FILED
October 14, 2022
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SUBDOCKET	FOR R	EVIEW	OF)	
INDIANAPOLIS	POWER	& I	IGHT)	
COMPANY D/B/A	A AES IN	DIANA'S	2021)	CAUSE NO. 38703 FAC 133 S1
EXTENDED FOR	CED OUTA	GE AT E	AGLE)	
VALLEY AND IT	S RELATE	D IMPAC	CT ON)	
FUEL PROCURE	MENT AND	FUEL C	OSTS.)	

APPLICANT'S SUBMISSION OF REVISIONS TO NATALIE HERR COKLOW'S DIRECT TESTIMONY

Applicant Indianapolis Power & Light Company d/b/a AES Indiana ("AES Indiana", "IPL" or "Company"), by counsel, hereby submits the attached revision to AES Indiana witness Natalie Herr Coklow's direct testimony. A redline of revised page 4 and an entire clean copy of Ms. Coklow's direct testimony is being provided. The clean copy inclusive of the revision will be included in the court reporter copy offered into evidence at the hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

Teresa Morton Nyhart (Atty. No. 14044-49)

Jeffrey M. Peabody (Atty. No. 28000-53)

Lauren Aguilar (Atty. No. 33943-49)

aunen Oguilar

Barnes & Thornburg LLP

11 South Meridian Street

Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Nyhart Phone: (317) 231-7716

Peabody Phone (317) 231-6465 Aguilar Telephone: (317) 231-6474

Fax: (317) 231-7433

Nyhart Email: tnyhart@btlaw.com Peabody Email: jpeabody@btlaw.com

Aguilar Email: laguilar@btlaw.com

Attorneys for Indianapolis Power & Light Company D/B/A AES Indiana

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing was served this 14th day of October, 2022, by email transmission, hand delivery or United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid to:

Randy Helmen
Lorraine Hitz
Office of Utility Consumer Counselor
115 W. Washington Street, Suite 1500 South
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
infomgt@oucc.in.gov
rhelmen@oucc.in.gov

Gregory T. Guerrettaz Financial Solutions Group, Inc. 2680 East Main Street, Suite 223 Plainfield, Indiana 46168 greg@fsgcorp.com fsg@fsgcorp.com

Jennifer A. Washburn Citizens Action Coalition 1915 West 18th Street, Suite C Indianapolis, Indiana 46202 jwashburn@citact.org

Courtesy Copy to: Reagan Kurtz rkurtz@citact.org

lhitz@oucc.in.gov

Anne E. Becker
Joseph P. Rompala
LEWIS KAPPES, P.C.
One American Square, Suite 2500
Indianapolis, Indiana 46282-0003
ABecker@Lewis-Kappes.com
JRompala@Lewis-Kappes.com

T ----- A ------

bounes Squilar

Lauren Aguilar

Teresa Morton Nyhart (No. 14044-49) Jeffrey M. Peabody (No. 28000-53) Lauren Aguilar (No. 33943-49) BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

Nyhart Phone: (317) 231-7716
Peabody Phone: (317) 231-6465
Aguilar Phone: (317) 231-6474
Nyhart Email: (317) 231-6474
tnyhart@btlaw.com
jpeabody@btlaw.com
laguilar@btlaw.com

Attorneys for Indianapolis Power & Light Company D/B/A AES Indiana DMS 23936712v1

- <u>AES Indiana Attachment NHC-l</u> is calculation of the estimated FAC factor impact
- 2 for the fuel and purchased power related to the Eagle Valley outage that the Company
- 3 seeks to recover.
- 4 Q8. Are you sponsoring any workpapers?
- 5 A8. Yes. I have included the Excel workbook that supports the calculation of AES Indiana
- 6 Attachment NHC-1.

