

### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

| VERIFIED PETITION OF SOUTHERN INDIANA    | ) |                  |
|--|---|------------------|
| GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A VECTREN   | ) |                  |
| ENERGY DELIVERY OF INDIANA, INC.         | ) |                  |
| ("VECTREN SOUTH") FOR (1) AUTHORITY TO   | ) |                  |
| MODIFY ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR GAS     | ) | IURC Dire        |
| UTILITY SERVICE THROUGH A PHASE-IN OF    | ) | INTERVENOR'S - E |
| RATES, (2) APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES OF  | ) | EXHIBIT NO.      |
| RATES AND CHARGES, AND NEW AND REVISED   | ) | 624-21 AT        |
| RIDERS, (3) APPROVAL OF A NEW TAX        | ) | DATE REPORTER    |
| SAVINGS CREDIT RIDER, (4) APPROVAL OF    | ) |                  |
| VECTREN SOUTH'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY        | ) |                  |
| PORTFOLIO OF PROGRAMS AND AUTHORITY      | ) |                  |
| TO EXTEND PETITIONER'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY | ) |                  |
| RIDER ("EER"), INCLUDING THE DECOUPLING  | ) | CAUSE NO. 45447  |
| MECHANISM EFFECTUATED THROUGH THE        | ) |                  |
| EER, (5) APPROVAL OF REVISED             | ) |                  |
| DEPRECIATION RATES APPLICABLE TO GAS     | ) |                  |
| AND COMMON PLANT IN SERVICE, (6)         | ) |                  |
| APPROVAL OF NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE    | ) |                  |
| ACCOUNTING RELIEF, AND (7) APPROVAL OF   | ) |                  |
| AN ALTERNATIVE REGULATORY PLAN           | ) |                  |
| PURSUANT TO WHICH VECTREN SOUTH          | ) |                  |
| WOULD CONTINUE ITS CUSTOMER BILL         | ) |                  |
| ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.                     | ) |                  |

## JUNE 24, 2021 SETTLEMENT HEARING DIRECT ENERGY EXHIBIT 1

### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

| VERIFIED PETITION OF SOUTHERN INDIANA    | ) |                 |
|--|---|-----------------|
| GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A VECTREN   | ) |                 |
| ENERGY DELIVERY OF INDIANA, INC.         | ) |                 |
| ("VECTREN SOUTH") FOR (1) AUTHORITY TO   | ) |                 |
| MODIFY ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR GAS     | ) |                 |
| UTILITY SERVICE THROUGH A PHASE-IN OF    | ) |                 |
| RATES, (2) APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES OF  | ) |                 |
| RATES AND CHARGES, AND NEW AND REVISED   | ) |                 |
| RIDERS, (3) APPROVAL OF A NEW TAX        | ) |                 |
| SAVINGS CREDIT RIDER, (4) APPROVAL OF    | ) |                 |
| VECTREN SOUTH'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY        | ) |                 |
| PORTFOLIO OF PROGRAMS AND AUTHORITY      | ) |                 |
| TO EXTEND PETITIONER'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY | ) |                 |
| RIDER ("EER"), INCLUDING THE DECOUPLING  | ) | CAUSE NO. 45447 |
| MECHANISM EFFECTUATED THROUGH THE        | ) |                 |
| EER, (5) APPROVAL OF REVISED             | ) |                 |
| DEPRECIATION RATES APPLICABLE TO GAS     | ) |                 |
| AND COMMON PLANT IN SERVICE, (6)         | ) |                 |
| APPROVAL OF NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE    | ) |                 |
| ACCOUNTING RELIEF, AND (7) APPROVAL OF   | ) |                 |
| AN ALTERNATIVE REGULATORY PLAN           | ) |                 |
| PURSUANT TO WHICH VECTREN SOUTH          | ) |                 |
| WOULD CONTINUE ITS CUSTOMER BILL         | ) |                 |
| ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.                     | ) |                 |

### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN MEHLING ON BEHALF OF DIRECT ENERGY BUSINESS MARKETING, LLC

### **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN MEHLING**

| 1  | Q: | Please state your name, occupation, business address, and on whose behalf you are         |  |  |  |
|----|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2  |    | testifying.   |  |  |  |
| 3  | A: | My name is John Mehling. I am a Senior Regional Operations Manager at Direct Energy       |  |  |  |
| 4  |    | Business Marketing, LLC ("Direct Energy"). My business address is 300 N. Meridian         |  |  |  |
| 5  |    | St., Suite 1220, Indianapolis, IN 46204. I am testifying on behalf of Direct Energy.      |  |  |  |
| 6  | Q: | Please explain the job responsibilities and duties in your current position.              |  |  |  |
| 7  | A: | I am responsible for managing Direct Energy's Midcontinent regional pricing and           |  |  |  |
| 8  |    | scheduling team. I am responsible for ensuring that all scheduling functions (i.e.,       |  |  |  |
| 9  |    | forecasting, nominating, volume balancing, pool reconciliation, transportation invoice    |  |  |  |
| 10 |    | approval, etc.) take place in an accurate and timely manner. I manage a scheduling team   |  |  |  |
| 11 |    | that provides around-the-clock support, as required, to monitor Direct Energy's positions |  |  |  |
| 12 |    | with utilities and customers. I am also responsible for developing and maintaining a      |  |  |  |
| 13 |    | competitive pricing structure for all of Direct Energy's Midcontinent markets, including  |  |  |  |
| 14 |    | the Chicago markets. I manage a pricing team that produces timely competitive pricing     |  |  |  |
| 15 |    | while taking into account all supply costs and balancing costs. As Senior Regional        |  |  |  |
| 16 |    | Operations Manager, my functions also include strategic growth planning in conjunction    |  |  |  |
| 17 |    | with our Sales & Trading teams. I also work closely with the utilities in the region I    |  |  |  |
| 18 |    | cover, maintaining relationships with 23 local distributions companies, including Nicor   |  |  |  |
| 19 |    | Gas.  |  |  |  |

| 20 | Q: | Please describe your educational background and relevant work experience through              |
|----|----|---|
| 21 |    | your current role at Direct Energy.   |
| 22 | A: | I have 23 years of experience in the energy industry. In 1997, I began my career with         |
| 23 |    | LG&E Energy Marketing as an Energy Coordinator scheduling pipelines and local                 |
| 24 |    | distribution companies, as well as some power scheduling. Due to LG&E Energy                  |
| 25 |    | Marketing's decision to exit the unregulated natural gas marketing business, I joined         |
| 26 |    | Sigcorp Energy Services, LLC as a Gas Trader/Scheduler in 1999. My responsibilities           |
| 27 |    | included trading and scheduling various Midwest pipelines and markets. In 2002,               |
| 28 |    | Sigcorp Energy Services, LLC and ProLiance Energy LLC were merged by their parent             |
| 29 |    | companies. While at ProLiance Energy, I held the positions of Gas Trader, Director of         |
| 30 |    | Commercial & Industrial ("C&I"), Retail Services and ultimately, Director of Gas              |
| 31 |    | Operations. In my role as the Director of Gas Operations at ProLiance Energy, LLC, I          |
| 32 |    | was responsible for the Pipeline Scheduling group, the C&I Services group, and the            |
| 33 |    | Utility Services group. In this position, I oversaw the scheduling, balancing, reconciling,   |
| 34 |    | billing, and regulatory and tariff review of all wholesale and retail natural gas activity. I |
| 35 |    | was also actively involved in a collaborative team that handled the structuring of asset      |
| 36 |    | management transactions and the procurement of capacity to manage the C&I portfolio.          |
| 37 |    | In 2012, I left ProLiance Energy to join Hess Energy Marketing as the Senior Regional         |
| 38 |    | Operations Manager of the Midcontinent region. Hess Energy Marketing was acquired             |
| 39 |    | by Direct Energy in November 2014, and I maintained the same role at Direct Energy.           |
| 40 |    | As the Senior Regional Operations Manager, I am responsible for all retail operations         |
| 41 |    | related to this office. My primary oversight is related to the scheduling, balancing, and     |

