STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION


PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID A. OSMON

ON BEHALF OF INDIANA NATURAL GAS CORPORATION
1. Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
   A. My name is David A. Osmon and my business address is 101 S.E. Third Street, Washington, Indiana 47501.

2. Q. WHAT IS YOUR POSITION WITH THE PETITIONER?
   A. I am the President and Chief Operating Officer of the Petitioner. I have general administrative, financial and regulatory responsibilities.

3. Q. WHAT ARE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS, OR RELEVANT EXPERIENCE WHICH RELATE TO THE MATTERS PRESENTED IN THIS GCA?
   A. I am a graduate of Indiana State University with a B.S. degree in accounting. I am a Certified Public Accountant and was employed as such prior to joining Petitioner. I am a member of various organizations, including the Indiana Certified Public Accountant Society. I have been a long-time member of various industry groups, including the Indiana Energy Association. I have worked with that association and many of its members on a number of issues related to Indiana natural gas utilities. I attended the Commission's Prehearing Conference of February 6, 2018 in the underlying Cause No. 45032.
4. Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION ON MATTERS RELATING TO THIS PETITIONER?

A. Yes. I have offered testimony in numerous causes on various matters before the Commission on behalf of this Respondent and other small to medium sized natural gas distribution companies on: natural gas pricing, the GCA process, normal temperature adjustment mechanisms, TDSIC filings, and necessity certificate issues. I have also participated in various Commission sponsored natural gas forums.

5. Q. DID YOU PARTICIPATE ON BEHALF OF THIS RESPONDENT IN ITS LAST BASE RATE CASE?

A. Yes.

6. Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. I am addressing some of the issues referenced by the Commission’s Orders of January 3, 2018 and February 16, 2018 issued in the underlying tax investigation matter Cause No. 45032.

7. Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT PART OF THE COMMISSION’S JANUARY 3RD AND FEBRUARY 16TH ORDERS YOU ARE ADDRESSING.

A. My understanding of those orders are that the Respondent should establish a regulatory liability for purposes of booking any over collections of revenue from customers caused by the difference
between the federal income tax rates embedded in the
Respondent's last base rate case and the changed federal income
tax rate established by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (the Act).
I will address the amount of such regulatory liability. How that
amount should be calculated for Indiana Natural. Finally, I will
recommend for Indiana Natural, how that amount should be
returned to the ratepayers who paid the amount.

8. Q. IS ANYONE ELSE FILING TESTIMONY ON BEHALF OF THE
RESPONDENT?
A. Yes. Bonnie J. Mann of LWG CPAs and Advisors will be offering
testimony.

9. Q. IS THIS TESTIMONY OFFERED UNDER OATH?
A. Yes. As reflected by the verification attached to this testimony, I
am offering this testimony under oath.

10. Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THIS SUBDOCKET?
A. This subdocket essentially flows from the Commission's Order of
rates. In short, I believe it was the Commission's intent to develop
processes to implement the Act as quickly as possible. That Order
went on to point out that the exact amount of tax benefits were not
readily determinable, but utilities should use their best estimate in
recording a regulatory liability. In an Order dated February 16,
2018, the Commission provided further guidance by indicating the process would be broken down into two phases. Phase 1 called for utilities to file revised rates to reflect the changed corporate income tax rate. The purpose of Phase 2 was to address all remaining issues, but specifically to determine a process to refund excess accumulated deferred income taxes and any over collection in rates for the months in 2018 that occurred before the revised rates resulting from Phase 1 could be implemented.