- 7 Q9. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 8 A9. My testimony discusses AES Indiana's proposed accounting and ratemaking treatment for
- 9 the unrecovered deferred fuel and purchased power costs related to the Eagle Valley outage
- that was calculated by Witness Jackson, including the proposed recovery period. My
- 11 testimony will also discuss the estimated FAC factor impact for this proposed accounting
- and ratemaking treatment and will discuss the proposed timing of recovery.
 - Q10. What amounts are currently deferred related to the Eagle Valley outage?
- 14 A10. For the period of May 1, 2021 through March 18, 2022 (Eagle Valley was offered at full
- capacity on March 18, 2022) AES Indiana has estimated that the portion of the fuel cost
- variances related to Eagle Valley is \$41,518,474 million as show in Table 1 below and
- calculated in <u>AES Indiana Attachment DJ-3</u>. which is sponsored by Witness Jackson. This
- is the same calculation that was presented in FAC 135 (Attachment DJ-5) which included
- the months of May 2021 through January 2022 which totaled \$35,168,380 million. For
- 20 this subdocket filing, this calculation was updated to include the remaining portion of the
- outage, which was February 2022 through March 18, 2022, which is \$6,350,094 million
- for a total estimated Eagle Valley outage impact of \$41,518,474 million. In the FAC 135
- filing, AES Indiana did not request recovery of any portion of the estimated Eagle Valley

VERIFIED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

NATALIE HERR COKLOW

ON BEHALF OF

AES INDIANA

SPONSORING AES INDIANA ATTACHMENT NHC-1

VERIFIED TESTIMONY OF NATALIE HERR COKLOW MANAGER IN REGULATORY ACCOUNTING

- 1 Q1. Please state your name, employer, and business address.
- 2 A1. My name is Natalie Herr Coklow. I am employed by AES US Services, LLC ("the Service
- Company"), which is the Service Company that serves Indianapolis Power & Light
- 4 Company d/b/a AES Indiana ("AES Indiana", "IPL" or the "Applicant"). The Service
- 5 Company is located at One Monument Circle, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204. The Service
- 6 Company provides accounting, legal, human resources, information technology and other
- 7 corporate services to the businesses owned by The AES Corporation in the United States
- 8 of America, including AES Indiana.
- 9 **Q2.** What is your position with the Service Company?
- 10 A2. I am a Manager in the Regulatory Accounting department.
- 11 Q3. Please summarize your work experience with the Service Company.
- 12 A3. I began employment with the Service Company in July 2013. During my tenure with the
- Service Company, I have worked in Regulatory Accounting on various AES Indiana and
- Dayton Power & Light Company d/b/a AES Ohio ("AES Ohio" or "DP&L") regulatory
- filings and the associated accounting entries for both companies. I am responsible for the
- various general ledger entries, the reconciliation of regulatory asset and liability accounts,
- the computation and tracking of various costs for regulatory filings, and the preparation of
- supporting schedules for these filings. These regulatory filings for AES Indiana have
- included filings related to the Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") (Cause No. 38703-FAC

- 1 XX), AES Indiana's most recent basic rate cases (Cause Nos. 44576 and 45029), the
- 2 Environmental Compliance Cost Recovery Adjustment ("ECCRA") (Cause No. 42170-
- 3 ECR XX) and the Transmission, Distribution, and Storage System Improvement Charge
- 4 ("TDSIC") (Cause No 45264-TDSIC XX).
- 5 Q4. Please summarize your prior work experience.
- 6 A4. Prior to the Service Company, I was employed by London Witte Group, LLC ("LWG") for
- seven years. LWG is a certified public accounting firm that provides an array of accounting
- and consulting services to public utility, private and governmental clients. At LWG, I
- 9 worked on the review of Gas Cost Adjustments filed with this Commission by various
- Indiana utilities, performed financial statement audits for predominately gas and electric
- 11 utility clients, completed rate design for municipally owned utilities, and completed or
- reviewed financial statements and tax returns.
- 13 **Q5.** Please summarize your educational qualifications.
- 14 A5. I hold a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from Indiana University.
- 15 **Q6.** Have you previously testified before this Commission?
- 16 A6. Yes. I have submitted testimony on behalf of AES Indiana in previous FAC proceedings
- as well as ECCRA and TDSIC proceedings. I also submitted testimony in AES Indiana's
- basic rates case, Cause No. 45029.
- 19 **Q7.** Are you sponsoring any attachments?
- 20 A7. Yes. I am sponsoring the following attachment:

- AES Indiana Attachment NHC-1 is calculation of the estimated FAC factor impact
- 2 for the fuel and purchased power related to the Eagle Valley outage that the Company
- 3 seeks to recover.
- 4 Q8. Are you sponsoring any workpapers?
- 5 A8. Yes. I have included the Excel workbook that supports the calculation of AES Indiana
- 6 Attachment NHC-1.

