STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

VERIFIED PETITION OF INDIANA GAS COMPANY, INC. D/B/A VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF INDIANA, INC. ("VECTREN NORTH") FOR (1) **MODIFY ITS AUTHORITY** TO **RATES** CHARGES FOR GAS UTILITY SERVICE THROUGH A PHASE-IN OF RATES, (2) APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES OF RATES AND CHARGES, AND NEW AND REVISED RIDERS, (3) APPROVAL OF A NEW TAX SAVINGS CREDIT RIDER, (4) APPROVAL OF VECTREN **NORTH'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY** PORTFOLIO OF PROGRAMS AND AUTHORITY TO EXTEND PETITIONER'S ENERGY EFFICIENCY RIDER ("EER"), INCLUDING THE DECOUPLING MECHANISM EFFECTUATED THROUGH THE EER, (5) APPROVAL OF REVISED DEPRECIATION RATES APPLICABLE TO GAS PLANT IN SERVICE, (6) APPROVAL OF NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE ACCOUNTING RELIEF, AND (7) APPROVAL OF AN ALTERNATIVE REGULATORY PLAN PURSUANT TO WHICH VECTREN NORTH WOULD CONTINUE ITS CUSTOMER BILL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.

FILED
March 31, 2021
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CAUSE NO. 45468

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR'S

PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 1 – TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS MARK H. GROSSKOPF

With the current requirement that all staff work from home, signatures for affirmations are not available at this time.

March 31, 2021

Respectfully submitted, Forraire Hitz-Brodley

Loraine Hitz-Bradley Attorney No. 18006-29

Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA GAS COMPANY, INC. D/B/A VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF INDIANA, INC. CAUSE NO. 45468 TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS MARK H. GROSSKOPF

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.					
2	A:	My name is Mark H. Grosskopf, and my business address is 115 W. Washington					
3		Street, Suite 1500 South, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204.					
4	Q:	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?					
5	A:	I am employed by the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC")					
6		as a Senior Utility Analyst. For a summary of my educational and professional					
7		experience and my preparation for this case, please see Appendix MHG-1					
8		attached to my testimony.					
9	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?					
10	A:	I address certain elements in Indiana Gas Company, Inc. d/b/a Vectren Energy					
11		Delivery of Indiana, Inc.'s ("Vectren North" or "Petitioner") request for a rate					
12		increase through a phase-in of rates utilizing a forward-looking test year. I					
13		sponsor and discuss Vectren North's revenue requirements, rate base,					
14		depreciation expense, certain operating and maintenance ("O&M") expenses,					
15		Compliance and System Improvement Adjustment ("CSIA") regulatory assets,					
16		taxes other than income tax, and state and federal income taxes. I discuss Vectren					
17		North's proposed Phase 1 and Phase 2 revenue requirement updates as part of the					
18		process involving a forward-looking test year. I also sponsor accounting					
19		schedules to support the OUCC's recommended pro forma adjustments,					

incorporating recommendations and pro forma adjustments of other OUCC witnesses, and implementing the OUCC's recommended cost of equity and capital structure. The accounting schedules I prepared incorporate all adjustments 4 used to calculate the OUCC's recommended total pro forma revenue requirements and the resulting recommended rate decrease. For a summary of the OUCC's Index of Issues, please see Appendix MHG-2 attached to my testimony.

OUCC WITNESS INTRODUCTION

- 7 Q: Please introduce the other OUCC witnesses who are testifying in this case.
- 8 A: The following OUCC witnesses reviewed and analyzed Vectren North's rate case
- 9 and are testifying on various elements of the revenue requirements.
- 10 Ms. Yi Gao analyzed Vectren North's gas sales revenues, various O&M
- expenses, Universal Service Fund program ("USP"), and Unaccounted-for-Gas 11
- 12 ("UAFG") and bad debt included in the Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA")
- 13 mechanism. She recommends changes to Vectren North's pro forma revenue,
- 14 various O&M expenses, modifications to the USP, and UAFG included in the
- 15 GCA mechanism.
- 16 Ms. Angela Griffith analyzed various O&M expense adjustments, CSIA program
- 17 expenses, property tax, and Vectren North's proposed Tax Savings Credit Rider
- 18 ("TSCR"). She recommends changes to various O&M expenses, and approval of
- 19 the TSCR.

1

2

3

5

6

- 20 Ms. Cinthia Sabillon analyzed various O&M expenses, Vectren North's Energy
- 21 Efficiency program, decoupling, cost recovery tariff rider, and components of the
- 22 capital structure. She recommends adjustments to various O&M expenses,
- 23 changes in the capital structure, approval of the Energy Efficiency program
- 24 extension, and an amendment to Tariff Sheet No. 57.
- 25 Mr. Leja Courter analyzed Vectren North's proposed 10.15% cost of equity to
- 26 be used in the weighted cost of capital calculation. Mr. Courter recommends the Commission adopt the OUCC's proposed cost of equity of 9.2% based on his 27
- 28 Distributed Cash Flow ("DCF") and Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM")
- 29 analyses, to be used in the weighted cost of capital calculation. Mr. Courter also
- 30 addresses Vectren North's rate case expense and residential customer billing.

1 2		Mr. David Garrett offers his analysis and assessment of Vectren North's depreciation study, and the resulting depreciation rates.
3 4 5		Mr. Brien Krieger discusses his analysis of Vectren North's cost of service study and rate design, including Vectren North's proposed increased fixed monthly customer charges. He also reviews various proposed tariff changes.
		III. REVENUE REQUIREMENT SCHEDULES
6 7	Q:	Does the OUCC agree with Vectren North's proposed pro forma increase in revenue from existing rates?
8	A:	No. Vectren North requests a rate increase of 3.38% over gross revenues, to
9		increase its annual revenue by \$20,759,200. The OUCC's review supports a
10		decrease in Vectren North's pro forma revenue requirement of \$(26,907,739),
11		resulting in a decrease in gross revenues of 4.38%.
12 13	Q:	What attachments and schedules do you sponsor showing the pertinent calculations related to your testimony?
14	A:	I sponsor the following attachments and schedules:
15		Attachment MHG-1: OUCC Revenue Requirement Schedules
16 17 18		• Schedule 1: Comparison of Petitioner's and the OUCC's Revenue Requirements, Gross Revenue Conversion Factor, and Comparison of the Statement of Operating Income Adjustments.
19		• Schedule 2: Vectren North Gas' Income Statement.
20		• Schedule 3: Vectren North Gas' Consolidated Balance Sheet.
21 22		• Schedule 4: OUCC's Pro Forma Net Operating Income Statement.
23		• Schedule 5: OUCC's Pro Forma Revenue Adjustments.
24		• Schedule 6: OUCC's Pro Forma Expense Adjustments.
25 26		• Schedule 7: OUCC's Calculation of Pro Forma Rate Base on December 31, 2021.

- Schedule 8: OUCC's Pro Forma Capital Structure on December 31, 2021.
- Schedule 9: Current and Proposed Monthly Service Charges.

3 Q: Do your revenue requirement schedules reflect Vectren North's use of a forward-looking test year?

A: Yes. Vectren North is using the year beginning January 1, 2021 and ending December 31, 2021 as the forward-looking test year. Vectren North used actual amounts for rate base items for the base period ending December 31, 2019, and applied adjustments for the 2020 and 2021 budget periods. For revenues and expenses, Vectren North's test year starts with the 2021 budget. The forward test year ending December 31, 2021 is reflected as the "Test Year Unadjusted" in the first numerical column of my Attachment MHG-1, Schedule 4, Pro Forma Net Operating Income Statement. Pro forma adjustments are applied to the Test Year Unadjusted income statement, for the period ending December 31, 2021, to derive pro forma operating revenue, expenses, and net income at present rates.

Q: Please describe the schedules in Attachment MHG-1.

A: Page 1 of Schedule 1 summarizes the main components of the revenue requirements, incorporating the OUCC's adjustments to Vectren North's proposed revenue requirements, resulting in the calculation of the OUCC's recommended revenue decrease. Pages 2 and 3 of Schedule 1 compare each parties' calculation of the revenue conversion factor, and a comparison of Vectren North's and the OUCC's proposed operating income adjustments at present rates. Page 2 of Schedule 1 also includes a calculation to gross up bad debt, IURC fees, and taxes based on the OUCC's proposed revenue decrease. Schedule 2 is Vectren North's budgeted unadjusted income statement for the test year ending

December 31, 2021. Schedule 3 is Vectren North's consolidated balance sheet, before adjustments for the rate case, for the budgeted test year ending December 31, 2021. Schedule 4 is the Pro Forma Net Operating Income Statement reflecting a summary of all pro forma revenue and expense adjustments proposed by the OUCC. The OUCC's proposed adjustments yield revised pro forma revenue, operating expenses, and net operating income, resulting in a revised proposed rate adjustment. Schedule 5 shows the OUCC's adjustments to Vectren North's proposed revenues. Schedule 6 shows the OUCC's adjustments to Vectren North's proposed expenses, including pro forma present rate taxes other than income taxes, and pro forma present rate federal and state income taxes. Schedule 7 shows the OUCC's calculation of Vectren North's budgeted original cost rate base on December 31, 2021. Schedule 8 reflects the OUCC's calculation of Vectren North's budgeted capital structure on December 31, 2021, including a revised cost of equity. Schedule 9 shows a comparison of Petitioner's current and proposed monthly service charges, and the OUCC's proposed monthly service charges.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

IV. PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

17 Q: Are you sponsoring all adjustments shown on Schedule 4 of Attachment MHG-1?

19 A: No. Schedule 4 reflects all the OUCC's operating income and expense adjustments. I am sponsoring the depreciation, IURC fee, taxes other than income, and the state income tax and federal income tax adjustments. Details of my proposed adjustments are shown on Schedule 6. Other operating income and

	expense adjustments on Schedule 4 reflect the net result of adjustments sponsored
	by OUCC witnesses Yi Gao, Angela Griffith, Cinthia Sabillon, and Leja Courter.
	The details of Ms. Gao's adjustments are shown on Public's Exhibit No. 2,
	Attachments YG-1-9. The details of Ms. Griffith's adjustments are shown on
	Public's Exhibit No. 3, Attachments AJG-1-5. The details of Ms. Sabillon's
	adjustments are shown on Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachments CJS-1-13. The
	details of the expense adjustments sponsored by Mr. Courter are included with
	Ms. Gao's adjustments.
Q:	Please identify the adjustments included in Vectren North's revenue requirements the OUCC is not disputing.
A:	The OUCC is not disputing many of the adjustments to revenue and expenses
	proposed by Vectren North. In the schedule reference column of Attachment
	MHG-1, Schedule 4, a designation of "Pet." indicates the OUCC does not dispute
	the adjustment proposed by Petitioner, i.e., Vectren North. Adjustments sponsored
	by OUCC witnesses show a schedule reference of Schedule 5 or Schedule 6,
	contained within Attachment MHG-1, Schedule 4.
Q:	Please discuss your adjustment to the public utility fee and the Indiana utility receipts tax.
A:	I do not dispute Vectren North's methodology in calculating either the public
	utility fee or the Indiana utility receipts tax. The changes to Vectren North's
	public utility fee and Indiana utility receipts tax calculations reflected in my
	schedules are a result of changes in pro forma revenues sponsored by OUCC
	witness Gao.
	A: Q:

- 1 Q: Please discuss your adjustment to state and federal income taxes.
- 2 A: I do not dispute Vectren North's methodology in calculating the pro forma federal
- and state income tax adjustments based on pro forma present rates. All changes to
- 4 Vectren North's federal and state income tax calculations are a result of changes
- 5 to other pro forma proposed revenue requirements.

V. RATE BASE

A. Forward Test Year

6 Q: Has Vectren North included a forward test year rate base in its revenue 7 requirements? 8 A: Yes. Vectren North's actual rate base as of December 31, 2019 was forecasted to 9 December 31, 2021 using the company's formal budget process to forecast the 10 ending balance for each element of its total rate base. At this point, the rate base is 11 an amount derived from budgeted additions and retirements resulting in a forward 12 test year budget estimate. I have reviewed the final balances from Vectren North's 13 historic base period, and I analyzed the budgeted additions and retirements 14 activity. Does the December 31, 2021 forward test year rate base represent the final 15 Q: amount to be used in the revenue requirements? 16 17 No. Vectren North has requested its rate base be updated to actual in two phases. A: 18 Vectren North proposes a June 30, 2021 cut-off date for Phase 1 where rate base 19 and the capital structure will be updated, and new rates set accordingly prior to 20 the end of the forward test year. The compliance filing for Phase 1 will occur after 21 the final order is issued in this Cause. Vectren North proposes a subsequent Phase 2 cut-off date of December 31, 2021 to:

(1) update to the actual rate base and capital structure as of the end of the test year, and (2) update the full test year revenue requirement for actual results for calendar year 2021, with depreciation expense annualized based on December 31, 2021 plant in-service balances. To do this, Vectren North will perform a full comprehensive update to the revenue requirement and the related schedules based on actuals. (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2, page 11, lines 19-24.)

Vectren North's proposed rate base in this Cause will ultimately be updated to reflect actual rate base on June 30, 2021, and again at the end of the forward test year, December 31, 2021. The components of Vectren North's proposed rate base include utility plant in-service adjusted for accumulated depreciation, acquisition adjustment net of amortization, gas in underground storage, utility materials and supplies, liquified petroleum gas, prepaid gas delivery, and post-in-service carrying costs for the bare steel/cast iron replacement program and CSIA.

18 Q: Will utility plant assets currently tracked in Vectren North's CSIA cost recovery mechanism affect rate base in this Cause?

A: Yes. The accumulation of investments approved in Vectren North's CSIA filings, Cause No 44430, will be captured in rate base through the Phase 1 and 2 update compliance filings. Vectren North's final CSIA filing is expected to be filed on April 1, 2021. Vectren North summarized the transition from CSIA to rate base in response to the OUCC's data request ("DR") 5.6, which states: "[t]he Company's forward-looking test year of 2021 will capture investments within rate base following the end of the Company's authorized 7-year TDSIC plan which ends December 31, 2020. The accumulation of project costs associated with the 7-year

TDSIC plan will cease upon implementation of the base rate Order." (OUCC DR 5.6, Attachment MHG-7.)

B. Intangible Asset Replacements

3 Q: Are you recommending adjustments to Vectren North's proposed rate base?

4 A: Yes. Vectren North's witness Myerson discusses information technology ("IT")
5 systems planned for upgrade or replacement:

I have identified the technology systems that were planned for upgrade or replacement in Attachment JSM-1. These software systems were either not supported by the vendor or faced other technical obsolescence challenges. Vectren planned to invest in replacing or upgrading this technology infrastructure before the merger. Indeed, Vectren's financial forecasts projected investing approximately \$400 million over the next ten years. Replacement of this technology with systems that are common among the CenterPoint footprint brought the efficiencies and benefits of CenterPoint utilizing one system across its footprint without duplicate costs. (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 7, page 12, lines 9-16.)

My analysis identifies the IT assets included in rate base that will be replaced in the near future, and the value of these assets on Vectren North's books. Through discovery, I was able to determine the net book value of IT assets likely to be replaced before the end of the forward test year or soon thereafter.

Vectren North has good lists of the IT assets it plans to replace but lacked a decisive timeline as to when specific technology would be replaced when this Cause was filed. As shown on Petitioner's Exhibit No. 7, Attachment JSM-1 and provided again with Vectren North's response to OUCC DR 5.2(a), the original timing for replacement or upgrade of specified IT assets was during the years 2018 to 2020. (OUCC DR 5.2, Attachment MHG-3, pages 1-2.) However, as

evidenced by the Intangible Asset Pushdown schedule provided by Vectren North in response to OUCC DR 5.2(d), these same IT assets are now being evaluated for potential retirement in 2022. (*Id.* at 3.) In addition to the IT assets being evaluated for retirement in 2022, Vectren North also identified two asset groupings not expected to see future investments.