11. Q. DID INDIANA NATURAL COMPLY WITH THE COMMISSION'S ORDERS?

A. Yes. New rates were filed on March 26, 2018. Following an objection by the OUCC and subsequent discussion thereof, revised rates were filed on April 24, 2018, which were approved by the Commission. Those reduced rates were used beginning with the May 2018 billing cycles. Petitioner also used the regulatory accounting treatment as prescribed by the Commission in the January 3, 2018 Order. For January, Petitioner calculated federal income taxes using 21% and 34%. The difference was recorded as a regulatory liability and an offsetting regulatory asset. Generally, the Act has been described as reducing rates from 35% to 21%. Corporations with federal taxable income of $10,000,000, or above, were subject to the 35% corporate tax rate. Indiana Natural's
1. effective federal corporate tax rate prior to the Act was 34%. While
2. this methodology was used to meet the “best estimate” requirement
3. of the Commission’s Order, I do not believe simply calculating the
4. difference between the old and new effective tax rates on net
5. income establishes the correct amount for Indiana Natural.

12. Q. WHAT IS YOUR BASIS FOR NOT SIMPLY REFUNDING THE
   13. A. DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 34% AND 21% OF TAXABLE NET
   14. INCOME?

   A. First, the 34% income tax rate is applied to net income, which
   15. includes months of net income, but also months of net loss. Using
   16. the same type of calculation on a net loss instead of a net income,
   17. the calculation would result in a benefit to the utility and not the
   18. customer. Second, the refund should only relate to the customers
   19. who used gas and were billed at the higher tax rate before our new
   20. tariff was approved. This leads me to believe that a more
   21. appropriate calculation for Indiana Natural is simply the actual
   22. volumes billed to customers, times the difference in the individual
   23. block rates before and after the tax rate revision.

13. Q. HOW DID YOU CALCULATE THE REFUND DUE CUSTOMERS?
   14. A. We ran a step-rate analysis for each type tariff for all months from
   15. January to April. We then multiplied those volumes times the
   16. decrease in each block rate. This allows us to determine the actual
amount due back to each tariff group of customers based on metered volumes. We also considered the impact of Normal Temperature Adjustment (NTA) volumes. NTA volumes are multiplied by the last block, or tail block, in those tariffs where it applies, to determine NTA charges or credits. Since the tail block rate changed, the difference in that rate before and after the tax rate revision should also be considered to accurately reflect the NTA charge or credit. I have attached each month’s calculation and a summary of all months from January to April as Exhibit DAO-1. Lastly, we considered how much of the refund would be given back to customers resulting from excess earnings.

14. Q. HOW DO YOU BELIEVE THE REFUND FOR THE OVER COLLECTION SINCE JANUARY SHOULD BE RETURNED TO CUSTOMERS?

A. The refund was created in weather-sensitive months and, for the most part, in months that were colder than normal in Respondent’s service area. Indiana Natural is proposing a volumetric refund to customers that is tariff specific. We believe the refund should occur in the same four calendar months, of 2019, it was created in 2018. This gives us the best opportunity to refund the over collection back to the customers that created it, generally in proportion to their contribution. Spreading it over all 12 calendar months tends to
favor industrial customers with a significant summer base load over
the weather-sensitive customers that helped create the refund. The
refund will be divided over the GCA estimated sales volumes,
which are generally based upon the average of several years. At
the end of April 2019, we would reconcile the refund dollars, with
any differences being included in GCA variances at that time.

15. Q. HOW WOULD THE RECONCILIATION WORK?
   A. We would once again create a step-rate analysis after the four
      months ended April 2019 to determine the dollars refunded to each
tariff group of customer. Our expectation would be that, any
differences should be immaterial. What differences remain would
become part of the variance balance that passes through the GCA.

16. Q. HOW MUCH OF A REFUND IS DUE CUSTOMERS?
   A. As determined in Exhibit DAO-1, the amount of refund to all
customers is $48,929.98.

17. Q. HAS YOUR APPROACH TO CALCULATING THE
      APPROPRIATE AMOUNT OF REFUND BEEN CONSIDERED BY
      ANYONE ELSE?
   A. Yes. I discussed this approach with both Bonnie Mann and Kerry
      Heid.
Q. WOULD YOU PLESE EXPLAIN THE BILLING MECHANISM YOU PLAN TO USE TO REFUND THE VARIOUS AMOUNTS TO CUSTOMERS?

