

#### STATE OF INDIANA

FILED
September 9, 2021
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

# INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITION O	F MIDWEST	NATURAL	GAS )	
CORPORATION	N FOR APPI	ROVAL OF	AN)	
ADJUSTMENT	IN ITS BASE RAT	ES RELATED T	OITS )	CAUSE NO. 44942 TDSIC-3
TDSIC PLAN F	OR ELIGIBLE T	RANSMISSION	AND )	CAUSE 110. 44342 1DSIC-3
DISTRIBUTION	IMPROVEMEN	TS PURSUAN	$\Gamma$ TO )	
IND. CODE § 8-	1-39-1, et seq.		)	

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR'S

PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 2 – TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS BRIEN R. KRIEGER

IURC PUBLIC'S

XHIBIT NO. 2

September 9, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

Attorne No. 28916-49

Deputy Consumer Counselor

# MIDWEST NATURAL GAS CORPORATION CAUSE NO. 44942 TDSIC-3 TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS BRIEN R. KRIEGER

# I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1 2	<b>Q:</b> A:	Please state your name and business address.  My name is Brien R. Krieger, and my business address is 115 West Washington Street,
3		Suite 1500 South, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204.
4 5	Q: A:	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?  I am employed by the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") as a Utility
6		Analyst for the Natural Gas Division. My educational background, experience, and my
7		preparations for this case are detailed in Appendix BRK-1 attached to my testimony.
8 9	<b>Q</b> : A:	What is the purpose of your testimony?  The purpose of my testimony is to provide my analysis, conclusions, and recommendations
10		regarding Midwest Natural Gas Corporation's ("Midwest" or "Petitioner") TDSIC-3
11		request. My analysis includes reviewing Midwest's updated Transmission, Distribution,
12		and Storage System Improvement Charge ("TDSIC") projects for compliance with the 20-
13		year margin test in Indiana Code § 8-1-39-11. I analyze any actual project costs that exceed
14		Midwest's previously approved cost estimates. I also review Petitioner's support for its
15		request to increase its previously approved project cost estimate for the Odon South Phase
16		V and Phase VI projects.
17 18	<b>Q:</b> A:	In conducting your analysis, what information did you review?  I reviewed Petitioner's TDSIC-3 case-in-chief, Petitioner's original TDSIC 7-Year Plan
19		("Plan") in Cause No. 44942 (Final Order issued September 27, 2017), and Petitioner's
20		TDSIC-2 Update including the Final Order in that Cause issued on June 3, 2020. I

compared Petitioner's testimony and workpapers filed in this Cause with prior filings. I reviewed Petitioner's new project estimates for its South Odon Phase V and Phase VI projects.

A:

I, along with other representatives for the OUCC, met with Petitioner on July 28, 2021 to discuss Petitioner's increased estimated cost and the 20-year margin test for the South Odon Phase V and Phase VI projects. As a result of that discussion, Petitioner sent the OUCC a system map showing how the project phases correspond with specific locations of new customers.

Q: Please summarize Petitioner's TDSIC-3 request, your conclusions, and your recommendations.

Petitioner requests cost recovery of 80% of approved TDSIC capital investment through May 31, 2021. Cumulatively, Petitioner's total construction costs for the completed Phases I, II, IV, and IV(a) are \$187,951 less than the previously-approved estimated costs for those same Phases. (Petitioner's Testimony of David A. Osmon, page 8, lines 10-12.)

Petitioner requests to increase the length and diameter of one polyethylene ("PE") main lateral and change the material of another lateral from PE to steel in its Phase VI project. These lateral capacity increases will allow Petitioner to provide additional natural gas to new rural extension customers. Additionally, the actual cost of 4" steel main pipe has almost doubled from prior approved estimates. The higher price for steel pipe increases the total project Phase V and Phase VI costs by approximately 20% (Phase V) and 50% (Phase VI).

Petitioner provided its calculation of the 20-year margin tests associated with the additional customers it anticipates connecting through its Phase VI project, causing the need for increased main capacity. My analysis of Petitioner's work order level detail and

revenue estimates for Phases V and VI indicates these projects meet the required 20-year margin test. I recommend approval of Petitioner's requested cost recovery and approval of its TDSIC-3 updates.

