FILED
February 15, 2023
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

# SOUTHERN INDIANA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a CENTERPOINT ENERGY INDIANA SOUTH (CEI SOUTH)

**IURC CAUSE NO. 38708 FAC 138** 

PETITIONER'S

EXHIBIT NO.

Y-)2-3

DATE

REPORTER

**DIRECT TESTIMONY** 

OF

**WAYNE D. GAMES** 

**VICE PRESIDENT POWER GENERATION OPERATIONS** 

ON

**PURCHASED POWER AND COAL INVENTORY** 

(PUBLIC)

**SPONSORING ATTACHMENT WDG-1** 

**OFFICIAL EXHIBITS** 

#### **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WAYNE D. GAMES**

1	INTF	RODUCTION
2		
3	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
4	A.	My name is Wayne D. Games. My business address is 211 NW Riverside Drive,
5		Evansville, Indiana 47708
6		
7	Q.	By whom are you employed?
8	A.	I am employed by Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company d/b/a CenterPoint
9		Energy Indiana South ("CEI South")¹.
10		
11	Q.	On whose behalf are you submitting this direct testimony?
12	A.	I am submitting testimony on behalf of CEI South, which is an indirect subsidiary of
13		CenterPoint Energy, Inc.
14		
15	Q.	What is your role with respect to CEI South?
16	A.	I am Vice President, Power Generation Operations.
17		
18	Q.	Please describe your educational background.
19	A.	I received a Bachelor of Arts in Industrial Technology from Ohio Northern University in
20		1980 and a Master of Arts in Management from Antioch University in 2002.
21		
22	Q.	Please describe your professional experience.
23	A.	I have over 30 years of varied experience in the utility industry. I started my career with
24		The Dayton Power & Light Co. in 1991, where I held supervisory, manager, and
25		regional manager titles on the energy delivery side of the business. Upon joining CEI
26		South in 2000, I served as Director of Construction and Service and Regional Manager
27		in the Ohio service area. In 2003, I moved to Evansville, Indiana, and accepted
28		responsibility as Director of CEI South's A.B. Brown generating station. I was promoted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the sake of clarity, my testimony refers to CEI South, even though in certain situations, I may be referring to one of CEI South's predecessor companies.

to Vice President of Power Supply in April of 2011. I was named to my current position in February 2019.

Α.

### 4 Q. What are your present duties and responsibilities as Vice-President of Power 5 Generation Operations?

I am responsible for the overall budgeting, operation, maintenance, and personnel decisions for the power generation fleet of CEI South. In addition, I have responsibility for ensuring the demand of CEI South's customers is met at the lowest reasonable cost through the production and purchase of electric energy, including fuel purchases, necessary to meet the needs of CEI South's jurisdictional customers. I am responsible for completing these functions while ensuring compliance with the environmental requirements of all applicable regulatory or governmental agencies.

Α.

#### Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

Yes. I regularly testify in CEI South's fuel adjustment clause ("FAC") proceedings and in the related sub-dockets in this Cause No. 38708. I testified in support of CEI South's proposal to install pollution control equipment on its coal-fired generation facilities in Cause No. 44446 and in support of CEI South's proposal to construct solar facilities in Cause Nos. 44909, 45086, and 45501. Additionally, I testified in Cause No. 45564 in support of CEI South's request to construct two natural gas combustion turbines. Most recently, I testified in Cause No. 45754 in support of CEI South's request to purchase and acquire through a build transfer agreement a 130 MW solar power electric generating facility in Pike County, Indiana.

Α.

#### Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

The purpose of my testimony is to provide information regarding CEI South's power purchases and related costs as a participant in the Midcontinent Independent System Operator ("MISO") Energy Market, CEI South's fuel supply, and to sponsor Attachment WDG-1, which consists of schedules that present the calculations of the MISO components included in fuel costs, the calculations of the daily benchmark prices applicable to purchased power for June through August 2022 (the "Reconciliation Period"), and information about over-benchmark purchased power costs that are

1		reasonable and recoverable under the applicable settlement. I will also present an
2		update to the 2022/2023 coal plan.
3		
4	MISO	
5		
6	Q.	Are you generally familiar with the operations of MISO, including MISO Day 2
7		Market Initiative and Day 3 Ancillary Services Market ("ASM")?
8	A.	Yes, I am.
9		·
10	Q.	Have you reviewed the Commission's June 1, 2005, Order in Cause No. 42685
11		("42685 Order") and June 30, 2009, Phase II Order in Cause No. 43426 ("ASM
12		Phase II Order")?
13	A.	Yes.
14		
15	Q.	Is CEI South's proposed recovery of costs for the Reconciliation Period
16		consistent with your understanding of the Commission's 42685 Order and ASM
17		Phase II Order?
18	A.	Yes, CEI South's FAC 138 filing is consistent with my understanding of those
19		Commission Orders.
20		
21	Q.	Please summarize your understanding of the impact of MISO Day 2 on CEI
22		South's operations.
23	A.	MISO's implementation of the Day 2 Market Initiative resulted in operational changes
24		for CEI South. MISO Day 2 features a wide-area security constrained centralized
25		dispatch across a significant geographic footprint spanning 36 Local Balancing
26		Authorities across fifteen states and Manitoba. Through centralized dispatch, this
27		market brings about an integration of system operations and market operations unlike
28		what existed in this region prior to the start of Day 2. This caused both changes to
29		existing operating procedures and the creation of new operational infrastructure.
30		These operational changes result in costs and cost structures that differ in form from
31		those that previously existed.

As a result of the existence of the Day 2 market, the cost for CEI South to serve its native load customers now includes both its own generation and MISO dispatched economic energy purchases.

### 5 Q. Briefly describe the MISO costs and revenues that CEI South is seeking to include in this FAC proceeding.

A. Consistent with the 42685 Order, CEI South is requesting that fuel-related MISO costs and revenues track through its current FAC. Attachment WDG-1, Schedule 1, contains a summary of the determination of MISO Components of Fuel Costs, exclusive of purchased power costs, for the Reconciliation Period. In addition, CEI South is requesting recovery of projected MISO costs for the period of February 2023 through April 2023. These projected costs include the estimated level of the net effect of delta Locational Marginal Pricing ("LMPs"), Day Ahead and Reliability Assessment Commitment ("RAC") recovery of unit commitment costs, Financial Transmission Right ("FTR") revenue and expenses, and Real Time Marginal Loss Surplus credits.

Α.

### Q. Are costs associated with MISO's ASM included in the amounts for which you are seeking recovery in this FAC?

Yes. Consistent with the Commission's Phase I Order in Cause No. 43426, dated August 13, 2008, CEI South has included for recovery in the FAC those costs for charge types identified as "modified" under the ASM and which were previously recovered in the FAC. Additionally, the Commission issued its ASM Phase II Order on June 30, 2009, that authorized CEI South to include certain new MISO charges and credits as a cost of fuel for recovery in its FAC proceedings.

#### Q. Did the ASM Phase II Order contain any reporting requirements?

27 A. Yes. In compliance with the Phase II Order, CEI South must report the monthly average ASM Cost Distribution average dollar per megawatt hours ("MWh") paid for Regulation, Spinning, Supplemental, and Short-Term Reserves. The amounts for September through November 2022 are as follows:

	Regulation	Spinning	Supplemental	Short-Term
September 2022	0.0496	0.0259	0.0086	0.0150
October 2022	0.0529	0.0564	0.0068	0.0066
November 2022	0.0514	0.0671	0.0023	0.0149

1

- Q. Given the centralized MISO economic dispatch structure of the Day 2 market, how does CEI South explicitly identify the quantity of purchased power and wholesale sales in each hour?
- A. If in a given hour CEI South withdraws more MWh from the grid at its load zone than
  CEI South generating units inject to the grid, those excess MWh withdrawn are
  purchased power amounts. Conversely, if in a given hour CEI South generating units
  inject more MWh to the grid than CEI South withdraws from the grid at its load zone,
  those excess MWh injected are allocated to wholesale sale amounts.

10 11

12

13

- Q. Is the proposed pass through of Revenue Sufficiency Guarantee ("RSG") amounts in this Cause consistent with your understanding of the Commission's July 16, 2008, Order in Cause No. 43475?
- 14 A. Yes.

15

16 Q. Are MISO fuel components also included in this FAC?

17 A. Yes. All the requested MISO components qualify for recovery in this FAC pursuant to the Commission's Orders in Cause Nos. 42685, 43475, 43426, and 38708 FAC 73. In addition, as a result of FERC Order 719 (issued on October 17, 2008) and FERC Order 745 (issued on March 15, 2011) additional charge types have been included for recovery. These charge types were effective June 12, 2012, and discussed in FAC 96 and FAC 97.

23

#### **PURCHASED POWER RECOVERY**

- Q. Please describe the mechanism in place for recovery of the cost of energy purchased in MISO Energy Markets.
- A. Pursuant to an approved settlement, the cost associated with each purchase is calculated for a given hour as the product of the number of MW purchased for that

hour and the purchase price for that hour. To assist in the FAC review of the reasonableness of power purchases, the settlement provides that a benchmark price is applied to purchases and any purchases made in the course of MISO's economic dispatch regime to meet jurisdictional retail load are a cost of fuel and are fully recoverable in the FAC up to the benchmark.