| 42 |    | pricing of all activity related to industrial, commercial & residential load portfolios. I |
|----|----|--|
| 43 |    | have 23 years' experience related to industrial and commercial gas operations and over     |
| 44 |    | 10 years' experience related to the management of small commercial and residential gas     |
| 45 |    | operations. I hold a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Business Administration from               |
| 46 |    | Bellarmine University in Louisville, Kentucky, and a Masters Degree in Business            |
| 47 |    | Administration from the University of Louisville.  |
| 48 | Q: | Have you ever testified before a regulatory agency?  |
| 49 | A: | Yes. I have testified in the following cases:  |
| 50 |    | • Kentucky PSC case 2012-00222, involving Louisville Gas & Electric's rate case.           |
| 51 |    | • Michigan PSC case U-18424, involving Consumers Energy (on behalf of RESA)                |
| 52 |    | • Michigan PSC case U-18999, involving DTE Energy (on behalf of RESA)                      |
| 53 |    | • Illinois Commerce Commission case Docket No. 20-0606, involving Nicor Gas                |
| 54 |    | (on behalf of ICEA/RESA)   |
| 55 | Q: | What is the purpose of your testimony?   |
| 56 | A: | The purpose of my testimony is to explain Direct Energy's proposed changes to Southern     |
| 57 |    | Indiana Gas and Electric Company D/B/A Vectren Energy Delivery Company of Indiana,         |
| 58 |    | Inc.'s ("Vectren South") tariff.   |
| 59 | Q: | Are there any attachments to your testimony?   |
| 60 | A: | Yes. Direct Energy Ex. 1.1 includes the data request responses I reference in my           |
| 61 |    | testimony.   |
| 62 | Q: | What are your recommendations in this docket?  |

63 A: Direct Energy recommends that Vectren South lower the volumetric threshold to qualify 64 for Vectren South's Rate 145 and therefore opens the market more for end users to have 65 the opportunity to have a choice of suppliers. Today, their only option is to buy from 66 Vectren South. Direct Energy likewise recommends that Vectren South eliminate its 67 nomination error charge. The charge is unnecessary and serves little purpose other than 68 penalizing minor and unintentional errors, resulting in increased costs that are ultimately 69 passed on to end users. 70 Q: What is the basis for your recommendation regarding Vectren South's volumetric 71 thresholds? 72 A: The volumetric thresholds to qualify for Rate 145 arbitrarily limit supply options for end 73 users. The goal of a deregulated natural gas market like Indiana's is to foster an open and 74 competitive supplier marketplace. However, the volumetric thresholds in Vectren 75 South's tariff act as a barrier to competition. Currently, Vectren South's tariff requires 76 that customers use 5000 dekatherms annually to qualify for Rate 145. Lowering this 77 annual threshold to 2500 dekatherms annually would make an additional 123 accounts 78 eligible for Rate 145. See Ex. 1-1, at 1. Lowering the volumetric threshold from 5000 79 dekatherms annually to 2500 dekatherms annually would create more opportunities for 80 end use customers to lower their costs. 81 Q: Are there any alternatives to lowering the threshold that achieve the same result? 82 A: An alternative method by which to achieve the same goal would be to allow end use 83 customers with multiple locations under common ownership (i.e. big box stores) to 84 aggregate the usage on all accounts to meet the current volumetric threshold for Rate 145. Currently, 1,386 Vectren South commercial and industrial customers have more than one account. See Ex. 1-1; at 2. Of these accounts, 48 commercial and industrial customers would surpass the 5000 dekatherm threshold when aggregated under the common account owner. See Ex. 1-1; at 3. Such a change is less advantageous for Vectren South and for end users, as the administrative burdens associated with establishing Rate 145 eligibility would be greater and fewer end use customers would benefit. The more effective and less burdensome change is to simply lower the annual volumetric thresholds for Rate 145 eligibility in Vectren South's tariff from 5000 dekatherms to 2500 dekatherms.