#### II. OVERVIEW OF TDSIC PLAN AND UPDATES

#### 4 Q: Please summarize Petitioner's original TDSIC Plan and updates.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

A:

Petitioner's original TDSIC Plan contained six Phases (Phases I-VI) with each Phase designed to provide rural natural gas service through main extensions to portions of northeast Daviess County, Indiana. Petitioner was authorized to use the 20-year margin test to determine the need for new customer deposits. (Cause No. 44942, Final Order, September 27, 2017 at page 9, Paragraph No. 6.C.) Petitioner's approved 7-Year Plan estimates, updated estimates from TDSIC-3 (denoted with an asterisk), and Petitioner's proposed TDSIC-3 costs for completed projects are summarized in Table 1. (Cause No. 44942 TDSIC-3, Workpapers Item 16.)

Table 1: Comparison of Approved Plan to TDSIC-3 Updates

Plan Phases	Approved 7- Year Plan Estimates  * New TDSIC-3 Estimates	TDSIC-3 Completed Cost Update				
I (Odon South I)	\$692,454	\$551,290				
II (Odon South II)	\$665,943	\$715,829				
III (Odon South III)	\$141,510					
IV Combined Odon South (IV and IV(a))	\$610,881	\$514,207				
V (Odon South V)	*\$499,623					
VI (Odon South VI)	*\$144,751					
TOTAL	\$2,755,163	\$1,781,328				

1 Q: When does Petitioner expect to complete its TDSIC Plan? 2 A: Petitioner's Witness Mr. Osmon states Phases IV and IV(a) are now complete, which 3 means that as of this TDSIC-3 filing, Phases I, II, IV, and IV(a) are now complete. 4 (Petitioner's Testimony of David A. Osmon, page 5, lines 13-16.) Petitioner's intention is 5 to complete Phase V and Phase VI near the end of 2021. (*Id.*, page 13, lines 20-21.) Portions 6 of Phase III are ongoing with an expected completion prior to summer 2022. (Id., page 14, lines 1-4.) Petitioner states that upon completion of Phase III, it plans to submit its final 7 8 TDSIC filing, TDSIC-5. (*Id.*, page 14, lines 3-5.)

#### III. TDSIC-3 FILING

9 Q: Did the final cost for Petitioner's Phases IV and IV(a) exceed approved estimates? 10 No. Petitioner's approved estimate for both Phases was \$610,881 and, in this Cause, its A: 11 final invoices show a total cost of \$514,207. The actual cost for combined Phases IV and 12 IV(a) is \$96,674 less than the approved estimate. (Petitioner's Testimony of David A. 13 Osmon, page 8, lines 10-11.) 14 Does Petitioner request approval of increased cost estimates for its Phase V and Phase Q: 15 VI projects? 16 Yes. Petitioner's witness Mr. David Osmon discusses the short-term quotes for steel pipe A: 17 and the additional natural gas customers affecting capacity requirements for Phase V and 18 Phase VI on page 7 of his direct testimony. He explains that 4" steel pipe was originally 19 estimated at \$7.65 per foot and has now increased to \$13.50 per foot. In its Petition, 20 Midwest states it does not expect the changes to pipe material or pipe cost to cause a 21 significant cost increase to its TDSIC Plan. (Id., page 8, lines 6-7.)

1		He also estimates potential additional customer demand, which he does not include
2		in the new Phase VI margin test, could be at least 100 Amish cabinet shops that could be
3		served with Phase VI. (Id., page 7, lines 1-16.)
4 5	<b>Q</b> : A:	How did Petitioner support its proposed cost increases to Phase V and Phase VI?  Petitioner provided new, work order level project estimates for Phase V and Phase VI in
6		this Cause. The new cost for 4" steel pipe is supported by a steel vendor quote dated June
7		8, 2021. Petitioner's proposed estimates are based upon an inflation factor not greater than
8		1.04% for any cost component. Petitioner's new work order cost detail (Phase V -
9		Workpaper Item 14 and Phase VI – Workpaper Item 15) includes detail supporting the
10		following costs:
11 12 13 14 15		<ul> <li>easements</li> <li>Midwest labor</li> <li>direct materials including the 4" pipe, valves, caps, and tracer wire</li> <li>indirect material</li> <li>contract labor</li> <li>contractor equipment</li> </ul>
17		For costs not affected by increased steel prices (i.e. labor, easements, indirect material, and
18		contractor equipment), Petitioner's Phase V and VI cost estimates and estimated quantities
19		match what was previously approved in TDSIC-1. The new estimate for 4" steel pipe is
20		reasonable for the present market. Steel prices have doubled since mid-2019. (Lance
21		Lambert, "Steel Prices are up 200%. When will the bubble pop?" Fortune Magazine, July
22		8, 2021. Attachment BRK-1.)
23 24	Q:	Did Petitioner provide support for the 20-year margin it projects to receive from the Phase V and Phase VI projects?
25	A:	Yes. The Phase V and VI 20-year margin exceeds Petitioner's updated estimates. The total
26		expected revenue, based on updated combined estimates for Petitioner's Phase V and Phase

