FILED October 20, 2020 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

## **STATE OF INDIANA**

#### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

VERIFIED PETITION OF SOUTHERN INDIANA GAS ) AND ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A VECTREN ENERGY ) DELIVERY OF INDIANA, INC., A CENTERPOINT ) ENERGY COMPANY REQUESTING THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION TO APPROVE **CERTAIN DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS** ) AND GRANT COMPANY AUTHORITY TO RECOVER ) **COSTS, INCLUDING PROGRAM COSTS, INCENTIVES** AND LOST MARGINS, ASSOCIATED WITH THE DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS VIA THE ) **COMPANY'S** DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT ) **ADJUSTMENT** )

**CAUSE NO. 45387** 

#### INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

### SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF

#### JOHN E. HASELDEN - PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 1

#### **OCTOBER 20, 2020**

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey M. Reed

Attorney No. 11651-49 Deputy Consumer Counselor

## SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS JOHN E. HASELDEN CAUSE NO. 45387 <u>SOUTHERN INDIANA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY</u> <u>D/B/A VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF INDIANA, INC.</u>

# I. INTRODUCTION

1	Q:	Please state your name, business address, and employment capacity.
2	A:	My name is John E. Haselden. My business address is 115 West Washington Street,
3		Suite 1500 South, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204.
4	Q:	Are you the same John E. Haselden that filed direct testimony in this Cause?
5	A:	Yes.
6	Q:	What is the purpose of your settlement testimony?
7	A:	I provide a summary of and support for the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement
8		("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement") reached by the OUCC, Southern Indiana
9		Gas And Electric Company ("Vectren" or "Vectren South"), and the Citizens
10		Action Coalition ("CAC") (collectively referred to as the "Settling Parties"), in this
11		proceeding. The Settlement Agreement allows Vectren to move forward with a
12		modified of word version its proposed DSM Plan. The OUCC concludes the
13		Settlement Agreement is reasonable and in the public interest and recommends the
14		Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") approve the Settlement
15		Agreement in its entirety.
16 17	Q:	What have you done to prepare your testimony supporting the Settlement Agreement?
18	A:	I reviewed Vectren's verified petition, testimony, and exhibits in this proceeding.
19		I also reviewed Vectren's responses to data requests submitted by the OUCC and
20		CAC. I filed testimony on behalf of the OUCC and participated in technical

1		discussions with Vectren staff as well as numerous settlement negotiation sessions.
2		I reviewed the Settlement Agreement, as well as the Company's settlement
3		testimony.
4	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits?
5	A.	Yes, together with Vectren witness Rina Harris, I am sponsoring Joint Exhibit 1,
6		which is a copy of the Settlement Agreement. This exhibit will be offered into
7		evidence at the hearing.
8	Q:	Did all parties in the case reach settlement?
9	A:	Yes.
10	Q:	On whose behalf are you testifying?
10	Q٠	On whose behan are you testifying.
10	Q. A:	I am testifying on behalf of the OUCC. The Settling Parties have afforded each
	_	
11	_	I am testifying on behalf of the OUCC. The Settling Parties have afforded each
11 12	_	I am testifying on behalf of the OUCC. The Settling Parties have afforded each other an opportunity to comment on the settlement testimony prior to its filing.
11 12 13	_	I am testifying on behalf of the OUCC. The Settling Parties have afforded each other an opportunity to comment on the settlement testimony prior to its filing. When parties enter into compromise, they can have different reasons for doing so.
11 12 13 14	_	I am testifying on behalf of the OUCC. The Settling Parties have afforded each other an opportunity to comment on the settlement testimony prior to its filing. When parties enter into compromise, they can have different reasons for doing so. The settlement testimony seeks to explain the negotiated terms and presents each
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> </ol>	_	I am testifying on behalf of the OUCC. The Settling Parties have afforded each other an opportunity to comment on the settlement testimony prior to its filing. When parties enter into compromise, they can have different reasons for doing so. The settlement testimony seeks to explain the negotiated terms and presents each Settling Party's perspective as to why the Settlement Agreement is reasonable, in
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ol>	_	I am testifying on behalf of the OUCC. The Settling Parties have afforded each other an opportunity to comment on the settlement testimony prior to its filing. When parties enter into compromise, they can have different reasons for doing so. The settlement testimony seeks to explain the negotiated terms and presents each Settling Party's perspective as to why the Settlement Agreement is reasonable, in the public interest and should be approved. In this respect, the Settling Parties may