Q: What is your assessment of the IT assets identified by Vectren North in OUCC DR 5.2?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A:

IT assets identified for retirement in 2022 should not be included in rate base as of the future test year ending on December 31, 2021. As indicated in Mr. Myerson's testimony, Vectren North plans to retire certain IT assets to be replaced by systems common at CenterPoint by "utilizing one system across its footprint without duplicate costs." (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 7, page 12, lines 15-16.) Duplication of these assets in rate base should not be permitted. Vectren North's decision to wait to switch to CenterPoint's systems after the future test year does not change the fact these assets will not be used and useful for most of the life of the rates approved in this Cause. A similar reasoning applies to the two asset groupings not expected to see future investments. The decision to withhold future investment in these IT assets indicates these programs are not worth the cost to maintain them, and are nearing obsolescence with limited future usefulness. These assets should also not be included in rate base. The retirement and replacement of these IT assets is known and measurable, and Vectren North's delay in deciding the fate of these assets until after the future test year should not be an excuse to avoid the fact these assets will not be used and useful for most of the life of the rates approved in this Cause.

1 Q: Is the cost of the replacement IT included in Vectren North's rate base? 2 A: Yes. Vectren North has already accounted for the cost of replacement IT. Witness 3 Bell addresses the addition of Vectren North's allocated share of replacement IT 4 assets in her testimony where she states: 5 The gross asset addition to the projected rate base for IT-related investments represents the Vectren North allocated share of the 6 7 investment necessary to replace end-of-life systems and to 8 harmonize the Vectren systems with CenterPoint. The IT-related 9 investment was captured and approved as part of the 2020 and 10 2021 budgets, but it was not allocated to the individual utilities at 11 the completion of the budget process. The calculation of the 12 allocations are shown on Worksheets WPB-2.1b1 and WPB-2.1c 13 in Petitioner's Exhibit No. 18. (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2, page 20, 14 lines 12-18.) 15 Q: What is the amount of your IT adjustment to rate base? 16 A: I totaled the IT assets identified for replacement and assets receiving no future 17 investment as shown in the response to OUCC DR 5.2. The total net value for IT I 18 deducted from rate base is \$17,659,667. (OUCC DR 5.2, Attachment MHG-3, 19 page 3.) In addition, depreciation expense was adjusted for the removal of IT 20 assets using the average rate for the Intangible Asset class. (Attachment MHG-2.) C. Acquisition Adjustment 21 Q: Are you recommending other adjustments to Vectren North's proposed rate 22 base? 23 Yes. Vectren North's witness Bell discusses components included in rate base on A: 24 page 25 of her testimony. On lines 5-9, she specifically identifies acquisition 25 adjustments for Westport Natural Gas Company and Terre Haute / Richmond Gas 26 Corporation plant-in-service and amortization approved in Cause Nos. 38302 and 27 38918. Westport Natural Gas Company is fully amortized, so the net acquisition

1 adjustment of \$4,486,622 included in rate base represents Terre Haute / 2 Richmond Gas Corporation, which was initially included as part of rate base in a 3 settlement agreement approved in Cause No. 38918. (Exhibit No. 18, Workpaper 4 WPB-4.1.) I removed the acquisition adjustment plant-in-service and amortization 5 from rate base in this Cause, resulting in a net reduction of \$4,486,622. 6 Q: Why have you removed the acquisition adjustment from rate base in this 7 Cause? 8 The acquisition adjustment was specifically addressed in the Settlement A: 9 Agreement approved in Cause No. 38918. The terms of the Settlement Agreement 10 in Cause No. 38918 specifically state: 11 It shall be incumbent upon the Combined Company to bear the 12 burden of proof and propose the continuance of the ratemaking 13 treatment for the Acquisition Adjustment in the next general rate 14 proceeding, or any proceeding investigating the reasonableness of 15 the Combined Company's basic rates and charges initiated two (2) years or more after the effective date of the uniform rates ... The 16 Settlement Agreement will in no way prejudice the right of any 17 18 party to propose a different ratemaking treatment with respect to 19 the Acquisition Adjustment in the Combined Company's next 20 general rate proceeding, or in any proceeding regarding the reasonableness of the Combined Company's revenue distribution, 21 22 rate design or basic rates and charges... (Attachment MHG-4, 23 pages 2-3.) 24 Petitioner bears the burden of proving it is still appropriate to include the 25 acquisition adjustment in rate base, and Petitioner has not done so in this Cause. 26 In response to OUCC DR 8.8, Petitioner cites the original settlement agreement and subsequent rate cases as authority to continue rate base treatment for the 27

acquisition adjustment. (OUCC DR 8.8, Attachment MHG-4, page 4.) In effect,

Petitioner's answer indicates the acquisition adjustment has always been included

in rate base so therefore, it is justified. This is not a justification for continuation

28

29

30

2 Petitioner's burden of proof has not been met in this Cause. 3 Q: In which rate cases has the acquisition adjustment been included as part of 4 rate base? In addition to the original Cause No. 38918, the acquisition adjustment was 5 A: 6 included in rate base in Cause No. 42598 approved on November 30, 2004, and in 7 Cause No. 43298 approved on February 13, 2008. Each of these rate cases were 8 resolved through approval of a settlement agreement. Page 2, item 2 of the 9 Stipulation and Settlement Agreement in Cause No. 42598 states "[t]he agreed 10 upon rate increase reflects the following original cost rate base, cost of capital and 11 financial results which the Parties agree are reasonable for purposes of 12 compromise and settlement." Page 3 of the Settlement Agreement in Cause No. 13 43298 contains the same language, as well as the following: 14 This Agreement shall not constitute nor be cited as precedent by 15 any person or deemed an admission by any Party in any other proceeding except if necessary to enforce its terms before the 16 17 Commission, or any state court of competent jurisdiction on these 18 particular issues. This Agreement is solely the result of 19 compromise in the settlement process and except as provided 20 herein, is without prejudice to and shall not constitute a waiver of 21 any position that any of the Parties may take with respect to any or 22 all of the items resolved herein or in any future regulatory or other 23 proceedings. 24 In each case where the acquisition adjustment was included in rate base, it was the 25 result of compromise and settlement, and Petitioner agreed in its last rate case that 26 it would not be considered as precedent. Compromise and settlement in prior 27 cases is not sufficient justification for inclusion in the current case.

of the acquisition adjustment and no other evidence has been provided, so

1

VI. <u>DEPRECIATION EXPENSE</u>

1 2	Q:	Is the OUCC proposing any changes to the depreciation expense rates proposed by Vectren North?			
3	A:	Yes. OUCC witness Garrett conducted an analysis and provides an assessment in			
4		his testimony of Vectren North's depreciation study. Mr. Garrett is recommending			
5		a revision to Vectren North's proposed depreciation rates. These revised rates are			
6		used in my depreciation expense calculation shown on my Attachment MHG-2.			
7		The resulting depreciation expense is incorporated in my adjustment calculation			
8		shown on Schedule 6 of Attachment MHG-1, including adjustments to CSIA			
9		depreciation and amortization, and bare steel/cast iron program amortization			
10		adjustments sponsored by OUCC witness Griffith.			
11 12	Q:	Is the OUCC's proposed depreciation expense representative of the amounts to be included in revenue requirements?			
13	A:	Yes, the depreciation expense proposed by the OUCC is representative of the			
14		utility plant in service proposed by the OUCC on Schedule 7 of Attachment			
15		MHG-1. However, as discussed later in my testimony, depreciation expense will			
16		be updated to coincide with the actual utility plant in service balance as of			
17		December 31, 2021 in Phase 2 of Vectren North's compliance filing.			
		VII. O&M EXPENSE			

18	Q:	Have you made an adjustment to Vectren North's O&M expenses?		
19	A:	Yes. My responsibility for the review of Vectren North's rate base in this Cause		
20		made me aware of the removal of assets from rate base associated with the		
21		extension of service to an industrial customer. As discussed by Vectren North's		
22		witness Bell, gross plant representing the extension of a main to a single customer		

has been removed from plant-in-service. The customer entered into a contract whereby the full value of the main is paid by the customer over the life of the asset. Therefore, the asset was removed from rate base to avoid costs being allocated to other customers. In addition, the associated revenues for this customer were removed from the test year. (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2, page 23, lines 2-11.) Were O&M expenses associated with the operation and maintenance of this main removed from the test year? No. Vectren North's response to OUCC discovery indicates expenses associated with operation and maintenance of the line were not removed from the test year. (OUCC DR 5.5, Attachment MHG-5.) Since the asset and revenues associated with this customer main are removed from the revenue requirements in this Cause, the O&M expenses associated with the main should also be removed from the revenue requirement. Vectren North estimated the annual O&M costs to be approximately \$295,000. (Id.) I therefore removed \$295,000 in O&M expense from Vectren North's Transmission Expense, Maintenance of Mains (FERC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

A:

Account 863).

Q:

A:

VIII. TAXES

18 Q: Please discuss your conclusions for Vectren North's adjustments to taxes.

Schedule 6 of the revenue requirement schedules attached to my testimony as Attachment MHG-1 includes calculations representing Vectren North's methodology in calculating the public utility fee, the Indiana utility receipts tax, and state and federal income taxes. The changes to these fees and taxes calculated

in Schedule 6 are a result of changes in pro forma revenues and expenses sponsored by OUCC witnesses. These fees and taxes will change as a result of new net operating income resulting from updates in Vectren North's December 31, 2021 Phase 2 compliance filing.

IX. 2021 PHASE-IN RATE UPDATES

5 Q: Please explain the phase-in rate process proposed in this Cause.

A:

Upon issuance of an order in this Cause, Vectren North proposes to update its rates in two phases. In Phase 1, rates would be updated to include actual rate base and capital structure as of June 30, 2021. In Phase 2, Vectren North proposes to update rates to include actual rate base and capital structure, and to update the full revenue requirement for actual results for the test year ending December 31, 2021, with depreciation expense annualized based on December 31, 2021 plant inservice balances. To do this, Vectren North would perform a full update to the revenue requirements and related schedules based on actual 2021 results. (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2, page 11, lines 17-24.) Vectren North offers the uncertainty associated with the COVID-19 pandemic as justification for a full update to all revenue requirements for the year ending December 31, 2021.

17 Q: Does Vectren North propose any limits to the revenue requirements update in Phase 2?

A: Yes. Vectren North proposes to cap the net total original cost rate base and total O&M expenses at the levels found in the Commission Order. Revenue and billing determinants would be adjusted based completely on actuals. The resulting revenue requirements would be the basis for Phase 2 rates. (Petitioner's Exhibit

1 No. 2, page 13, lines 22-26 to page 14, lines 1-4.)

A:

Q: Is Vectren North's proposed Phase 2 rate update accepted practice in Indiana's general rate proceedings using a forward test year?
 A: No. I am not aware of any rate cases using a future test year in Indiana where the

utility was allowed to update anything other than rate base, capital structure, depreciation, and taxes as of the cut-off date for the rate base update. Vectren North has not provided evidence of any utility allowed to update the entire revenue requirements at the end of a forward test year. Vectren North responded to OUCC DR 1.8 with all known Indiana utilities that have filed future test year cases. (OUCC DR 1.8, Attachment MHG-6.) None of the utilities listed updated anything other than rate base, capital structure, depreciation, and taxes. Depreciation is updated because it is tied directly to rate base, and taxes have been updated because they are tied directly to the change in income generated from new updated rates. Changes to revenues and all O&M expenses have not been allowed. Updating the entire revenue requirements goes against the very premise of a future test year. Updating the entire revenue requirements to historical data once that data is known is the equivalent of a filing using a historical test year.

Q: In what ways could Vectren North's update to revenues and expenses at the end of 2021 affect total revenue requirements and rates?

It is difficult to say without knowing the actual outcome in advance, but the changes in revenue requirements could be significant. Vectren North has offered to cap O&M expenses at levels found in the Commission's Order but has offered no floor for revenues. Changes in revenues have a larger effect on rates than

changes to expenses. Vectren North is correct in its assessment that the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic are uncertain. But an update to rates based on 2021 data could mean rates would be set based on one anomalous year. The financial effects of COVID-19 may hit 2021 the hardest. However, as the COVID-19 vaccines make their way through the population in the coming months, 2021 may be the worst year financially and hopefully economic activity improves in the years ahead. The utility is compensated for business risk through its return on equity, and if rates prove to be insufficient in the years to come, Vectren North has the remedy of filing another rate case.

Q:

A:

Do you have procedural concerns for a total revenue requirement update?

Yes. Given that rate base and the capital structure have a significant effect on rates, including the effects of depreciation expense and the flow through effects of taxes, it is important for the OUCC and the Commission to have an opportunity to review Vectren North's final updated amounts. To facilitate a comprehensive review of Vectren North's updated rate base and capital structure, I agree with Vectren North's proposed period of sixty (60) days to review the updated compliance filing. However, filing an update to the entire revenue requirement schedules would be like reviewing a full rate case in an expedited time frame. The OUCC will need to evaluate each revenue and expense account again to determine if actual amounts are reasonable and should be included in base rates. Additionally, Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company ("Vectren South") will be on the same review schedule and the Commission and OUCC would have two rate cases to review in an expedited time frame.

The OUCC is typically allotted around ninety-eight (98) days to review and file testimony in a rate case using a forward-looking test year. For update filings following a future test year, the OUCC has been allowed sixty (60) days to review rate base and capital structure updates. Therefore, if a full revenue requirement update is authorized, additional time for review is a reasonable expectation. Couple the Vectren North update review under this Cause with a Vectren South update review under Cause No. 45447 during the same time period, and the additional workload for the OUCC and the Commission is doubled. Petitioner's extraordinary request for expanded relief in an expedited time frame deprives the OUCC and other parties of appropriate due process. For these reasons, should the Commission grant Vectren North the right to update all of its revenue requirements the OUCC requests one hundred-twenty (120) days from the time Vectren North files its Phase 2 update to review and respond to the Phase 2 filing. Given that Vectren North's revised rates in the Phase 2 filing will be effective at the time of the filing, subject to refund, Petitioner assumes no risk by allowing the OUCC one hundred twenty (120) days to review and respond to the Phase 2 Compliance filing. What is your recommendation regarding Vectren North's proposed rate update at test year ending December 31, 2021? Vectren North has based its revenue requirements on forecasted budgets, including updates and increases to forecasted revenues and expenses. The OUCC has reviewed and analyzed these forecasted revenues and expenses, and incorporating our recommended adjustments, the budgeted revenue requirements appear to be representative of future test year operations. I recommend the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

0:

A:

December 31, 2021 update to Vectren North's revenue requirements be limited to rate base, capital structure, depreciation expense, and taxes. In the alternative, should the Commission approve an update to total revenue requirements, there should be a floor on revenues at the levels found in the Commission's Order like the cap on O&M expenses found in the Commission's Order. The floor on revenues should be unique to each rate class to avoid significant shifts between rate classes based on the effects of one year, 2021, which effects will likely be temporary. Finally, all compliance filings should include all pertinent documentation supporting each element of the updated rate base, capital structure, depreciation, and taxes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

X. <u>OUCC RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

summarize vour recommendations related to 11 0: the revenue 12 requirements and resulting revenue decrease in this Cause. As shown on Schedule 1 of Attachment MHG-1, the OUCC's adjustments to 13 A: revenue, operating expenses, and taxes result in a revenue percentage decrease of 14 15 4.38%, for a total recommended revenue decrease of (\$26,907,739). The resulting pro forma proposed revenue should be allocated to the various customer rate 16 17 classes based on the cost-of-service recommendations of OUCC witness Krieger. 18 O: Please summarize your recommendations regarding a return on rate base. 19 A: The OUCC's revenue requirements are based on an original cost rate base of 20 \$1,588,652,711. However, the rate base will ultimately be updated to reflect 21 actual rate base on December 31, 2021. The OUCC recommends the Commission 22 grant the Parties in this Cause a minimum of sixty (60) days to review Petitioner's

updated rate base and capital structure in a Compliance filing containing all pertinent documentation supporting the updated rate base. OUCC witness Courter recommends a return on common equity of 9.2%. The resulting return on original cost rate base is \$93,373,063.