1 IV projects is \$760,706. Petitioner's expected total revenue is greater than its combined 2 estimated cost of \$644,371 for these two Phases. My analysis of Petitioner's case-in-chief, 3 along with my discussion with Petitioner, indicates Petitioner's 20-year margin 4 calculations are reasonable and Phases V and VI meet the 20-year margin test. 5 Q: Do Petitioner's increased cost estimates invalidate the 20-year margin test? 6 A: No. Petitioner's original 20-year margin estimate for the six phases is \$2,799,160. 7 (Attachment BRK-2, Cause No. 44942, Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Cody M. Osmon, 8 Attachment CMO-1.) Petitioner supplied the OUCC with the estimates in TDSIC-3 and 9 the 20-year margin increases slightly. Petitioner also points out in testimony there are an 10 additional 100 cabinet shops that Petitioner expects to connect to serve, within one mile of 11 CR 900, not included in it 20-year margin estimate. (Testimony of David A. Osmon, page

#### IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

#### O: What are your recommendations?

7, lines 11-12.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A:

Petitioner's final costs for Phases IV and IV(a) are lower than its approved estimates and should be approved. The Phase V and Phase VI estimates are reasonable, consistent with the requirements of Indiana Code § 8-1-39-9, and are supported with work order level detail. Based on my analysis, Petitioner's new cost estimates for Phase V and Phase VI meet the 20-year margin test as described in Indiana Code § 8-1-39-11. Petitioner adequately substantiated the Phase V and Phase VI cost estimate increases and justified why these additional costs are required, and not reasonably foreseen in the approved TDSIC-2 update. Therefore, I recommend the updates and actual costs in TDSIC-3 be approved.

Public's Exhibit No. 2 Cause No. 44942 TDSIC-3 Page 7 of 7

- 1 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?
- 2 A: Yes.

# **AFFIRMATION**

I affirm, under the penaltics for perjury, that the foregoing representations are true.

Brien R. Krieger

Utility Analyst II

Indiana Office of

Utility Consumer Counselor

44942 TDSIC-3

Midwest Natural Gas Corp.

Date

# APPENDIX BRK-1 TO THE TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS BRIEN R. KRIEGER

1 Q: Please describe your educational background and experience.

A: I graduated from Purdue University in West Lafayette, Indiana with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Mechanical Engineering in May 1986, and a Master of Science Degree in Mechanical Engineering in August 2001 from Purdue University at the IUPUI campus.

From 1986 through mid-1997, I worked for PSI Energy and Cinergy progressing to a Senior Engineer. After the initial four years as a field engineer and industrial representative in Terre Haute, Indiana, I accepted a transfer to corporate offices in Plainfield, Indiana where my focus changed to industrial energy efficiency implementation and power quality. Early Demand Side Management ("DSM") projects included ice storage for Indiana State University, Time of Use rates for industrials, and DSM Verification and Validation reporting to the IURC. I was an Electric Power Research Institute committee member on forums concerning electric vehicle batteries/charging, municipal water/wastewater, and adjustable speed drives. I left Cinergy and worked approximately two years for the energy consultant, ESG, and then worked for the OUCC from mid-1999 to mid-2001.

I completed my Masters in Engineering in 2001, with a focus on power generation, including aerospace turbines, and left the OUCC to gain experience and practice in turbines. I was employed by Rolls-Royce (2001-2008) in Indianapolis working in an engineering capacity for military engines. This work included: fuel-flight regime performance, component failure mode analysis, and military program control account management.

From 2008 to 2016 my employment included substitute teaching in the Plainfield, Indiana school district, grades 3 through 12. I passed the math Praxis exam requirement for teaching secondary school. During this period, I also performed contract engineering work for Duke Energy and Air Analysis. I started working again with the OUCC in 2016.

