

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITION OF INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT)
COMPANY D/B/A AES INDIANA (“AES INDIANA”) FOR)
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE RATES AND CHARGES FOR)
ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICE, AND FOR APPROVAL)
OF RELATED RELIEF, INCLUDING (1) REVISED)
DEPRECIATION RATES, (2) ACCOUNTING RELIEF,)
INCLUDING DEFERRALS AND AMORTIZATIONS, (3))
INCLUSION OF CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, (4) RATE)
ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM PROPOSALS, INCLUDING)
NEW ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT RIDER, (5) REMOTE)
DISCONNECT/RECONNECT PROCESS, AND (6) NEW)
SCHEDULES OF RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS)
FOR SERVICE.)

CAUSE NO. 45911

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

PUBLIC’S EXHIBIT NO. 7

REDACTED TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS

CYNTHIA M. ARMSTRONG

OCTOBER 12, 2023

Respectfully submitted,



T. Jason Haas
Attorney No. 34983-29
Deputy Consumer Counselor

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CYNTHIA M. ARMSTRONG
CAUSE NO. 45911
INDIANAPOLIS POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY D/B/A AES INDIANA**

1 **Q: Please state your name and business address.**

2 A: My name is Cynthia M. Armstrong, and my business address is 115 W. Washington
3 St., Suite 1500 South, Indianapolis, IN, 46204.

4 **Q: By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

5 A: I am employed as an Assistant Director in the Electric Division for the Indiana
6 Office of Utility Consumer Counselor (“OUCC”). A summary of my qualifications
7 can be found in Appendix A.

8 **Q: Have you previously provided testimony to the Indiana Utility Regulatory
9 Commission (“Commission”)?**

10 A: Yes.

I. INTRODUCTION

11 **Q: What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

12 A: The purpose of my testimony is to present an overview of the OUCC’s position
13 regarding Indianapolis Power and Light Company d/b/a AES Indiana’s (“AES
14 Indiana” or “Petitioner”) requests to track consumables and nitrogen oxide (“NOx”)
15 emission allowance costs associated with its coal and natural gas generating
16 facilities. I also address the OUCC’s agreement with AES Indiana’s pro forma
17 adjustment to non-outage operations and maintenance expense (“O&M”) to remove
18 Petersburg Unit 2’s and Eagle Valley’s Extended Outage costs, consistent with the
19 Settlement Agreement approved in Cause No. 38703 FAC 133S1.

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

1 My recommendations include acceptance of certain adjustments requested by AES
2 Indiana, removal of test year emission allowance inventory from materials and
3 supplies, and a ratepayer credit for test year revenues associated with NOx Seasonal
4 Allowance sales.

5 **Q: What did you do to prepare for your testimony?**

6 A: I reviewed the Verified Petition, Direct Testimony, Exhibits, Data Responses and
7 Confidential Documents AES Indiana submitted in this Cause. I also reviewed AES
8 Indiana's generating unit emissions data reported on the U.S. Environmental
9 Protection Agency's ("EPA") Clean Air Markets Program Database.

10 **Q: To the extent you do not address a specific item, does this mean you agree with**
11 **those portions of AES Indiana's proposal?**

12 A: No. Excluding any specific adjustments or amounts AES Indiana proposes does not
13 indicate my approval of those adjustments or amounts. Rather, the scope of my
14 testimony is limited to the specific items addressed herein.

15 **II. REQUEST TO TRACK CONSUMABLES**

16 **Q: Please summarize AES Indiana's request regarding consumables in this**
17 **Cause.**

18 A: AES Indiana proposes to establish an \$18.403 million benchmark for consumables
19 and track annual amounts above and below this benchmark through the
20 Environmental Cost Recovery Rate Adjustment ("ECCRA"). Consumables include
21 ammonia, limestone, coal combustion residuals disposal, and other chemicals and
22 sorbents necessary for pollution controls. AES Indiana based this benchmark by
23 averaging its forecasted 2023 and 2024 consumable expense. The Company also
24 removed consumable expense associated with Petersburg Unit 2, as it was retired

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

1 at the end of May 2023. The test year amount for consumables was \$26.175 million,
2 and AES Indiana is proposing a \$7.772 million reduction to test year O&M to
3 account for removing Petersburg Unit 2 and 2023-2024 average consumables
4 (Adjustment OM-5).