Above-benchmark purchases are also recoverable, so long as the purchases can be shown to be reasonable based on an evaluation conducted with factors set forth in the settlement. As explained by the Commission in Cause No. 41363:

Our March 10, 1999, Docket Entry was clear that we contemplated that a benchmark would merely be a triggering mechanism-that is, if a benchmark is exceeded the utility would have the opportunity to submit additional evidence demonstrating the reasonableness of its power purchases for cost recovery purposes. Every electric generating utility should have the opportunity to request recovery of and justify the reasonableness of purchased power costs above the benchmark. In the event a utility exceeds the benchmark, the standard to be used to review such purchases will be of the reasonableness of the decisions under the circumstances which were known (or which reasonably should have been known) at the time the purchases were made, not an after the fact focus using hindsight judgment.

(IURC Order, Aug. 18, 1999, p. 11).

#### Q. What is CEI South's benchmark for purchased power costs?

A. In Cause No. 43414, the Commission approved the establishment of daily benchmarks. The daily benchmarks are established based upon a generic Gas Turbine ("GT"), using a generic GT heat rate of 12,500 Btu)/kWh, and using the NYMEX Henry Hub Gas Day Ahead price plus \$0.60/MMBtu gas transport charge for a generic gas-fired GT. Changes were approved in Cause No. 43414 to the parameters used to determine amounts over the daily benchmarks.

- 34 Q. Is a Schedule showing the Daily Benchmarks for purchased power for the Reconciliation Period included in this Cause?
- 36 A. Yes. Attachment WDG-1, Schedule 2, presents the Daily Benchmark amounts for each day in the Reconciliation Period.

1

- Q. What are the amounts of purchased power in excess of the Daily Benchmarks
   incurred by CEI South during the Reconciliation Period?
- A. As shown on Attachment WDG-1, Schedule 3, CEI South determined that purchased power costs exceeded the Daily Benchmarks during the Reconciliation Period as follows: September 2022, \$513,932.81; October 2022, \$220,838.68; and November 2022, \$6,582.47. These costs were incurred pursuant to MISO's security constrained economic dispatch across its footprint because MISO elected to utilize other generation when CEI South needed additional power.

10

- 11 Q. Are all over-benchmark purchases during the Reconciliation Period determined 12 to be recoverable?
- 13 Yes. Applying the criteria established by the Benchmark Settlement CEI South has Α. 14 determined that all the over benchmark purchases are recoverable. Attachment WDG-15 1, Schedule 3 provides the reason each purchase was made. As contemplated by the 16 Commission in its Order in Cause No. 42770, all these purchases were within "the 17 utility's reasonably expected cost of purchased power under an economic dispatch 18 regime." CEI South acted appropriately in the operation of its generation and its 19 participation in MISO to maintain safe, adequate, and reliable service to its retail 20 customers. The beneficiaries of these purchases were CEI South's retail customers. 21 Without these purchases, CEI South could not have met the demands of its retail 22 customers while complying with MISO dispatch instructions. Recovery of these 23 purchased power costs only makes CEI South whole for costs incurred to meet the 24 demand of retail customers.

25

Q. Why does MISO at times choose to instruct CEI South to purchase from the
 market rather than operate generation internal to its control area?

A. Since the 42685 Order, MISO has dispatched generation. MISO first considers its security constrained economic dispatch model to determine what generation is necessary to meet the next day's system demand with the lowest total cost. If this evaluation shows that the total daily cost is predicted to be less using market purchases rather than calling for CEI South's internal generation, then that is the MISO

directive CEI South will be given for the Day Ahead market. Additional consideration will be given to the potential impact to system congestion, which is impacted by market purchases versus CEI South peaking generation operation. The summation of these variables is that every day's evaluation has a different set of conditions and inputs which can only be evaluated by MISO on a regional basis. Thus, like any generator, CEI South is sometimes required by MISO to make economic purchases at the lowest cost reasonably possible. With the influx of new generation sources such as wind, and the dramatic reduction in gas prices, other generation sources now are available in the market at competitive prices. Some of these sources, like wind, are so inexpensive in off peak hours that they are selected in the Day Ahead market. The reasonable purchase costs reflected in the FAC are the product of MISO's economic dispatch.

A.

## Q. Does CEI South ever deviate from MISO dispatch in order to operate its gas peaking generation?

Generally, CEI South follows instructions from MISO on when to operate gas peaking generation. CEI South's on-duty system generation operators are provided plans from MISO, and they follow those dispatch plans. Most often, MISO will call on peaking units in the Real Time (intra-day) market but will on occasion also call for a Peaker through the Day Ahead market. The system generation operators will generally vary from these MISO plans only when notified by local transmission system operators that there is a local distribution or transmission constraint that would be eliminated by the use of peaking generation.

In terms of determining whether to operate the peaking units for purely economic reasons, CEI South's system generation operator evaluates the Real Time Market price of power and compares it to the alternative of starting a natural gas peaking unit for a brief period. The operator monitors the five-minute price signals to determine if they believe the hourly market price will integrate high enough to justify starting a gas turbine. This determination is made knowing that the next five-minute price signal will likely change. A higher price often exists due to an event on the system that sends a price signal for generators to increase production. Once generation is increased, the price will drop; therefore, given these conditions the operator will almost always

1 choose to follow the MISO dispatch signal rather than betting on a sustained higher 2 price.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

In addition, when evaluating the operation of a specific gas turbine, the operator must consider, among other things, (1) the time it takes to bring the unit on line, (2) the actual cost of fuel consumed during the period of time from initial firing until the unit is synchronized to the system, as well as the cost of gas used during controlled unit shut down, and (3) the likelihood that the unit will run at a reduced capacity factor, which increases the heat rate, adding to run costs. These must be spread over the total cost of the MWh produced by the machine. These are reasons why the cost of production during short periods often exceeds the price of power purchased from the economic marketplace.

12 13 14

15

16

Moreover, failure to comply with MISO's dispatch directive would result in assessment of uninstructed deviation charges of unknown amounts to CEI South. Given these cost and price risks, absent unusual market conditions, it is unlikely CEI South will ignore MISO dispatch and operate its peaking units for economic reasons.

1718

## 19 Q. Are any purchases from the Benton County Wind Farm ("BCWF") and Fowler 20 Ridge II ("FRII") included in this FAC?

A. Yes. Pursuant to the approval received in Cause No. 43259, CEI South began receiving power from BCWF on May 7, 2008, when the facility began commercial operation. CEI South's Renewable Energy Purchase Agreement ("REPA") with FRII was approved in Cause No. 43635 on June 17, 2009, and FRII began commercial operation on December 16, 2009. Consistent with the order in Cause No. 43635, CEI South has included in this FAC those charges or credits related to the REPA that are treated by the Commission as components of fuel.

28

# Q. Are there any amounts shown as purchased power from BCWF and FRII included in the monthly work papers?

31 A. Yes. The details of power purchased from BCWF and FRII are included in the confidential work papers provided to the OUCC.

#### 2 Q. How has CEI South estimated the generation received from BCWF in this FAC?

A. In response to the fluctuations in CEI South's share of generation of BCWF, CEI South's projections reflect recent historical output from BCWF. CEI South has created an output profile for BCWF that is based on CEI South's monthly average actual share of generation received from BCWF since March 2013 when BCWF was designated a Dispatchable Intermittent Resource ("DIR"). CEI South will update this output profile and its estimates for BCWF in each future FAC based on recent historical data.

#### Q. Have negative LMPs from BCWF or FRII been experienced?

A. Yes. LMPs can be negative whenever there is congestion on a node. MISO uses negative pricing to rein in a bottleneck, which can occur with wind energy. For the FAC period there were 430 hours when the LMP was negative at BCWF, and 127 hours when the LMP was negative at FRII. This resulted in total charges of \$93,120.40.

Α.

#### Q. Please describe how CEI South uses the DIR designation.

MISO has attempted to address the operational challenges associated with the variable nature of wind power by allowing these resources to participate fully in MISO's economic dispatch under a DIR resource designation. After consulting with MISO regarding requirements and stipulations around registering wind farms, CEI South was notified that it was required to register BCWF as a DIR. The registration was completed in December 2012, and BCWF became a DIR on March 1, 2013. CEI South is not required to register FRII as a DIR because it meets an exception through its firm transmission into MISO.

#### Q. How has DIR impacted CEI South and its customers?

A. Generally, since BCWF was registered as a DIR in March of 2013, generation output for CEI South customers has been reduced.

#### SALES OF RENEWABLE ENERGY CERTIFICATES

1 2

- Q. Did CEI South include sales of Renewable Energy Certificates ("RECs") in thisFAC?
- Yes. Sales of RECs were recorded in the Reconciliation Period. The net amounts of those sales are included, as reductions to the cost of purchased power, in the calculation of purchased power costs for the respective months. For the Reconciliation Period, purchased power costs have been reduced by the net REC sales proceeds of \$(577,304.06).

10 11

#### **FUEL FOR GENERATION**

12

- 13 Q. What sources of fuel does CEI South use for generating purposes, and what costs are incurred?
- A. CEI South utilizes coal and natural gas for electric generation and incurs the costs of purchasing those fuels, including fuel-related transportation and storage costs. In addition, CEI South has solar, wind, battery storage, and landfill gas as part of the electric generation portfolio.

19

- 20 Q. Please describe CEI South's coal purchasing practices.
- A. CEI South utilizes Indiana coal as its primary fuel source for electric generation. Coal is purchased primarily under multi-year contracts to maintain a reliable source of coal.