- 7 Q9. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 8 A9. My testimony discusses AES Indiana's proposed accounting and ratemaking treatment for
- 9 the unrecovered deferred fuel and purchased power costs related to the Eagle Valley outage
- that was calculated by Witness Jackson, including the proposed recovery period. My
- 11 testimony will also discuss the estimated FAC factor impact for this proposed accounting
- and ratemaking treatment and will discuss the proposed timing of recovery.
 - Q10. What amounts are currently deferred related to the Eagle Valley outage?
- 14 A10. For the period of May 1, 2021 through March 18, 2022 (Eagle Valley was offered at full
- capacity on March 18, 2022) AES Indiana has estimated that the portion of the fuel cost
- variances related to Eagle Valley is \$41,518,474 as show in Table 1 below and calculated
- in <u>AES Indiana Attachment DJ-3</u>. which is sponsored by Witness Jackson. This is the same
- calculation that was presented in FAC 135 (<u>Attachment DJ-5</u>) which included the months
- of May 2021 through January 2022 which totaled \$35,168,380 million. For this subdocket
- filing, this calculation was updated to include the remaining portion of the outage, which
- was February 2022 through March 18, 2022, which is \$6,350,094 million for a total
- estimated Eagle Valley outage impact of \$41,518,474 million. In the FAC 135 filing, AES
- 23 Indiana did not request recovery of any portion of the estimated Eagle Valley

- outage related variances and instead requested that recovery of this portion of the variances
- 2 be resolved in the FAC 133 subdocket.

4

9

10

 $\underline{\mathbf{Table}} \ \mathbf{1}^1$

		AE	S India	na					
		Estimated Impact of I	Eagle \	/alley Forced Outa	age				
		As Filed Variance	Non (Estimated Outage Results (2)	Eagle Valley Impact				
FAC133	\$	13,683,621	\$	7,032,886	\$	6,650,735			
FAC134	\$	32,281,690	\$	27,356,531	\$	4,925,159			
FAC135	\$	64,326,816	\$	40,734,330	\$	23,592,486			
FAC 136 (1)	\$	12,378,189	\$	6,028,093	\$	6,350,096			
			\$	81,151,841	\$	41,518,476			
less 50% FAG	C133	already recovered	\$	6,841,811					
			\$	74,310,030					
. ,		arch 18, 2022 therefore only i	ncludes	the months of February a	and Ma	rch 2022.			
(2) Estimated res	suits if	EV had been available							

- 5 Q11. Please explain the variances that were included in the factor in each of the FAC filings
- 6 **during the outage period.**
- 7 All. The variances and the amount included in each FAC factor is summarized in Table 2 below.

8 <u>Table 2</u>

Deferred Variances				
	Reconciliation Period	Deferred	In Proposed Factors	Cumulative Deferral
FAC133	May - Jul 2021	\$13,683,621	\$6,841,811	\$6,841,810
FAC134	Aug - Oct 2021	\$32,281,690	\$0	\$39,123,500
FAC135	Nov 2021 - Jan 2022	\$64,326,816	\$34,140,968	\$69,309,348
FAC136	Feb - Apr 2022	\$12,378,189	\$40,169,062	\$41,518,475
		\$122,670,316	\$81,151,841	

The Eagle Valley outage impacted the months of April 2021 through March 2022 and the

11 FAC 133 through FAC 136 filings². As shown in Table 2 above, AES Indiana has included

¹ See <u>AES Indiana Attachment DJ-3</u> for detailed calculation.

AES Indiana Witness Coklow - 5

² April 2021 was included in FAC 132 and is not part of the subdocket.

only non-outage variances in its FAC filings for these periods. The FAC 133 Order allowed recovery of 50% of the variance in that proceeding which was less than the calculated estimate of the non outage actual variance. In FAC 134, AES Indiana proposed to defer all variances while the Company worked on the calculation to determine the portion of costs and variances that was attributable to the Eagle Valley outage. In FAC 135, this calculation had been completed and the Company included the estimated cumulative non outage actual variances from FAC 133, 134 and 135 in its request of recovery, while leaving the Eagle Valley impact subject to this subdocket. In FAC 136 filed in June 2022, AES Indiana proposes to follow the FAC 135 methodology and request the non-outage portion for recovery. The ending deferral would result in a regulatory asset balance of \$41,578,475 which is equal to the estimated variance attributable to the Eagle Valley variance shown in Table 1.