Over my career I have attended various continuing education workshops at the University of Wisconsin and written technical papers. While previously employed at the OUCC, I completed Week 1 of NARUC's Utility Rate School hosted by the Institute of Public Utilities at Michigan State University. In 2016, I attended two cost of service/rate-making courses: Ratemaking Workshop (ISBA Utility Law Section) and Financial Management: Cost of Service Ratemaking (AWWA). In 2017, I attended the AGA Rate School sponsored by the Center for Business and Regulation in the College of Business & Management at the University of Illinois Springfield and attended Camp NARUC Week 2, Intermediate Course held at Michigan State University. I completed the Fundamentals of Gas Distribution on-line course developed and administered by Gas Technology Institute in 2018. In October 2019, I attended Camp NARUC Week 3, Advanced Regulatory Studies Program held at Michigan State University by the Institute of Public Utilities.

My current responsibilities include reviewing and analyzing Cost of Service Studies ("COSS") relating to cases filed with the Commission by natural gas, electric and water utilities. Additionally, I have taken on engineering responsibilities within the OUCC's Natural Gas Division, including participation in "Call Before You Dig-811" incident review and natural gas emergency response training.

1	Q:	Have you previously filed testimony with the Commission?									
2	A:	Yes. I have provided written testimony concerning COSS in Cause Nos. 44731, 44768,									
3		44880, 44988, 45027, 45072, 45116, 45117, 45214, 45215, 45447, and 45468.									
4		Additionally, I have provided written testimony for Targeted Economic Development									
5		("TED") projects in 2017/2018/2020 and various Federal Mandate Cost Adjustment									
6		("FMCA") and TDSIC petitions. I filed testimony or provided analysis in the following									
7		FMCA or TDSIC 7-Year Plan or Tracker petitions: Cause Nos. 44403, 44429, 44430,									
8		44942, 45007, 45131, 45264, 45330, 45400 and 45560.									
9		While previously employed by the OUCC, I wrote testimony concerning the									
10		Commission's investigation into merchant power plants, power quality, Midwest									
11		Independent System Operator and other procedures. Additionally, I prepared testimony and									
12		position papers supporting the OUCC's position on various electric and water rate cases									
13		during those same years.									
14	Q:	Please describe the general review you conducted to prepare this testimony.									
15	A:	I reviewed Petitioner's Petition, Testimony, Attachments, informal data request responses,									
16		and workpapers for this Cause. I also reviewed Petitioner's prior TDSIC Petitions and									
17		Commission Orders.									

# Steel prices are up 200%. When will the bubble pop?

BY LANCE LAMBERT

July 8, 2021 2:30 PM EDT

What do refrigerators, air conditioning units, and new cars have in common? They're all seeing prices spike as manufacturers grapple with a worsening shortage of a key component: steel.

Since March 2020, steel prices are up a staggering 215%. The <u>benchmark</u> price for hot-rolled steel hit another all-time high last week, climbing to \$1,825. Prior to the pandemic, it traded in the \$500 to \$800 range.

What's going on? During the early months of the 2020 shutdowns, many steel mills shut off production in fear that we were headed into a deep recession—maybe even a depression. But that drop-off in demand didn't last long for iron ore. Early in the pandemic, stuck at home Americans rushed to spruce up their abodes. Soon, steel-heavy products like grills and refrigerators were in high demand. That quick rebound caught steel mills off-guard.

"What happened, which is similar to lumber, demand during COVID-19 was stronger than first anticipated because of switches in consumption patterns. Instead of paying for experiences and vacations, they were buying a new lawn mower, buying a new car, or white goods like appliances—which are steel intensive," Thorsten Schier, a metals expert at Fastmarkets, tells *Fortune*.

# Price of steel continues to soar

Hot-Rolled Coil Steel Futures Continuous Contract



As the U.S. begins to fully reopen, some pandemic-spurred trends, like lumber and steel intensive do-it-yourself home remodeling, are slowing down. That DIY pullback is, in part, helping to cause a correction in the lumber market: Since peaking at \$1,515 per thousand board feet on May 28—which was 300% above its pre-pandemic price—the cash price of lumber is down 49% to \$770 as of Friday. That begs the question: Why isn't steel seeing a similar correction? Any pullback in household durables is certainly felt by steelmakers. However, unlike wood products, steel is less dependent on DIY or new home construction—which is also cooling down a bit from its March peak this year. In fact, many industries that are steel heavy, like oil and gas, are seeing their steel demand soar right now as the economy reopens. Oil producers and refineries will only need more steel in the coming months as Americans return to air travel and their daily commutes.