23

- Q. Does CEI South have a portfolio of supply contracts with staggered pricing terms in place to mitigate potential coal market volatility?
- A. Yes. A portfolio of contracts is in place that supports re-pricing opportunities for portions of CEI South's supply in each upcoming year, and given volume flexibility provided for under these contracts, also leaves opportunities for spot purchases as needed. The contracts also provide coal with specifications that support CEI South's emissions compliance strategy.

### Q. Has CEI South made every reasonable effort to provide power as economicallyas possible?

A. Yes. CEI South's generating units are offered into the MISO Day Ahead and Real Time markets and are dispatched by the MISO on an economic basis. CEI South has contracted through competitive processes to purchase its coal requirements from nearby mines at reasonable market prices. Purchasing from mines in close proximity to CEI South's generating stations helps minimize transportation costs while providing a reliable, reasonably priced fuel supply.

8 9 10

3

4

5

6

7

#### **COAL INVENTORY**

11

13

14

15

#### 12 Q. What is the status of CEI South's coal inventory?

A. As of January 31, 2023, coal inventory at CEI South's coal-fired generating plants stood at approximately 480,599 tons. This is an increase of 135,256 tons from the inventory level reported in FAC 137.

16 17

#### Q. Please provide the month-ending coal inventory levels by plant and total in 2022.

**18** A.

Month	Brown	Culley	Warrick	Total
January	147,132	38,886	26,575	212,593
February	141,734	70,979	14,300	227,013
March	95,805	104,070	60,336	260,211
April	141,793	101,875	105,994	349,663
May	75,341	84,835	81,552	241,728
June	55,268	96,560	100,020	251,848
July	50,966	115,144	87,261	253.371
August	62,267	122,994	91,548	276,809
September	75,485	128,122	92,352	295,959
October	95,912	143,940	105,491	345,343
November	136,635	131,494	88,750	356,879
December	202,942	130,557	87,251	420,750

19

#### 20 Q. Does CEI South have an inventory target to assure reliability?

21 A. Yes. CEI South's target inventory is driven in part by the risk CEI South is willing to take regarding deliveries being suspended due to a mine issue (safety, Mine Safety and Health Administration, productivity issues, employee retention or strike, etc.), or rail or truck transportation issues (equipment issues or employee retention or strikes),

23

24

25

1		and how long these supply interruptions might reasonably be expected to last. The
2		target inventory also attempts to account for the carrying costs for holding the
3		inventory. Considering these various factors of mine risks, transportation risks, and
4		carrying costs, CEI South generally targets a reserve inventory of about 45-60 days.
5		The level of burn can vary, and therefore, target inventory should fall within a range.
6		For CEI South's operating purposes, inventory of approximately 350,000-550,000
7		tons is a good target.
8		
9	COAL	SUPPLY PLAN
10		
11	Q.	Please provide an update to CEI South's 2023 coal supply plan to include
12		delivery options with
13	A.	CEI South entered 2023 with 420,750 tons of coal in inventory. For 2023, CEI South
14		currently has in place coal deliveries priced under two contracts previously reviewed
15		by the Commission. Because CEI South negotiated the ability to adjust the contract
16		amount in any given year, CEI South can reduce the total 2023 specified contract
17		volumes of tons to a 2023 firm commitment of tons or increase
18		the 2023 firm commitment to tons. The table below shows the individual
19		contracts and the associated with each.
		2022 Contracts Contracted Volume
		Contract #1 Contract #2
		Contract #2
		Total Contracted
20		
21		The following table shows the individual contracts and the
22		that can be exercised in tons associated with each contract.

2022 Contracts Contracted Volume
Contract #1
Contract #2

Total Contracted

The must be decided by of the year prior to the actual year the coal is taken or, in this case, by for coal to be taken in 2023.

Petitioner's Exhibit 1 CEI South Page 15 of 25

1	; therefore, CEI	
2	South decided to exercise the to increase both contract volumes by	
3		
4		
5	must be decided before the beginning of each calendar	
6	quarter. CEI South chose to exercise the first 2023 to increase the	
7	by on both contracts and plans to increase the remaining three	
8	by by	
9		
10	In addition, with the expiration of a third contract at the end of 2022 and the	
11	intent to remain in the Joint Operating Agreement with Alcoa on Warrick Unit 4 through	
12	2023, CEI South negotiated the ability for to provide the coal needed for	
13	Warrick Unit 4 in 2023 . At this time CEI South projects	
14	a need of tons of coal for Warrick Unit 4 in 2023.	
15		
16	The following tables outline the planted to be exercised	
17	from these two contracts (the tons) as well as coal needed for Warrick	
18	Unit 4 (tons) for total of tons in 2023.	
	Contracted	_
	2023 Contracts Volume Contract #1	
	Contract #2	
	Contract #2 for	
	Warrick Unit 4 As Needed As Needed  Total Contracted Volume	
19	Total Contractor Volume	
		,
	2023 Contracts	_
	Contract #1 Contract #2	
	Contract #2 for	_
	Warrick Unit 4	
	Total Contracted Volume	

1	Q.	Was all 2022 contracted coal delivered	d in 2022?		
2	A.	No. The 2022 spot purchase from		tons of the con	itracted
3		tons. The 2022 contra	racted tons	tons	of the
4		contracted delivery volume.			
5		•			
6	Q.	Given the 2022 spot purchase shortfall	from	and the 2022 shortfa	ıll from
7		discussed in previous FAC te		■ ase show the total 202	23 coal
8		projected to be taken in 2023 or 2024.	, <b>,</b>		
9	A.	The following table shows the planned	d 2023 coal f	rom after the	
10	,	as well as the		ortfall from 2022 that	will be
11			s. The	2022 shortfall plan	nea to
12		be taken in	-		
13			is also sho	wn. Currently the pla	n is to
14		accept the 2022			•
			Contracted		
		2023 Total Volume	Volume		_
		Contract #1			
		Contract #2			
		Contract #2		-	
		0 4 + 40 for - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1			
		Contract #2 for Warrick Unit 4  2022 Shortfall			
		Total 2023 Projected Delivery Volume			
		2022			
		2022 Shortfall			
		2022 Shortfall			
		Total 2022 Shortfall			
		i otal zozz			

23

1		
2	Q.	What is the projected coal burn and the projected year-end inventory in 2023?
3	A.	The following table shows the 2023 beginning inventory, planned deliveries, tota
4		inventory, projected coal burn, and projected year-end inventory.
		Beginning Inventory
		Planned Deliveries
		Total Inventory
		Projected Burn
5		Projected Year End Inventory
6	Q.	Is this an adequate inventory level at the end of 2023?
7	A.	With plans to retire Brown Units 1 & 2 and exit the Joint Operating Agreement ("JOA"
8		with Alcoa for Warrick Unit 4, this leaves Culley Units 2 and 3 as the only coal burning
9		units in CEI Souths fleet. The tons is a little low but necessary to make room
10		for the coal committed in .
11		
12		
13	Q.	Please provide an update to the 2024 coal plan.
14	A.	CEI South currently plans to manage the volume on the remaining
15		contract downward and accept the
16		. The following table shows the projected starting inventory, planned
17		contractual deliveries, projected coal burn, total available inventory, and projected
18		ending inventory in 2024.
		2023 Projected Ending Inventory
		2024 Contractual Deliveries Priced at
		2024 Total Available Inventory
		2024 Projected Coal Burn
		2024 Projected Year-End Inventory
19		
20	Q.	How does CEI South plan to manage such a high coal inventory 2024 with only
21		Culley Units 2 and 3 operating?
22	Α.	Although CEI South plans to exit the JOA with Alcoa on Warrick Unit 4 at the end of

2023, there is still a possibility that the Warrick 4 JOA

1	if this were to occur, the inventory would be adequate to share between Culley and
2	Warrick CEI South plans to lower the
3	of the remaining -ton contract and accept the
4	
5	. If inventory levels turn out to be more than can be stored at Culley and
6	Warrick, there are a few options that can be explored. They include (a) expanding the
7	coal pile area at Culley, (b) working with to defer some of the 2024 coal
8	into 2025, (c)
9	, (d) place the coal i
10	temporary storage, possibly at the Brown site or (e) work with to reduce
11	committed volumes giving them the opportunity to sell a portion of the coal committee
12	to CEI South at a higher price. Note that CEI South has additional flexibility in 202
13	when the -ton
14	. This provides a range of tons to tons. Carrying
15	extra coal inventory at the end of 2024 while reducing the 2025 coa
16	take to the minimum tons should benefit customers

Q. Does CEI South have opportunities to re-negotiate its contract prices over the next several years?

Yes, CEI South's contract portfolio contains contracts with staggered terms. The terms of each contract with the applicable re-opener years signified by "Price Re-opener" are set forth below. Prices are determined in negotiations the year prior, i.e., the 2022 price re-opener on Contract #2 was negotiated in 2021. Due to the planned exit of the JOA with Alcoa for Warrick Unit 4 at the end of 2023, Contract #3 will expire at the end of 2022 and will not be renewed. Due to the planned retirement of the Brown plant, Contract #1 will expire at the end of 2023 and not be renewed. The next price reopener is currently planned in 2024 to re-price Contract #2 for 2025-2027.

Contract	Tons	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
Contract #1		Year 2	Year 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Year 1 Price			Year 1 Price		
Contract #2		Reopener	Year 2	Year 3	Reopener	Year 2	Year 3
Contract #3		Year 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A.

