FILED
October 12, 2023
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITION OF INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT)
COMPANY D/B/A AES INDIANA ("AES INDIANA") FOR)
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE RATES AND CHARGES FOR)
ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICE, AND FOR APPROVAL)
OF RELATED RELIEF, INCLUDING (1) REVISED)
DEPRECIATION RATES, (2) ACCOUNTING RELIEF,)
INCLUDING DEFERRALS AND AMORTIZATIONS, (3)) CAUSE NO. 45911
INCLUSION OF CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, (4) RATE	
ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM PROPOSALS, INCLUDING	
NEW ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT RIDER, (5) REMOTE)
DISCONNECT/RECONNECT PROCESS, AND (6) NEW	
SCHEDULES OF RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS)
FOR SERVICE.)

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 6
TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS
BRITTANY L. BAKER

OCTOBER 12, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

T. Jason Haas

Attorney No. 34983-29

Deputy Consumer Counselor

TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS BRITTANY L. BAKER CAUSE NO. 45911 INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY D/B/A AES INDIANA

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.		
2	A:	My name is Brittany L. Baker, and my business address is 115 W. Washington		
3		Street, Suite 1500 South, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204.		
4	Q:	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
5	A:	I am employed as a Utility Analyst in the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer		
6		Counselor's ("OUCC") Electric Division. A summary of my educational		
7		background and experience is included in Appendix A attached to my testimony.		
8	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?		
9	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to recommend Indianapolis Power & Light		
10		Company d/b/a AES Indiana ("AES Indiana," "Petitioner," or "Company") be		
11		required to true-up the rate base component labeled as "Other" rate case expense		
12		totaling \$1,615,000.1 I also recommend the total rate case expense be amortized		
13		over a four-year period.		
14	Q:	What did you do to prepare your testimony?		
15	A:	I read AES Indiana witnesses Natalie Herr Coklow's and Brent A. Robinson's		
16		testimonies regarding proposed rate case expenses. Additionally, I read the petition,		

¹ AES Indiana Financial Exhibit AESI-OPER, Schedule OM21, Col. 2, line 13.

- 1 exhibits, schedules, and responses to OUCC data requests 2-5, 15-14, and 15-15. I 2 also spoke with OUCC witness Wes R. Blakley and other OUCC personnel. 3 Q: To the extent you do not address a specific item in your testimony, should it be 4 construed to mean you agree with AES Indiana's proposal?
- 5 No. My silence regarding any topics, issues, or items AES Indiana proposes does A: 6 not indicate my approval of those topics, issues, or items. Rather, the scope of my 7 testimony is limited to the specific items addressed herein.

II. RATE CASE EXPENSE

- 8 Q: Please explain what is included in the rate case expense.
- 9 A: Rate case expense includes costs incurred to prepare and present the utility's rate 10 case to the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission"). These costs 11 include such expenses as depreciation studies, legal fees, and rate design analysis, 12 among other items. AES Indiana's total projected pro forma rate case expense is \$4,985,000.2 13 O:
- 14 Does the OUCC have any concerns about the Petitioner's proposed rate case expense? 15
- 16 A: Yes. Included in the itemized pro forma rate case expenses, AES Indiana lists 17 \$1,615,000 for "Other – (e.g., Additional Witness/Consulting Support/Postage)."
- 18 Did AES Indiana identify what the rate case component Other - (e.g., Q: 19 Additional Witness/Consulting Support/Postage) is for?
- Yes. In response to OUCC Data Request Set 15, Question 15, the Company stated: 20 A:
- "The rate case expense component 'Other' on Schedule OM21 is a placeholder 21
- 22 amount for any additional witness/consulting report, postage, or other rate case

² AES Indiana Financial Exhibit AESI-OPER, Schedule OM21, Col. 3, line 15.

1 expenditures that are incurred that was not itemized in Schedule OM21." In the 2 same response, which referred to the rate case expense component "Other - (e.g., 3 Additional Witness/Consulting Support, Postage)," AES Indiana indicated, as of 4 September 11, 2023, it "has not yet incurred any costs for this component."⁴ 5 Q: What does the OUCC recommend regarding this rate case component? 6 A: The OUCC recommends the Commission require a true-up of the \$1,615,000 7 "Other" component the Company lists in the total rate case expense to include any 8 costs that may be incurred until the Proposed Order filing date of December 27, 9 2023 in this Cause. As these costs are currently not fixed, known, or measurable, it 10 is unreasonable for ratepayers to pay the total pro forma amount for unknown 11 expenditures for any non-itemized vendor expenses. The OUCC recommends AES 12 Indiana file a report showing the total cost of "Other" expenditures within 10 13 business days following the Proposed Order filing. 14 Q: Does the OUCC have any other recommendations regarding rate case 15 expense? 16 A: Yes. The OUCC recommends an amortization period of four years instead of AES 17 Indiana's proposed three years. For more on the amortization period 18 recommendation, please see OUCC witness Wes R. Blakley's direct testimony. The 19 proposed four-year amortization period represents the average length of time 20 between Petitioner's last two rate cases.