A. We have currently made a determination of how much per therm will be refunded to customers by tariff group. The amount per therm was based on developing monthly sales estimates, as we would for the GCA. Those sales were allocated to each customer class based upon January through April actual sales, adjusted for NTA volumes. We believe this provides weather-normalized volumes. The volumes are then accumulated by Tariff and divided into the net refund due each Tariff group to determine a refund tracker per therm. We will utilize a negative tracker to be multiplied by metered consumption, which will act as a reduction of the customer bill. All Tariff G customers will receive a refund of $0.0145 per therm, Tariff TM customers will receive a refund of $0.0105 per therm, and Tariff T will receive a refund of $0.0050 per therm. The excess earnings refund, based upon the tax rate difference in January through April, also causes a unique situation. The tax rate differential portion of the excess earnings refund for Tariff C is larger than the refund due that group of customers from the base rate change. Tariff C customers will receive, through excess earnings, a refund that is $1,844.78 more than they paid in
the higher pre-Tax Act base rates. Due to the immateriality of the amount, Respondent will not seek recovery of that amount from Tariff C customers. All Tariff G, TM and T customers will receive the same amount per therm over the four months. The results will then be reconciled as described previously. We plan on using the same estimated volumes in the GCA used here to determine the amount per therm. We would not expect any changes, but we would need to consider any new information between now and when the GCAs for these months are filed. Any changes will be addressed in GCA testimony.

19. Q. **WOULD YOU PLEASE DISCUSS IN MORE DETAIL WHY EXCESS EARNINGS IS BROUGHT IN TO YOUR CALCULATIONS?**

A. In GCA 138, reconciling the period ending March 31, 2018, Respondent reported excess earnings. The determination of the refund amount due customers was included as a reduction of the GCA tracking factor for the months of August, September and October 2018. The excess earnings would have included the tax rate differential for the months of January through March 2018. It is our belief that, if you do not net out the tax rate differential, you in effect are refunding it twice.
20. Q. HOW DID YOU CALCULATE THE AMOUNT FROM EXCESS EARNINGS TO NET AGAINST THE BASE RATE REFUND?
A. I began with Net Income from Operations (NOI), as reported in GCA 138, for January, February and March. I also included NOI from April that will be reported in GCA 139. Each month was converted to NOI before federal income tax by dividing NOI by 1 minus the tax rate (NOI / (1 - .21)). NOI before federal income tax was then multiplied by both 21% and the old tax rate of 34%. The difference between the two tax calculations represents the amount that is being returned through excess earnings, but would also be included in the base differential calculation. The difference was then multiplied by 25%, since only one-fourth of the excess is refunded in the GCA tracker. So, in essence, we are netting out one-fourth of the difference in income tax between 21% and 34% as applied to net operating income before federal income taxes. Excess earnings will be refunded in August through October 2018. Therefore, the allocation to the various customer tariffs is based upon the actual sales of those same three months from 2017. We believe this is the most equitable allocation.

21. Q. WHY DID YOU USE ONLY GCA SALES?
A. Excess earnings are not refunded to transport customers who are outside of the GCA. Therefore, since transport customers will not
receive a refund of excess earnings, there is no need to net out the
tax rate differential, included in the excess earnings refund.

22. Q. EARLIER YOU NOTED THAT YOU INCLUDED NOI FROM APRIL
IN THE EXCESS EARNINGS CALCULATION. WHY WAS
APRIL INCLUDED WHEN THAT EXCESS EARNINGS TEST HAS
NOT YET OCCURRED?

A. We looked at net income for April 2018 and for the twelve months
then ended. It is most likely that the excess earnings test at June
30, 2018 in GCA 139 will also result in an excess earnings refund
to customers. We believed it would be better to calculate the
overall impact of excess earnings at one time for all four months.
Should we find at June 30, 2018 that there was no excess
earnings, we will only need to remove the April portion.