What are the OUCC's other recommendations in this Cause?

A:

Q:

A:

As explained in my testimony, I recommend the updates to revenue requirements in Vectren North's December 31, 2021 Phase 2 compliance filing be limited to updates to rate base, the capital structure, depreciation expense, and taxes without updating revenues and expenses. In the alternative, if updates to revenues and expenses are allowed, with expenses capped at levels approved by the Commission in this Cause, then revenues should have as a floor, unique to each rate class, the levels also approved by the Commission in this Cause. In the event Vectren North is allowed to update the entire revenue requirements, the Parties in this Cause should be allowed a minimum of one hundred twenty (120) days to review Petitioner's updated revenue requirements in a compliance filing containing all pertinent documentation supporting the updated revenue requirements.

18 Q: Please provide a brief summary of the recommendations from other OUCC witnesses in this Cause.

- The following OUCC witnesses provided recommendations for revenue and expense adjustments supporting the revenue requirements and resulting revenue decrease described above. Most witnesses addressed other issues as well. A summary of OUCC witness recommendations is as follows:
 - Ms. Gao recommends changes to Vectren North's pro forma revenue,

2 3		various O&M expenses, including amortizations of 11 investments, COVID expenses, and rate case expenses. She also recommends approval of an extension to the USP with modifications to the USP and a modified
4		UAFG included in the GCA mechanism.
5 6		 Ms. Griffith recommends reductions to various O&M expenses and approval of Petitioner's TSCR.
7 8 9 10		 Ms. Sabillon recommends adjustments to various O&M expenses, changes to return on equity and cost-free capital in the capital structure, approval of the Energy Efficiency program extension, and an amendment to Tariff Sheet No. 57, Section 18.H.
11 12 13 14		 Mr. Courter recommends a 9.2% cost of equity. He also recommends a sharing of rate case expense between utility customers and shareholders, and recommends itemizing residential customer bills for increased transparency.
15 16 17 18		 Mr. Garrett recommends calculating depreciation expense under the Average Life Group procedure. He also recommends adjustments to the proposed service lives for several of Vectren North's utility plant accounts.
19 20 21 22		 Mr. Krieger recommends changes to Vectren North's proposed cost of service study, including changes to allocation methodologies. He proposes a reduction of Vectren North's proposed \$21.50 residential customer charge to a \$12.00 monthly customer charge.
23	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?
24	A:	Yes.

APPENDIX MHG-1 TO TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS MARK H. GROSSKOPF

1	Q:	riease describe your educational background and experience.
2	A:	I graduated from Indiana University in May 1980, receiving a Bachelor of
3		Science degree in business with a major in accounting. I worked in auditing and
4		accounting positions at various companies from 1980 to 1995. I joined the OUCC
5		in April of 1995 and have worked as a member of the OUCC's Natural Gas
6		Division since June of 1999. I became a Certified Public Accountant in November
7		of 1998. I also completed both weeks of the National Association of Regulatory
8		Utility Commissioners Annual Regulatory Studies program at Michigan State
9		University. I completed an additional week of the Advanced Regulatory Studies
10		Program hosted by the Institute of Public Utilities Regulatory Research and
11		Education at Michigan State University.
12	Q:	Have you previously testified before the Commission?
13	A:	Yes, I have testified as an accounting witness in various causes involving water,
14		wastewater, electric, and gas utilities, including but not limited to, base rate cases,
15		7-Year Plans, Transmission, Distribution, and Storage System Improvement
16		Charge ("TDSIC") tracker cases, Federally Mandated Cost Adjustment
17		Mechanism ("FMCA") tracker cases, pipeline safety adjustment cases, energy
18		efficiency and revenue decoupling cases, and GCA cases.
19 20	Q:	Please describe the review and analysis you conducted to prepare your testimony.
21	A:	I analyzed Petitioner's testimony and exhibits, workpapers, and other supporting
22		documentation. I analyzed Petitioner's responses to discovery requests from the
23		OUCC and intervenor groups. I attended numerous meetings with OUCC staff

1	members to identify and address issues in this Cause. Also, as it relates to this
2	Cause, I have experience with a forward-looking test year rate case through my
3	analysis, testimony, and review of compliance filings for phased-in rates in
4	NIPSCO's Cause No. 44988. Additionally, I conducted analysis and testified in
5	Vectren South's forward-looking test year rate case in Cause No. 45447.

Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor Cause No. 45468 Index of Issues, Requests, and Supporting Witnesses¹

Subject	GENERAL	Supporting Witness	
Test Year	Twelve Months Ended December	Public's Exhibit No. 1: Mark	
	31, 2021	Grosskopf	
Historical Base Period	Twelve Months Ended December	Public's Exhibit No. 1: Mark	
	31, 2019	Grosskopf	

REVENUE REQUIREMENT				
Subject	OUCC Request	Supporting Witness	Workpaper or Exhibit Reference	
Overall Revenue Increase/(Decrease)	• Total annual decrease in revenue of approximately (\$26,907,739), or (4.38%) to be phased in over 2 steps.	Mark Grosskopf	Public's Exhibit No. 1, Attachment MHG-1	
Financial Forecast	 Set rates based on the OUCC's adjustments to Petitioner's Test Year financial forecast. Reflect forecasted revenues, O&M, and capital investments in rates 	 Mark Grosskopf (O&M, capital investments) Yi Gao (Forecasted revenues, O&M) Angela Griffith (O&M) Cinthia Sabillon (O&M) 	 Public's Exhibit No. 1, Attachment MHG-1 Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachments YG-1 to YG-9 Public's Exhibit No. 3, Attachments AJG-1 to AJG-5 Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachments CJS-1 to CJS-13 	
Return on Equity (ROE)	• Authorize 9.20% ROE	 Mark Grosskopf Cinthia Sabillon Leja Courter	 Public's Exhibit No. 1, Attachment MHG-1 Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-15 Public's Exhibit No. 5, Attachment LDC-5 	
Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC)	• Authorize WACC of 5.88% applied to forecasted rate base.	Mark Grosskopf Cinthia Sabillon	 Public's Exhibit No. 1, Attachment MHG-1 Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-15 	

¹ This Index of the OUCC's case-in-chief is intended to highlight issues and is *not an exhaustive list* of the OUCC's requests in this proceeding. A complete account of the OUCC's requested relief can be found in the OUCC's case-in-chief, including but not limited to its testimony and attachments.

REVENUE REQUIREMENT				
Subject	OUCC Request	Supporting Witness	Workpaper or Exhibit Reference	
Depreciation	• Set new depreciation rates and reflect the resulting depreciation expense in base rates based on the OUCC's changes to Petitioner's depreciation study	 Mark Grosskopf (Depreciation Expense) Angela Griffith (Amortization Expense) David Garrett (Depreciation Rates and Expense) 	 Public's Exhibit No. 1, Attachment MHG-1 to MHG-2 Public's Exhibit No. 3, Attachment AJG-1 Public's Exhibit No. 6, Attachment DJG-2 to DJG-4 	
Taxes	 Reflect forecasted test year tax expense in base rates Apply gross revenue conversion factor (GRCF) 	Mark Grosskopf	• Public's Exhibit No. 1, Attachment MHG-1	
Forecasted Rate Base	• Reflect forecasted capital projects in rate base	Mark Grosskopf	Public's Exhibit No. 1, Attachment MHG-1	
Inclusion of Unaccounted For Gas in GCA	Change percentage cap included in the GCA	• Yi Gao	• Public's Exhibit No. 2	

COST OF SERVICE AND RATE DESIGN					
Subject	OUCC Proposal	Supporting Witness	Workpaper or Exhibit Reference		
Class Cost of Service Study (COSS)	• Changes to Petitioner's proposed allocation methodologies.	Brien Krieger	• Public's Exhibit No. 7		
Overall Rate Design	• Changes to Petitioner's proposed monthly residential customer service charge.	Brien Krieger	• Public's Exhibit No. 7		
Rider Proposals	• Approval of Petitioner's proposed Tax Savings Credit Rider ("TSCR")	Angela Griffith	• Public's Exhibit No. 3		
Rider Proposals	• Approval of Petitioner's proposed Energy Efficiency extension and Riders	Cinthia Sabillon	• Public's Exhibit No. 4		

	COST OF SERVICE	AND RATE DESIGN	J				
Subject OUCC Proposal		Supporting Witness	Workpaper or Exhibit Reference				
Rider Proposals • Approval of Petitioner's proposed Universal Service Program extension, with modifications		• Yi Gao	• Public's Exhibit No. 2				
Terms and Conditions of Service and Tariffs	 Changes to Petitioner's General Terms and Conditions Relating to Customer Deposits Approval of Petitioner's proposed changes to its tariff, as proposed by Petitioner's witness Tieken 	 Cinthia Sabillon (Customer Deposits) Brien Krieger (Changes to tariff as proposed by Petitioner's witness Tieken) 	 Public's Exhibit No. 4 Public's Exhibit No. 7 				

Comparison of Applicant's and OUCC's Revenue Requirements

	Per Petitioner	Per OUCC	Sch Ref	OUCC More (Less)
Original Cost Rate Base	\$1,610,799,000	\$1,588,652,711	7	\$ (22,146,289)
Times: Weighted Cost of Capital	6.32%	5.88%	8	-0.44%
Net Operating Income Required for	101,802,497	93,373,063		(8,429,434)
Return on Original Cost Rate Base				
Less: Adjusted Net Operating Income	86,520,318	113,181,571	4	26,661,253
Net Revenue Increase Required	15,282,179	(19,808,508)		(35,090,687)
Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	135.8393%	135.8393%	1	0.0000%
Recommended Revenue Decrease	\$ 20,759,200	\$ (26,907,739)		\$ (47,666,939)
Revenue Percentage Decrease	3.38%	-4.38%		-7.76%

Gross Revenue Conversion Factor

		Per	Per	
		Petitioner	OUCC	
1	Gross Revenue Change	100.0000%	100.0000%	\$ (26,907,739)
2	Less: Bad Debt Rate	0.420000%	0.420000%	(113,013)
3	Sub-total	99.5800%	99.5800%	
4	Less: IURC Fee	0.127400%	0.127365%	(34,271)
5	Income Before State Income Taxes	99.452600%	99.452635%	
6	Less: State Income Tax (4.9% of Line 5)	4.8732%	4.873179%	(1,311,262)
7	Utility Receipts Tax (1.4% of Line 3)	1.3941%	1.394120%	(375,126)
8	Income Before Federal Income Taxes	93.185300%	93.185336%	
9	Less: Federal Income Tax (21% of Line 8)	19.5689%	19.568921%	(5,265,553)
10	Change in Operating Income	73.616400%	73.616415%	\$ (19,808,514)
11	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	135.8393%	135.8393%	

Comparison of Net Operating Income Statement Adjustments Pro Forma Present Rates

	Petitioner's Pro Forma Adjustments	OUCC's Pro Forma Adjustments	Difference Increase/ (Decrease)			
REVENUE						
Retail Revenue						
Residential	\$ (13,845,030)	\$ (13,845,030)	\$ -			
Commercial/Industrial	(4,694,496)	(4,694,496)	-			
Transported Gas Revenue	(7,397,737)	(7,281,812)	115,925			
Total Retail Revenue	(25,937,263)	(25,821,338)	(115,925)			
Other Revenue						
Forfeited Discounts	65,186	135,729	70,543			
Misc. Service Revenue	-	-	-			
Total Other Revenue	65,186	135,729	70,543			
Total Revenue	\$ (25,872,077)	\$ (25,685,609)	\$ 186,468			
OPERATING EXPENSES						
Manufactured Gas Production						
Total Operation	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -			
Total Maintenance		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Total Stored Gas Expenses	\$ -	\$ -				
Stored Gas Expenses						
Total Operation	\$ (14,905)	\$ (568,847)	\$ (553,942)			
Total Maintenance	(10,426)	(389,048)	(378,622)			
Total Stored Gas Expenses	(25,331)	(957,895)	(932,564)			
Transmission Expenses						
Total Operation	(149,284)	(2,235,482)	(2,086,198)			
Total Maintenance	(40,068)	(1,056,437)	(1,016,369)			
Total Transmission Expenses	(189,352)	(3,291,919)	(3,102,567)			
Distribution Expenses						
Total Operation	(97,872)	(11,427,881)	(11,330,009)			
Total Maintenance	1,150,265	(814,016)	(1,964,281)			
Total Distribution Expenses	1,052,393	(12,241,897)	(13,294,290)			
Customer Accounts Expense						
Total Customer Accounts Expense	(905,316)	(1,369,737)	(464,421)			
Customer Service and Information Expense						
Total Customer Service and Information Exp.	(261,673)	(261,673)				
		-				

Reconciliation of Net Operating Income Statement Adjustments Pro Forma Present Rates

	Petitioner's Pro Forma Adjustments	OUCC's Pro Forma Adjustments	Difference Increase/ (Decrease)
Sales Expense Total Sales Expense			
Administrative and General Expenses	_		
Total Operation Expenses	(9,178,629)	(12,213,549)	(3,034,920)
Total Maintenance Expense	-	-	-
Total Administrative and General Expenses	(9,178,629)	(12,213,549)	(3,034,920)
Other Gas Supply Expense			
Total Other Gas Supply Expense	15,565	15,565	
Total Operating Expense	\$ (9,492,343)	\$ (30,321,105)	\$ (20,828,762)
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION I Total Depreciation and Amortization Expense		\$ (10,349,230)	\$ (14,585,365)
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME			
Property Taxes	\$ 656,579	\$ 656,579	\$ -
Revenue Taxes	(353,629)	(351,030)	2,599
State Income Taxes	(2,550,793)	(789,998)	1,760,795
Total Taxes Other than Income Taxes	\$ (2,247,843)	\$ (484,449)	\$ 1,763,394
FEDERAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE			
Federal Income Taxes	\$ (9,645,850)	\$ (2,469,898)	\$ 7,175,952
Deferred Income Taxes	2,288,469	2,288,469	-
Total Federal Income Tax Expense	\$ (7,357,381)	\$ (181,429)	\$ 7,175,952
Total Operating Expense	\$ (14,861,432)	\$ (41,336,213)	\$ -
Net Operating Income	\$ (11,010,645)	\$ 15,650,604	\$ 26,661,249

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET As of December 31, 2021 (,000's)

ASSETS

Current Assets:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	40
Accounts Receivable, less reserves		41,174
Accrued Unbilled Revenues		50,785
Inventories		20,826
Recoverable Fuel & Natural Gas Costs		4,508
Short Term Intercompany Notes Receivable		-
Prepayments & Other Current Assets		23,715
Total Current Assets		141,049
Utility Plant:		
Utility Plant in Service at original cost	\$ 3	3,167,499
Less: Accumulated Depreciation & Amortization		1,213,124)
Net Utility Plant in Service		1,954,375
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates	\$	-
Other Investments		9,373
Non-Utility Property - net		-
Goodwill - net		-
Regulatory Assets		184,734
Other Assets		20,878
Total Other Assets		214,984
		210.400
Total Assets	\$ 2	2,310,408

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET As of December 31, 2021 (,000's)

LIABILITIES and SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current Liabilities:	
Accounts Payable	\$ 63,025
Accounts Payable to Affiliated Companies	-
Accrued Liabilities	81,245
Short-Term Borrowings	102,205
Current Maturities of Long-Term Debt	-
Long-Term Debt Subject to Tender	-
Other Current Liabilities	 246,475
Deferred Income Taxes and Other Liabilities:	
Deferred Income Taxes	\$ 169,983
Regulatory Liabilities	420,571
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities	78,499
Total Deferred Income Taxes and Other Liabilities	 669,052
Minority Interest in Subsidiary:	\$ -
Long-Term Debt - Net:	\$ 584,876
Preferred Shareholder's Equity:	\$ -
Common Shareholder's Equity:	
Common Stock	\$ 449,536
Retained Earnings	360,469
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	-
Total Common Shareholder's Equity	 810,004
Total Liabilities	\$ 2,310,408