# II. <u>SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT</u>

20 Q: Please summarize the Settlement Agreement.
21 A: The Settlement Agreement addresses a wide array of issues, including:

Lighting – Lighting plays a major role in the Settlement Agreement. Except
 for the Income Qualified Weatherization ("IQW") Program and School
 Education Program, Vectren will remove light-emitting diode ("LED")
 General Service Lightbulbs ("GSLs") from all other programs in the 2021 2023 Plan. For the IQW GSL LEDs, the baseline bulb for comparison
 purposes shall be the non-Energy Star LED GSL, and the EUL for IQW
 LED GSL bulbs will be two years.

8 These elements relate directly to several other aspects of the 9 Settlement Agreement. Removing GSL LEDs from the Home Energy 10 Assessment ("HEA") causes the program to no longer be cost effective. The 11 Settlement terminates this program effective January 1, 2021 and moves the 12 original HEA budgeted amounts to cost-effective residential programs. The 13 original School Education Program is also affected by the lighting changes. 14 IOW – The Settlement establishes a threshold of 200% above the Federal 15 Poverty Level ("FPL") as the upper-end cap for eligible participants in this 16 program. Funds originally budgeted to enroll customers above the 200% 17 FPL will instead be used to fund the modified School Education Program 18 discussed below. The Settlement Agreement includes a commitment from 19 Vectren South that it will use best efforts to meet the originally-filed energy 20 savings projections of the IQW Program and another from Settling Parties 21 that the Oversight Board ("OSB") will explore ways to improve IQW 22 Program reach and participation by expanding eligibility and verification 23 requirements.

- 1 Modified School Education Program - This program previously relied • 2 heavily on lighting, and an agreement to eliminate GSL LEDs would have 3 caused the program to be non-cost effective. Instead, the Settlement 4 Agreement moves the Modified School Education Program into the 5 Marketing Budget and keeps it fully funded. Funds originally proposed for 6 this program will be shifted to other programs and the Settling Parties, via 7 the OSB, will work to achieve Vectren's pre-filed goal of energy savings 8 equivalent to 1.3% of eligible sales.
- Vectren South's Interruptible Tariff Vectren South agrees to use best
  efforts in working with its OSB to update Vectren South's Interruptible
  Contract ("IC") Rider by the end of calendar year 2020.
- 12 Commercial and Industrial ("C&I) Program Review - Vectren South will • 13 annually review the active account status for each that enrolls, and begins 14 participating, in rebate programs that are associated with programs under the Company's 2021-2023 Plan. "Inactive" accounts will be re-evaluated 15 16 prior to filing the Company's next Demand Side Management Adjustment 17 (DSMA") Rider. For accounts till "inactive", Vectren South will adjust the 18 useful life of measure(s) rebate(s) for purposes of net lost revenue tracking 19 and recovery.
- 20 21
- Lost Revenues The Settlement Agreement contains a cap on lost revenues.

Shareholder Incentives - Vectren South will continue to use a shared

savings mechanism similar in mechanics to its current method.

22

1	Modifications to the mechanism include an increased incentive percentage
2	at the top end and annual credits to ratepayers.

- Carbon Tax Costs associated with the carbon tax included in Vectren's
  IRP are included in program cost-effectiveness calculations. For purposes
  of excluding the impact of the carbon tax when calculating shareholder
  incentives, the Settlement Agreement states there will be an annual \$60,000
  reduction in shareholder incentives.
- 8 Gas Pipeline – Costs associated with yet-to-be constructed natural gas ٠ 9 pipeline included in Vectren's 2019 – 2020 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP)" 10 are included in program cost-effectiveness calculations. Vectren Souths' 11 annual base calculation for shareholder incentives will exclude \$129,279, 12 reflective of the annual avoided capacity costs of excluding the pipeline. In 13 addition, in years when Vectren achieves the 110% achievement level and 14 earns the top-tier 13% incentive, shareholder incentives will be reduced by 15 an additional \$129,679.

