FILED
July 27, 2018
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITION OF JACKSON COUNTY WATER)	
UTILITY, INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO ISSUE)	CAUSE NO. 44986
LONG TERM DEBT AND CHANGES TO ITS)	
RATES, CHARGES AND TARIFF)	

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF EARL L. RIDLEN, III PHASE II

ON BEHALF OF JACKSON COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF EARL L. RIDLEN, III; PHASE II ON BEHALF OF JACKSON COUNTY WATER UTILITY, INC.

1	1.	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2		A.	My name is Earl L. Ridlen, III; my business address is 1776 North Meridian,
3			Suite 500, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202.
4	2.	Q.	What is your occupation?
5		A.	I am a certified public accountant specializing in public utility matters.
6	3.	Q.	Are you affiliated with any accounting or financial advisory firms?
7		A.	Yes I am. I am currently affiliated with LWG CPA's and Advisors ("LWG")
8			where I serve as the managing Partner.
9	4.	Q.	On whose behalf are you appearing and offering testimony?
10		A.	The Petitioner, Jackson County Water Utility Inc.
11	5.	Q.	Would you please describe your educational background and professional
12			qualifications which you deem relevant to the testimony you offer here?
13		A.	I hold a Bachelor of Arts degree from Franklin College with a major in
14			accounting. I was employed by various accounting firms prior to working with
15			LWG. My professional work has included auditing, advice on tax issues, advice
16			on financing, and other projects typically performed by certified public
17			accountants and financial advisors. To date I have represented numerous utilities
18			throughout the Midwest. I am a member of the Indiana CPA Society, the
19			American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and I am a Director on the
20			Board for the National Society of Accountants for Cooperatives.

1	6.	Q.	Have you previously offered testimony in this Cause?
2		A.	Yes, I offered testimony in Phase I of this Cause.
3	7.	Q.	What was Phase I?
4 5		Α.	Phase I was the portion of this Cause which authorized Petitioner to issue long term debt.
6	8.	Q.	Did the Petition, which initiated this Cause, describe these two phases?
7		A.	Yes.
8	9.	Q.	Did the Commission's Order in Phase I authorize the filing of this Phase II?
9		A.	Yes it did.
10	10.	Q.	Has the Petitioner completed the long term debt financing?
11		A.	Petitioner is in the process of closing on the Commission authorized debt with the
12			Indiana Finance Authority's State Revolving Fund ("SRF"). We expect to close
13			by the end of August 2018.
14	11.	Q.	Mr. Ridlen what do you expect the final terms of the SRF debt will be at the
15			closing?
16		A.	Based on discussions with the professionals representing the SRF, we expect to
17			borrow \$6,680,000; for a loan term of 35 years; at an average interest rate of
18			2.300%. The final terms will be set just before closing.
19	12.	Q.	Will the Petitioner file information on the actual terms following closing?
20		A.	Yes it will. Further I believe that information can be filed in this Cause within 30
21			days following the closing, which should be well before the Indiana Office of
22			Utility Consumer Counselor (OUCC) or Intervenor Brown County Water
23			(Intervenor) would file in this Phase II.

- 1 13. Q. For purposes of the revenue requirement that you have filed in this Phase II,
 2 what terms for the borrowing from SRF did you use?
- A. I used the principal amount of \$6,680,000 borrowed over a period of 35 years at an average interest rate of 2.300%.
- 5 14. Q. Mr. Ridlen these terms appear different from that previously authorized by the Commission. Is that accurate?
- A. Yes, they are lower. The Commission will recall that in Phase I, it authorized the

 Petitioner to borrow up to \$7,500,000; for a period no greater than 35 years, at an

 average interest rate of 2.80%. Based on very good bids and discussions we have

 had with SRF, I have reduced the amount to be borrowed to \$6,680,000. For the

 interest rate, I have used an interest rate which should not be exceeded by the time

 Petitioner closes.
- 13 15. Q. Is Petitioner requesting to reduce its authority granted in Phase I by the revenue requirement you have now filed?
- 15 A. No. Petitioner is simply recognizing that it has new information since Phase I.
- 16 16. Q. Mr. Ridlen, how do you propose to true up the revenue requirement following closing with SRF?
- A. I suggest that the true up occur as part of Petitioner's Rebuttal filing on November
 28, 2018. This will allow the Petitioner to incorporate any positions of the OUCC
 and/or Brown County Water with which the Petitioner agrees in that revenue
 requirement. The filing of an update in the rebuttal testimony will also afford the
 Commission an opportunity to consider the actual terms of the SRF loan and the