23. Q. DID YOU DISCUSS THIS BILLING MECHANISM APPROACH
WITH ANYONE ELSE?

A. Yes. Since Kerry Heid was our Cost of Service witness in our last
base rate case, I asked him to review this approach.

24. Q. MR. OSMON, DO YOU BELIEVE YOUR PROPOSAL ABOVE IS
THE MOST FAIR WAY OF REFUNDING THE OVER
COLLECTION TO INDIANA NATURAL’S CUSTOMERS?
A. Recognizing the software Indiana Natural has available, and the analysis we were able to perform internally, I believe this approach is the best available approach for Indiana Natural.

25. Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS CAUSE?

A. Yes, it does.
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### Indiana Natural Gas Corporation

#### Determination of Refund Credit Tracker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Residential - G</th>
<th>Commercial - G</th>
<th>Residential - C</th>
<th>Commercial - C</th>
<th>Total GCA</th>
<th>TM</th>
<th>Industrial Transport</th>
<th>Total Transport</th>
<th>Total All Volumes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>January</strong></td>
<td>1,019,243 (129,044)</td>
<td>208,946 (31,291)</td>
<td>5,518 (698)</td>
<td>801,439 (72,760)</td>
<td>2,035,146 (233,793)</td>
<td>49,790</td>
<td>281,242</td>
<td>331,032</td>
<td>2,366,178 (233,793)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metered NTA Adjusted Estimated Tariff Tariff Tariff Tariff</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Deliveries</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - G</td>
<td>890,199</td>
<td>49.42%</td>
<td>770,952</td>
<td>153,816</td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>631,020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - G</td>
<td>177,655</td>
<td>9.86%</td>
<td>153,816</td>
<td>770,952</td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>631,020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - C</td>
<td>4,820</td>
<td>0.27%</td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>631,020</td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>631,020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - C</td>
<td>728,679</td>
<td>40.45%</td>
<td>631,020</td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>631,020</td>
<td>631,020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,801,353</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1,560,000</td>
<td>4,212</td>
<td>631,020</td>
<td>631,020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>February</strong></td>
<td>1,007,896 (50,401)</td>
<td>196,864 (3,383)</td>
<td>4,359 (212)</td>
<td>523,296 (50,598)</td>
<td>1,732,415 (3,398)</td>
<td>41,018</td>
<td>173,182</td>
<td>214,200</td>
<td>1,946,615 (3,398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metered NTA Adjusted Estimated Tariff Tariff Tariff Tariff</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Deliveries</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - G</td>
<td>957,495</td>
<td>55.38%</td>
<td>891,618</td>
<td>180,159</td>
<td>3,864</td>
<td>534,359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - G</td>
<td>193,481</td>
<td>11.19%</td>
<td>180,159</td>
<td>891,618</td>
<td>3,864</td>
<td>534,359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - C</td>
<td>4,147</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>3,864</td>
<td>534,359</td>
<td>3,864</td>
<td>534,359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - C</td>
<td>573,894</td>
<td>33.19%</td>
<td>534,359</td>
<td>3,864</td>
<td>534,359</td>
<td>534,359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,729,017</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1,610,000</td>
<td>3,864</td>
<td>534,359</td>
<td>534,359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>March</strong></td>
<td>536,632 (137,632)</td>
<td>101,281 (20,069)</td>
<td>2,425 (585)</td>
<td>396,360 (20,858)</td>
<td>1,036,698 (137,428)</td>
<td>60,823</td>
<td>202,156</td>
<td>262,979</td>
<td>1,299,677 (137,428)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metered NTA Adjusted Estimated Tariff Tariff Tariff Tariff</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Deliveries</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - G</td>
<td>674,264</td>
<td>57.43%</td>
<td>519,742</td>
<td>93,577</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td>289,419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - G</td>
<td>121,350</td>
<td>10.34%</td>
<td>93,577</td>
<td>519,742</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td>289,419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - C</td>
<td>3,010</td>
<td>0.26%</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td>289,419</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td>289,419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - C</td>
<td>375,502</td>
<td>31.98%</td>
<td>289,419</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td>289,419</td>
<td>289,419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,174,126</td>
<td>100.01%</td>
<td>905,000</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td>289,419</td>
<td>289,419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>April</strong></td>
<td>587,045 (150,325)</td>
<td>107,436 (27,239)</td>
<td>2,564 (653)</td>
<td>347,800 (81,724)</td>
<td>1,044,845 (259,941)</td>
<td>37,564</td>
<td>188,711</td>
<td>226,275</td>
<td>1,271,120 (259,941)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metered NTA Adjusted Estimated Tariff Tariff Tariff Tariff</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Deliveries</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - G</td>
<td>436,720</td>
<td>55.64%</td>
<td>411,736</td>
<td>75,628</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>250,860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - G</td>
<td>80,197</td>
<td>10.22%</td>
<td>75,628</td>
<td>411,736</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>250,860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential - C</td>
<td>1,911</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>250,860</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>250,860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial - C</td>
<td>266,076</td>
<td>33.90%</td>
<td>250,860</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>250,860</td>
<td>250,860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>784,904</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>740,000</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>250,860</td>
<td>250,860</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Estimated Tariff Sales January - April, 2019