### Q: Why should the volumetric thresholds be changed?

As noted above, the purpose of a deregulated market is to provide end use customers with options in obtaining service. Such options foster greater competition among suppliers, thereby lowering costs for end use customers and ensuring the provision of safe and reliable service at just and reasonable rates. Vectren South's arbitrary volumetric thresholds are unreasonable and lead to unnecessary increases in cost. Vectren already allows schools and governmental entities, regardless of size, to transport under Rate 125. Several similarly located local distribution companies ("LDCs"), such as Citizens Gas, Northern Indiana Public Service Company, and Ameren Illinois, do not have the same minimum thresholds as Vectren South. Several Direct Energy customers inquire about Rate 145 only to be informed they do not qualify due to the volumetric thresholds in Vectren South's tariff. Therefore, Vectren South's volumetric thresholds for Rate 145 eligibility should be lowered from 5000 dekatherms to 2500 dekatherms. Alternatively,

A:

| 107 |    | customers with multiple accounts should be allowed to aggregate their usage in order to  |
|-----|----|--|
| 108 |    | meet current eligibility standards.  |
| 109 | Q: | What is the basis for your recommendations regarding Vectren South's nomination          |
| 110 |    | error charge?  |
| 111 | A: | The nomination error charge is often assessed for unintentional human error and is       |
| 112 |    | unnecessarily punitive. Suppliers such as Direct Energy already have the incentive to    |
| 113 |    | ensure that its nominations are entered correctly, because Vectren South has daily       |
| 114 |    | cashouts for penalties when a supplier is outside of Vectren South's tolerances. As with |
| 115 |    | Vectren South's volumetric thresholds, no other similarly-situated LDCs in the Midwest   |
| 116 |    | impose a similar charge. In 2019, Vectren South collected \$16,803 in nomination error   |
| 117 |    | charges. See Ex. 1-1; at 4. In 2020, Vectren South collected \$4,634 in nomination error |
| 118 |    | charges. See Ex. 1-1; at 4. These charges are unnecessarily punitive, and much like the  |
| 119 |    | volumetric thresholds for Rate 145, increase costs for Direct Energy. These increased    |
| 120 |    | costs diminish Direct Energy's ability to compete in the natural gas market, and         |
| 121 |    | ultimately drive up costs for end users.   |
| 122 | Q: | Does this conclude your testimony?   |
| 123 | A: | Yes, it does.  |
|     |    |  |

124

### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

| VERIFIED PETITION OF SOUTHERN INDIANA    | ) |                 |
|--|---|-----------------|
| GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A VECTREN   | ) |                 |
| ENERGY DELIVERY OF INDIANA, INC.         | ) |                 |
| ("VECTREN SOUTH") FOR (1) AUTHORITY TO   | ) |                 |
| MODIFY ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR GAS     | ) |                 |
| UTILITY SERVICE THROUGH A PHASE-IN OF    | ) |                 |
| RATES, (2) APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES OF  | ) |                 |
| RATES AND CHARGES, AND NEW AND REVISED   | ) |                 |
| RIDERS, (3) APPROVAL OF A NEW TAX        | ) |                 |
| SAVINGS CREDIT RIDER, (4) APPROVAL OF    | ) |                 |
| VECTREN SOUTH'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY        | ) |                 |
| PORTFOLIO OF PROGRAMS AND AUTHORITY      | ) |                 |
| TO EXTEND PETITIONER'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY | ) |                 |
| RIDER ("EER"), INCLUDING THE DECOUPLING  | ) | CAUSE NO. 45447 |
| MECHANISM EFFECTUATED THROUGH THE        | ) |                 |
| EER, (5) APPROVAL OF REVISED             | ) |                 |
| DEPRECIATION RATES APPLICABLE TO GAS     | ) |                 |
| AND COMMON PLANT IN SERVICE, (6)         | ) |                 |
| APPROVAL OF NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE    | ) |                 |
| ACCOUNTING RELIEF, AND (7) APPROVAL OF   | ) |                 |
| AN ALTERNATIVE REGULATORY PLAN           | ) |                 |
| PURSUANT TO WHICH VECTREN SOUTH          | ) |                 |
| WOULD CONTINUE ITS CUSTOMER BILL         | ) |                 |
| ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.                     | ) |                 |
|  |   |                 |

### AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN MEHLING

I, John Mehling, certify that the direct testimony, related exhibits, and responses to information requests, on behalf of Direct Energy Business Marketing, LLC, filed in the above-captioned docket which bear my name, were prepared by me or under my supervision and are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury this 19th day of February, 2021.