³ Attachment BLB-1: AES Indiana's Response to OUCC DR 15-15.

⁴ Attachment BLB-1.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

1	Q:	What does the OUCC recommend?		
2	A:	The OUCC recommends the Commission require AES Indiana to:		
3		(1) True-up the proposed \$1,615,000 Other – (e.g., Additional Witness/Consulting		
4		Support/Postage) for total pro forma rate case expense to the actual amount		
5		incurred as of AES Indiana's Proposed Order filing date;		
6		(2) File a report showing a total cost of the "Other" expenditures within 10 business		
7		days following the Proposed Order filing date in this Cause for OUCC and		
8		Commission review; and		
9		(3) Amortize the rate case expense over four years instead of the requested three		
10		years.		
11	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?		
12	A:	Yes.		

APPENDIX A

1	\sim	TN 1 11	1 4 11 1	
1	().	Please describe valu	r educational hack	kground and experience
1	V •	i icase describe you	t cuucamonai back	igi bunu anu capci ichce

2 I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business with a major in Accounting A: 3 from the Kelley School of Business at IUPUI in 2014. I have four years of experience in the utility industry as a staff accountant at LWG CPAs & Advisors. I 4 5 prepared individual, corporate, property, and non-profit tax returns; prepared 6 monthly compilations for a town utility; and completed audits on rural electric 7 membership cooperatives in Indiana, Illinois, and Ohio. In November 2022, I began 8 my employment with the OUCC as a Utility Analyst II in the electric division. My 9 duties consist of reviewing and testifying in trackers, rate cases, and other 10 proceedings before the Commission. My focus is in analyzing the accounting and revenue requirements in the proceedings. 12

- Q: Have you previously filed testimony in other Commission proceedings?
- 13 A: Yes.

11

Cause No. 45911 OUCC Attachment BLB-1 Page 1 of 1

Indianapolis Power & Light Company d/b/a AES Indiana Cause No. 45911 AES Indiana's Responses to OUCC DR Set 15

Data Request OUCC DR 15 - 15

Referring to file "45911_AESIN_Financial Exhibits and Workpapers_062823," Tab "OM21-Amortization of Rate Case," please provide a detailed explanation of the Rate Case Expense component "Other - (e.g., Additional Witness/Consulting Support, Postage). In addition, please provide total costs incurred for this component.

Objection:

Response:

The rate case expense component "Other" on Schedule OM21 is a placeholder amount for any additional witness/consulting report, postage, or other rate case expenditures that are incurred that was not itemized in Schedule OM21. AES Indiana has not yet incurred any costs for this component.

AFFIRMATION

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the foregoing representations are true.

Brittany L. Baker

Butty Bok

Utility Analyst II
Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

Cause No. 45911 **AES** Indiana

October 12, 2023 Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the *Indiana Office of Utility Consumer* Counselor's Testimony of Brittany L. Baker has been served upon the following parties of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic service on October 12, 2023.

Petitioner

Teresa Morton Nyhart T. Joseph Wendt Jeffrey M. Peabody Lauren Aguilar Janet Nichols

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

tnyhart@btlaw.com jwendt@btlaw.com jpeabody@btlaw.com laguilar@btlaw.com Janet.Nichols@btlaw.com

COURTESY COPIES TO: Nicholas M. Grimmer AES US SERVICES LLC nick.grimmer@aes.com

Kristi Figg Austin Baker AES INDIANA kristi.figg@aes.com austin.baker@aes.com

CAC

Jennifer A. Washburn Reagan Kurtz CAC OF IN, INC. jwashburn@citact.org rkurtz@citact.org

Industrial Group

Joseph P. Rompala
Aaron A. Schmoll
LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.
JRompala@lewis-kappes.com
ASchmoll@lewis-kappes.com
Courtesy copy to:
ATyler@lewis-kappes.com
ETennant@lewis-Kappes.com

City of Indianapolis

Anne E. Becker

LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.

ABecker@Lewis-Kappes.com

Courtesy copy to:

ATyler@lewis-kappes.com

Kroger

Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
KBoehm@BKLlawfirm.com
JKylerCohn@BKLlawfirm.com

John P. Cook, Esq.

JOHN P. COOK & ASSOCIATES

john.cookassociates@earthlink.net

Justin Bieber ENERGY STRATEGIES, LLC jbieber@energystrat.com

Walmart

Eric E. Kinder
Barry A. Naum
Steven W. Lee
SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC

ekinder@spilmanlaw.com bnaum@spilmanlaw.com slee@spilmanlaw.com

T. Jason Haas

Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR PNC Center

115 West Washington Street, Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204

infomgt@oucc.in.gov

thaas@oucc.in.gov

317.232.2494 — Telephone

317.232.3315 - Direct

317.232.5923 - Facsimile