"I don't think we've hit the peak for steel prices. Most people in the market see strength through the third quarter, and some don't see it getting better on the buying side until 2022 sometime," Schier says. "It is just that supply is that tight. People are scrambling for material."

Another factor: Consolidation. Two major acquisitions last year by steelmaking titan Cleveland-Cliffs—AK Steel for \$1.1 billion and U.S. steel mills from ArcelorMittal for \$1.4 billion—has essentially made the steel industry a duopoly. That firm grip by Cleveland-Cliffs and United States Steel Corporation on the market, Schier says, leaves them with little incentive to increase production. After all, creating more supply would only mean their prices would fall.

The other wildcard at play are global supply chains issues. In particular, the chip shortage which is hampering new car production. Once the <a href="https://example.com/shortage">chip shortage</a> is resolved, the automotive industry is expected to ramp-up. More cars rolling off production lines, means more steel demand.

Fastmarket's Schier was blunt with his short-term steel assessment: "There doesn't appear to be any sign that it is abating anytime soon."

Midwest Natural Gas Corporation Estimated Customer Margin Revenue

ivilowest Natural Gas Corporation										Cause		110010-5
Estimated Customer Margin Revenue								Comment Manager		W-1-1	P	age 1 of 1
TDSIC - 2017			A 1 3 .		N-1-1-		Th	Current Monthly	Mahamatata	Total		0
.,	, ,	0	Annual V			s Equivalent (		Service Charge	Volumetric	Annual	20-Year	
<u>Name</u>	Location	Customer Class	Propane	Diesel	Propane	Diesel	<u>Total</u>	Margin	Margin	Margin	Margin	
Phase I					0.40		2.40		245	200	404 400	
Residential	13	Residential	922		848	-	848	144	246	390	101,400	
Ora Wagler (Tim Nolan)	9786 E 1100 N	Lg Comm	6,000		5,520	•	5,520	288	1,355	1,643	32,860	
Terry Swartzentruber	9185 E 1100 N	Lg Comm	6,000		5,520	-	5,520	288	1,355	1,643	32,860	
Steve Graber Turky Farm	10722 N 1000 E	Lg Comm	10,150	5,125	9,338	7,098	16,436	288	2,941	3,229	64,574	
Jim Graber Turkey Farm	10514 N 1000 E	Lg Comm	7,150	6,150	6,578	8,518	15,096	288	2,763	3,051	61,019	
Vernon Graber Turkey Farm	9808 E 1100 N	1g Comm	7,850	3,850	7,222	5,332	12,554	288	2,426	2,714	54,275	
Phase II												
Residential	14	Residential	922		848	~	848	144	246	390	109,200	
Nick Graber Graber Steel & Fab	8528 N 900 E	Lg Comm	118,000	37,500	108,560	51,938	160,498	288	22,431	22,719	454,374	
Duck Blind Grill	8900 N 900 E	Sm Comm	2,000	37,300	1,840	7	1,840	144	495	639	12,780	
Bed & Breakfast	8824 N 900 E	Sm Comm	1,200		1,104	-	1,104	144	322	466	9,326	
Amzy Wagler Grain	9731 E 1000 N	Lg Comm	25,000		23,000	-	23,000	288	3,241	3,529	70,581	
Simon Wagler	9394 E 1000 N	Lg Comm	2,000	2,500	1,840	3,463	5,303	288	1,179	1,467	29,335	
Simon J Graber Bldg	7716 N 900 E	Sm Comm	20,000	2,300	18,400	3,403	18,400	144	4,207	4,351	87,024	
			2,000			-	•		4,207	639	12,780	
Mervin Mast Cabinet	9922 E 1000 N	Sm Comm			1,840	-	1,840	144				
Shiloh Church (CR 900E/1000N)	9054 E 1000 N	Sm Comm	1,200		1,104	-	1,104	144	322	466	9,326	
Bethel Church	9335 N 900 E	Sm Comm	2,000		1,840	**	1,840	144	487	631	12,611	
Phase III												