16 Q: Please describe the Settlement Agreement's benefits as the OUCC views them.
17 A: From the OUCC's perspective, there are numerous benefits including:

Lighting – Removing GSL LEDs from all programs other than IQW
 Program and Modified School Education Program will save ratepayers
 hundreds of thousands of dollars annually as they no longer will pay for
 program administrative costs, program marketing costs, overhead, lost
 revenues or shareholder incentives. Because LED GSLs are now the
 predominantly available bulb in the market, customer access is generally

not an issue, and retail prices are at or below other bulb options on a dollarper lumen equivalent. While eliminating GSL LEDs rendered the HEA
Program non-cost effective and led to its termination, those dollars will to
be reallocated to other programs that are potentially more cost effective.
The commitment by the Settling Parties (who make up the OSB) to
collaboratively work to recoup energy savings originally targeted with
bulbs was a critical component for an all-party Settlement.

- IQW Retaining GSL LEDs in the IQW Program continues delivering
   energy-efficient bulbs to customers who stand to benefit most. Since many
   bulbs in the IQW Program are direct-installs, we can guarantee that those
   bulbs are replacing less-efficient incandescent or halogen bulbs. The new
   non-Energy Star GSL LED baseline and two-year EUL for these bulbs
   significantly reduces the associated lost revenues.
- 14The FPL +200% eligibility cap puts Vectren's program in line with15most other Indiana investor-owned utility low-income programs. The16commitment from all Settling Parties to collaboratively work to recoup17energy savings originally targeted by this program, coupled with exploring18ways to increase participation, is an additional benefit.
- Modified School Education Program Retaining GSL LEDs in the filed
   School Education Program was essential to its cost-effectiveness, but the
   new GSL LED baseline and two-year EUL could have ended the program.
   At the same time, OUCC, CAC and Vectren were all committed to the need
   to continue educating school children about the benefits of energy

1 efficiency. The Settlement Agreement takes a radical new approach to 2 resolve both concerns. By funding the program from the Marketing Budget, 3 Vectren agreed to not recognize any savings for DSM Plan purposes, forego 4 all associated lost revenues and shareholder incentives. By shifting funds 5 originally budgeted to enroll customers above the 200% FPL in the IQW 6 Program, this freed up funds originally budgeted for the filed School 7 Education Program to be re-allocated to other programs to achieve 8 additional cost-effective savings. The modified School Education Program 9 remains robust and continues the Settling Parties' unified goal of energy 10 efficiency education for youth. As an additional benefit, all measures 11 distributed through the program continue to produce the identical per/unit 12 energy savings they would have if they had been funded from a different 13 program. The OUCC commends Vectren South for offering this innovative 14 solution.

- C&I Inactive Customer Review In the current economic environment,
  businesses are failing at increased rates. This element of the Settlement
  Agreement reduces the probability that Vectren will earn ongoing lost
  revenues for C&I measures that were incentivized but are no longer
  providing energy savings. It also provides Vectren protection in that if an
  inactive account is determined to be "active" as part of the second review,
  Vectren will continue to earn lost revenues on the measure(s).
- Lost Revenues The lost revenue cap saves ratepayers from paying any lost
   revenues beyond December 31, 2024.

- Shareholder Incentives Vectren South will continue to use a shared
   savings mechanism similar in mechanics to its current method.
   Modifications to the mechanism include an increased incentive percentage
   at the top end and annual credits to ratepayers.
- Avoided Costs As can be seen from the direct testimonies, avoided costs
   were an issue where each of the Settling Parties had a dramatically different
   perspective. Multiple extensive, intensive negotiating sessions preceded the
   resolution of these issues. As is the case with most hard-fought agreements
   requiring compromises by all parties, everyone hoped for more of what they
   originally wanted, yet also recognize the benefits of the ultimate result.
- 11 • Carbon Tax – The OUCC opposed Vectren shareholders earning an 12 incentive on the carbon tax included in Vectren's IRP. This 13 "avoided cost" would almost certainly not be avoided during the 14 Plan, given Vectren's IRP modeled the carbon tax to occur after the Plan ends. The \$60,000 annual reduction in shareholder incentives 15 16 is a savings for ratepayers that offsets the carbon tax impact without 17 requiring complicated and time-consuming subsequent model runs. 18 Gas Pipeline – The OUCC opposed including theses costs in either 0 19 the cost-effectiveness calculations or shareholder incentives for 20 several reasons. Based on the amounts involved, excluding these 21 costs could have caused significant negative impacts to program 22 cost effectiveness scores. The Settlement Agreement includes the 23 avoided capacity cost of the pipeline in computing program cost