1	Q.	Please expand on the 2023 coal plan for the Brown and Warrick facilities.
2	A.	As mentioned earlier, CEI South plans to exit the JOA with Alcoa on Warrick Unit 4 at
3		the end of 2023 and retire the Brown units in October of 2023. The goal will be to
4		reduce coal inventory at these locations to as close to zero as possible. CEI South has
5		already increased the on each of the
6		twoton contracts. CEI South currently maintains the ability to
7		by . As a result, the
8		flexibility of the twoton contracts range from tons to
9		tons in 2023 to meet the needs of all CEI South coal units. Contractually, CEI South
10		has additional flexibility by
11		. In addition, CEI South has an agreement with
12		to supply any supplemental coal required for Warrick Unit 4 in 2023
13		
14		
15	COA	L TRANSPORTATION
16		
17	Q,	Were there any updates to coal transportation contracts?
18	A.	Yes. The contracts with rail expired at the end of 2022. A new
19		contract was established with a to Warrick with
20		. The volume commitment is of CEI South's
21		coal coming from into Warrick.
22		
23	CULL	LEY UNIT 3 UPDATE
24		
25	Q.	In accordance with the Commission's Order in FAC 136, please provide an
26		update on the repairs to Culley Unit 3.
27	A.	CEI South will provide updates on the repairs to Culley Unit 3 in the subdocket created
28		for that purpose—Cause No. 38708 FAC 137 S1.
29		
30	Q.	How did the Culley Unit 3 issue impact the CEI South coal supply plan in 2022?
31	A.	It actually provided a needed opportunity to work towards increasing coal inventory,
32		particularly at the Brown plant, whose inventory level fell to 15,558 tons (a little more

1		than 3 days with both units at full load) in early July. This allowed CEI South to continue
2		railing coal into Brown as planned as well as sending scheduled Culley deliveries to
3		the Brown facility. It also helped resolve some of the transportation challenges by
4		reducing the amount of coal required by CEI South in 2022.
5		
6	Q.	How did CEI South address the need for less coal than what was currently under
7		contract in 2022?
8	A.	CEI South contacted regarding an opportunity to reduce the volumes
9		under contract, however, after consideration, was not able to accommodate
10		this request. CEI South then began discussions with who had previously
11		approached CEI South requesting CEI South
12		
13		
14		. Due
15		to reduced demand for 2022 as a result of the Culley Unit 3 incident, CEI South
16		approached and an agreement was reached whereby CEI South would
17		limit its coal shipments from a second in 2022. In return, would
18		allow CEI South to
19		
20		
21		
22	Q.	What is the actual volume of coal that will be
23		?
24	A.	The volume is tons.
25		
26	Q.	Please explain the benefit to CEI South customers with this approach.
27	A.	
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Q.	Why did agree to this arrangement?
7	A.	As mentioned earlier was looking for a way to . By
8		reducing the planned shipments to CEI South additional inventory was freed
9		up to be sold at market, which had a
10		
11		
12	GOV	ERNMENT IMPOSITION / CHANGE IN LAW
13		
14	Q.	Were there any changes in law that will impact the contractual cost of coal?
15	A.	Yes. On January 12, 2023, notified CEI South that effective October 1,
16		2022, the United States Government amended the Internal Revenue Code, 26 USCS
17		Section 4121, which increased the amount of the excise tax applicable to coal. The
18		tax increased from \$0.50/ton, included in current agreements, to \$1.10/ton. As a result,
19		will invoice CEI South in arrears for all coal delivered from October 1, 2022,
20		to present and increase the price by \$0.60/ton for all future coal until new rates are set
21		that includes the full excise tax. As a result, all future prices referenced in this
22		testimony will increase by \$0.60/ton.
23		
24	Q.	Does have the contractual right to pass on this increase?
25	Α.	Yes. Per section 3.3.2 of the agreement entitled "Governmental Imposition" states that
26		has the right to pass on any taxes or fees imposed by any federal, state,
27		or local government or government agency. It further states that the parties shall adjust
28		the then current base price by the amount equal to changes in sellers cost per ton of
29		coal sold.
30		

#### TROY SOLAR PROJECT

2

1

#### Q. Please provide an update on the 50MW Troy Solar project.

As described in previous FAC filings, CEI South completed the process of installing and testing the control system on April 23, 2021, which enabled curtailment ability, allowing the field to be offered into the MISO market. The solar field has been operating very well since that point. Production from January–December 2022 from the Troy Solar field was 103.416 MWh. Production estimates for this FAC period are included on Petitioner's Exh. 2, Attachment RMW-2, Schedule 1, Line 4, under "Solar Generation."

1011

#### WINTER STORM ELLIOTT

121314

#### Q. How did CEI South's generating units perform during winter storm Elliott?

15 A. Culley Unit 2, which is primarily indoors, performed very well with no issues. Brown
16 Units 1 and 2 both experienced operational issues due to the extreme cold,
17 exacerbated by high winds. Both units tripped off-line while operating at full load on
18 the evening of December 22, 2022.

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Brown Unit 1 tripped due to the extreme cold causing the level transmitter on the deaerator (DA) tank to freeze, sending a false signal to the control system. The sudden trip caused a water hammer, resulting in leaks at flange connections and other water-related issues, such as the inability to manufacture boiler water. Crews were called in to build scaffolding to access the damaged flanges and make repairs. The unit was back online on January 1, 2023.

2627

28

29

30

31

32

Brown Unit 2 tripped due to frozen transmitters on the steam drum also sending a false water level signal to the control system, activating a unit trip. Brown Unit 2 was back online on December 23, 2022, at a reduced load due to a frozen attemperator system. On December 26, 2022, a fire water header broke loose due to freezing and filled the coal silos with water extending the unit de-rate. On December 27, 2022, Brown 2 tripped a second time due to a forced draft fan icing up. This was quickly resolved, and

the unit was back online that same day. On December 30, 2022, Brown Unit 2 was again taken offline due to the inability to maintain environmental compliance because of chemistry issues. The system was recharged, and the unit was back online on January 3, 2023.

Α.

#### Q. What actions were taken to prepare for Elliott?

All normal routine plant winter preparation was completed in October of 2022. As extreme cold was projected for the days surrounding Christmas, additional efforts were taken to install additional insulation and heat trace wire and extra heaters were installed in critical areas. Adequate personnel were also on site to monitor conditions during the storm.

#### Q. Why were there freezing issues with the DA tank and Steam Drum transmitters?

A. These transmitters are located in rooms at the very top of the units and during this event were directly exposed to high winds coming out of the west with extremely low temperatures. Even with the additional heaters that were installed in preparation for the storm, the extreme cold and high winds caused the transmitters to freeze. Once the units tripped and equipment began to cool, other cold-weather-related issues occurred.

#### NATURAL GAS PROCUREMENT FOR OPERATIONS OF PEAKING UNITS

### Q. What impact did Winter Storm Elliott have on CEI South's generating units' consumption of natural gas?

A. The widespread extreme cold associated with Winter Storm Elliott, along with the issues with coal generating units referenced above, resulted in significantly greater than expected consumption of natural gas. Consumption of natural gas by the natural gas combustion turbines at A.B. Brown was near historical daily peak demand during the period of December 23 – December 25.

- 1 Q. Did CEI South's Gas Supply group experience any gas procurement issues due to Winter Storm Elliott?
- 3 Yes. CEI South is primarily served by Texas Gas pipeline, which is fully subscribed for Α. 4 delivery into the CEI South system. Deliveries on other pipelines are limited by system 5 capabilities. Prior to Winter Storm Elliott, CEI South Gas purchased baseload supply, 6 storage, and daily call options. Due to the issues with coal generating units, the actual 7 loads exceeded the purchased supply, causing CEI South Gas to step into the intraday 8 market to secure additional supply, which is not uncommon. When soliciting for 9 additional supply in the market, suppliers were reluctant to transact since there were 10 constraints on Texas Gas Supply, which led to CEI South Gas procurement issues.

#### CONFIDENTIALITY

1112

1314

15

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Α.

- Q. What portions of this testimony is CEI South requesting to be treated as confidential information?
- A. CEI South's confidentiality request relates to the pricing of
  with some coal supply contracts, re-pricing of coal contracts and other
  concessions, tonnage figures calculated using such optionality, and other details
  related to costs ("Confidential Provisions"). Confidentiality also relates to rail
  transportation rates, fuel surcharges, competitive bids, and minimum requirements.

#### Q. Why has CEI South requested that such information be treated as confidential?

and other confidential terms that were negotiated between CEI South and its natural gas and coal suppliers. If the pricing and optionality became generally known or readily ascertainable to the other parties with whom CEI South is negotiating or to other utilities with whom CEI South would compete, this knowledge would provide considerable economic value to such parties. In effect, knowledge of pricing and optionality provisions by other suppliers would establish a floor in future negotiations, thereby limiting the potential terms and benefits that could accrue to ratepayers, shareholders, and CEI South. Knowledge of the pricing and optionality provisions by potential coal suppliers could enable them to gain an unfair advantage in future

competitive situations and negotiate a lower price and optionality provision than would otherwise be possible. The lower optionality provisions would diminish the flexibility available to CEI South's operations to the disadvantage of CEI South and its customers. Further, disclosure of the coal suppliers' optionality provisions would be of significant value to the coal suppliers' competitors, which could prove harmful to the coal suppliers. In addition, CEI South requests that coal transportation rates, competitive bids, and contract terms remain confidential to protect supplier's confidential information as well as the economic value competitive parties could gain from this information in an open energy market. CEI South is requesting that, pursuant to Indiana Code § 5-14-3-4(a)(4), the Commission find that the Confidential Provisions of the Contract contain "trade secrets" as that term is defined in Indiana Code § 24-2-3-2 and are thereby exempt from public access.