5 **Q: Have other utilities requested and received approval for tracking consumables**
6 **associated with their coal and natural gas generating units?**

7 A: Yes. Indiana Michigan Power Company ("I&M"), Duke Energy Indiana ("DEI"),
8 and Northern Indiana Public Service Company ("NIPSCO") have all received
9 approval to track consumable costs above and below the test year embedded
10 amount through their environmental trackers.¹

11 **Q: Do you take issue with AES Indiana's proposal?**

12 A: No. However, I recommend a lower benchmark than AES Indiana proposes. I
13 recommend using AES Indiana's 2023 forecasted consumables cost of \$15.523
14 million.² This benchmark excludes 2023 forecasted consumables attributed to
15 Petersburg Unit 2 operations. AES Indiana indicates the forecasted 2023 and 2024
16 consumables costs were derived from its Production Cost Model.³ Since AES
17 Indiana uses a historical test year ending December 31, 2022, it is inappropriate to
18 consider any timeframe beyond the 12 months following this date. Additionally,
19 forecasts tend to be less reliable the further they are in the future. Therefore, I
20 excluded the 2024 forecasted consumables from consideration in the benchmark.

¹ I&M Consumables Requests: Cause No. 45235, Final Order, pp. 99-100 (Mar. 11, 2020).

DEI Consumables Request: Cause No. 45253, Final Order, p. 140 (June 29, 2020).

NIPSCO Consumables tracking: Cause No. 45572, Final Order, pp. 17-18, 41 (Aug. 2, 2023).

² AES Indiana Financial Exhibits and Workpapers, Schedule OM 5-WP 2.

³ OUCC Attachment CMA-1, AES Indiana's Response to OUCC Data Request 16-3.

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

1 Using the 2023 forecasted consumables benchmark results in a \$2.88
2 million reduction to AES Indiana's proposed test year consumables expense,
3 generating the \$15.523 million adjusted amount.

4 **III. EMISSION ALLOWANCE COSTS AND SALES**

5 **Q: Please summarize AES Indiana's request regarding its NOx Allowance costs.**

6 A: AES Indiana proposes to remove 100% of Seasonal NOx Allowance expense from
7 the test year and to track any future NOx Seasonal Allowance purchases or sales
8 through the ECCRA. AES Indiana's Adjustment OM-8 reduces test year O&M by
9 \$914,000 to remove test year allowance expense. AES Indiana recommends 100%
10 tracking, as it currently does not foresee a need to purchase NOx Seasonal
11 allowances for compliance in the future.

12 **Q: Do you find AES Indiana's proposal acceptable?**

13 A: Yes. AES Indiana's Seasonal NOx emissions are likely to be lower than the zero-
14 cost allowances allocated to its generating units annually.⁴ AES Indiana's annual
15 emissions should decrease further as the Petersburg coal units are retired or
16 converted to natural gas. Therefore, AES Indiana will not likely need to purchase
17 allowances for compliance in the forthcoming years.

18 However, if emissions allowance costs are removed from the test year, the
19 associated emission allowance inventory should also be removed from its test year
20 Materials and Supplies balance, as AES Indiana's allowance inventory are unlikely
21 to remain at the levels experienced during the test year.

⁴ OUCC Attachment CMA-2, AES Indiana NOx Seasonal Emissions and Allowance Allocations.

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

1 **Q: What amount does AES Indiana include in the test year for emission**
2 **allowances?**

3 A: AES Indiana uses a 13-month average to determine its test year Materials and
4 Supplies inventory. The 13-month average for emission allowance inventory is
5 \$648,592.⁵ However, as of July 31, 2023, AES Indiana's emission allowance
6 inventory decreased to \$ [REDACTED]⁶

7 **Q: Is there a reason emission allowance inventory during the test year is higher**
8 **than 2023 allowance inventory?**

9 A: Yes. AES Indiana made two Seasonal NOx allowance purchases that significantly
10 increased the value of its Seasonal NOx allowance inventory. These purchases
11 occurred during the time when Seasonal NOx allowance prices increased
12 significantly due to the EPA's Cross State Air Pollution Rule ("CSAPR") Update
13 and proposed Good Neighbor Rule placing additional restrictions on CSAPR
14 Seasonal NOx emissions. Since AES Indiana made these purchases, it continued
15 consuming the remaining allowances in inventory without needing to purchase
16 additional allowances for compliance. As mentioned previously, AES Indiana's
17 emissions are likely to be less than the zero cost allowances allocated to the
18 company in future years, decreasing the need for purchases. Therefore, AES
19 Indiana is likely to consume the higher cost NOx Seasonal Allowances remaining
20 in inventory within the next year, and the test year emission allowance inventory is
21 not representative of what AES Indiana's allowance inventory will be going
22 forward.

