335	202,719,335	-	\$ 11,312,832	\$ 10,545	,092	\$	(767,741)
Total Indiana Portfolio		202,719,335	202,719,335	-	\$ 23,983,308	\$ 23,215	,567	\$	(767,741)

 $\frac{\text{Notes:}}{1/-EMV}$ the purposes of lost revenues

2/ My Home Energy Report impacts reflect total annual savings as of December 2016

Month Current Capability Annual KWH Gross FR, @ Meter

Dec - 2016 51,585,384

Duke Energy Indiana 2017 Actuals

Program Summary

		Α	В	с	D		E	F
Program		Filing Updated in 2018 Annual KWH Gross FR, @ Meter Total	Filing Updated in 2019 Annual KWH Gross FR, @ Meter Total	Difference	Filing Update 2018 Lost Reven		Filing Updated in 2019 Lost Revenue	Difference
	Jnit	KWH	KWH	КШН	L\$		\$	\$
	ype			B-A	Ŷ		7	E-D
re Portfolio								
acy Programs								
Res								
Energy Efficiency								
Home Energy Assessment		-	-	-		5,702		
Income Qualified Weatherization		-	-	-		4,696		
Residential Lighting		-	-	-		3,843		
School Energy Efficiency		•		-		6,231		
Total		-	-	-	\$ 7,50	0,473	\$ 7,500,473	\$
NonRes								
Energy Efficiency								
School Assessment		-	· _	-	\$ 9	5,104	\$ 96,104	Ś
C&I Rebate		-	-	-		0,519		
Total		-	-	-		5,622		
Total		•			\$ 11,85	7,095	\$ 11,857,095	\$
ared Savings Portfolio Res								
Energy Efficiency								
Agency Assistance Portal	1/	1,357,098	1,357,098	-	\$ 42	5,802	\$ 425,927	¢
Appliance Recycling Program	-/	-	1,557,650	_		7,791		ŝ
Energy Education Program for Schools		1,958,331	1,958,331	_		5,619		
Low Income Neighborhood		1,439,246	1,439,246	-		7,781		
Low Income Weatherization		196,466	196,466	-		5,846		
Multi-Family EE Products & Services	1/	481,233	481,233	-		B,372		
My Home Energy Report	3/	57,199,463	57,199,463	-		2,390		
Residential Energy Assessments	-,	2,771,558	2,771,558			5,364		
Smart \$aver® Residential	1/	51,079,670	51,079,670	-		1,346		
Total		116,483,065	116,483,065	-		2,312		
Demand Response								
Power Manager®		-	-	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$
Total		-	-	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$
NonRes								
Energy Efficiency		21 010 217	21 010 617		A			<u>ہ</u>
Small Business Energy Saver	1/	21,910,917	21,910,917	-		D,656		
Smart \$aver® Non-Residential Total	2/	46,080,257 67,991,174	46,080,257 67,991,174			1,475 2,131		
		57,552,274			÷ ,,,,,,		, 0,525,254	· (+22
Demand Response								
Power Manager® for Business		245,686	245,686	-			\$ 3,450	
Total		245,686	245,686	-	\$:	3,450	\$ 3,450	\$
Other								
Cost Recovery Only								
Market Potential Study		-	-	_	\$	_	s -	\$
Total		-	-	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$
Total		184,719,925	184,719,925	-	17,55	7,892	15,613,413	(1,944
** • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		na ka dina mangkang kang mang manakan men	n in an ann a' bhair a gu ann an an			 		
al		184,719,925	184,719,925	- 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 1	29,414	4,987	27,470,508	(1,944

(Inv received in 2019 and applied retrospectively for the purposes of lost revenues
 (Inv received in 2019 and applied retrospectively for the purposes of lost revenues
 (M Home Energy Report impacts reflect total annual savings as of December 2017
 Month Current Capability Annual KWH Gross FR, @ Meter

Dec - 2017 481,233

Duke Energy Indiana 2018 Adjusted Actuals Program Summary Updated 2019

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 1-D (KKH) IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 PAGE 1 OF 1