Α.

### Q. Has CEI South taken any steps to maintain the confidentiality of this information?

Yes. In accordance with Indiana Code § 24-2-3-2, the information contained in the Confidential Provisions of the testimony has been the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy. Within CEI South, this information will be disclosed only to those people directly involved with negotiating coal supply contracts. Outside of CEI South, this information will be disclosed only to individuals who have signed a confidentiality agreement.

#### CONCLUSION

#### Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

26 A. Yes, at the present time.

#### **VERIFICATION**

I affirm under penalties for perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

SOUTHERN INDIANA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A CENTERPOINT ENERGY INDIANA SOUTH

Wayne D. Games

Vice President, Power Generation Operations

2)15/2023

Date

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 Attachment WDG-1 CEI South Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1

# CENTERPOINT ENERGY INDIANA SOUTH Determination of MISO Components of Fuel Cost September 2022 and October and November 2022

Line No.	Energy Market & ASM FAC Adjustment Components	Sep	Actual tember 2022	0	Actual ctober 2022	No	Actual vember 2022
1	Delta LMP	\$	562,775.40	\$	615,489.08	\$	673,890.98
2	DA Virtuals Bids and Offers for Load		-		-		-
3	DA RSG 1st Pass Distribution Amount		16,135.94		12,074.57		15,041.09
4	DA RSG Make Whole Payment		(5,343.61)		(0.05)		-
5	DA Regulation Amount		(5,817.77)		(1,890.89)		(5,099.75)
6	DA Spinning Reserve Amount		(5,307.22)		(8,788.60)		(20,787.44)
7	DA Supplemental Reserve Amount		(29.89)		-		-
8	DA Ramp Capability Amount		(260.60)		(377.37)		(874.78)
9	DA Short-Term Reserve Amount		(1,769.81)		(245.16)		(1,144.53)
10	RT Marg. Loss Surplus Credit		(250,902.34)		(52,264.92)		(38,590.30)
11	RT Virtuals Bids and Offers for Load		-		-		-
12	RT RSG 1st Pass Distribution Amount		31,175.98		22,068.85		17,020.43
13	RT RSG Make Whole Payment Amount		(25,478.50)		(16,220.47)		(29,639.20)
14	RT Price Volatility Make Whole Payment Amount		(10,785.38)		(3,772.58)		(11,499.13)
15	RT Net Inadvertent Energy		5,553.21		(22,789.02)		13,850.23
16	RT Revenue from Uninstructed Deviation		-		-		-
17	RT Uninstructed Deviation		-		-		-
18	RT Demand Response Allocation Uplift Charge		4,488.46		5,527.03		5.47
19	RT Regulation Amount		(8,534.38)		(1,908.41)		(10,527.63)
20	RT Spinning Reserve Amount		(2,520.62)		913.07		(13,449.91)
21	RT Supplemental Reserve Amount		(1.38)		(5.28)		(344.09)
22	RT Regulation Cost Distribution Amount		21,549.49		18,292.43		17,869.07
23	RT Spinning Reserve Cost Distribution Amount		11,262.56		19,498.82		23,304.26
24	RT Supplemental Reserve Cost Distribution Amount		3,738.89		2,350.74		792.42
25	RT Excessive Deficient Energy Deployment Charge Amount		3,752.68		11,475.34		8,689.49
26	RT Contingency Reserve Deployment Failure Charge Amount		-		-		-
27	RT Net Regulation Adjustment Amount		(570.40)		(178.72)		(238.21)
28	RT Ramp Capability Amount		(857.44)		(351.98)		(3,282.97)
29	RT Short-Tem Reserve Amount		(12.12)		43.64		(8,363.93)
30	Short-Term Reserve Cost Distribution Amount		6,538.51		2,285.80		5,167.40
31	Short-Term Reserve Deployment Failure Charge Amount						
32	FTR (Revenue) / Expenses		-		-		-
33	ARR (Revenue) / Expenses		(71,347.50)		(71,347.41)		(71,338.07)
34	Subtotal		277,432.16		529,878.51		560,450.90
35	Plus: Residual Load Adjustment Volume Changes						
36	Plus: MISO Charges (above) on sales billed to IMPA	<b>W</b>	-		-	-	-
37	Total (To RMW-2, Sch 5, line 23)	\$	277,432.16	\$	529,878.51	_\$	560,450.90

Negative amount is a credit to expense (payment from MISO) Positive amount is a debit to expense (payment to MISO)

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 Attachment WDG-1 CEI South Schedule 2 Page 1 of 1

#### CENTERPOINT ENERGY INDIANA SOUTH Calculation of Daily Benchmark Based on NYMEX Henry Hub Day Ahead Natural Gas Price

		Septem	ber 2022					Octob	er 2022			***		Novemb	er 2022		
	Day						Day						Day				
	Ahead		Allowed	Heat	Daily		Ahead		Allowed	Heat	Daily		Ahead		Allowed	Heat	Daily
	Cost	Transportation	n Gas Price	Rate	Benchmark		Cost	Transportation	n Gas Price	Rate	Benchmark			Transportation		Rate	Benchmark
Date	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	Btu/kWh	\$/MWh	Date	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu_	\$/MMBtu_	Btu/kWh_	\$/MWh	Date	\$/MMBtu_	\$/MMBtu	\$/MMBtu	Btu/kWh	\$/MWh
09/01/22	8.950	0.60	9.55	12,500	119.38	10/01/22	6.290	0.60	6.89 7	12,500	86.13	11/01/22	5.080	0.60	5.68		71.00
09/02/22	9.245	0.60	9.85	12,500	123.06	10/02/22	6.290	0.60	6.89	12,500	86.13	11/02/22	4.460	0.60	5.06	12,500	63.25
09/03/22	8.980	0.60	9.58	12,500	119.75	10/03/22	6.290	0.60	6.89	12,500	86.13	11/03/22	4.510	0.60	5.11	12,500	63.88
09/04/22	8.980	0.60	9.58	12,500	119.75	10/04/22	5.635	0.60	6.24	12,500	77.94	11/04/22	4.650	0.60	5.25	12,500	65.63
09/05/22	8.980	0.60	9.58	12,500	119.75	10/05/22	5.405	0.60	6.01	12,500	75.06	11/05/22	3.935	0.60	4.54	12,500	56.69
09/06/22	8.980	0.60	9.58	12,500	119.75	10/06/22	6.055	0.60	6.66	12,500	83.19	11/06/22	3.935	0.60	4.54	12,500	56.69
09/07/22	8.470	0.60	9.07	12,500	113.38	10/07/22	6.905	0.60	7.51	12,500	93.81	11/07/22	3.935	0.60	4.54	12,500	56.69
09/08/22	8.125	0.60	8.73	12,500	109.06	10/08/22	6.210	0.60	6.81	12,500	85.13	11/08/22	4.745	0.60	5.35	12,500	66.81
09/09/22	8.125	0.60	8.73	12,500	109.06	10/09/22	6.210	0.60	6.81	12,500	85.13	11/09/22	3.900	0.60	4.50	12,500	56.25
09/10/22	8.145	0.60	8.75	12,500	109.31	10/10/22	6.210	0.60	6.81	12,500	85.13	11/10/22	3.450	0.60	4.05	12,500	50.63
09/11/22	8.145	0.60	8.75	12,500	109.31	10/11/22	6.245	0.60	6.85	12,500	85.56	11/11/22	4.625	0.60	5.23	12,500	65.31
09/12/22	8.145	0.60	8.75	12,500	109.31	10/12/22	6.305	0.60	6.91	12,500	86.31	11/12/22	4.625	0.60	5.23	12,500	65.31
09/13/22	8.115	0.60	8.72	12,500	108.94	10/13/22	6.465	0.60	7.07	12,500	88.31	11/13/22	4.625	0.60	5.23	12,500	65.31
09/14/22	8.390	0.60	8.99	12,500	112.38	10/14/22	6.280	0.60	6.88	12,500	86.00	11/14/22	4.625	0.60	5.23	12,500	65.31
09/15/22	8.685	0.60	9.29	12,500	116.06	10/15/22	6.075	0.60	6.68	12,500	83.44	11/15/22	6.190	0.60	6.79	12,500	84.88
09/16/22	8.505	0.60	9.11	12,500	113.81	10/16/22	6.075	0.60	6.68	12,500	83.44	11/16/22	5.900	0.60	6.50	12,500	81.25
09/17/22	8.065	0.60	8.67	12,500	108.31	10/17/22	6.075	0.60	6.68	12,500	83.44	11/17/22	5.740	0.60	6.34	12,500	79.25
09/18/22	8.065	0.60	8.67	12,500	108.31	10/18/22	6.020	0.60	6.62	12,500	82.75	11/18/22	6.190	0.60	6.79	12,500	84.88
09/19/22	8.065	0.60	8.67	12,500	108.31	10/19/22	6.000	0.60	6.60	12,500	82.50	11/19/22	6.070	0.60	6.67	12,500	83.38
09/20/22	7.850	0.60	8.45	12,500	105.63	10/20/22	5.495	0.60	6.10	12,500	76.19	11/20/22	6.070	0.60	6.67	12,500	83.38
09/21/22	7.950	0.60	8.55	12,500	106.88	10/21/22	5.050	0.60	5.65	12,500	70.63	11/21/22	6.070	0.60	6.67	12,500	83.38
09/22/22	7.990	0.60	8.59	12,500	107.38	10/22/22	4.430	0.60	5.03	12,500	62.88	11/22/22	6.260	0.60	6.86	12,500	85.75
09/23/22	7.750	0.60	8.35	12,500	104.38	10/23/22	4.430	0.60	5.03	12,500	62.88	11/23/22	6.175	0.60	6.78	12,500	84.69
09/24/22	6.730	0.60	7.33	12,500	91.63	10/24/22	4.430	0.60	5.03	12,500	62.88	11/24/22	6.460	0.60	7.06	12,500	88.25
09/25/22	6.730	0.60	7.33	12,500	91.63	10/25/22	4.810	0.60	5.41	12,500	67.63	11/25/22	6.460	0.60	7.06	12,500	88.25
09/26/22	6.730	0.60	7.33	12,500	91.63	10/26/22	5.200	0.60	5.80	12,500	72.50	11/26/22	6.460	0.60	7.06	12,500	88.25
09/27/22	6.740	0.60	7.34	12,500	91.75	10/27/22	5.260	0.60	5.86	12,500	73.25	11/27/22	6.460	0.60	7.06	12,500	88.25
09/28/22	6.815	0.60	7.42	12,500	92.69	10/28/22	5.295	0.60	5.90	12,500	73.69	11/28/22	6.460	0.60	7.06	12,500	88.25
09/29/22	6.605	0.60	7.21	12,500	90.06	10/29/22	4.945	0.60	5.55	12,500	69.31	11/29/22	5.980	0.60	6.58	12,500	82.25
09/30/22	6.585	0.60	7.19	12,500	89.81	10/30/22	4.945	0.60	5.55	12,500	69.31	11/30/22	6.025	0.60	6.63	12,500	82.81
						10/31/22	4.945	0.60	5.55	12,500	69.31						