⁵ OUCC Attachment CMA-3.

⁶ OUCC Attachment CMA-4, AES Indiana Confidential Response to OUCC DR 13-3.

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

1 **Q: Do you have any other issues regarding AES Indiana's emission allowances?**

2 A: Yes. AES Indiana sold several Seasonal NOx Allowances in December 2022,
3 which resulted in a \$4,357,500 net gain during the test year. AES Indiana removes
4 this amount from test year revenues in Adjustment REV-8. While I do not have an
5 issue with removing these revenues from the test year, as there is no guarantee AES
6 Indiana will continue having allowance sales in future years, ratepayers should
7 receive a credit for a portion of these sales.

8 In Cause No. 42170, Indianapolis Power and Light ("IPL") and the OUCC
9 agreed that IPL would share 80% of the net proceeds of emission allowance sales
10 with customers, and the Commission approved this Settlement Agreement.⁷ Given
11 the emission allowance costs AES Indiana plans to track through the ECCRA are a
12 result of the expensive allowances it purchased during the test year, it is appropriate
13 that ratepayers receive the benefit associated with their sale as they were
14 historically treated.

15 **Q: What do you recommend regarding test-year allowance proceeds?**

16 A: I recommend a \$2,572,000 one-time credit be amortized over the first twelve
17 months in the first ECR filing following the Commission's 's approved order in this
18 Cause.⁸ The amount of this credit represents 80% of the test year allowance
19 proceeds less test year emission allowance costs and is consistent with the historical
20 allowance sales sharing agreement approved in Cause No. 42170.

⁷ Cause No. 42170, Final Order (November 14, 2002), pp. 11-12, and Settlement Agreement, Term 2(f)(iii).
Note: The OUCC is not offering this settlement as precedent, but requests allowance proceeds be treated in
the manner these revenues were historically treated for NOx Compliance, as approved in Cause No. 42170.

⁸ OUCC Attachment CMA-5, Calculation of Emission Allowance Sale Proceeds Credit.

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

IV. ADJUSTMENT TO NON-OUTAGE O&M COSTS

1 **Q: Please summarize AES Indiana's proposed non-outage O&M.**

2 A: In Adjustment OM-7, AES Indiana proposes to adjust non-outage generation test
3 year O&M to remove \$1.3 million related to the Eagle Valley extended outage,
4 pursuant to the Cause No. 38703 FAC 133S1 Settlement Agreement. It also
5 removes \$12.2 million of Petersburg Unit 2's actual 2022 non-outage O&M spend
6 to account for its retirement in May 2023. Adjustment OM-7 results in a \$13.5
7 million decrease to test year O&M.

8 **Q: Does the OUCC agree with this adjustment?**

9 A: Yes. It is reasonable to remove Petersburg Unit 2's actual non-outage O&M from
10 the test year, as it will not be operating in the future. It is also reasonable to remove
11 \$1.3 million O&M related to the Eagle Valley extended outage as agreed to in the
12 Settlement Agreement the Commission approved in Cause No. 38703 FAC 133S1.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

13 **Q: Please summarize your recommendations.**

14 A: I recommend the Commission:

- 15 1. Accept AES Indiana's proposal to track consumables above and below a
16 benchmark. However, this benchmark should be lowered to \$15.523 million to
17 reflect only 2023 forecasted consumables, which decreases Adjustment OM-5
18 by \$2.88 million.
- 19 2. Regarding emission allowance costs and revenues:

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

- 1 a. Accept AES Indiana's Adjustment OM-8 and its proposal to remove test
2 year emission allowance costs and track 100% of these costs through the
3 ECR. This results in a \$914,000 decrease to test year O&M.
- 4 b. Remove test year emission allowance inventory from materials and
5 supplies, which is based on a 13-month average. This results in a \$648,592
6 decrease to test year rate base.
- 7 c. Require AES Indiana to credit ratepayers for 80% of test year revenues
8 associated with NOx Seasonal Allowance sales in excess of test year
9 allowance costs, based on historical treatment of emission allowance
10 proceeds contained in the Settlement Agreement approved in Cause No.
11 42170. The \$2,572,000 credit should be passed through the ECCRA over
12 the 12-month period following an approved final order in this Cause.
- 13 3. Accept AES Indiana's Adjustment OM-7 to remove non-outage O&M costs
14 related to the Eagle Valley extended outage and Petersburg Unit 2's operation
15 from the test year. This results in a \$13.5 million decrease to test year O&M.