滑桶

28 1 12

		mpacts						Cost Recovery					
	A	В	сс	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	к	L	м
Program	Annual KWH Gros FR, @ Meter Tota		Program Costs excluding Excess of Cap	Program Costs including Excess of Cap	M&V Costs	Total Costs for Utility Incentive	Total Costs	Spend in Excess of DSM-4 Budget	Recoverable DSM-4 Costs	Utility Incentive	Prior Period Lost Revenue	Lost Revenue	Revenue Requirement In
	Unit KWH	к₩Н	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			\$	\$	\$	\$
	Type data	data	data	data	data	C+E	D+E	data	G-H	UCT Net Benefits x Tiered %	data	data	SUM(I:L)
cy Programs													
Res													
Energy Efficiency													
Home Energy Assessment			\$ -	•	\$ -	\$		\$ -	\$ -		\$ 745,123		\$ 745
Income Qualified Weatherization			s -		\$ -	\$ - :		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			\$ 327
Residential Lighting			\$ -	•	\$ -	\$	•	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 1,725,858		\$ 1,725,
School Energy Efficiency	-		\$ -		\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -		\$ 1,338,602		\$ 1,338,
Total		•	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$-	\$ -	\$-	\$ -	\$ 4,136,801	\$-	\$ 4,136,
NonRes													
Energy Efficiency													
School Assessment	-		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 75,655	\$-	\$ 75,
C&I Rebate			s -	s -	s -	\$ -	s -	s -	s -	s -	\$ 3,208,346	s -	\$ 3,208,
Total	•	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,284,001	\$ -	\$ 3,284,0
Total		in an	s -	s -	s -	s - :	s -	s -	s -	s -	\$ 7,420,803	s -	\$ 7,420,
							•			1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -			
red Savings Portfolio													
Res													
Energy Efficiency													
Agency Assistance Portal	2,539,36	2,743,180							\$ 307,368				
Appliance Recycling Program	-		\$ -	\$ -		\$ - :		s -	\$ -		\$ 189,790		\$ 189,
Energy Education Program for Schools	2,098,09		\$ 518,627			\$ 518,627			\$ 518,627				
Low Income Neighborhood	851,36		\$ 434,650			\$ 434,650			\$ 434,650				
Low Income Weatherization	171,96					\$ 165,369			\$ 165,369				
Multi-Family EE Products & Services	499,63		\$ 100,178			\$ 100,178			\$ 100,178				
My Home Energy Report	1/ 53,675,53		\$ 3,016,667						\$ 3,111,794				
Residential Energy Assessments	2,303,25		\$ 726,178						\$ 731,053				
Smart Saver* Residential	59,398,19								\$ 7,627,311				
Total	121,537,41	3 131,292,492	\$ 12,740,369	\$ 12,740,369	\$ 255,981	\$ 12,996,350	\$ 12,996,350	ş -	\$ 12,996,350	\$ 3,050,742	\$ 6,580,394	\$ 2,773,736	\$ 25,401,
Demand Response													
Power Manager®	-		\$ 1,905,768						\$ 1,996,941			\$ -	\$ 2,486,
Total			\$ 1,905,768	\$ 1,905,768	\$ 91,172	\$ 1,996,941	\$ 1,996,941	\$ -	\$ 1,996,941	\$ 489,434	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,486,
NonRes													
Energy Efficiency													
Small Business Energy Saver	19,485,79	21,049,806	\$ 4,316,831	\$ 4,316,831	\$ 36,593	\$ 4,353,424	\$ 4,353,424	\$ -	\$ 4,353,424	\$ 802,026	\$ 1,060,009	\$ 400,225	\$ 6,615,
Smart \$aver* Non-Residential	73,758,38	2 79,678,525	\$ 10,778,834	\$ 10,778,834	\$ 146,107	\$ 10,924,940	\$ 10,924,940	\$-	\$ 10,924,940	\$ 4,177,507	\$ 6,870,424	\$ 1,061,893	\$ 23,034,
Total	93,244,18	100,728,331	\$ 15,095,665	\$ 15,095,665	\$ 182,700	\$ 15,278,365	\$ 15,278,365	\$ -	\$ 15,278,365	\$ 4,979,533	\$ 7,930,433	\$ 1,462,118	\$ 29,650,
Demand Response													
Power Manager* for Business	878,66	9 949,195	Ś 740.313	Ś 742,638	e .	Ś 740,313	\$ 742,638	\$ 2,325	\$ 740.313	ć	\$ 9,806	\$ 11,818	\$ 761,
Total	878,66					\$ 740,313					\$ 9,806		
1004	878,66		y 740,313	y /42,638	• •	y 740,313 :	y 142,638	¥ 2,325	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	y 5,806	· 11,810	/61,
Other													
Cost Recovery Only													
Market Potential Study			\$ 10,247			\$ 10,247			\$ 10,247		5 -	<u>\$</u>	\$ 10,
Total	-		\$ 10,247	\$ 10,247	\$ -	\$ 10,247	\$ 10,247	\$ -	\$ 10,247	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,3
Total	215,660,26	2 232,970,017	\$ 30,492,362	\$ 30,494,687	\$ 529,853	\$ 31,022,215	\$ 31,024,540	\$ 2,325	\$ 31,022,215	\$ 8,519,709	\$ 14,520,633	\$ 4,247,672	\$ 58,310,:

 Notes:

 1/
 My Home Energy Report limpacts reflect total annual savings as of December 2016

 Month
 Current Capability Annual KWH Gross FR. @ Meter

 Dec-2018
 53,675,539

Duke Energy Indiana 2018 Cost Detail for Prior Period Opt-Out Customers Non-Residential Programs Updated in 2019

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 1-E (KKH) IURC CAUSE NO. 43955 DSM-7 PAGE 1 OF 1

(明神)

	2018 Program and EM&V Costs	2018 Program Costs	2018 M&V Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2018 - Program Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2018 - M&V Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2017 - Program Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2017 - M&V Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2016 - Program Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2016 - M&V Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2015 - Program Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2015 - M&V Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2014 - Program Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2014 - M&V Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2014 - Program Costs	2018 Costs Applicable to 2014 - M&V Costs
Portfolio	Program			2018	2018	2017	2017	2016	2016	2015	2015	April - December 2014	April - December 2014	March 2014 and Earlier	March 2014 and Earlier
Core Plus	Smart \$aver* Non-Residential	\$ 10,778,834	\$ 146,107	s -	\$ -	\$ 1,424,650	\$ 81,847	\$ 114,784	\$ 53,055	ş -	\$ 11,205	ş .	ş -	ş -	ş .
Core Plus Tota	1	\$ 10,778,834	\$ 146,107	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,424,650	\$ 81,847	\$ 114,784	\$ 53,055	\$ -	\$ 11,205	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

	2017 Lost Revenue Dollars		ost Revenue able to 2014	2017 Lost Revenue Applicable to 2014			
Portfolio	Program	April-D	ecember 2014	January-March 2014			
Core	C&I Rebate	s	335,585	s			
Core Total		\$	335,585	\$			

1/ Adjustments to Program & EM&V Costs were made to properly assign 2018 costs for those opted out customers who remain liable for those costs in accordance with the Company's tariff first approved in Cause No. 44441.

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 1-F IS CONFIDENTIAL

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Signed: Haven Alland Karen Holbrook

Dated: 10/2/2019