Total (To RMW-2, Sch 5, line 21)

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 Attachment WDG-1 CEI South Schedule 3 Page 1 of 6

#### CenterPoint Energy Indiana - South Market Settlements Group Purchased Power Over Benchmark Explanations - September - Cause No. 38708 FAC 138

S55's through	09/30									Available	MISO Economic			Test for Outag	es and Derates Are Unit MWs						
Sep			Cost of			Purcha	es			Capacity of	Dispatch /	Purchase			Out of Service >	Recoverable		0	ver	Total	
Benchmark			Purchased	Purchases		Volume		ount Over		Units Not	Purchased MWs	Power Costs	t MWs Out of	11% of Summer		@ 0%, 85%,	MWs Subject		hmark	Unrecoverable	e
Costs	Trade Date	HE	Power	Volume	Price	Benchm	rk\$ Bend	nchmark \$	Reason for Purchasing Power	Selected	above Capacity	Risk	Service	Rated Capacity	Capacity?	or 100%	to 85%-15%	Pi	rice	Dollars	
														1247							
119.38		14	\$ 5,941.54	45.080			1.43 \$	560.12		520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	12.42	\$ -	
119.38		15	\$ 11,483.52	83.950				1,461.99		520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	17.41	\$ -	
119.38	Sep 1	16	\$ 13,416.54	87.370				2,986.75	Culley 2 was on Reserve Shutdown,	520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	34.19	\$ -	
119.38	,	17	\$ 16,107.25	106.890				3,347.26	Culley 3 was on outage	520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	31.31	\$ -	
119.38			\$ 14,158.74	101.170		\$ 12,0		2,081.57		520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	20.57	\$ -	
119,38		19	\$ 12,032.69	93.190	129,12	\$ 11,1	4.56 \$	908.13		520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	9.74	\$ -	
123,06		13	\$ 5,698,80	44,700 \$	127,49	\$ 55	0.92 \$	197,88		520		s -	520	137.17	YES	100		s	4,43	s -	
123.06		14	\$ 11.405.99	81.390		\$ 10.0		1,389,89		520		s -	520	137.17	YES	100		\$	17.08	s -	
123.06			\$ 13,968.03	96.020		\$ 11.8		2,151.52		520		s -	520	137.17	YES	100	_	s	22.41	\$ -	
123,06	Sep 2	16	\$ 18,296,51	110,680		\$ 13,6		4,675,90	Culley 2 was on Reserve Shutdown,	520		s -	520	137.17	YES	100		Š	42,25	š -	
123.06	Ocp 2	17	\$ 21.047.08	124.480		\$ 15,3		5,728,20	Culley 3 was on outage	520		s -	520	137.17	YES	100	_	Š	46.02	š -	
123.06			\$ 16.166.31	116.910		\$ 14,3		1,779.01		520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	_	\$	15.22	s -	
123,06		19	\$ 12,320.00	96.250		\$ 11,8		475.19		520	_	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100		\$	4.94	š -	
120,00			12,020.00	00.200		+,0		170.10		020		•	020	107.117				•	1.0	•	
119.75		16	\$ 1,646.56	13,460	122.33	\$ 1.6	1.84 \$	34.72	Culley 2 was on Reserve Shutdown,	520	_	s -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	2.58	s -	
119.75	Sep 3	16	\$ 3,421,67	25.910			2.72 \$	318,95	Culley 3 was on outage	520	-	š -	520	137.17	YES	100	_	\$	12,31	s -	
									-												
119.75		14	\$ 10,740.50	87.900	122.19	\$ 10,5	6.03 \$	214.47		765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	2.44	\$ -	
119,75		15	\$ 12,863,60	104.260	123,38	\$ 12,4	5.14 \$	378.47		765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	3,63	\$ -	
119.75		16	\$ 41,782.30	312.000	133.92	\$ 37,3	2.00 \$	4,420,30	0.11.0	765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	14.17	\$ -	
119.75	C C	17	\$ 60,603.85	333.860	181.52	\$ 39,9	9.74 \$ 2	20,624.12	Culley 2 was on Reserve Shutdown,	765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	61.77	\$ -	
119.75	Sep 6	18	\$ 40,373.05	321.120	125.73	\$ 38,4	4.12 \$	1,918.93	Culley 3 was on outage, Brown 1 was offline for repair	765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	_	\$	5,98	\$ -	
119.75		19	\$ 36,717.95	301.390	121.83	\$ 36,0	1.45 \$	626,50	Offilite for repair	765	-	\$ -	765	137,17	YES	100	_	\$	2,08	\$ -	
119.75		20	\$ 7,857.03	64.550	121.72	\$ 7,7	9.86 \$	127.17		815	-	\$ -	815	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	1.97	\$ -	
119.75		21	\$ 22,081.02	171.370	128.85	\$ 20,5	1.56 \$	1,559.46		815	-	\$ -	815	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	9,10	\$ -	
113.38		14	\$ 34,785.74	295.320		\$ 33,4	1.91 \$	1,303.84		765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	4.41	\$ -	
113,38		15	\$ 38,139.93	294.040		\$ 33,3		4,803.14	Culley 2 was on Reserve Shutdown,	765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	16.34	\$ -	
113.38	Sep 7	16	\$ 42,391.36	294.630		\$ 33,4		8,987.68	Culley 3 was on outage, Brown 1 was	765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	30.50	\$ -	
113.38	очр.	17	\$ 41,699.39	292.730		\$ 33,1		8,511.13	offline for repair	765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	29.08	\$ -	
113,38		18	\$ 37,206.21	286,930		\$ 32,5		4,675.52		765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	16,29	\$ -	
113,38		19	\$ 34,190.29	292.700	116.81	\$ 33,1	4.86 \$	1,005.43		765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	3.44	\$ -	
												_						_		_	
109.06		15	\$ 31,202.29	274.330		\$ 29,9		1,283.04		765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	4.68	\$ -	
109.06		16	\$ 32,374.76	261.720				3,830.79	Culley 2 was on Reserve Shutdown,	765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	14.64	s -	
109.06	Sep 8	17	\$ 35,703.70	292.270		\$ 31,8		3,827.86	Culley 3 was on outage, Brown 1 was offline for repair	765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	13.10	\$ -	
109.06		18 19	\$ 29,996.68	262.140		\$ 28,5		1,406.91	online for repair	765 765	-	\$ - \$ -	765	137.17	YES YES	100 100	:	\$ \$	5.37	\$ - \$ -	
109.06		19	\$ 27,695.31	249.890	110.83	\$ 27,2	3.75 \$	441.56		765	-	• -	765	137.17	150	100	•	Þ	1.77	<b>3</b> -	
109.06		14	\$ 26,158,59	223,120	117.24	\$ 24.3	4.14 \$	1.824.45		765		s -	765	137.17	YES	100	_	\$	8,18	s -	
109.06		15	\$ 34,620.05	282.490		\$ 30,8		3,810.84		765	-	s -	765	137.17	YES	100		s	13,49	\$ -	
109.06		16	\$ 43,205,05	318.880		\$ 34,7		8.427.04	Culley 2 was on Reserve Shutdown,	765	•	š -	765	137.17	YES	100	_	Š	26.43	s -	
109,06	Sep 9	17	\$ 40,595.23	304,640		\$ 33.2		7,370.28	Culley 3 was on outage	685	_	š -	685	137.17	YES	100	_	Š	24.19	\$ -	
109.06		18	\$ 30,769.93	254,360		\$ 27,7		3,028.67	Tanty T had th tangs	685	_	š -	685	137.17	YES	100	_	\$	11.91	\$ -	
109.06		19	\$ 27,537.68	246,290		\$ 26.8		676.55		685	_	š -	685	137.17	YES	100	_	Š	2.75	\$ -	
,			,									•						•		•	
109,31		14	\$ 3,735.00	28.030	133,25	\$ 3,0	4.04 \$	670.96		765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	23.94	\$ -	
109.31		15	\$ 14,096.90	62.400	225.91	\$ 6,8		7,275.77		765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	116.60	\$ -	
109,31	0 40	16	\$ 36,129.99	294.290				3,960.27	Culley 2 was on Reserve Shutdown,	765	-	š -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	13.46	\$ -	
109.31	Sep 10	17	\$ 34,518.64	288.070	119.83	\$ 31,4		3,028.84	Culley 3 was on outage, Brown 1 was offline for repair	685	-	\$ -	685	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	10.51	\$ -	
109.31		19	\$ 973.38	7.970	122.13	\$ 8	1.22 \$	102.16	Offilitie for repair	685	-	\$ -	685	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	12.82	\$ -	
109.31		20	\$ 5,190.04	22.620		\$ 2,4	2.66 \$	2,717.38		815	-	\$ -	815	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	120,13	\$ -	
109,31	Sep 12	19	\$ 11,336,09	103.630	109.39	\$ 11.3	8.11 \$	7.98	Culley 3 was on outage, Brown 1 was	725	_	s -	725	137.17	YES	100	_	\$	0.08	s -	
			+ 11,000.00	,00.000		, .,,			offline for repair	, 20		-	725			.00		•	5.55		