INCOME STATEMENT Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2021 (,000's)

(,000 8)		
Operating Revenues:		
Total Operating Revenues	\$	639,764
Loggi Cost of Cos Sold		255 522
Less: Cost of Gas Sold		255,532
Gas Utility Operating Margin	_	384,232
Operating Expenses:		
Operating & Maintenance - Labor		22,396
Operating & Maintenance - Non-Labor		24,125
Asset Charge		17,331
Corporate Allocations - Labor and Non-Labor		44,520
Total Operation & Maintenance		108,371
Indiana Bad Debt		3,041
indiana Dad Deot		3,071
Total Operation & Maintenance before pass-thru expenses		111,412
Pass-thru Operation & Maintenance		32,554
1		
Total Operation & Maintenance Expense		143,966
Depreciation Expense		107,601
Property Taxes		12,297
Revenue Taxes		8,912
Total Operating Expenses		272,775
		111 455
Operating Income:		111,457
Other Income (Expense):		
AFUDC - Total		2,918
Interest Income		10
Interest Expense		(28,934)
Other Income		1,868
Total Other Income (Expense)		(24,137)
· (— T)		(- :,201)
Income Before Taxes:		87,319
Less: Income Taxes		13,926
Net Income	\$	73,394

Pro Forma Net Operating Income Statement

		Test Year Unadjusted		Pro Forma Adjustments	Sch Ref		ro Forma at resent Rates		Increase / (Decrease)	Sch Ref		ro Forma at oposed Rates
REVENUE Retail Revenue												
	e	425 800 240	6	(12.945.020)	D-4	•	411.064.210	•	(21.045.25()		e	200 010 062
Residential	\$	425,809,349	\$	(13,845,030)	Pet.	\$	411,964,319	\$	(21,045,256)		\$	390,919,063
Commercial/Industrial		151,859,114 57,020,180		(4,694,496)	Pet. 5-1		147,164,618 49,738,368		(6,326,011) 463,528			140,838,607
Transported Gas Revenue Total Retail Revenue		634,688,643		(7,281,812)	3-1		608,867,305		(26,907,739)	1		50,201,896 581,959,566
Other Revenue		034,000,043		(23,621,336)			000,007,303		(20,907,739)	1		381,939,300
Forfeited Discounts		3,499,295		135,729	5-2		3,635,024					3,635,024
Misc. Service Revenue		1,575,675		155,727	5-2		1,575,675					1,575,675
Total Other Revenue		5,074,970		135,729			5,210,699		_			5,210,699
Total Revenue	\$	639,763,613	\$	(25,685,609)		\$	614,078,004	\$	(26,907,739)		\$	587,170,265
OPERATING EXPENSES												
Manufactured Gas Production												
Operation:												
Other Power Expenses	\$	5				\$	5				\$	5
Liquified Petroleum Gas Expense		68,413					68,413					68,413
Miscellaneous Production Expenses		18,715					18,715					18,715
Total Operation	_	87,133		-			87,133		-			87,133
Maintenance:												
Maint. of Structures and Improvements		16,253					16,253					16,253
Maint. of Production Equipment		234,309					234,309					234,309
Total Maintenance		250,562		-			250,562		-			250,562
Total Manufactured Gas Production Expenses		337,695		-			337,695		-			337,695
Stored Gas Expenses Operation:												
Operation: Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$	1,385,947	2	(404,439)	6-2(a)	\$	981,508				\$	981,508
Maps and Records	Ψ	6,157	Ψ	(404,437)	0-2(a)	Ψ	6,157				Ψ	6,157
Wells Expenses		811,810		(6,390)	Pet.		805,420					805,420
Lines Expenses		107,927		(0,550)	1 00.		107,927					107,927
Compressor Station Expenses		148,264					148,264					148,264
Compressor Station Fuel & Power		2					2					2
Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		485					485					485
Purification Expenses		483,949		(158,018)	6-2(b)		325,931					325,931
Rents		172,875					172,875					172,875
Total Operation		3,117,418		(568,847)			2,548,569		-			2,548,569
Maintenance:												
Maint. of Structures and Improvements		56,110					56,110					56,110
Maint. Of Wells		182,664		(17)	Pet.		182,647					182,647
Maint. of Lines		200,407		(99,499)	6-2(c)		100,908					100,908
Maint. of Compressor Station Equipment		577,966		(289,532)	6-2(d)		288,434					288,434
Maint. Of Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		508					508					508
Maint. of Purification Equipment Total Maintenance		370,913 1,388,568		(389,048)			370,913 999,520					370,913 999,520
Total Stored Gas Expenses	_	4,505,986		(957,895)			3,548,089		-			3,548,089
Transmission Expenses												
Operation:												
Operation Supervision and Engineering		4,348,471		(1,471,025)	6-2(e)		2,877,446					2,877,446
System Control and Load Dispatching		147,335					147,335					147,335
Compressor Station Labor and Expenses		5,423					5,423					5,423
Mains Expenses		4,445,684		(103,466)	Pet.		4,342,218					4,342,218
Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		1,247,206		(660,991)	6-2(f)		586,215					586,215
Rents	_	115,675		(2.225.425)			115,675					115,675
Total Operation		10,309,794		(2,235,482)			8,074,312		-			8,074,312
Maintenance:		140.070		(120.004)	(2()		0.066					0.066
Maint. of Structures and Improvements		140,060		(130,994)			9,066					9,066
Maint of Massuring and Regulating Station Expenses		1,431,207		(558,153)			873,054					873,054 295,425
Maint. of Measuring and Regulating Station Expenses		662,715		(367,290)	0-2(1)		295,425					295,425
Maint. of Other Equipment Total Maintenance	_	1,985 2,235,967		(1,056,437)			1,985 1,179,530		-			1,985 1,179,530
Total Transmission Expenses		12,545,761		(3,291,919)			9,253,842		<u>-</u>			9,253,842
Tom. Transmission Expenses	_	12,575,701		(5,271,719)			7,233,072					7,203,072

Pro Forma Net Operating Income Statement

	Test Year	Pro Forma	Sch	Pro Forma at		Sch	Pro Forma at
Distribution Expenses	Unadjusted	Adjustments	Ref	Present Rates	(Decrease)	Ref	Proposed Rates
Operation:							
Operation Supervision and Engineering	9,565,551	(4,333,344)	6-2(j)	5,232,207			5,232,207
Mains and Services Expenses	17,852,967	(4,627,904)	97	13,225,063			13,225,063
Meas. and Regulating Station Expenses - General	1,571,261			833,972			833,972
		(737,289)	0-2(1)				
Removing and Resetting Meters	4,513,549	(222 207)	(2()	4,513,549			4,513,549
Customer Installation Expenses	3,899,025	(232,207)		3,666,818			3,666,818
Other Expenses	8,809,036	(1,497,137)	6-2(n)	7,311,899			7,311,899
Rents	44,978	(11 405 001)		44,978			44,978
Total Operation	46,256,368	(11,427,881)		34,828,486			34,828,486
Maintenance:							
Maint. Supervision and Engineering	1,217,571	(271,218)	6-2(o)	946,353			946,353
Maint. of Structures and Improvements	671,144			671,144			671,144
Maint. of Mains	4,299,293	(156,535)	6-2(p)	4,142,758			4,142,758
Maint. of Compressor Station Equipment	689			689			689
Maint. Of Meas. and Regulating Station Expenses - General	175,120			175,120			175,120
Maintenance of Services	1,512,802			1,512,802			1,512,802
Maintenance of Meters and House Regulators	551,317	(386,263)	6-2(q)	165,054			165,054
Maintenance of Other Equipment	512,975			512,975			512,975
Total Maintenance	8,940,911	(814,016)		8,126,895	-		8,126,895
Total Distribution Expenses	55,197,279	(12,241,897)		42,955,381	-		42,955,381
Customer Accounts Expense							
Operation:							
Supervision	313,968			313,968			313,968
Meter Reading Expenses	2,235,325	(284,106)	6-2(r)	1,951,219			1,951,219
Customers Billing and Accounting	6,808,602	, , ,	()	6,808,602			6,808,602
Uncollectible Accounts	3,040,669	(1,085,631)	6-2(s)	1,955,038	(113,013)	1	1,842,025
Miscellaneous Customer Accounts Expenses	817,270	() / /	. ()	817,270	(- / /		817,270
Total Customer Accounts Expense	13,215,835	(1,369,737)		11,846,097	(113,013)		11,733,084
Customer Service and Information Expense							
Operation;							
Customer Assistance Expenses	215,765			215,765			215,765
Informational & Instructional Advertising	49,000			49,000			49,000
Misc. Customer Service & Informational Expenses	119,320			119,320			119,320
Demonstration and Selling Expenses	459,085			459,085			459,085
All Other	5,707,743	(261,673)	Pet.	5,446,070			5,446,070
Total Customer Service and Information Expense	6,550,913	(261,673)	ret.	6,289,240	-		6,289,240
Color Evnonce							
Sales Expense							
Operation:	25.005			25.005			25.000
Total Miscellaneous Sales Expenses	35,996			35,996			35,996
Total Sales Expense	35,996	-		35,996	-		35,996

Pro Forma Net Operating Income Statement

		Test Year Jnadjusted		Pro Forma Adjustments	Sch Ref		Pro Forma at Present Rates		Increase / (Decrease)	Sch Ref		ro Forma at oposed Rates
Administrative and General Expenses												
Operation:												
Administrative and General Salaries		17,883,679		(327,464)	6-2(t)		17,556,215					17,556,215
Office Supplies and Expenses		8,810,318		(2,194,982)	6-2(u)		6,615,336					6,615,336
Administrative Expenses Transferred - Company		(2,211,000)					(2,211,000)					(2,211,000)
Outside Services Employed		3,466,372		(91,939)	Pet.		3,374,433					3,374,433
Vectren Corporate Administrative Expenses Allocation		17,330,876		(11,461,154)	Pet.		5,869,722					5,869,722
Property Insurance		748,505					748,505					748,505
Injuries and Damages		2,026,617		1,030,586	Pet.		3,057,203					3,057,203
Employee Pensions and Benefits		19,400					19,400					19,400
Regulatory Commission Expenses		820,000		79,980	6-3		899,980		(34,271)	1		865,709
Rents		59,113					59,113					59,113
Miscellaneous General Expenses		1,814,444		751,424	6-2(v)		2,565,868					2,565,868
Total Operation Expenses		50,768,324		(12,213,549)			38,554,775		(34,271)			38,520,504
Maintenance:												
Maintenance of General Plant		808,308					808,308					808,308
Total Maintenance Expense		808,308		-			808,308		-			808,308
Total Administrative and General Expenses		51,576,631		(12,213,549)			39,363,083		(34,271)			39,328,812
Other Gas Supply Expense Purchased Gas Expense:		255 521 552		15.565	ъ.		255 547 110					255 547 110
Natural Gas City Gate Purchases		255,531,553		15,565	Pet.		255,547,118					255,547,118
Total Other Gas Supply Expense	e	255,531,553 399,497,649	S	15,565 (30,321,105)		\$	255,547,118 369,176,541	\$	(147,284)		\$	255,547,118 369,029,257
Total Operating Expense	<u> </u>	399,497,049	Þ	(30,321,103)		Þ	309,170,341	Þ	(147,264)		Ф	309,029,237
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPENSE												
	•	107 (00 550	•	(10.240.220)	6.1	•	07.251.220				Φ.	07.251.220
Depreciation and Amortization Expense Total Depreciation and Amortization Expense	<u>\$</u>	107,600,550 107,600,550	\$ \$	(10,349,230)	6-1	\$ \$	97,251,320 97,251,320	\$			\$ \$	97,251,320 97,251,320
Total Depreciation and Amortization Expense	<u> </u>	107,000,330	Φ	(10,349,230)		Ф	97,231,320	Þ			Φ	97,231,320
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME												
Property Taxes	\$	12,296,530	\$	656,579	Pet.	\$	12,953,109				\$	12,953,109
Revenue Taxes		8,912,000		(351,030)	6-4		8,560,970		(375,126)	1		8,185,844
State Income Taxes		4,913,982		(789,998)	6-5		4,123,984		(1,311,262)	1		2,812,722
Total Taxes Other than Income Taxes	\$	26,122,512	\$	(484,449)		\$	25,638,063	\$	(1,686,388)		\$	23,951,675
FEDERAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE	•	(120 512	•	(2.4(0.900))	6.5	ď.	2 (50 (15	•	(5.265.552)		Ф	(1, (0(, 020)
Federal Income Taxes	<u>\$</u>	6,128,513 6,128,513	\$ \$	(2,469,898)	6-5	\$ \$	3,658,615 3,658,615	\$ \$	(5,265,553)	1	\$ \$	(1,606,938)
Total Federal Income Tax Expense	<u> </u>	0,128,313	Þ	(2,409,898)		Þ	3,036,013	Þ	(3,263,333)		Ф	(1,000,938)
PROVISION FOR DEFERRED INCOME TAXES (CREDIT)												
Deferred Income Taxes	\$	2,883,425	\$	2,288,469	Pet.	\$	5,171,894	•			\$	5,171,894
Total Deferred Income Taxes	\$	2,883,425	\$	2,288,469		\$	5,171,894	\$	-		\$	5,171,894
Total Federal Income Tax Expense	\$	9,011,938	\$	(181,429)		\$	8,830,509	\$	(5,265,553)		\$	3,564,956
Total Operating Expense	\$	542,232,650	\$	(41,336,213)		\$	500,896,433	\$	(7,099,225)		\$	493,797,208
Net Operating Income	\$	97,530,963	\$	15,650,604		\$	113,181,571	\$	(19,808,514)		\$	93,373,057

OUCC Revenue Adjustments

(1) Transported Gas Revenue

Transported Gas Revenue (Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachment YG-2, page 2)

Adjustment Increase (Decrease)

\$(7,281,812)

(2) **Forfeited Discounts**

Forfeited Discounts (Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachment YG-1, page 3)

Adjustment Increase (Decrease) \$ 135,729

OUCC Expense Adjustments

(1)

Depreciation / Amortization Expense

To reflect pro forma depreciation expense.