1			impact of that loan on the Petitioner's revenue requirement in the final Phase II
2			Order in this Cause.
3	17.	Q.	What if the closing with SRF is delayed until after Petitioner's Rebuttal?
4		A.	I would recommend that Petitioner file a true up related to the borrowing as soon
5			as possible, but no later than 30 days after closing, if the SRF closing is delayed
6			beyond Petitioner's Rebuttal filing.
7	18.	Q.	Mr. Ridlen, did you or your firm review the books and records of the
8			Petitioner prior to the filing of this Phase II base rate increase?
9		A.	Yes, we performed an audit of Petitioner's books and records for the calendar
10			year ended December 31, 2017. Thus the starting point for this Phase II filing
11			were those audited results.
12	19.	Q.	Does Petitioner need to increase its rates in this Phase II proceeding?
13		A.	Yes it does. It should increase its base rates by at least 5.73% in order to provide
14			sufficient new revenue.
15	20.	Q.	Have you prepared or caused to be prepared any rate report or accounting
16			schedules which reflect the conclusions you have drawn relative to an
17			increase in current rates?
18		A.	Yes. Attached as Exhibit ELR-1 is that rate report with accompanying accounting
19			schedules which support my conclusions that Petitioner should increase its rates
20			to provide sufficient revenue to meet its expected operating expenses occurring by
21			December 31, 2018

- 1 21. Q. Please identify the specific exhibits and schedules included in ELR-1 on which your testimony focuses?
- A. My testimony will focus on Exhibits A, B, C, D, E, F, and G, and the related Schedules B-1, B-2, C-1, C-2, E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4 and E-5 included in Exhibit ELR-1.
- 6 22. Q. Can you please explain what is included in Exhibits A and B, and the related
 7 Schedules B-1 and B-2?
- 8 Yes. Exhibit A and B show the audited Comparative Balance Sheets and A. 9 Statements of Revenue for the Petitioner. This historical information, without 10 adjustment, is presented as of December 31, 2017 and 2016. The twelve months 11 ended December 31, 2017, is the test year described in our Petition and approved 12 by the Commission's Order in Phase I of February 21, 2018. The books and 13 records of Petitioner are kept in accordance with the uniform system of accounts 14 as prescribed by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. 15 This uniform system of accounts conforms in all material respects with generally 16 accepted accounting principles as applied to rate regulated utilities. The books and records are the representations of the management of the Petitioner, and are the 17 18 starting point for our fixed, known, and measurable accounting adjustments. 19 Schedules B-1 and B-2 are the supporting details for the major Statements of 20 Revenue elements.
- 21 23. Q. Mr. Ridlen, please explain what is included in Exhibit C.
- A. Exhibit C presents the "Pro-forma Statement of Revenue." It reflects the results of operations under differing assumptions. The column titled "Twelve Months

Ended 12/31/2017," reflects the actual per book results of Petitioner's operations for the test year. The column titled "Pro-Forma Present Rates," reflects the result of operations after adjustments for all fixed, known and measurable elements. The column titled "Pro-Forma Proposed Rates," reflects the projected result of operations based upon a recommended increase of approximately 5.73%. The "Adjustments" reflected on Exhibit C are detailed in Schedules C-1 and C-2.

7 24. Q. Please describe the adjustments contained in Schedule C-1?

A.

Schedule C-1 shows the fixed known and measurable adjustments required to bring the operating revenues and expenses from test year totals to pro-forma present totals. Adjustments Nos. 2, 3, and 4 are comprised of several adjustments to "Operating Revenues."

Adjustment No. 2 is normalizing the operating revenue of the Petitioner based on the increase in customers in the test year, by increasing the revenue included in the test year in the amount \$5,248. This represents an increase of 131 bills.

Adjustment No. 3 is decreasing the revenue included in the test year by (\$3,557) to remove non-recurring revenues related to the sale of scrap metal.

Adjustment No. 4 is increasing annual revenue for projected customer growth by \$69,816 from new projects. The amount is the average yearly revenue growth over the next five years based upon the best known projections of customers who will be hooking on to the system. The average number of added bills from new projects is expected to be 1,743 per year over the next five years.

Adjustments Nos. 1, 5, 6, 7 8, 9, 10, and 11 are comprised of several adjustments to "Operating Expenses."

Adjustment No. 1 is increasing depreciation expense by \$128,100 for the additional plant placed into service.

Adjustment No. 5 is increasing operating expenses by \$13,833 representing the amortization of the estimated rate case expenses of \$69,163. This is the amount of rate case expenses not funded by debt as well as prior remaining unamortized expense. These amounts will be amortized over a 5 year period.

Adjustment No. 6 increasing operating expenses associated with the IDEM fee by \$217 to account for the increase in customers in the test year.