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 Attachment WDG-1 CEI South Schedule 3 Page 2 of 6

### CenterPoint Energy Indiana - South Market Settlements Group Purchased Power Over Benchmark Explanations - September - Cause No. 38708 FAC 138

S55's through	09/30												Test for Outag	es and Derates				
Sep			Cost of			Purchases			Available Capacity of	MISO Economic Dispatch /	Dunchese			Are Unit MWs	December			Total
Benchmark			Purchased	Purchases		Volume @	Amount Over		Units Not		Purchase Power Costs at	MWs Out of	11% of Summer	Out of Service > 11% Summer		MWs Subject	Over Benchmark	Total Unrecoverable
Costs	Trade Date	HE	Power	Volume	Price	Benchmark		Reason for Purchasing Power	Selected	above Capacity	Risk	Service	Rated Capacity	Capacity?	or 100%	to 85%-15%	Price	Dollars
													1247	, ,				
400.04		40																
108.94 108.94	Sep 13	16 17	\$ 13,569.46 \$ 13,348.38			\$ 12,829.6 \$ 12,386.2		Culley 3 was on outage, Brown 1 was	675 675	-	\$ -	675	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 6.28	
108.94	Sep 13		\$ 20,856.12			\$ 12,386.2		offline for repair	675 675	-	\$ -	675 675	137.17 137.17	YES YES	100	-	\$ 8.46	
100.54		10	# 20,000.12	100.500	112.19	\$ 20,251.5	, \$ 604.55 \$ -		6/5	-	\$ - \$ -	6/5	137.17	N/A	100 N/A	-	\$ 3.25 \$ -	
112,38		15	\$ 17,590,03	153,290	•	\$ 17,225,9			675	-	\$ - \$ -	675	137.17	YES	100		\$ 2.38	7
112.38		16	\$ 21,422.85			\$ 19,139.7		Culley 3 was on outage, Brown 1 was	675	_	s -	675	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 13.41	
112.38	Sep 14	17	\$ 21,636.03					offline for repair	675	_	š -	675	137.17	YES	100	_	\$ 13.67	
112.38		18	\$ 21,772.67					,	675	-	š -	675	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 6.72	
																		•
116.06		16	\$ 61,805.34			\$ 56,564.4	6 \$ 5,240.88	Culley 3 was on outage, Brown 1 and	920	-	\$ -	920	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 10.75	\$ -
116.06	Sep 15	17	\$ 62,735.01	489.200		\$ 56,778.0		Brown 2 were offline for repair	920	-	\$ -	920	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 12.18	\$ -
116.06		18	\$ 59,217.06	494.130	119.84	\$ 57,350.2	1 \$ 1,866.85		920	-	\$ -	920	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 3.78	\$ -
113.81		13	\$ 2,802.53	23.690	118,30	\$ 2.696.2	3 \$ 106,30		920		_							
113.81		14	\$ 54,059.31	442.570		\$ 2,696.2 \$ 50,370.2			920 920	-	\$ -	920	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 4.49	
113.81		15	\$ 59,053.94			\$ 52,336,9			920	-	\$ - \$ -	920 920	137.17 137.17	YES YES	100 100	-	\$ 8.34 \$ 14.61	
113.81	Sep 16	16	\$ 65,000.43			\$ 53,476.1		Culley 3 was on outage, Brown 1 and	920		s -	920	137,17	YES	100	-	\$ 24.53	•
113.81		17	\$ 69.518.84			\$ 54,753.1		Brown 2 were offline for repair	920		s -	920	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 30.69	
113.81		18	\$ 56,541.93	459.840		\$ 52,335.7			920	_	š -	920	137.17	YES	100	_	\$ 9.15	
113.81		19	\$ 56,265.05	461.000	122.05	\$ 52,467.7	9 \$ 3,797,26		1,010	-	\$ -	1,010	137.17	YES	100	_	\$ 8.24	
108.31		10	\$ 29,510.46			\$ 27,424.8			1,010	-	\$ -	1,010	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 8.24	
108.31		11	\$ 34,878.22			\$ 31,118.3			765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 13.09	
108.31 108.31		12 13	\$ 35,448.08 \$ 32,749.49			\$ 31,066.3 \$ 26.588.6			765 765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 15.28	
108.31		14	\$ 20.608.18			\$ 26,588.6 \$ 16,745.1			765 685	-	\$ - \$ -	765 685	137.17 137.17	YES YES	100 100	-	\$ 25.10 \$ 24.99	
108.31		15	\$ 28,029,92			\$ 18,786.8		Culley 2 and Culley 3 were on outage,	685		5 -	685	137.17	YES	100		\$ 53.29	
108,31	Sep 17	16	\$ 18,268,37	116,500		\$ 12,618,4		Brown 1 was offline for repair	685	_	s -	685	137,17	YES	100	_	\$ 48,50	
108,31		17	\$ 39,839.15	228,340	174.47	\$ 24,732,1	9 \$ 15,106,96	•	685	-	\$ -	685	137.17	YES	100	_	\$ 66.16	
108.31		18	\$ 20,186.16	120,500	167.52	\$ 13,051.7	2 \$ 7,134.44		685	-	\$ -	685	137.17	YES	100	_	\$ 59.21	\$ -
108,31		19	\$ 23,821.00	175.000	136.12	\$ 18,954.7	8 \$ 4,866.23		685	-	\$ -	685	137,17	YES	100	-	\$ 27.81	\$ -
108.31		20	\$ 21,445.87	164.500		\$ 17,817.4			815	-	\$ -	815	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 22.06	\$ -
108.31		21	\$ 16,184.52	134.000	120.78	\$ 14,513.9	4 \$ 1,670.58		815	-	\$ -	815	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 12.47	\$ -
108.31		14	\$ 1,915,18	16,950	112,99	\$ 1.835.9	1 \$ 79,27		765		s -	765	137.17	YES	100		\$ 4.68	s -
108.31		15	\$ 29,824.97	248.500		\$ 26,915.7			765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 11.71	•
108,31		16	\$ 38,645.26			\$ 32,501,4		Culley 2 and Culley 3 were on outage,	765	-	\$ -	765	137.17	YES	100		\$ 20.47	
108,31	Sep 18	17	\$ 44,279,16			\$ 33,673,4		Brown 1 was offline for repair	765	-	š -	765	137,17	YES	100	_	\$ 34,11	•
108.31		18	\$ 38,456.85	285.500	134.70	\$ 30,923.3			765	-	š -	765	137,17	YES	100	_	\$ 26.39	
108,31		19	\$ 39,740.12	333,870	119.03	\$ 36,162.4	6 \$ 3,577.66		765	-	<b>s</b> -	765	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 10.72	
400.04		-		20.040							_						_	
108.31 108.31		7 11	\$ 21,238.50 \$ 16,908.78			\$ 7,402.1 \$ 16.518.8			815	-	\$ -	815	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 202.46	
108,31		12	\$ 20,304,47			\$ 19,510.0			520 520	-	\$ - \$ -	520 520	137.17 137.17	YES YES	100 100	-	\$ 2.56 \$ 4.43	
108.31		13	\$ 22,213.63			\$ 19,409.6			520	-	\$ - \$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 15.65	
108,31		14	\$ 27,395,81	201,900		\$ 21.868.3			440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	_	\$ 27.38	
108.31	Sep 19	15	\$ 29,380,68			\$ 21,283.5		Culley 2 and Culley 3 were on outage	440	_	š -	440	137,17	YES	100	_	\$ 41,21	,
108.31		16	\$ 37,916.53			\$ 24,921.7			440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 56.48	
108.31		17	\$ 39,131.50			\$ 25,800.1	6 \$ 13,331.34		440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 55.97	
108.31		18	\$ 39,021.41			\$ 26,869.2			440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 48.99	
108.31		19	\$ 36,085.02			\$ 29,943.1			520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 22.22	
108.31		20	\$ 30,696.09	248.290	123,63	\$ 26,893.0	3 \$ 3,803.06		570	-	\$ -	570	137.17	YES	100	-	\$ 15.32	\$ -
105.63		12	\$ 14.833.21	132,700	111 78	\$ 14.016.4	4 \$ 816.77		520	_	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100		\$ 6.16	s -
		-				,			320		-	320	101.11		,50	-	÷ 5.10	•