Q12. Has AES Indiana already recovered any portion of the estimated Eagle Valley outage related fuel and purchased power costs?

A12. No. As shown in Chart 1 above and discussed in Q/A 11, for the Eagle Valley outage period AES Indiana has only included the estimated portion of variances not attributable to the Eagle Valley outage in the FAC factors. The estimated variance of \$41,518,474 million estimated to be attributable to the Eagle Valley outage has not been included to date in any FAC factor. Therefore, the total dollar amount at issue in this subdocket filing is the \$41,518,474 million as presented in Table 1.

Q13. What accounting and ratemaking treatment is AES Indiana requesting?

A13. To mitigate rate impact, AES Indiana proposes to recover the estimated Eagle Valley outage portion of the unrecovered fuel and purchased power variances, not including any AES Indiana Witness Coklow - 6

carrying charges, of \$41,518,474 million over four FAC filings starting with the next FAC following the Order in this subdocket filing. A recovery period of four FAC filings (twelve months) corresponds with the similar period for which the costs were incurred (outage from May 2021 through March 17, 2022). AES Indiana proposes to include this adjustment to future FAC filings as an adjustment on FAC <u>Schedule NHC-1</u> which is the same treatment that AES Indiana used in FAC 133 and FAC 135 when fuel variances were split over multiple filings.

8 Q14. Do the costs you present include any repair or other non-fuel costs?

12

13

22

9 A14. No. The accounting and ratemaking requested in this filing reflects recovery of \$41,518,474 of incurred purchased power and fuel costs estimated to be attributable to the Eagle Valley outage.

Q15. Based on the accounting and ratemaking treatment proposed in this filing should FACs 133 through 135 still be subject to refund?

14 No. AES is proposing to recover the estimated Eagle Valley outage related fuel and purchased power costs in future FAC filings. Therefore, FAC 133 through FAC 135 would 15 16 no longer need to be subject to refund. As the OATI model discussed by Witness Jackson 17 shows, the costs approved for recovery in FAC 133 through 135 are not attributable to the 18 Eagle Valley Outage and would have been prudently incurred regardless of the Eagle 19 Valley outage. If the Commission were to adopt a different proposal, AES Indiana would 20 still propose that any reconciliation would be included in future FAC filings. This would 21 eliminate the need for FACs 133 through FAC 135 to be subject to refund.

Q16. What is the estimated FAC factor impact of AES Indiana's proposal?

l	A16.	This calculation is presented on AES Indiana Attachment NHC-1. AES Indiana used
2		assumed customer usage from the most recent Off System Sales ("OSS") 6 filing. AES
3		Indiana is proposing to implement the reconciliation beginning with the next FAC filing
4		after the subdocket Order is received. This is currently estimated to be sometime in 2023.
5		AES Indiana calculated this impact by taking the total estimated unrecovered Eagle Valley
5		outage related fuel and purchased power costs of \$41,518,474 million and divided by four
7		for a total of \$10,379,619 million to be included in each FAC. This value was then divided
3		by the assumed customer usage for each FAC filing period to determine a price per
)		Mills/kWh. This is shown AES Indiana Attachment NHC-1.

- 10 Q17. What effect will the Company's proposed cost recovery have on an average 11 residential customer using 1,000 kWh per month?
- 12 A17. In relation to the Company's factor from FAC 135 and after removing variances associated
 13 with FAC 133 and 134, the Company's estimates its proposal would result in an average
 14 increase of \$3.14 or 2.50% for a typical residential customer using 1,000 kWh per month.
 15 These figures are calculated on AES Indiana Attachment NHC-1.
 - Q18. Please summarize and conclude your testimony.

A18. My testimony details the accounting and ratemaking treatment AES Indiana is requesting.