Pro Forma Depreciation Expense (Public's Exhibit No. 1, Attachment MHG-2) Less: Test Period Depreciation Expense Less: CSIA Depreciation Expense Adjustment	\$ 83,012,000 (107,600,550) (798,481)	
'Pro Forma Deprecation Expense Adjustment		\$(25,387,031)
CSIA Depreciation Expense Recovery Adjustment (Pet. Sch. C-3.4)	798,481	
CSIA Amortization Expense Recovery Adjustment (Pet. Sch. C-3.4)	49,536	
CSIA 20% Deferral Amortization Adjustment (Pet. Sch. C-3.18)	12,143,636	
CSIA Program Expense Amort. (Public's Exhibit No. 3, Attachment AJG-1, page 1)	1,080,590	
Bare Steel/Cast Iron Prog. Amort. (Public's Exhibit No. 3, Attachment AJG-1, page 1)	965,558	
Pro Forma CSIA/BS/CI Depreciation and Amortization Adjustment		15,037,801
Total Depreciation and Amortization Expense Adjustment Increase/(Decreas	e)	\$(10,349,230)

OUCC Expense Adjustments

(2) O&M Expenses

(a) Stored Gas Expense - Operation - Operation Supervision & Engineering: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachment YG-3, page 1)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (404,439)
(b) Stored Gas Expense - Operation - Purification Expense: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachment YG-4, page 1)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (158,018)
(c) Stored Gas Expense - Maintenance - Maintenance of Lines: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachment YG-5, page 1)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (99,499)
(d) Stored Gas Expense - Maintenance - Maintenance of Compressor Station Equipment: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachment YG-6, page 1)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (289,532)
(e) Transmission Expense - Operation - Operation Supervision & Engineering: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-1, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (1,471,025)
(f) Transmission Expense - Operation - Measuring & Regulating Station Expenses: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-2, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (660,991)

\$ (4,627,904)

Vectren North CAUSE NUMBER 45468

OUCC Expense Adjustments

(g) Transmission Expense - Maintenance - Maintenance of Structures and Improvements Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachments)			
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)			\$ (130,994)
(h) Transmission Expense - Maintenance - Maintenance of Mains: To adjust operation & maintenance expense to reflect removal of large customer from revenue requirements, and reflect a decrease in the proposed annual budget.			
Decrease expense to remove estimated costs to maintain large customer main. (Public's Exhibit No. 1, Attachment MHG-5)	\$	(295,000)	
Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-4, page 2)		(263,153)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)			\$ (558,153)
(i) Transmission Expense - Maintenance - Maintenance of Measuring & Regulation Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment	_		
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)			\$ (367,290)
(j) Distribution Expense - Operation - Operation Supervision & Engineering: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachmen	nt CJS	-6, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)			\$ (4,333,344)
(k) Distribution Expense - Operation - Mains & Services Expenses: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment	nt CJS	-7, page 2)	

Adjustment Increase (Decrease)

OUCC Expense Adjustments

(I) Distribution Expense - Operation - Measuring & Regulating Station Expenses-General: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-8, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (737,289)
(m) Distribution Expense - Operation - Customer Installation Expenses: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-9, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (232,207)
(n) Distribution Expense - Operation - Other Expenses: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-10, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (1,497,137)
(o) Distribution Expense - Maintenance - Maintenance Supervision & Engineering: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-11, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (271,218)
(p) Distribution Expense - Maintenance - Maintenance of Mains: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-12, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (156,535)
(q) Distribution Expense - Maintenance - Maintenance of Meters & House Regulators: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 4, Attachment CJS-13, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (386,263)

OUCC Expense Adjustments

(r) Customer Accounts Expense - Operation - Meter Reading Expense: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 3, Attachment AJG-2, page 2)	
Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (284,106)
(s) Customer Accounts Expense - Operation - Uncollectible Accounts: Increase in COVID-19 Expense (Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachment YG-7, page 3) \$ 452,748 Decrease Uncollectible Accounts (Public's Exhibit No. 3, Attachment AJG-3, page 2) (1,538,379) Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (1,085,631)
(t) Administrative & General Expense - Operation - Administrative & General Salaries: Decrease expense to adjust for unfilled vacancies. (Public's Exhibit No. 3, Attachment AJG-4, page 3) Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (327,464)
(u) Administrative & General Expense - Operation - Office Supplies and Expenses: Decrease expense to lower pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 3, Attachment AJG-5, page 2) Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ (2,194,982)
(v) Administrative & General Expense - Operation - Miscellaneous General Expenses: Increase expense to increase pro forma annual budget. (Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachment YG-9, page 1) Adjustment Increase (Decrease)	\$ 751,424

OUCC Expense Adjustments

(3) **Regulatory Expenses**

Rate Case Expenses:

Adjustment to Rate Case Expense Amortization (Public's Exhibit No. 2, Attachment YG-8, page 1)

Adjustment Increase (Decrease)

\$ 117,857

IURC Fee:

To reflect IURC fee associated with pro forma present rate operating revenues

Adjusted Present Rate Operating Revenue	\$ 614,078,004
Times: 2020-2021 IURC Fee	0.1273654%
Pro Forma IURC Fee	782,123
Less: Test Year IURC Fee	820,000

Adjustment Increase (Decrease)

(37,877)

Total Regulatory Expense Adjustment

\$ 79,980

(4)

Utility Receipts Tax

To reflect utility receipt tax (URT) associated with pro forma operating revenues

Adjusted Present Rate Operating Revenue	\$614,078,004
Less: Uncollectible Accounts Expense	2,579,128
Less: Statutory Exemption	1,000
Pro Forma Revenues Subject to URT	611,497,876
Times: Utility Receipt Tax Rate	0.0140
Pro Forma Utility Receipt Tax	8,560,970
Less: Test Year Utility Receipt Tax	8,912,000

Adjustment Increase (Decrease)

\$ (351,030)

OUCC Expense Adjustments

(5) Income Tax Expense

To reflect pro forma income tax expense.

	Federal	State
Operating Revenue	\$ 614,078,004	\$614,078,004
O&M Expenses	369,176,541	369,176,541
Depreciation Expense	97,251,320	97,251,320
Property Tax	12,953,109	12,953,109
Other Taxes	8,560,970	8,560,970
State Income Tax	4,123,984	
Subtotal	122,012,080	126,136,064
Less: Synchronized interest	(25,922,046)	(25,922,046)
Add Back: Utility Receipt Tax	-	8,560,970
Non-Deductible Permanent Tax Differences	595,764	595,764
Excess of Tax over Book Depreciation	8,864,324	(13,256,682)
Other Reconciling Items (Per Petitioner)	(33,492,394)	(33,492,394)
State Taxable Income		62,621,676
Taxable Income	72,057,728	
Taxes Rate	21.0%	4.9%
Tax at Present Rate	15,132,123	3,068,462
Provisions for Deferred Income Tax (Pet.)	-	2,290,704
Income Taxes	15,132,123	5,359,166
Federal ITC Amortization	(3,326)	
Excess Deferred Income Tax Amortization	(8,415,000)	(1,235,182)
Consolidated Return Benefit of Interest Exp.	(3,055,182)	
Pro Forma Income Tax Expense	3,658,615	4,123,984
Less Test Period Expense	6,128,513	4,913,982
Income Tax Adjustments	\$ (2,469,898)	\$ (789,998)

Calculation of Pro Forma Original Cost Rate Base

	Per Applicant	Per OUCC	OUCC More (Less)
Utility Plant in Service at 12/31/21	\$3,199,756,245	\$3,199,756,245	\$ -
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	(1,692,249,168)	(1,692,249,168)	-
Less: Intangible Asset Retirement - Net (Attachment MHG-3)		(17,659,667)	(17,659,667)
Net Plant in Service	1,507,507,077	1,489,847,410	(17,659,667)
Construction Work in Progress Working Capital Allowance	-	- -	- -
Acquisition Adjustment - Cost	20,299,804	-	(20,299,804)
Acquisition Adjustment - Amortization	(15,813,182)		15,813,182
Net Acquisition Adjustment (Attachment MHG-4)	4,486,622	-	(4,486,622)
Add: Gas in Underground Storage (13 mo. Avg.)	22,994,347	22,994,347	-
Utility Materials & Supplies (13 mo. Avg.)	3,969,975	3,969,975	-
Liquified Petroleum Gas (13 mo. Avg.)	1,297,271	1,297,271	-
Prepaid Gas Delivery (13 mo. Avg.)	17,714,260	17,714,260	-
PISCC - BS/CI and CSIA	52,829,448	52,829,448	
Total Original Cost Rate Base	\$1,610,799,000	\$1,588,652,711	\$ (22,146,289)

Pro forma Capital Structure As of December 31, 2021

	Amount (000's)	Percent of Total	Cost	Weighted Cost
Long Term Debt	\$ 614,876	36.87%	4.36%	1.61%
Preferred Stock	-	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Common Equity	770,688	46.21%	9.20%	4.25%
Cost Free Capital	255,666	15.33%	0.00%	0.00%
Other Capital	26,672	1.59%	1.50%	0.02%
Total Capital	\$1,667,902	100.00%		5.88%
<u> </u>	Synchronized I	nterest Calculat	ion_	
Long Term Debt		36.87%	4.36%	1.61%
Customer Deposits		1.61%	1.50%	0.02%
Interest Component of ITC		0.00%	4.36%	0.00%
Total				1.63%
Total Original Cost Rate Base	;			\$1,588,652,711
Synchronized Interest Expens	e			\$ 25,922,046

Current and Proposed Monthly Service Charges

	(Current		etitioner roposed	OUCC Proposed		OUCC re (Less)
Residential Sales Service (Rate 210)							
Effective Monthly Service Charge	\$	11.25	\$	21.50	\$	12.00	\$ (9.50)
Current CSIA Charge		6.34		0.00		0.00	0.00
Total Monthly Flat Charge	\$	17.59	\$	21.50	\$	12.00	\$ (9.50)
General Sales Service and School	/Go	vernment	Tra	nsportatio	n Se	ervice	
(Rate 220/225):							
Group 1	\$	17.00	\$	18.25	\$	18.25	\$ -
Group 2		46.00		49.50		49.50	-
Group 3		93.00		100.00		100.00	-
Interruptible Sales Service							
(Rate 240):	\$	175.00	\$	175.00	\$	175.00	\$ -
Large General Transportation Se	ervi	ce					
(Rate 245):	\$	200.00	\$	205.00	\$	205.00	\$ -
Large Volume Transportation Se	rvi	ee					
(Rate 260):	\$	1,100.00	\$ 1	1,100.00	\$ 1	1,100.00	\$ -

VECTREN NORTH CAUSE NO. 45468

DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL RATES AND ACCUMULATED BALANCES BY ACCOUNTS, FUNCTIONAL CLASS OR MAJOR PROPERTY GROUP AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2021

INTANGIBLE PLANT (\$000 Omitted)

Line (A)	FERC (B-1)	(C)		Plant restment (D)	cumulated Balance (E)	Petitioner's Proposed Accrual Rate (F)	Pr Dep E	itioner's oposed reciation xpense =DxF)	OUCC Proposed Accrual Rate (K)	CC's Proposed eciation Expense (L=DxK)
1	301	601	Organization	\$ 34	\$ (49)	0.00%	\$	-	0.00%	\$ -
2	302	602	Franchise and Consents	2	(3)	0.00%		-	0.00%	=
3	303	603	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	68,060	39,410	10.00%		6,806	10.00%	6,806
4	303.2	603.2	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant - 5 Year	0	0	20.00%		0	20.00%	0
5	303.15	603.15	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant - 15 Year	16,177	4,854	6.67%		1,078	6.67%	1,078
6	303.12	603.12	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant - 12 Year	35,685	2,254	8.33%		2,974	8.33%	2,974
7	303.99	603.99	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant - Fully Depr	82,309	82,309	0.00%		-	0.00%	-
8		Total Intangible Plant Removal of Intangible Plant (OUCC Adj.)		\$ 202,267 (17,660)	\$ 128,774	5.37%	\$	10,858	5.37% 5.37%	\$ 10,858 (948)
				\$ 184,607						\$ 9,910

MANUFACTURED GAS PRODUCTION (\$000 Omitted)

									Peti	tioner's			
								Petitioner's	Pro	posed	OUCC		
					Plant	Acc	umulated	Proposed	Depi	reciation	Proposed	O	UCC's Proposed
Line	FERC	Account	Account Title	Inv	estment	E	Balance	Accrual Rate	Ex	pense	Accrual Rate	Dep	reciation Expense
(A)	(B-1)	(B-2)	(C)		(D)		(E)	(F)	(G:	=DxF)	(K)		(L=DxK)
1	304.1	604.1	Land	\$	187	\$	-	0.00%	\$	-	0.00%	\$	-
2	304.2	604.2	Land Rights		63		-	0.00%		-	0.00%		-
3	305.1	605.1	Struct & Improvements		1,353		1,140	1.09%		15	0.95%		13
4	311.1	611.1	Liquid Petroleum Gas Eq-Fully Depreciated		7,861		9,399	0.00%		-	0.00%		-
5	311.2	611.2	Liquid Petroleum Gas Eq		4,226		-	2.79%		118	2.79%		118
6			Total Manufactured Gas Production Plant	\$	13,689	\$	10,539	0.97%	\$	133	0.96%	\$	131

VECTREN NORTH CAUSE NO. 45468 DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL RATES AND ACCUMULATED BALANCES BY ACCOUNTS, FUNCTIONAL CLASS OR MAJOR PROPERTY GROUP AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2021

UNDERGROUND STORAGE PLANT (\$000 Omitted)

Line	FERC	Account			Plant restment		umulated Balance	Petitioner's Proposed Accrual Rate	Prop Depre Exp	oner's oosed ciation ense	OUCC Proposed Accrual Rate	OUCC's Proposed Depreciation Expense
(A)	(B-1)	(B-2)	(C)	Φ.	(D)	Ф	(E)	(F)		DxF)	(K)	(L=DxK)
1	350.1	650.1	Land	\$	178	\$	2	0.00%	\$	- 10	0.00%	\$ -
2	350.2	650.2	Rights-of-Way		337		125	2.84%		10	2.63%	9
3	351.2	651.2	Compressor Station Strct		694		601	0.15%		1	0.12%	1
4	351.3	651.3	Meas & Reg Station Strct		80		56	2.93%		2	2.64%	2
5	351.4	651.4	Other Structures		2,686		1,026	2.18%		59	1.83%	49
6	352	652	Wells		14,596		12,984	0.20%		29	0.17%	25
7	352.1	652.1	Storage Leaseholds & Rts		644		402	2.43%		16	2.26%	15
8	352.2	652.2	Reservoirs		1,605		1,620	0.36%		6	0.33%	5
9	352.3	652.3	Nonrecoverable Nat Gas		2,034		1,095	1.20%		24	1.11%	23
10	353	653	Lines		4,053		3,334	0.87%		35	0.67%	27
11	354	654	Compressor Station Equip-Fully Depreciated		4,712		5,400	0.00%		-	0.00%	-
12	354.1	654.1	Compressor Station Equip		-		-	2.52%		-	2.52%	-
13	355	655	Measuring & Regulating Eq		2,383		1,569	1.76%		42	1.09%	26
14	356	656	Purification Equipment		13,383		10,593	0.62%		83	0.49%	66
15			Total Underground Storage Plant	\$	47,386	\$	38,805	0.65%	\$	307	0.52%	\$ 247

VECTREN NORTH CAUSE NO. 45468

DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL RATES AND ACCUMULATED BALANCES BY ACCOUNTS, FUNCTIONAL CLASS OR MAJOR PROPERTY GROUP AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2021

TRANSMISSION PLANT (\$000 Omitted)

						Petitioner's	Petitioner's Proposed	OUCC	
				Plant	Accumulated	Proposed	Depreciation	Proposed	OUCC's Proposed
Line	FERC	Account	Account Title	Investment	Balance	Accrual Rate	Expense	Accrual Rate	Depreciation Expense
(A)	(B-1)	(B-2)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G=DxF)	(K)	(L=DxK)
1	365.1	665.1	Land and Land Rights	\$ 11,805	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
2	365.2	665.2	Rights-of-Way	11,232	2,323	1.43%	161	1.34%	151
3	366.2	666.2	Meas & Reg Station Strct	609	161	2.44%	15	2.10%	13
4	367	667	Mains	320,785	49,057	2.16%	6,929	1.71%	5,485
5	368	668	Compressor Station Equip	-	-	2.52%	-	2.52%	-
6	369	669	Meas & Reg Station Equip	64,495	13,439	3.28%	2,115	2.65%	1,709
7	370	670	Communication Equipment	63	15	6.74%	4	5.72%	4
8			Total Transmission Plant	\$ 408,989	\$ 64,995	2.26%	\$ 9,224	1.80%	\$ 7,361

VECTREN NORTH CAUSE NO. 45468 DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL RATES AND ACCUMULATED BALANCES BY ACCOUNTS, FUNCTIONAL CLASS OR MAJOR PROPERTY GROUP AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2021

DISTRIBUTION PLANT (\$000 Omitted)