Residential	26	Residential	922		848	-	848	144	246	390	202,800	
Raglesville School	10650 E 1000 N	Lg Comm	2,500		2,300		2,300	288	615	903	18,053	
Raglesville Frady Church	9956 N Cross St	Sm Comm	1,000		920	-	920	144	281	425	8,505	
Abraham Graber Millworks	10216 E 1000 N	Lg Comm	2,270	12,175	2,088	16,862	18,951	288	2,989	3,277	65,543	
Phase IV												
Residential	18	Residential	922		848	-	848	144	246	390	140,400	
Vernon Graber Turkey Farm	12070 E 800 N	Lg Comm	17,500		16,100	-	16,100	288	2,896	3,184	63,683	
Lamar Eicher Turkey Farm	7845 N 1200 E	Lg Comm	6,000		5,520	-	5,520	288	1,355	1,643	32,860	
Phillip Eicher Turkey Farm	12629 E 800 N	Lg Comm	6,000		5,520	-	5,520	288	1,355	1,643	32,860	
Joseph Eicher Turkey Farm	12566 E 800 N	Lg Comm	6,000		5,520	-	5,520	288	1,355	1,643	32,860	
Calvin Graber Turkey Farm	10599 E 800N	Lg Comm	6,000		5,520	-	5,520	288	1,355	1,643	32,860	
Marlin Knepp Turkey Farm	7738 N 1000 E	Lg Comm	4,500	12,000	4,140	16,620	20,760	288	3,514	3,802	76,046	
Ammon Weaver	7956 N 1100 E	Lg Comm	3,000	28,000	2,760	38,780	41,540	288	6,651	6,939	138,780	
Vernon Graber Grain	12070 E 800 N	Lg Comm	10,000		9,200	~	9,200	288	1,411	1,699	33,970	
J & J Concrete	9145 E 800 N	Lg Comm	3,000		2,760	-	2,760	288	738	1,026	20,512	
Phase V												
	12	Decidential	922		848		848	144	246	390	101,400	
Residential	13	Residential				•		288			69,785	
David Knepp Turkey Farm	6075 N 900 E	Lg Comm	20,000		18,400	-	18,400		3,201	3,489		
Amos Graber Turkey Farm	8836 E 650 N	Lg Comm	6,000		5,520	-	5,520	288	1,355	1,643	32,860	
Graber Post	7716 N 900 E	Lg Comm	60,000		55,200	-	55,200	288	8,463	8,751	175,020	
Mervin Knepp Mouldings	6349 N 900 E	Sm Comm	4,000		3,680	~	3,680	144	897	1,041	20,823	
Graber Vinyl Windows	9058 E 500 N	Sm Comm	2,000		1,840	-	1,840	144	495	639	12,780	
Midwest Auction Center (Dinky's)	9084 E 550 N	Sm Comm	2,000		1,840	*	1,840	144	495	639	12,780	
Produce Auction	5667 N 900 E	Sm Comm	1,200		1,104	-	1,104	144	322	466	9,326	
Phase VI												
Residential	10	Residential	922		848	_	848	144	246	390	78,000	
John Graber Turkey Farm	9754 E 875 N	Lg Comm	7,150		6,578	-	6,578	288	1,554	1,842	36,842	
Willie Knepp Turkey Farm	8556 E 500 N	Lg Comm	5,000	2,000	4,600	2,770	7,370	288	1,703	1,991	39,823	
Fred Wittmer Turkey Farm	8744 E 550 N	Lg Comm	5,000	2,000	4,600	2,770	7,370	288	1,703	1,991	39,823	
·				2,000	15,640	2,770	15,640	288	3,215	3,503	70,067	
K&K	8518 E 550 N	Lg Comm	17,000 4,000	2 200	3,680	4,432	8,112	288	2,001	2,289	45,778	
Willie Knepp Cabinet	8451 E 500 N	Lg Comm	4,000	3,200	3,080	4,432	8,112	288	Z,UU1	۲,۲۰۶ -	43,778	

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing *OUCC'S TESTIMONY OF BRIEN R*.

\*\*KRIEGER\*\* has been served upon the following counsel of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic service on September 9, 2021.

L. Parvin Price
Jeffrey M. Peabody
BARNES&THORNBURG LLP
11 S. Meridian St.
Indianapolis, IN 46204
Email:parvin.price@btlaw.com
jpeabody@btlaw.com

Tiffan Murray

Attorney No. 28916-49

Deputy Consumer Counselor

#### INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

115 West Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204 infomgt@oucc.in.gov 317/232-2494 — Telephone 317/232-5923 — Facsimile