AES Indiana is requesting to recover the portion of variances related to the Eagle Valley outage of \$41,518,474 million over four FAC proceedings without any carrying costs. AES Indiana is requesting that \$10,379,618 million (1/4th of \$41,518,474) be included in the next FAC proceeding after the Order in this filing and the same amount be included in each of the following three FACs. These filings will be subject to the normal reconciliation

- process that is performed for each FAC filing. Finally, AES Indiana is requesting that FAC
- 2 133 through 135 no longer be subject to refund.
- 3 Q19. Does that conclude your prefiled direct testimony?
- 4 A19. Yes.

Verification

I, Natalie Herr Coklow, Manager in Regulatory Accounting for AES US Services, LLC, affirm under penalties for perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated this 27th day of May 2022.

Natalie Herr Coklow

Natalie Herr Coklow

Unrecovered Eagle Valley Outage Variance Costs Proposed Recovery per FAC (1 year= 4 FACs) \$ 41,518,474 \$ 10,379,619

Α

	FAC :	135 Factor		Forecast FAC X1				Forecast FAC X2				Forecast FAC X3				Forecast FAC X4				
			Month 1	Month 2	Mor	nth 3	Month 4	Month 5	Moi	nth 6	Month 7	Month 8	Mon	th 9	Month 10	Month 11	Mor	nth 12	_	
Assumed Sales (Mills/kWh) as filed in OSS-6			1,156,088	1,322,029	1,2	265,668	1,037,933	979,611	(990,112	1,203,306	1,299,962	1,1	54,953	1,043,974	934,730	(985,791		
Assumed Sales for Each FAC period					3,7	743,785			3,0	007,656			3,6	58,221			2,9	64,495		
																			A	
51/1/ : 61 546						2 77				2.45				2.04				2.50	Averag	-
EV Variance Charge per FAC					\$	2.77			\$	3.45			\$	2.84			\$	3.50	\$ 3	3.14
1,000 kWh Customer																				
Base Rates	\$	115.60			\$	115.60			\$	115.60			\$	115.60			\$	115.60		
FAC Factor*	\$	9.94			\$	9.94			\$	9.94			\$	9.94			\$	9.94		
Eagle Valley Outage Factor	\$	-			\$	2.77			\$	3.45			\$	2.84			\$	3.50		
Bill Including Factor	\$	125.54			\$	128.31			\$	128.99			\$	128.38	-		\$	129.04	Averag	зe
% Change relative to current factor						2.21%				2.75%				2.26%				2.79%	á 2.	50%
\$ Change relative to current factor					\$	2.77			\$	3.45			\$	2.84			\$	3.50	\$ 3	3.14

^{*} For bill impact comparison purposes FAC 135 was recalculated to remove any variances related to prior FAC filings as this is not a normal occurrence.

This recalculated FAC135 factor includes only variances not related to the Eagle Valley outage for the FAC135 reconciliation period, split over 2 FAC periods.

		<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>Total</u>		
34	Fuel Cost Variance	\$ 36,943,851	\$ 14,618,271	\$ 12,764,694	\$ 64,326,816		34
	(Mills/kWh)						
35	Fuel Cost Variance - FAC 133						35
36	Fuel Cost Variance- FAC 133- 50% Collected						36
37	Fuel Cost Variance - FAC 134 (1)						37
38	Subtotal Variances				64,326,815		38
39	Estimated Eagle Valley Outage Impact				(23,592,486)		39
40	Estimated Non Outage Related Fuel Cost Variances not	yet Collected			\$ 40,734,329		40
41	TOTAL Fuel Cost Variance Included in this Filing- 50% (I	Mitigated by Collecting ove	r FAC 135 and 1	36)		\$ 20,367,165	41
42	Variance Charge (Line 32 Total divided by estimated Ind	iana jurisdictional sales o	3,743,783	kWh (000's)		5.440	42
43	Adjusted Fuel Cost Charge (Line 31 + Line 33)					42.731	43
44	Less: Base Cost of Fuel Included in Rates					32.938	44
45	Fuel Cost Charge					9.793	45
46	Fuel Cost Charge Adjusted for Indiana Utility Receipts Ta	ax (2)				9.939	46
	(1) As filed variances of \$29,879,749 plus October tie line	e correction of \$2,401,941					
	(2) Line 45 Divided By (1-(1.46% URT Rate/(1-0.04900)))					