Line (A)	FERC (B-1)	Account (B-2)	Account Title (C)	Plant Investment (D)	Accumulated Balance (E)	Petitioner's Proposed Accrual Rate (F)	Petitioner's Proposed Depreciation Expense (G=DxF)	OUCC Proposed Accrual Rate (K)	OUCC's Proposed Depreciation Expense (L=DxK)
1	374.1	674.1	Land	\$ 840	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
2	374.2	674.2	Land Rights	18,610	9,107	0.96%	179	0.89%	166
3	375	675	Structures & Improvements	2,651	2,278	1.10%	29	0.97%	26
4	376	676	Mains	1,198,157	524,641	1.75%	20,968	1.63%	19,530
5	377	677	Compressor Station Equip-Fully Depreciated	1,556	1,757	0.00%	-	0.00%	-
6	377.1	677.1	Compressor Station Equip	-	-	2.52%	-	2.52%	-
7	378	678	Meas & Reg Station Eq-Gen	34,383	21,838	1.98%	681	1.73%	595
8	379	679	Meas & Reg Station Eq-Cit	10,710	9,810	1.62%	174	1.38%	148
9	380	680	Services	845,356	642,666	4.76%	40,239	3.96%	33,476
10	381	681	Meters	116,788	32,239	4.49%	5,244	3.61%	4,216
11	382	682	Meter Installations	94,277	73,220	5.87%	5,534	4.26%	4,016
12	383	683	House Regulators	30,859	22,923	1.49%	460	1.38%	426
13	384	684	House Regulator Install	29	21	1.02%	0	0.95%	0
14	385	685	Indus Meas & Reg St Equip	40,138	39,564	1.06%	425	0.89%	357
15	387	687	Other Equipment	341	50	8.09%	28	7.48%	25
16			Total Distribution Plant	\$2,394,695	\$ 1,380,114	3.09%	\$ 73,960	2.63%	\$ 62,981

VECTREN NORTH CAUSE NO. 45468 DEPRECIATION ACCRUAL RATES AND ACCUMULATED BALANCES BY ACCOUNTS, FUNCTIONAL CLASS OR MAJOR PROPERTY GROUP AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2021

GENERAL PLANT (\$000 Omitted)

Line (A)	FERC (B-1)	Account (B-2)	Account Title (C)	Plant Investment (D)	Accumulated Balance (E)	Petitioner's Proposed Accrual Rate (F)	Petitioner's Proposed Depreciation Expense (G=DxF)	OUCC Proposed Accrual Rate (K)	OUCC's Proposed Depreciation Expense (L=DxK)
1	389.1	689.1	Land and Land Rights	\$ 2,519	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
2	390	690	Structures & Improvements	51,946	20,699	2.25%	1,169	1.50%	779
3	391.1	691.1	Electronic Equipment	2,956	1,059	8.96%	265	8.94%	264
4	391.2	691.2	Furniture & Fixtures	4,149	2,057	6.23%	258	6.24%	259
5	392.1	692.1	Automobiles	-	=	0.00%	-	0.00%	=
6	392.2	692.2	Light Trucks	24,480	13,852	3.11%	761	2.41%	590
7	392.3	692.3	Trailers	1,842	851	4.09%	75	3.16%	58
8	392.4	692.4	Heavy Trucks	8,565	4,686	2.19%	188	1.91%	164
9	393	693	Stores Equipment-Fully Depreciated	1,951	2,088	0.00%	-	0.00%	-
10	393.1	693.1	Stores Equipment	-	-	4.00%	-	4.00%	=
11	394	694	Tools, Shop & Garage Equip	12,692	6,978	3.32%	421	3.31%	420
12	395	695	Laboratory Equipment	2,972	3,105	1.12%	33	1.12%	33
13	396	696	Power Operated Equipment-Fully Depreciated	6,434	7,944	0.00%	-	0.00%	-
14	396.1	696.1	Power Operated Equipment	880	-	4.64%	41	4.64%	41
15	397	697	Communication Equipment	10,384	5,367	5.16%	536	5.18%	538
16	398	698	Miscellaneous Equipment	961	335	4.92%	47	4.92%	47
17			Total General Plant	\$ 132,732	\$ 69,021	2.86%	\$ 3,795	2.41%	\$ 3,194
18			Total Gas Plant Less: General Plant Transportation Equipment -	\$3,199,756	\$ 1,692,249	3.07%	\$ 98,276	2.62%	\$ 83,824
19			Sum (Lines 5-8)				(1,024)		(812)
20			Depreciation Expense (Line 18 + Line 19)				\$ 97,252		\$ 83,012

- Q 5.2: Regarding the Information Technology Investment discussed in Section A on page 20 of Ms. Bell's testimony, and the Pushdown of Assets discussed in Section B on pages 20-21 of Ms. Bell's testimony;
 - a. Will the information technology investment discussed in Section A replace any of the information technology systems discussed in Section B?
 - b. Please provide a list of the asset grouping(s), or sub-grouping(s) included in the current technology replacement program.
 - c. Please quantify the projected net book value ("NBV") as of December 2021 for each grouping(s) or sub-grouping(s) included in the current technology replacement program.
 - d. What is the asset value, accumulated depreciation, and NBV of the information technology systems discussed in Section B assigned to Vectren North's rate base for the period ending December 31, 2021?
 - e. Please provide the in-service date for each information technology system asset in the "push down" group discussed in Section B.
 - f. Please provide the depreciable life, or amortization period, for each information technology system asset in the "push down" group discussed in Section B.

Response:

- a. Yes, please see the attached file titled "45468_OUCC 05.02_Attachment JSM-1 Reconciled with Data Retention Strategy Additional Information" for a listing of the software applications being replaced with the information technology investment. The column titled VUHI Asset Classification identifies if it resides in the pushdown of the VUHI intangible assets.
- b. Please see the response to OUCC DR 05.2a.
- c. Please see the attachment in response to OUCC DR 05.02a, specifically towards the bottom of the 2nd page for the estimated net book value as of December 2021 allocated to Vectren North for the software applications that will be replaced with the current technology replacement program.
- d. Please see the attached document titled "45468_OUCC 05.02 Intangible Asset Push Down Vectren North with VUHI Asset Classification" on tab "OUCC 05.02 VUHI Intangible". The tab referenced includes total VUHI intangible asset information in column C through M with the allocation for Vectren North presented in column N through P.
- e. Please see the attachment in response to OUCC DR 05.02d, specifically column J of the "VUHI Data" tab.
- f. Please see the attachment in response to OUCC DR 05.02d, specifically column P of the "VUHI Data" tab.

No replacement r Lingtinase No replacement planned FIS Integrity Supporting applications with no data of its own hence not cap cap reference for Data Retendon, Castignor Web application is included.	ashpro Web was not pitalized and so is not ed in the VUHI Intangible
No replacement planned FIS theighty Supporting applications with no data of to our here not	ashpro Web was not pitalized and so is not
Financial data must be retained at least 5 years depending No reclarement planned SAP on the record category and asset related data must be	ed in the VUHI Intangible ushdown of Assets.
No repacement partned SNP relained for life of an asset. Historical data repository Hyperion expected to be imperimented in 2022 and Hyperion EM is being considered for retirement in 2022.	
No replacement planned SAP Supporting applications with no data of its own hence not relevant for Data Retention. IRBACE Payroll Reports applications is being considered for retenement in 2021.	
No replacement planned SAP Supporting applications with no data of its own hence not relevant for Data Retention. ISBX Cube Reporting applications in being considered for retriement 2021.	
Financial data must be retained at least 5 years depending 2019/2020 SAP on the record category and asset related data must be retained for the file of an asset. Helsing data responsibly expected to be implemented in 2022 and Markview in being considered for the ordered in 2022 and Markview in being	
Financial data must be retained for at least 5 years depending on record category and asset related data must be retained for 6 for 8 asset. Historical data regustary expected to be implemented in 2022 and Double CES being considered for retirement 2022 and Double CES being	
Financial data must be relatined for a least flow years. 2019/2020 SAP depending on record calegory, Helitorial data repository. Oracle etbusiness Suite expected to be implemented in 2022 and Oracle EBS is being concerned expected to be implemented in 2022 and Oracle EBS is being considered for referenter in 2022.	
Financial data must be related for at least five years depending on record category. Historical data repository espected to be implemented in 2022 and Oracle EBS to being considered for referenced in 2022 and Oracle EBS to being considered for referenced in 2022 and Oracle EBS to being considered for referenced in 2022.	
Financial data must be retained for all heat five years 2019/2020 SAP depending on record calegory. Historical data repository especied to be implemented in 2022 and Oracle EBS to being considered for the retement in 2022.	
Financial dals in Including labor hours must be retained at least the period process of the period process of the period depending on the record category. Historical data repository expected to be implemented in 2022. Worldorce Time Entry Entry Included for retirement 2022.	
Supporting application with no data to be retained hence not relevant for Data Retention. RIA Checkpoint application is N/A financ replacement planned SAP relevant for Data Retention. RIA Checkpoint application is N/A financ finance and retained in the r	a separate asset in the icial records for VUHI.
Femoral at the including last Profession must be relationed for at season of the procession must be relationed for at season of the procession of the proces	a separate asset in the ncial records for VUHI.
2019/2020 Upgraded Version of Poser-Plant Poser-Plant Historical data will be retained in the upgraded application. Poser-Plant	
Supporting application with no data to be retained hence not finance ABB Senice Suite released for Data Retention Asserting application is counted to N/A ECCA	a separate asset in the ocial records for VUHI. AS is imbedded in Other. t being considered for retirement.
Financial data matte be retained at least 5 years and asset data is required for fire of the asset. Historical data repository expected to be implemented in 2022. Maximo is being considered for retirement in 2022. Maximo is being considered for retirement in 2023.	
2018 ABB Service Sulte Supporting application with no data to be related fence not retweat for Date Resembles, Spoks applications being control of the Contr	
Supporting application with no data to be retained hence not relevant for Data Retention. G4 application is being classes. Viryanet / Click / Other classifier or Considered for reterement in 2022.	is imbedded in both ryanet / Click / Other ifications and cannot be iken out separately in financial records.
Supporting application with no data to be retained hence not relevant for Data Retention. The Rules Manager application is coupled to Maximo and will be retired oil conjunction with Maximo. Maximo	
2020 SAP implemented in 2022. Avantis is being considered for retirement in 2022.	cation supports electric only.
No replacement planned SAP Generation operations and maintenance data must be retained at least time years depending on the record in the planned SAP Replacement planned SAP Institute in a second planned in the	cation supports electric only.
repository expected to be implemented in 2022. MAINT elignence is being considered for retirement in 2022.	cation supports electric only.
Supporting application with no data to be retained hence not relevant for Data Retention. VIP application is being Other relevant for Data Retention. VIP application is being Other considered for relievant for Data Rete	cation supports electric only.
Obat related to review remangement and sufficientation of payments for financial challigation studing entitying the properties of the financial challigation studing entitying the properties and has to be related for six to filtern years depending on the record catalogy, relicional date repository expected to be implemented in 2012. Crude HCMI is being considered for temperature for stretchment of the contract o	
Data related to employee personal information has to be retained for six to ten years depending on the recept separation of the control of t	
Date related to employee saverage and performance has to be related to the size of the siz	
	t a separate asset in icial records for VUHI.
	t a separate asset in scial records for VUHI.
Financial data must be retained at least 5 years depending on the record category and saset related data must be retained of the most of second data must be retained from the record category and saset related data must be retained for the of an asset Helstonid data repository expected to be implemented in 2022. Oracle Educines Suite of the supplemented in 2022. Oracle Educines Suite second conductor for reterent in 2022.	
Supporting applications with no data of its own hence not relevant for Data Reteriors. Intellum is being considered for relevant for Data Reteriors. Intellum is being considered for finance relevant for Data Reteriors. Intellum is being considered for finance finance.	a separate asset in the icial records for VUHI
Supporting applications with no data of its own hence not 2019/2020 SAP relevant for Data Retention. Loftware is being considered for N/A finance.	a separate asset in the icial records for VUHI.
Accounts Payable flotta revent to be retained for at least to 2016/2021 SAP Accounts Payable flotta revent to be retained for at least to 2016/2021 SAP Figuritary apposed to 16 implemented in 2022 Charle E- Balleness State Indiana to 1622.	
Supporting applications with no data of its own hence not	a separate asset in the icial records for VUHI.
Supporting application for Avantis with no data of its own Not a No replacement planned SAP hence not relevant for Data Retention, Copent Datahub is N/A finance	a separate asset in the cial records for VUHI - part of Avantis.
Supporting applications with no data of its own hence not relevant for Data Retention. IBM Cognos Integration Server No replacement planned SAP application is coupled to Hoperion and will be retired in application is coupled to Hoperion and will be retired in	
Conjunction with Hypericin. Supporting applications with no data of its own hence not relevant for Data Retention. Notet view application is OBJECTORS	IEE retirement date is foreseen at this time.

VUHI Asset Classification	DEC-21 PROJECTED NBV "ADJUSTMENT PER WPB- 2"
Hyperion	\$ 83.310
Kbace	\$.
Markview	\$.
Oracle eBusiness Suite	\$ 804,312
Workforce Time Entry	\$ 17.383
Maximo	\$ 1,223,156
Svolo	\$ 1,258,140
TOTAL	\$ 1,106,204