| /s/John Mehling                                  |
|--|
| John Mehling, Senior Regional Operations Manager |
| Direct Energy Rusiness Marketing LLC             |

### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

| VERIFIED PETITION OF SOUTHERN INDIANA    | ) |                 |
|--|---|-----------------|
| GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A VECTREN   | ) |                 |
| ENERGY DELIVERY OF INDIANA, INC.         | ) |                 |
| ("VECTREN SOUTH") FOR (1) AUTHORITY TO   | ) |                 |
| MODIFY ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR GAS     | ) |                 |
| UTILITY SERVICE THROUGH A PHASE-IN OF    | ) |                 |
| RATES, (2) APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES OF  | ) |                 |
| RATES AND CHARGES, AND NEW AND REVISED   | ) |                 |
| RIDERS, (3) APPROVAL OF A NEW TAX        | ) |                 |
| SAVINGS CREDIT RIDER, (4) APPROVAL OF    | ) |                 |
| VECTREN SOUTH'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY        | ) |                 |
| PORTFOLIO OF PROGRAMS AND AUTHORITY      | ) |                 |
| TO EXTEND PETITIONER'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY | ) |                 |
| RIDER ("EER"), INCLUDING THE DECOUPLING  | ) | CAUSE NO. 45447 |
| MECHANISM EFFECTUATED THROUGH THE        | ) |                 |
| EER, (5) APPROVAL OF REVISED             | ) |                 |
| DEPRECIATION RATES APPLICABLE TO GAS     | ) |                 |
| AND COMMON PLANT IN SERVICE, (6)         | ) |                 |
| APPROVAL OF NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE    | ) |                 |
| ACCOUNTING RELIEF, AND (7) APPROVAL OF   | ) |                 |
| AN ALTERNATIVE REGULATORY PLAN           | ) |                 |
| PURSUANT TO WHICH VECTREN SOUTH          | ) |                 |
| WOULD CONTINUE ITS CUSTOMER BILL         | ) |                 |
| ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.                     | ) |                 |

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOHN MEHLING ON BEHALF OF DIRECT ENERGY BUSINESS MARKETING, LLC

### **Contents**

| Vectren South Response to Direct Energy Data Request 1-1 | 1 |
|--|---|
| Vectren South Response to Direct Energy Data Request 1-2 | 2 |
| Vectren South Response to Direct Energy Data Request 1-3 | 3 |
| Vectren South Response to Direct Energy Data Request 1-4 | 4 |

### Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company D/B/A Vectren Energy Delivery Company of Indiana, Inc.

### Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 45447 Direct Energy First Set of Data Requests

1-1. Please provide the number of commercial/industrial accounts that use between 2500-5000 McFs annually.

### **Response:**

Based on the 2019 base period in this proceeding, Vectren South had 123 commercial/industrial accounts that use between 2500-5000 MCF annually. Please note the Vectren South Gas Tariff Rate 145 applicability is in therms, not MCF.

# Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company D/B/A Vectren Energy Delivery Company of Indiana, Inc. Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 45447 Direct Energy First Set of Data Requests

1-2. Please provide the number of commercial/industrial customers that have more than one account.

### Response:

Based on the 2019 base period, there were 1,386 Vectren South commercial/industrial customers that had more than one account.

## Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company D/B/A Vectren Energy Delivery Company of Indiana, Inc. Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 45447 Direct Energy First Set of Data Requests

1-3. When the usage of those commercial/industrial customers with more than one account is aggregated under the common account owner, how many surpass the 5000 McF threshold to transport?

### Response:

During the 2019 base period, for those commercial/industrial customers that have more than one account, there are 48 Vectren South customers that surpass the 5000 McF threshold when aggregated under the common account owner. Please note the Vectren South Gas Tariff Rate 145 applicability is in therms, not MCF.

# Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company D/B/A Vectren Energy Delivery Company of Indiana, Inc. Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 45447 Direct Energy First Set of Data Requests

1-4. How much is collected annually under the nomination error charge?

### Response:

In 2019, there was \$16,803 collected in the nomination error charges for Vectren South. In 2020, there was \$4,634 collected in the nomination error charges for Vectren South.