16 **Q: Does this conclude your testimony?**

17 **A:** Yes.

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

APPENDIX A

1 **Q: Summarize your professional background and experience.**

2 A: I graduated from the University of Evansville in 2004 with a Bachelor of Science
3 degree in Environmental Administration. I graduated from Indiana University,
4 Bloomington in May 2007 with a Master of Public Affairs degree, and a Master of
5 Science degree in Environmental Science. I have also completed internships with
6 the Environmental Affairs Department at Vectren in the spring of 2004, with the
7 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in the summer of 2005, and with the U.S.
8 Department of the Interior in the summer of 2006. During my final year at Indiana
9 University, I served as a research and teaching assistant for a Capstone course
10 offered at the School of Public and Environmental Affairs. I also obtained my
11 OSHA Hazardous Operations and Emergency Response (“HAZWOPER”)
12 Certification. I have been employed by the OUCC since May 2007. During my
13 time at the OUCC, I was promoted to a Senior Utility Analyst in 2012, to a Chief
14 Technical Advisor in June 2022, and to an Assistant Director in August 2023. As
15 part of my continuing education at the OUCC, I attended both weeks of the National
16 Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners’ (“NARUC”) seminar in East
17 Lansing, Michigan, the Indiana Chamber of Commerce’s (“Indiana COC’s”)
18 Environmental Permitting Conference, and the Indiana COC’s annual
19 Environmental Conferences since 2014.

20 **Q: Describe some of your duties at the OUCC.**

** [REDACTED] HIGHLIGHT INDICATES CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

1 A: I review and analyze utilities' requests and file recommendations on behalf of
2 consumers in utility proceedings. Depending on the case at hand, my duties may
3 also include analyzing state and federal regulations, evaluating rate design and
4 tariffs, examining books and records, inspecting facilities, and preparing various
5 studies. Since my expertise lies in environmental science and policy, I assist in
6 many cases where environmental compliance is an issue.

Indianapolis Power & Light Company
d/b/a AES Indiana
Cause No. 45911
AES Indiana's Responses to OUCC DR Set 16

Data Request OUCC DR 16 - 3

Please refer to Adjustment OM-5 and associated workpapers.

- a. If not already provided in AES Indiana's Response to OUCC DR 13-1, please provide the monthly O&M recorded per consumable for each plant for the calendar years 2018 through 2022.
- b. If not already provided in AES Indiana's Response to OUCC DR 13-1, please provide the monthly O&M recorded per consumable at each plant for 2023 to date.
- c. How did AES Indiana determine forecasted consumable O&M for 2023 and 2024? Please provide all supporting documentation, calculations, and methodology for determining 2023 and 2024 forecasted consumable expense by generating plant.

Objection:

AES Indiana objects to the request on the grounds and to the extent the request seeks an analysis, compilation, calculation, or study that AES Indiana has not performed and to which AES Indiana objects to performing. AES Indiana further objects to the request on the grounds and to the extent the request is overly broad and unduly burdensome, particularly to the extent the request seeks "all" "supporting documentation, calculations, and methodology". Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, AES Indiana provides the following response.

Response:

- a. OUCC DR 16-3 Attachment 1, tab 1 "Consumables 2018-2022 by Month" contains the monthly O&M information requested for the years 2018, 2020-2022. 2019 cannot be broken down into the categories requested monthly due to a change in accounting software in 2019.
- b. OUCC DR 16-3 Attachment 1, tab 2 "YTD 2023" contains year to date consumables by month through July 2023.
- c. The Company determined the forecasted consumable O&M for 2023 and 2024 using the production cost model to estimate expected generation at each plant. Second, the model output was then divided into retail and wholesale allocations to determine the amount of MWh generated for retail customers. Given the MWh output and assumed fuel characteristics for each unit the cost of consumables was calculated.