Vectren North - Intangible Asset Pushdown - with VUHI Asset Classification

				PROJECTION ESTIMATED DEPRE											
	ACTUAL	S - FIXED ASSET S	YSTEM	2019 WORK ORD		PROJECTION - ACTIVIT	Y JULY 2019 -	DECEMBER 2021		TOTAL PROJECTIO	N DEC-21	VECTR	EN NORTH ALLOC	ATION DEC-21	4
ASSET GROUPINGS	JUN-19 GROSS PLANT	JUN-19 ACCUM RESERVE	Sum of JUN-19 NBV	DEC-21 ACCUM RESERVE	Sum of DEC-21	ESTIMATED ACC	ESTIMATED CUM RESERVE L 19 - DEC 21 NBV	DEC-21 PROJECTED NBV	GROSS PLANT	ACCUMULATED RESERVE	PROJECTED NBV **ADJUSTMENT PER WPB-2**	GROSS PLANT	ACCUMULATED RESERVE	PROJECTED NBV **ADJUSTMENT PER WPB-2**	
AMT GIS	\$ 77,726,170	\$ 68,741,447		\$ 72,760,456	\$ 4,965,714	s - s		\$ 4,965,714	\$ 77,726,170			\$ 33,810,884			
Maximo	\$ 72,939,551 \$ 4,582,756	\$ 64,010,865 \$ 4,582,756	\$ 8,928,686 \$	\$ 67,979,529 \$ 4,582,756	\$ 4,960,022			\$ 4,960,022 \$	\$ 72,939,551 \$ 4,582,756	\$ 67,979,529 \$ 4,582,756		\$ 31,728,705 \$ 1,993,499	\$ 29,571,095 \$ 1,993,499	\$ 2,157,609 \$ -	Evaluating for potential retirement in 2022 with the implementation of a data repositor
Other	\$ 149,213	\$ 112,532		\$ 149,213	\$ -			\$ -	\$ 149,213	\$ 149,213	\$ -	\$ 64,908	\$ 64,908	\$ -	
Vectren.com Banner	\$ 54,650 \$ 77,529,288			\$ 48,957 \$ 65,457,130	\$ 5,693 \$ 12,072,158			\$ 5,693 \$ 12,072,158	\$ 54,650 \$ 77,529,288	\$ 48,957 \$ 65,457,130		\$ 23,773 \$ 33,725,240	\$ 21,296 \$ 28.473.852	\$ 2,476 \$ 5,251,389	
Banner	\$ 64,126,041	\$ 61,243,710	\$ 2,882,331	\$ 62,600,647	\$ 1,525,393			\$ 1,525,393	\$ 64,126,041	\$ 62,600,647	\$ 1,525,393	\$ 27,894,828	\$ 27,231,282	\$ 663,546	
CC&B Oracle	\$ 13,403,247 \$ 27,446,576	\$ 620,878 \$ 21,176,106	\$ 12,782,369 \$ 6,270,470	\$ 2,856,483 \$ 24,378,356	\$ 10,546,764 \$ 3,068,221		477,551	\$ 10,546,764 \$ 2,590,670	\$ 13,403,247 \$ 27,446,576	\$ 2,856,483 \$ 24,855,907		\$ 5,830,413 \$ 8,782,904	\$ 1,242,570 \$ 7,953,890		
GIS	\$ 14,982	\$ 6,055	\$ 8,927	\$ 9,801	\$ 5,181		4//,551	\$ 5,181	\$ 14,982	\$ 9,801		\$ 4,794	\$ 3,136		
OBIEE	\$ 375,325		\$ 131,314	\$ 336,867	\$ 38,459		477.551	\$ 38,459	\$ 375,325			\$ 120,104	\$ 107,797		5 1 5 6 1 5 1 5 1 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
Oracle eBusiness Suite Server	\$ 26,922,818 \$ 67,393	\$ 20,842,782 \$ 67,393	\$ 6,080,036 \$ -	\$ 23,931,792 \$ 67.393	\$ 2,991,026	3	4//,551	\$ 2,513,475 \$ -	\$ 26,922,818 \$ 67.393	\$ 24,409,343 \$ 67,393		\$ 8,615,302 \$ 21,566	\$ 7,810,990 \$ 21,566		Evaluating for potential retirement in 2022 with the implementation of a data reposit
Syclo	\$ 66,057	\$ 15,864	\$ 50,193	\$ 32,503	\$ 33,554			\$ 33,554	\$ 66,057	\$ 32,503	\$ 33,554	\$ 21,138	\$ 10,401	\$ 10,737	Evaluating for potential retirement in 2022 with the implementation of a data reposit
Other Afaria	\$ 183,161,517 \$ 326,030	\$ 92,459,767 \$ 231,173	\$ 90,701,751 \$ 94,857	\$ 123,230,436 \$ 280,237	\$ 59,931,081 \$ 45,793	\$ 16,082,606 \$	1,960,639	\$ 74,053,048 \$ 45,793	\$ 199,244,123 \$ 326,030	\$ 125,191,075 \$ 280,237	\$ 74,053,048 \$ 45,793	\$ 88,607,521 \$ 141,823	\$ 56,394,446 \$ 121,903		
AgileCraft	\$ 258,137			\$ 95,352				\$ 162,785	\$ 258,137	\$ 95,352		\$ 112,290		\$ 70,811	
Audio/Visual Communication	\$ 84,938	\$ 13,197	\$ 71,741	\$ 34,439	\$ 50,499			\$ 50,499	\$ 84,938	\$ 34,439	\$ 50,499	\$ 36,948	\$ 14,981	\$ 21,967	
AVL Banner	\$ 310,782 \$ 260,400			\$ 265,273 \$ 260,400				\$ 45,509 \$ -	\$ 310,782 \$ 260,400			\$ 135,190 \$ 113,274			
Citrix Portal	\$ 80,860	\$ 77,791	\$ 3,069	\$ 80,810	\$ 50			\$ 50	\$ 80,860	\$ 80,810	\$ 50	\$ 35,174	\$ 35,152	\$ 22	
Click Communications	\$ 6,720,911 \$ 331,442		\$ 5,537,251 \$ 68,252	\$ 2,876,100 \$ 311,368	\$ 3,844,811 \$ 20,074			\$ 3,844,811 \$ 20,074	\$ 6,720,911 \$ 331,442	\$ 2,876,100 \$ 311,368		\$ 2,923,596 \$ 144,177	\$ 1,251,104 \$ 135,445		
Corporate Analytics	\$ 3,205,504	\$ 720,472	\$ 2.485.032	\$ 1,522,085	\$ 1.683.419			\$ 1.683.419	\$ 3,205,504	\$ 1,522,085		\$ 1,394,394	\$ 662.107	\$ 732,287	
Cyber Hardware	\$ 135,616	\$ 45,611	\$ 90,005	\$ 79,454				\$ 56,162	\$ 135,616	\$ 79,454	\$ 56,162	\$ 58,993		\$ 24,431	
Cyber Software Deskton Software	\$ 2,001,564 \$ 4,422,825	\$ 815,545 \$ 3,320,904	\$ 1,186,019 \$ 1,101,922	\$ 1,295,192 \$ 3,924,559	\$ 706,372 \$ 498,266			\$ 706,372 \$ 498,266	\$ 2,001,564 \$ 4,422,825	\$ 1,295,192 \$ 3,924,559	\$ 706,372 \$ 498,266	\$ 870,680 \$ 1,923,929	\$ 563,408 \$ 1,707,183	\$ 307,272 \$ 216,746	
Disaster Recovery	\$ 4,101,527	\$ 880,472	\$ 3,221,055	\$ 1,881,826	\$ 2,219,701			\$ 2,219,701	\$ 4,101,527	\$ 1,881,826	\$ 2,219,701	\$ 1,784,164	\$ 818,594	\$ 965,570	
ED Work Mngt Systems	\$ 849,135		\$ 582,054	\$ 479,388	\$ 369,746			\$ 369,746	\$ 849,135	\$ 479,388		\$ 369,374			
FDM Fleet Focus	\$ 788,621 \$ 175,410		\$ 489,027 \$ 100.352	\$ 499,093 \$ 118,954				\$ 289,528 \$ 56,457	\$ 788,621 \$ 175,410			\$ 343,050 \$ 76,304	\$ 217,105 \$ 51,745		
GIS	\$ 2,157,836	\$ 361,718		\$ 909,351	\$ 1,248,484			\$ 1,248,484	\$ 2,157,836	\$ 909,351		\$ 938,659			
Hyperion Kbace	\$ 4,436,388 \$ 52,291	\$ 4,036,419 \$ 52,291	\$ 399,968 e	\$ 4,244,871 \$ 52,291	\$ 191,517			\$ 191,517	\$ 4,436,388 \$ 52,291	\$ 4,244,871 \$ 52,291	\$ 191,517	\$ 1,929,829 \$ 22,747	\$ 1,846,519 \$ 22,747	\$ 83,310 e	Evaluating for potential retirement in 2022 with the implementation of a data repositive Evaluating for potential retirement in 2021.
Markview	\$ 1,118,828	\$ 1,111,887	\$ 6,942	\$ 1,118,828	\$ -			\$ -	\$ 1,118,828	\$ 1,118,828	\$ -	\$ 486,690	\$ 486,690	\$ -	Evaluating for potential retirement in 2022 with the implementation of a data reposit
Maximo Microsoft	\$ 13,256,952 \$ 10,268,642	\$ 7,802,025	\$ 5,454,927 \$ 5,196,579	\$ 10,445,099 \$ 7,068,351	\$ 2,811,852			\$ 2,811,852	\$ 13,256,952 \$ 10,268,642	\$ 10,445,099 \$ 7,068,351	\$ 2,811,852	\$ 5,766,774 \$ 4,466,859	\$ 4,543,618 \$ 3,074,733	\$ 1,223,156 \$ 1,392,127	Evaluating for potential retirement in 2022 with the implementation of a data reposit
Mobile Hardware	\$ 10,268,642 \$ 200.588	\$ 5,072,063 \$ 149,337		\$ 7,068,351 \$ 172,714	\$ 3,200,291 \$ 27.874			\$ 3,200,291 \$ 27.874	\$ 10,268,642 \$ 200.588	\$ 172,714		\$ 4,466,859			
Monitors/Printers	\$ 93,881	\$ 33,243	\$ 60,638	\$ 56,715	\$ 37,165			\$ 37,165	\$ 93,881	\$ 56,715	\$ 37,165	\$ 40,838	\$ 24,671		
Network OBIEE	\$ 356,902 \$ 4,659,691	\$ 204,139 \$ 2,375,933	\$ 152,763 \$ 2,283,758	\$ 264,087 \$ 3,399,162	\$ 92,814 \$ 1,260,529			\$ 92,814 \$ 1,260,529	\$ 356,902 \$ 4,659,691	\$ 264,087 \$ 3,399,162	\$ 92,814 \$ 1,260,529	\$ 155,252 \$ 2,026,966	\$ 114,878 \$ 1,478,635	\$ 40,374 \$ 548,330	
OmniSmart	\$ 5,816,700	\$ 1,432,493		\$ 2,886,743				\$ 2,929,958	\$ 5,816,700	\$ 2,886,743		\$ 2,530,265	\$ 1,255,733		
OMS OnBase	\$ 179,799 \$ 9,038,736	\$ 179,799 \$ 2,565,348	\$ - \$ 6.473.388	\$ 179,799 \$ 4,826,431	\$ - \$ 4 212 305			\$ - \$ 4.212.305	\$ 179,799 \$ 9,038,736	\$ 179,799 \$ 4,826,431	\$ - \$ 4 212 305	\$ 78,213 \$ 3,931,850	\$ 78,213 \$ 2,099,498	\$ - \$ 1.832.353	
	,	2,000,000		1,000,100	* .,=.=,=.				,,	1,000,130	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	* = ==: ===			\$12.9M of Dec-21 Total Projected NBV relates to MDM (Meter Data Management) (Operational Device Management), neither of which are included in the IT-related in
Oracle eBusiness Suite	\$ 28,523,939	\$ 12,384,399	\$ 16,139,540	\$ 15,409,784	\$ 13,114,155			\$ 13,114,155	\$ 28,523,939	\$ 15,409,784	\$ 13,114,155	\$ 12,407,914	\$ 6,703,256	\$ 5,704,658	proposed in this proceeding the forecasted activity resided in the "Other" asset group broken down further to classifications. For presentation purposes only, the forecast saves are proposed and classifications. Applications impacted by the current technolog- replacement program are not expected to see future investment (e.g. Hyperion, Mar Maximo, Cracle equiliness State, e.g.
Other	\$ 28,935,929			\$ 23,359,714	\$ 5,576,215	\$ 16,082,606 \$	1,960,639	\$ 19,698,181	\$ 45,018,534					\$ 8,568,709	,
PC/Laptops/Thin Clients PowerPlant	\$ (9) \$ 4.304.091	\$ (3)		\$ (5) \$ 3.837.205	\$ (4) \$ 466,887			\$ (4) \$ 466,887	\$ (9) \$ 4,304,091	\$ (5) \$ 3,837,205		\$ (4) \$ 1.872.280	\$ (2) \$ 1,669,184	\$ (2 \$ 203,096)
PowerPlant SCADA	\$ 4,304,091 \$ 372,029	\$ 3,070,206 \$ 88,768		\$ 3,837,205 \$ 181,786				\$ 466,887 \$ 190,243	\$ 4,304,091 \$ 372,029			\$ 1,872,280 \$ 161.833			
Server	\$ 3,203,928	\$ 1,143,927	\$ 2,060,001	\$ 1,907,381	\$ 1,296,547			\$ 1,296,547	\$ 3,203,928	\$ 1,907,381	\$ 1,296,547	\$ 1,393,709	\$ 829,711	\$ 563,998	
Solar Winds SSC	\$ 149,987 \$ 549	\$ 60,949 \$ 57		\$ 98,800 \$ 194	\$ 51,187 \$ 354			\$ 51,187 \$ 354	\$ 149,987 \$ 549	\$ 98,800 \$ 194		\$ 65,244 \$ 239	\$ 42,978 \$ 85		
Storage	\$ 1,603,612	\$ 551,073	\$ 1,052,539	\$ 926,901	\$ 676,711			\$ 676,711	\$ 1,603,612	\$ 926,901	\$ 676,711	\$ 697,571	\$ 403,202	\$ 294,369	
Syclo	\$ 6,907,968	\$ 2,307,694	\$ 4,600,274 \$ 138,312	\$ 4,040,376	\$ 2,867,592 \$ 67,984			\$ 2,867,592 \$ 67,984	\$ 6,907,968	\$ 4,040,376		\$ 3,004,966	\$ 1,757,564		Evaluating for potential retirement in 2022 with the implementation of a data reposit
System Testing TIBCO	\$ 281,111 \$ 7.400.095		\$ 138,312 \$ 2,998,059	\$ 213,127 \$ 5.635,168	\$ 67,984 \$ 1,764,927			\$ 67,984 \$ 1,764,927	\$ 281,111 \$ 7,400,095	\$ 213,127 \$ 5,635,168		\$ 122,283 \$ 3,219,041	\$ 92,710 \$ 2,451,298		
UPS	\$ 54,776	\$ 20,313	\$ 34,463	\$ 34,007	\$ 20,769			\$ 20,769	\$ 54,776	\$ 34,007	\$ 20,769	\$ 23,827	\$ 14,793	\$ 9,035	
Vectren.com Virvanet	\$ 19,224,957 \$ 4,299,609	\$ 9,858,928 \$ 3,542,179	\$ 9,366,029 \$ 757,430	\$ 12,424,769 \$ 3,917,735	\$ 6,800,188 \$ 381,874			\$ 6,800,188 \$ 381,874	\$ 19,224,957 \$ 4,299,609	\$ 12,424,769 \$ 3,917,735	\$ 6,800,188 \$ 381,874	\$ 8,362,856 \$ 1.870,330	\$ 5,404,774 \$ 1,704,215	\$ 2,958,082 \$ 166,115	
Windows	\$ 1,875,324	\$ 852,928	\$ 1,022,396	\$ 1,321,797	\$ 553,527	<u> </u>		\$ 553,527	\$ 1,875,324	\$ 1,321,797	\$ 553,527	\$ 815,766	\$ 574,982	\$ 240,784	
Workforce Time Entry	\$ 332,687	\$ 239,976	\$ 92,710	\$ 292,725	\$ 39,962			\$ 39,962	\$ 332,687	\$ 292,725	\$ 39,962	\$ 144,719	\$ 127,335	\$ 17,383	Evaluating for potential retirement in 2022 with the implementation of a data reposit
Desktop Software	\$ 1,597,409 \$ 30,524	\$ 1,582,223 \$ 30,524		\$ 1,597,409 \$ 30,524		\$ - \$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,597,409 \$ 30,524	\$ 1,597,409 \$ 30,524		\$ 694,873 \$ 13,278			
Other	\$ 433,003	\$ 433,003	s -	\$ 433.003	s -			\$ -	\$ 433,003	\$ 433,003	S -	\$ 188,356	\$ 188,356	\$ -	
OVS HQ	\$ 1,133,882			\$ 1,133,882				\$ -	\$ 1,133,882			\$ 493,239			
Citrix Portal	\$ 114,648 \$ 17,387	\$ 95,631 \$ 11,374	\$ 19,018 \$ 6,013	\$ 108,106 \$ 15,721	\$ 6,543 \$ 1,666		-	\$ 6,543 \$ 1,666	\$ 114,648 \$ 17,387	\$ 108,106 \$ 15,721		\$ 49,872 \$ 7,564	\$ 47,026 \$ 6,839	\$ 2,846 \$ 725	
Markview	\$ 42,601 \$ 54,660	\$ 36,741 \$ 47,515	\$ 5,860	\$ 42,601 \$ 49,784	\$ -			\$ -	\$ 42,601 \$ 54,660	\$ 42,601 \$ 49,784	s -	\$ 18,531 \$ 23,777	\$ 18,531 \$ 21,656	S -	Evaluating for potential retirement in 2022 with the implementation of a data reposit

3,386,301 2022 Retirements 14,273,366 No future investments 17,659,667 Total Estimated IT Retirements

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

JOINT PETITION OF INDIANA GAS COMPANY, INC. ("INDIANA GAS"), TERRE HAUTE GAS CORPORATION AND RICHMOND GAS CORPORA-TION FOR AUTHORITY (a) TO ENGAGE IN TRANSACTIONS NECESSARY TO EFFECTUATE THE MERGER OR OTHER COMBINATION OF TERRE HAUTE GAS CORPORATION AND RICHMOND GAS CORPORATION INTO OR WITH INDIANA GAS, (b) FOR JOINT PETITIONERS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH MERGER OR OTHER COMBINATION TO ADJUST THEIR EXISTING RATES AND CHARGES FOR GAS SERVICE RENDERED BY THEM AND FOR APPROVAL OF NEW UNIFORM SCHEDULES OF RATES AND CHARGES AND RULES AND REGULATIONS APPLICABLE THERETO, (c) FOR JOINT PETITIONERS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH MERGER OR OTHER COMBINATION TO ADJUST THEIR DEPRECIATION RATES, (d) FOR INDIANA GAS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH MERGER OR OTHER COMBINATION TO ISSUE AND SELL NOT TO EXCEED \$35,000,000 IN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF LONG-TERM DEBT CONSISTING, IN WHOLE OR COMBINA-TION, OF ONE OR MORE NEW SERIES OF FIRST MORTGAGE BONDS OR UNSECURED PROMISSORY NOTES AND NOT TO EXCEED \$45,000,000 IN AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF ADDITIONAL SHARES OF COMMON STOCK, (e) IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR INDIANA GAS TO ADJUST ITS EXISTING RATES AND CHARGES FOR GAS SERVICE RENDERED BY IT AND FOR APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES THERETO, AND (f) TO MERGE OR COMBINE OHIO RIVER PIPELINE CORPORATION INTO OR WITH INDIANA GAS.