- **Residential - G**: 5,795,000
- **Commercial - G**: 3,097,228
- **Residential - C**: 1,717,863
- **Commercial - C**: 179,480
- **Total**: 800,520

#### Refund Due Customers

- **Residential - G**: $48,929.98
- **Commercial - G**: $44,853.73
- **Residential - C**: $(1,844.78)
- **Commercial - C**: $1,889.00
- **Total**: $4,032.03

#### Refund Tracker Per Therm

- **Residential - G**: $0.0145
- **Commercial - G**: $(0.0011)
- **Residential - C**: $0.0105
- **Commercial - C**: $0.0050

...
# Indiana Natural Gas Corporation

## Determination of Refund Due Customer - All Components

### Four-Month Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-50</td>
<td>5,055.72</td>
<td>5,061.01</td>
<td>4,751.04</td>
<td>4,800.69</td>
<td>15,669.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>9,465.53</td>
<td>9,478.65</td>
<td>8,510.58</td>
<td>8,641.53</td>
<td>25,819.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-50</td>
<td>406.69</td>
<td>407.57</td>
<td>341.79</td>
<td>549.78</td>
<td>2,101.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>2,238.61</td>
<td>2,241.90</td>
<td>1,001.36</td>
<td>951.43</td>
<td>6,225.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Tariff</strong></td>
<td>17,409.68</td>
<td>17,912.38</td>
<td>16,270.37</td>
<td>17,872.09</td>
<td>70,864.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1,000</td>
<td>37.66</td>
<td>35.53</td>
<td>32.24</td>
<td>171.21</td>
<td>241.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>15.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1,000</td>
<td>2,561.20</td>
<td>2,508.30</td>
<td>2,421.11</td>
<td>1,883.04</td>
<td>8,453.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>5,719.69</td>
<td>5,930.57</td>
<td>2,327.71</td>
<td>8,850.70</td>
<td>8,877.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Tariff</strong></td>
<td>8,247.67</td>
<td>8,142.21</td>
<td>4,748.82</td>
<td>11,763.04</td>
<td>20,837.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domestic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3,000</td>
<td>37.66</td>
<td>35.53</td>
<td>32.24</td>
<td>171.21</td>
<td>241.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3,000</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>15.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Tariff</strong></td>
<td>70.67</td>
<td>64.69</td>
<td>34.39</td>
<td>183.37</td>
<td>314.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transport</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>1,341.52</td>
<td>1,341.52</td>
<td>1,341.52</td>
<td>1,341.52</td>
<td>1,341.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total All Tariffs</strong></td>
<td>$27,410.93</td>
<td>$27,410.93</td>
<td>$27,410.93</td>
<td>$27,410.93</td>
<td>$27,410.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All values are in dollars.