Adjustment No. 7 is increasing operating expenses associated with the payroll expense by \$41,634. This increase is made up of two separate components influencing the payroll of the Petitioner. First, the Petitioner has hired an additional employee in 2018. This resulted in an increase of \$35,360. The second component of the adjustment is increasing payroll for the payroll increase to be applied in 2018. This component amounted to a \$6,274 increase in operating expenses, and was based upon the increased wages which will occur in calendar year 2018.

Adjustment No. 8 is increasing the operating expenses associated employee benefits by \$2,082. The Petitioner sponsors a defined contribution plan for its employees, and currently contributes 5% of annual salary to each employee. The adjustment is based upon the increased payroll as calculated in Adjustment No. 7, multiplied by this annual contribution.

Adjustment No. 9 is increasing the operating expenses associated with employee benefits by \$15,470, to reflect the change in employee health insurance as provided by the Petitioner's Insurance provider.

Adjustment No. 10 is increasing the operating expenses associated with the payroll taxes by \$3,185. This increase represents the impact of the employer portion of payroll taxes on the increase in payroll.

Adjustment No. 11 is increasing the operating expenses associated with the IURC fee by \$171. This is based on the increase in operating revenues from the adjustments on Schedule C-1.

10 25. Q. Can you please describe the adjustments contained in Schedule C-2?

A.

A. Yes. Schedule C-2 shows the adjustments necessary to increase pro-forma present revenues to potentially meet the revenue requirement. Adjustment A is the requested increase in operating revenues related to that request. Adjustment B is the resulting increase in the IURC fee for the increase in revenues.

26. Q. Mr. Ridlen, what is Exhibit D and what do the various line items represent in that Exhibit?

Exhibit D is the Statement of Annual Cash Requirements of the Petitioner. Petitioner's counsel of record has discussed with me that Petitioner's rates and charges are set pursuant to Indiana Code § 8-1-2-125. This statute sets forth the elements that should be considered in establishing a revenue requirement for a not-for-profit utility such as the Petitioner. Line 1 represents the total of the individual operating expense elements and was taken from Exhibit C at the Proforma Proposed rate amounts. Line 2 represents the requirement for extensions

and replacements, and is based on projects and equipment necessary for the Petitioner not covered in the recent debt obtained from the State Revolving Fund ("SRF"). This amount is equal to what is currently included in the base rates of the utility. Line 3 represents the tax expense which is taken from Exhibit C. Line 4 represents the debt service requirement and is detailed in Exhibit E. Line 5 represents the debt service reserve requirement as required by the individual debt agreements based on a five year funding requirement, and the calculation has been included as Exhibit F. Line 6 represents interest income, and is drawn directly from the Petitioner's audited books and records without adjustment. Line 7 represents the total of the above statutorily prescribed revenue requirement elements indicating that \$3,711,197 is required. Line 8 describes the adjusted current revenues of \$3,510,331 from water sales. Line 10 represents the increase in the IURC fee based on these pro-forma adjustments indicating that an additional \$271 is necessary. Lines 9 and 11 effectively compare the required revenue to the current revenue indicating that an increase of \$201,135 is necessary, which represents a 5.73% increase in adjusted pro-forma present revenue.

27. Q. Please describe Exhibit E?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A. Exhibit E is a summary of the debt service of the outstanding debt of the Petitioner, including the additional SRF Debt from Phase I of this cause. Detail of the individual debt components is shown on Schedules E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4 and E-5.

23 28. O. Please describe Exhibit F?

- A. Exhibit F is a summary of the debt service reserve funding requirements of the
 Petitioner. The funding requirement, as mentioned before, is based on a 5 year
 period pursuant to loan agreements.
- 4 29. Q. Please describe Exhibit G?
- A. Exhibit G is the "Schedule of Present and Proposed Rates". This Exhibit is designed to show the application of the proposed rate increase on an across-the-board basis to all the existing rates. I have also added a proposed Tariff which shows the changes in Exhibit G as applied to the current Tariff.
- 9 30. Q. Mr. Ridlen, do you believe that an increase in base rates of 5.73% is reasonable?
- 11 A. Yes I do.
- 12 31. Q. Mr. Ridlen, does this conclude your prefiled direct testimony in this case?
- 13 A. Yes it does.

14

VERIFICATION

I affirm under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Pre-filed Direct Testimony is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief as of the date here filed.

Farl I. Ridlen, III

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following counsel of record by electronic mail this 27th day of July, 2018.

Mark W. Cooper Attorney at Law, No. 4139-49 1449 N. College Ave. Indianapolis, IN 46202 attymcooper@indyrr.com Daniel M. LeVay
Indiana Office of Utility Consumer
Counselor
115 West Washington Street, Suite 1500S
Indianapolis, IN 46204
dlevay@oucc.in.gov
infomgt@oucc.in.gov

L. Parvin Price