Public's Exhibit No. 1 Cause No. 45387 Page 9 of 11

1 effectiveness. Including the pipeline as an avoided cost for cost-2 effectiveness calculations allows Vectren to continue to provide a 3 robust portfolio of DSM programs in this Plan. The Settlement 4 Agreement saves money for ratepayers as Vectren South will 5 exclude \$129,679 per year from the base calculation of annual 6 shareholder incentives. The parties negotiated this amount to 7 represent the impact of Vectren South's lost incentive opportunity 8 from removing the avoided capacity cost of the pipeline when 9 calculating the net present value of benefits for the purpose of the 10 shareholder incentive. The Settling Parties agreed to a modified 11 shareholder incentive scale, allowing Vectren an opportunity to earn 12 a greater incentive percentage at the top tier. However, in each year 13 Vectren South reaches the new 13% top incentive tier, shareholder 14 incentives will be reduced an additional \$129,679.

# Q: Why is adjusting the baseline bulb to a LED GSL and the new two-year EUL reasonable?

17 A: Using LED GSLs as the comparable baseline bulb provides a more accurate
18 representation of the market transformation to LED GSL bulbs as the
19 predominantly available, most cost-effective choice. Adjusting the EUL for these

1		bulbs for two years is comparable to the average expected life of a halogen bulb, a
2		technology that has already superseded by compact fluorescent light bulbs.
3 4	Q:	Vectren's direct evidence indicates the IQW Program will not be cost- effective. Why does this program remain in the portfolio?
5	A:	The IQW Program is not required to be cost-effective per I.C. 8-1-8.5-10. The
6		Settling Parties also recognize there are societal benefits associated with the IQW
7		program that warrant continued inclusion in Vectren South's DSM portfolio. These
8		programs have long been a means for lower income customers to participate in
9		energy efficiency programs that otherwise would be cost prohibitive to them. In its
10		entirety, the DSM Plan portfolio is cost-effective.

# III. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

## 11 Q: Is the Settlement in the public interest?

12 A: Yes. The Settlement is a reasonable compromise between the positions of the 13 Settling Parties, is in the public interest, and should be approved. The Settlement 14 addresses the issue of offering DSM programs, other than IQW, not expected to be 15 cost effective. The Settlement provides ratepayer protections by limiting the term 16 of lost revenue recovery and a check on the persistence of measures delivered 17 through the C&I Programs to further decrease lost revenues when appropriate. The

1		Settlement also provides significant reductions in shareholder incentives from the
2		resolution of strongly contested avoided cost issues.
3	Q:	Does the Settlement address the concerns raised by the OUCC?
4	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	Does the OUCC accept the terms of the Settlement?
6	A:	Yes. The compromise reached in the Settlement reduces costs recovered from
7		ratepayers. Therefore, the OUCC accepts the terms of the Settlement.
8	Q:	Do you recommend the Commission approve the terms of the Settlement?
9	A:	Yes. The Settlement is in the public interest, and I recommend approval by the
10		Commission.
11	Q:	Does this conclude your settlement testimony?
12	۸.	Vac

12 A: Yes.

## **AFFIRMATION**

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the foregoing representations are true.

John E. Haselden Senior Utility Analyst Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

Cause No. 45387 CenterPoint Energy Company

October 20, 2020 Date:

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that a copy of the OUCC SETTLEMENT TESTIMONY FILING OF

JOHN E. HASELDEN PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 1 has been served upon the following parties

of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic service on October 20, 2020.

VSE Heather A. Watts Justin C. Hage CENTERPOINT ENERGY COMPANY heather.watts@centerpointenergy.com justin.hage@centerpointenergy.com <u>CAC</u> Jennifer A. Washburn <u>CITIZENS ACTION COALITION</u> <u>jwashburn@citact.org</u>

mer

Jeffrey M. Reed Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

115 West Washington Street
Suite 1500 South
Indianapolis, IN 46204
infomgt@oucc.in.gov
317/232-2494 – Telephone
317/232-5923 – Facsimile