IURC
JOINT EXHIBIT

EXHIBIT NO. /

/O-22-90 CA
DATE REPORTER

CAUSE NO. 38918

OCT 112 1390 INDEX OFFICIA REGULATORY CUMM SSIGN

STIPULATION AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

NOW COME Citizens Action Coalition of Indiana, Inc. ("CAC"), City of Muncie, Indiana ("Muncie"), Designated

the debts, liabilities, and obligations of RGC and THG, which shall be assumed by the Combined Company, and the reflection thereof on the books and records of the Combined Company in the amounts as the same are reflected on the books and records of RGC and THG.

D. Acquisition Adjustment.

The Parties stipulate and agree that during the Settlement Period the Combined Company shall be authorized to reflect in rate base the acquisition adjustment (the "Acquisition Adjustment") resulting from the acquisition of RGC and THG and will be authorized to amortize the amount of the Acquisition Adjustment "above the line" as an allowable operating expense computing the amortization using a forty (40) year straight-line method.

It shall be incumbent upon the Combined Company to bear the burden of proof and propose the continuance of the ratemaking treatment for the Acquisition Adjustment in the next general rate proceeding, or any proceeding investigating the reasonableness of the Combined Company's basic rates and charges initiated two (2) years or more after the effective date of the uniform rates, rules and regulations, as provided in ARTICLE III, Part B. The

Settlement Agreement will in no way prejudice the right of any party to propose a different ratemaking treatment with respect to the Acquisition Adjustment in the Combined Company's next general rate proceeding, or in any proceeding regarding the reasonableness of the Combined Company's revenue distribution, rate design or basic rates and charges initiated two (2) years or more after the effective date of the uniform rates, rules and regulations, as provided in ARTICLE III, Part B.

E. Other Authorizations.

The Parties stipulate and agree that IGC, RGC, THG and the Combined Company may be provided in the General Rate Order any other authorizations, provided they are consistent with the Settlement Agreement, which are necessary and appropriate in the premises in order to accomplish the Operational Combination and the Formal Merger of RGC and THG with or into IGC. Such stipulation and agreement does not in any way preclude any of the parties from seeking rehearing and/or other relief with respect to the provision of other authorizations in the General Rate Order. If IGC, RGC, THG and the Combined Company request any other authorizations not provided for in the Settlement Agreement, the Parties reserve all of their rights to oppose such requests.

- **Q 8.8:** Regarding Vectren North's Exhibit No. 18, workpaper WPB 4.1 detailing the acquisition adjustment and amortization thereof in this Cause;
 - a. Has Vectren North specifically provided justification for continuation of ratemaking treatment for the acquisition adjustment in its testimony and exhibits in this Cause?
 - b. If the answer to (a) above is yes, please specify where this justification is located within Vectren North's testimony and exhibits.
 - c. What specific authority allows Vectren North to include ratemaking treatment for the acquisition adjustment in this Cause?
 - d. What is the justification for disallowance of 10% of the acquisition adjustment as shown in column N of workpaper WPB 4.1?

Response:

- a. Vectren North received authority by Commission's Order in Cause No. 38918 dated August 14, 1991 which approved a stipulation and settlement agreement. This treatment has been continued in each subsequent general rate case. Over the past 30 years, we have not seen or experienced any overall extenuating circumstances which cause us to believe the original rational presented in Cause 38918, to support the Acquisition Adjustment's above-the-line treatment, has been disproved and thus, the above-the-line treatment not be warranted to be continued.
- b. Not applicable.
- c. See response to part (a).
- d. See response to part (a).

Q 5.5: Referencing page 23, lines 4-11 of her testimony, Ms. Bell discusses the adjustment for the removal of assets associated with extension of service to an industrial customer. On lines 9-11 Ms. Bell states, "the associated contractual (non-gas cost) revenues for this customer were also removed from the test year."

- a. Were the expenses associated with operation and maintenance of the line removed from the test year?
- b. If the answer to (a) is yes, where was this expense adjustment reflected in the revenue requirement schedules?
- c. What was the total cost of maintenance for the main extension during 2019?
- d. What is the total cost of maintenance for the main extension budgeted for 2021?

Response:

- a. No, the expenses associated with the operation and maintenance of the line were not removed from the test year.
- b. Not applicable
- c. Although the operation and maintenance expenses are not tracked separately from costs to operate and maintain the rest of the system, annual operation and maintenance costs are estimated at approximately \$295,000.
- d. See response to part (c)

- Q 1.8: On page 14, lines 11-14 of her testimony, Ms. Bell states: "Consistent with other orders issued in future test year cases in Indiana, the Company would propose that those rates take effect immediately on an interim-subject-to-refund basis (with a true-up including carrying charges)."
 - a. Please provide a list of all other known Indiana utilities who have filed a rate case with a future test year.
 - b. For all those companies included on the list above, please indicate if an update to actual rate base as of the end of the test year was performed.
 - c. For all those companies included on the list above, please indicate if an update to actual capital structure as of the end of the test year was performed.
 - d. For all those companies included on the list above, please indicate if an update to the full test year revenue requirements (revenues and expenses) for actual results was performed

Response:

a. Please see the table below.

Line No.		Utility Type	Cause No.	Date Approved	Test Year
1	Duke Energy Indiana, LLC	Electric	45253	6/29/2020	12/31/2020
2	Indiana Michigan Power Company	Electric	44967	5/30/2018	12/31/2018
3	Indiana Michigan Power Company	Electric	45235	3/11/2020	12/31/2020
4	Northern Indiana Public Service Company	Electric	45159	12/4/2019	12/31/2019
5	Northern Indiana Public Service Company	Gas	44988	9/19/2018	12/31/2018
6	Indiana-American Water Company	Water	44450	1/28/2015	11/30/2015
7	Indiana-American Water Company	Water	45142	6/26/2019	4/30/2020

- b. Please refer to the following below:
 - i. Duke Energy Indiana, LLC Per Commission's order in Cause No. 45253, Step 1, was to file new schedules of rates and charges in accordance with the order. Step 2, was to file new schedules of rates and charges and provide verification of actual used and useful property to the verified actual test-year end net plant as of December 31, 2020.
 - ii. Indiana Michigan Power Company Per Commission's order in Cause No. 44967, net plant for Phase II rates shall not exceed the lesser of (i) I&M's forecasted test-year-end net plant, or (ii) certified test-year-end net plant as of December 31, 2018, or January 1, 2019, whichever is later.

- iii. Indiana Michigan Power Company Per Commission's order in Cause No. 45235, I&M was to file new schedules of rates and charges for Phase I and Phase II subject to review and agreement with the amounts reflected and be implemented upon Energy Division approval. The net plant for Phase II rates shall use the forecasted test year end net plant approved. The Phase II rates shall go into effect on the date I&M certifies its test year end net plant, or January 1, 2021, whichever is later. The net plant for Phase II rates shall not exceed the lesser of (i) the forecasted test year end net plant approved or (ii) I&M's certified test year end net plant.
- iv. NIPSCO-Electric Per the Commission's order in Cause No. 45159, Phase Two update was to file new schedules of rates and charges based on agreed revenue requirement, reflecting order-approved ROE, as of 12/31/2019 as adjusted to reflect lesser of (i) forecasted test-year-end rate base per rebuttal, or (ii) certified test-yr-end net PIS as of Dec 31, 2019.
- v. NIPSCO-Gas Per the Commission's order in Cause No. 44988, Phase Two update was to file new schedules of rates and charge based on agreed revenue requirement as of 12/31/2018 as adjusted to reflect lesser of (i) forecasted test-year-end rate base per rebuttal, or (ii) certified test-year-end net PIS as of Dec 31, 2018.
- vi. Indiana American Per the Commission's Order in Cause No. 44450, for Phase 2 rates, Indiana American was ordered to file updated schedules of rates and charges based on actual net original cost rate base as of the end of the test year, subject to certain caps reached in settlement.
- vii. Indiana American Per the Commission's Order in Cause No. 45142, Step 2 rates were to be based upon actual net original cost rate base as of the end of the test year (April 30, 2020).
- c. Hypothetical capital structures have long been held to be contrary to Indiana law. *Northern Indiana Public Service Co.*, Cause No. 43526 (IURC 8/25/2010), at pp.18-19 (citing Indiana case law prohibiting the setting of rates based on a hypothetical capital structure as well as Commission orders rejecting the use of hypothetical capital structures in setting rates).

Please refer to the following below:

- i. Duke Energy Indiana, LLC (Cause No. 45253) aligned the capital structure with the plant-in-service amounts included in rate base during Step 1. The capital structure and cost of capital amounts do not exceed the forecasted 2020 values that were included in the Commission approved base rates.
- ii. Indiana Michigan Power Company (Cause No. 45235) test year capital structure as reflected in the order.

- iii. Indiana Michigan Power Company (Cause No. 44967) capital structure was set to the level found in the order within the Settlement Agreement.
- iv. Northern Indiana Public Service Company-Electric (Cause No. 45159) capital structure was updated during Step 1 rates and Step 2 rates based on actual capital as of December 31, 2019 Forward Test Year cutoff date. NIPSCO will certify the net plant in service and current capital structure as of December 31, 2019, and calculate the Step 2 rates using those certified figures.
- v. Northern Indiana Public Service Company-Electric (Cause No. 44988) capital structure was updated during Step 1 to reflect actual capital structure as of June 30, 2018 and for Step 2 to reflect actual capital structure as of December 31, 2018.
- vi. Indiana American (Cause No. 44450) Step 2 rates based on capital structure as set forth in settlement and approved in Commission's order.
- vii. Indiana American (Cause No. 45142) Step 2 rates to be based on projected capital structure as of end of test year as presented in settlement, with Indiana American committing to achieve an actual capital structure in line with what is presented in the Settlement.
- d. Vectren North is proposing a full update to the revenue requirement as described in Witness Bell's testimony, subject to the caps she describes. This update largely works to the benefit of customers. To Vectren North's knowledge, no similar proposal has been made with the exception of Vectren South (Cause No. 45447) which recently filed its case on October 30, 2020. The Company's proposal for a full update is driven by the uncertainty surrounding the effects from the COVID-19 pandemic.

- **Q 5.6:** Regarding utility plant assets and expenses accounted for within Vectren North's current CSIA mechanism, please answer the following questions;
 - a. Will Vectren North continue accumulation of investments in the CSIA during 2021, until a base rate Order is issued?
 - b. When does Vectren North envision ceasing the accumulation of investments in the CSIA?
 - c. When does Vectren North envision transferring the accumulation of investments in the CSIA to rate base?
 - d. Does Vectren North envision filing a CSIA tracker case in 2021 seeking recovery of investments, in addition to prior period variances?
 - e. When, and for what period, does Vectren North anticipate filing the last CSIA filing before base rates are approved?
 - f. Does Vectren North anticipate filing a new TDSIC plan and CSIA recovery mechanism for Vectren North after the expiration of the current TDSIC and CSIA, and after new base rates are in effect?

Objection:

Vectren North objects to the Request on the grounds and to the extent it is vague and ambiguous and provides no basis from which Vectren North can determine what information is sought in that the phrase "accumulation of investments" is not defined. Vectren North has interpreted the phrase as used in Request as referring to new projects.

Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, Vectren North responds as follows:

Response:

- a. The Company's forward-looking test year of 2021 will capture investments within rate base following the end of the Company's authorized 7-year TDSIC plan which ends December 31, 2020. The accumulation of project costs associated with the 7-year TDSIC plan will cease upon implementation of the base rate Order.
- b. The Company will make its last semi-annual TDSIC filing under Cause No. 44430-TDSIC-14 on April 1, 2021 to recover actual expenditures through the reconciliation period of December 31, 2020.
- c. Upon approval of this pending rate case, the Phase I update of Rate Base as of June 2021 will capture the accumulation of CSIA investments.
- d. The Company will file its 14th semi-annual tracker case (44430-TDSIC 14) on April 1, 2021 to capture actual investments through December 31, 2020. This will also

include reconciliation of actual recoveries against approved recoveries through December 31, 2020 (from TDSIC-12 period) in addition to the revenue requirement of actual expenditures through December 31, 2020. The Company will propose rates to become effective July 1, 2021 or soon after Commission approval in the pending TDSIC-14 proceeding. The TDSIC-14 rates and charges will remain in effect until the Commission issues an order in this pending rate case. At that time, the Company's compliance tariff filing will reflect only the variance component of the CSIA mechanism.

The Company will need to continue to reconcile actual recoveries with approved recoveries through the time when all CSIA-related variances have been fully recovered.

- e. See response to OUCC DR 05-6(d).
- f. Yes. The Company anticipates filing a proposal for a new TDSIC and CSIA plan to be in effect subsequent to the test year in this proceeding.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing OUCC'S TESTIMONY OF MARK H.

GROSSKOPF has been served upon the following counsel of record in the captioned proceeding

by electronic service on March 31, 2021.

Justin Hage

Heather A. Watts

Southern Indiana Gas and Electric

Company d/b/a Vectren Energy Delivery

of Indiana, Inc.

E-mail:

Justin.Hage@centerpointenergy.com

Heather.Watts@centerpointenergy.com

With Copy to:

Michelle D. Quinn

Angie M. Bell

Katie J. Tieken

Southern Indiana Gas and Electric

Company d/b/a Vectren Energy Delivery

of Indiana, Inc.

E-mail:

Michelle.Quinn@centerpointenergy.com

Angie. Bell @centerpoint energy.com

Katie.Tieken@centerpointenergy.com

Jonathan B. Turpin, Atty No. 32179-53

Locke Lord LLP

Email: Jonathan.Turpin@lockelord.com

Nicholas K. Kile

Hillary J. Close

Lauren M. Box

Barnes & Thornburg LLP

Email: nicholas.kile@btlaw.com

hillary.close@btlaw.com

lauren.box@btlaw.com

Todd A. Richardson

Tabitha L. Balzer

LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.

Industrial Group

Email: TRichardson@Lewis-Kappes.com

TBalzer@Lewis-Kappes.com

Jennifer A. Washburn

Reagan Kurtz

Citizens Action Coalition

Email:jwashburn@citact.org

rkurtz@citact.org

Robert K. Johnson, Esq.

Steel Dynamics, Inc.

Email: rjohnson@utilitylaw.us

Louise Hitz-Brodley

Loraine Hitz-Bradley Attorney No. 18006-29 Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

115 West Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204 infomgt@oucc.in.gov

317/232-2494 — Telephone 317/232-5923 — Facsimile