Cause No. 45911
OUCG Attachment CMA-2
Excel Document Submitted on CD

Table of Contents

Tab 1: AES Indiana Monthly Seasonal NOx Emissions, 2018-2023

Tab 2: AES Indiana Emissions Data Extracted from the EPA Clean Air Markets Program Database
("CAMPD")

Tab 3: AES Indiana 2023-2024 Group 3 Seasonal NOx Allowance Holdings Data Extracted from CAMPD

Cause No. 45911
OUCG Attachment CMA-3
Excel Document Submitted on CD

**Calculation of AES Indiana Emission Allowance Inventory to Be Removed from
Rate Base**

Indianapolis Power & Light Company
d/b/a AES Indiana
Cause No. 45911
AES Indiana Responses to OUCC DR Set 13

Data Request OUCC DR 13 - 3

For NO_x, NO_x seasonal, and SO₂ allowances, please provide the following monthly inventory information for the past five years:

- a. Beginning number of allowances in inventory and beginning inventory value;
- b. Number of allowances additions or adjusted, as well as the value of any such addition or adjustment;
- c. Monthly allowance consumption and consumption costs; and
- d. The final inventory allowance balance and value.

Objection:

AES Indiana objects to the request on the grounds and to the extent the request exceeds the scope of this proceeding, particularly to the extent the request seeks information for a five-year period that exceeds the test year, adjustment period, and historical period in this proceeding. AES Indiana further objects to the request on the grounds and to the extent the request seeks information that is confidential, proprietary, competitively sensitive, and/or trade secret. Subject to and without waiver of the foregoing objections, AES Indiana provides the following response, with the confidential information being provided pursuant to the nondisclosure agreements between the parties.

Response:

a–d. Please see [OUCC DR 13-3 Confidential Attachment 1](#).

Cause No. 45911

OUCG Attachment CMA-5

Excel Document Submitted on CD

Calculation of OUCG Recommended Emission Allowance Sale
Credit

AFFIRMATION

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the foregoing representations are true.



Cynthia M. Armstrong

Assistant Director, Electric Division
Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

Cause No. 45911
AES Indiana

October 12, 2023
Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the *Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's Redacted Testimony of Cynthia M. Armstrong* has been served upon the following parties of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic service on October 12, 2023.

Petitioner

Teresa Morton Nyhart
T. Joseph Wendt
Jeffrey M. Peabody
Lauren Aguilar
Janet Nichols

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

tnyhart@btlaw.com
jwendt@btlaw.com
jpeabody@btlaw.com
laguilar@btlaw.com
Janet.Nichols@btlaw.com

COURTESY COPIES TO:

Nicholas M. Grimmer
AES US SERVICES LLC
nick.grimmer@aes.com

Kristi Figg
Austin Baker
AES INDIANA
kristi.figg@aes.com
austin.baker@aes.com

CAC

Jennifer A. Washburn
Reagan Kurtz
CAC OF IN, INC.
jwashburn@citact.org
rkurtz@citact.org

Industrial Group

Joseph P. Rompala
Aaron A. Schmoll
LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.
JRompala@lewis-kappes.com
ASchmoll@lewis-kappes.com
Courtesy copy to:
ATyler@lewis-kappes.com
ETennant@lewis-Kappes.com

City of Indianapolis

Anne E. Becker
LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.
ABecker@Lewis-Kappes.com
Courtesy copy to:
ATyler@lewis-kappes.com

Kroger

Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
KBoehm@BKLLawfirm.com
JKylerCohn@BKLLawfirm.com

John P. Cook, Esq.
JOHN P. COOK & ASSOCIATES
john.cookassociates@earthlink.net

Justin Bieber
ENERGY STRATEGIES, LLC
jbieber@energystrat.com

Walmart

Eric E. Kinder
Barry A. Naum
Steven W. Lee
SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC
ekinder@spilmanlaw.com
bnaum@spilmanlaw.com
slee@spilmanlaw.com



T. Jason Haas
Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

PNC Center

115 West Washington Street, Suite 1500 South
Indianapolis, IN 46204
infomgt@oucc.in.gov
thaas@oucc.in.gov
317.232.2494 – Telephone
317.232.3315 – Direct
317.232.5923 – Facsimile