Cause No. 38708 FAC 138

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 Attachment WDG-1 CEI South Schedule 3 Page 3 of 6

#### CenterPoint Energy Indiana - South Market Settlements Group Purchased Power Over Benchmark Explanations - September - Cause No. 38708 FAC 138

S55's through (	09/30									1800 F			Test for Outag	es and Derates						
Sep			Cost of			Purchases			Available Capacity of	MISO Economic Dispatch /	Purchase			Are Unit MWs Out of Service >	Recoverable		01	/or	Total	
Benchmark			Purchased	Purchases		Volume @	Amount Over		Units Not	Purchased MWs		at MWs Out of	11% of Summer	11% Summer	@ 0%, 85%,	MWs Subject		hmark	Unrecoverabl	le
Costs	Trade Date	HE	Power	Volume	Price	Benchmark \$	Benchmark \$	Reason for Purchasing Power	Selected	above Capacity	Risk	Service	Rated Capacity	Capacity?	or 100%	to 85%-15%	Pri	ice	Dollars	
													1247							
105.63		13	\$ 22,038.63	186.200	\$ 118.36	\$ 19,667.38			520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	12.73	\$ -	
105.63		14	\$ 29,261.85	223,800		\$ 23,638.88			520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	25.13	\$ -	
105.63		15	\$ 38,681.08	287.110					440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	29.10	\$ -	
105.63	Sep 20	16	\$ 41,486.01	255.950		\$ 27,034.72		Culley 2 and Culley 3 were on outage	440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	56.46	\$ -	
105.63		17	\$ 39,060.34	230.200	\$ 169.68	\$ 24,314.88	\$ 14,745.47		440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	64.06	\$ -	
105.63		18	\$ 35,516.58	251.850	\$ 141.02				440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	35.40	\$ -	
105.63		19	\$ 29,743.81	222.600	\$ 133.62				520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	27.99	\$ -	
105,63		20	\$ 23,440.54	207.200	\$ 113.13	\$ 21,885.50	\$ 1,555.04		570	-	\$ -	570	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	7.51	\$ -	
400.00		44	e 47.000.00	450,000		40777.04			500		_		407.45				_		_	
106.88		11		156.980					520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$		\$ -	
106.88		12	\$ 22,808.34	198.230	\$ 115.06				520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	8.18	\$ -	
106.88		13	\$ 23,851.89	188.240	\$ 126.71	\$ 20,118.15			440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	19.83	\$ -	
106.88		14	\$ 18,070.91	132.650	\$ 136.23	\$ 14,176.97			440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	29.36	\$ -	
106.88	Sep 21	15	\$ 26,500.20	175.150				Culley 2 and Culley 3 were on outage	440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	44.43	\$ -	
106.88	,	16	\$ 34,815.97	203.210				,,	440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	64.46	\$ -	
106.88		17	\$ 40,553.46	243.740		\$ 26,049.71			440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	59.50	\$ -	
106.88		18	\$ 34,999.00	235,890		\$ 25,210.74			440	-	\$ -	440	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	41.50	\$ -	
106,88		19	\$ 34,456.53	260.010					520	-	\$ -	520	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	25.65	\$ -	
106.88		20	\$ 27,489.58	228.870	\$ 120.11	\$ 24,460.48	\$ 3,029.10		570	-	\$ -	570	137.17	YES	100	-	\$	13.24	\$ -	
T. 4.1				00.007.400			<u> </u>													_
Total			\$ 3,171,217.33	23,897.120		\$ 2,657,284.55	\$ 513,932.81		74,485.000		\$ -	74,485,000	•			-			<u>\$ -</u>	_

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 Attachment WDG-1 CEI South Schedule 3 Page 4 of 6

#### CenterPoint Energy Indiana - South Market Settlements Group Purchased Power Over Benchmark Explanations - October - Cause No. 38708 FAC 138

S55's through	h 10/31															Test for Outages	and Derates					
Oct Benchmark Costs	Trade Date	HE	Cost of Purchased Power	Purchases Volume	Pric	ica	Purchases Volume @ Benchmark \$		ount Over	Reason for Purchasing Power	Available Capacity of Units Not Selected	MISO Economic Dispatch / Purchased MWs above Capacity	Pow	urchase ver Costs at Risk	MWs Out of Service	11% of Winter Rated Capacity	Are Unit MWs Out of Service > 11% Winter Capacity?	Recoverable @ 0%, 85%, or 100%	MWs Subject to 85%-15%	Over Benchma Price	ark	Total Unrecoverable Dollars
30013	riade bate		. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	volume			Denominar ( •	Den	omman v	Reason for Farchasing Fower	Selected	above dapacity	٠	it ition	Cervice	1261	Capacity i	01 10070	10 03 /6-13 /6	7 1100		Donars
83.19			\$ 1,115.9				\$ 964,98		150.94		684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 13		\$ -
83.19		13	\$ 2,607.1				\$ 2,121.29		485.83		684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-			\$ -
83.19			\$ 4,154.9				\$ 3,152.83		1,002.15		684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 26		\$ -
83.19 83.19			\$ 4,022.7 \$ 3,896.2				\$ 3,044.68 \$ 3,319,20		978.03	Cullou 2 Cullou 2 and Marrials 4	684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 26		\$ -
83.19	Oct 6		\$ 3,699.8				\$ 2,911.58		577.04 788.27	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4 were on outage	684 684	-	\$ \$	-	684 684	138.71 138.71	YES YES	100 100	-	\$ 14 \$ 22		\$ - \$ -
83.19		18	\$ 5,455.3				\$ 3,984.71		1,470.62	Word on balage	684		s		684	138.71	YES	100				\$ -
83.19		19	\$ 6,826.0	9 54.60			\$ 4,542.06		2,284.03		734	_	s	_	734	138.71	YES	100	_	\$ 41		\$ -
83.19		20	\$ 4,944.2				\$ 3,773.41		1,170.83		734	-	\$	_	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 25		\$ -
83.19		21	\$ 2,427.9	0 26.61	0 \$ 9	91.24	\$ 2,213.63	\$	214.27		734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100		\$ 8	05	\$ -
93.81	0.17	7	\$ 320.3	9 3.25	0 \$ 9	98.58	\$ 304.89	\$	15.50	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4	734	_	\$	_	734	138.71	YES	100		S 4	77	s -
93.81	Oct 7	19	\$ 1,239.6				\$ 1,038.51		201.11	were on outage	734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-		17	
85.13	Oct 8	10	\$ 28,400.1	0 172.75	0 \$ 16	64.40	\$ 14,705.34	\$	13,694.76	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4 were on outage, Brown 2 was offline for repair	929	-	\$	-	929	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 79	28	\$ -
85.13	Oct 9	19	\$ 33,437.4	1 64.71	0 \$ 510	16.73	\$ 5,508.44	\$	27,928.97	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4 were on outage	734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 431	60	\$ -
85.13		12	\$ 2,447.1	3 27.98	0 \$ 8	37.46	\$ 2,381.80	s	65.33		597	_	\$	_	597	138.71	YES	100	_	\$ 2	33	\$ -
85.13	Oct 10	18	\$ 15,108.3				\$ 6,074.52		9,033.82	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4	684	_	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	_	\$ 126		\$ -
85.13		19	\$ 6,192.9	4 65.23	0 \$ 9	94.94	\$ 5,552.70	\$	640.24	were on outage	734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-		82	\$ -
85.56		6	\$ 14.523.6	0 168.00	0 \$ 8	36 45	\$ 14,374,58	\$	149.02		979	_	s	_	979	138,71	YES	100		s 0	89	s -
85.56		7	\$ 17,051.5	8 183.37			\$ 15,689.69		1,361.89		979	-	\$	-	979	138.71	YES	100	-			\$ -
85.56		8	\$ 2,540.6			94.80	\$ 2,293.09	\$	247.55		929	-	\$	-	929	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 9	24	\$ -
85.56		15	\$ 4,621.5				\$ 3,781.88		839.67		929	-	\$	-	929	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 19		\$ -
85.56		16	\$ 94,137.1				\$ 22,666,49		71,470.62		929	-	\$	-	929	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 269		\$ -
85.56 85.56	Oct 11	17 18	\$ 34,441.0 \$ 215.4				\$ 21,342.83 \$ 162.57		13,098.25 52.89	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4	842 842	-	S	-	842	138.71 138.71	YES	100 100	-	\$ 52 \$ 27		\$ -
85.56	Oct 11	18	\$ 31,041,4				\$ 162.57 \$ 21,661,13		9,380,34	were on outage, Brown 2 was offline for repair	842 892	-	\$ \$	-	842 892	138.71 138.71	YES YES	100	-	\$ 27		\$ - \$ -
85.56			\$ 38,115.7			70.11			18,943.60	101 Tepan	892	-	\$	-	892	138.71	YES	100		\$ 84		s -
85.56			\$ 18,626.2				\$ 14,765,61		3,860,59		892	_	s	_	892	138.71	YES	100	_	\$ 22		s -
85.56		22	\$ 6,738.6			1.77	\$ 6,282.89	\$	455.78		892	-	\$	-	892	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 6	21	\$ -
85.56			\$ 19,761.0				\$ 13,400.02		6,361.03		892	-	\$	-	892	138.71	YES	100	-			\$ -
85.56		24	\$ 18,175.6	2 151.35	0 \$ 12	20.09	\$ 12,949.96	\$	5,225.66		979	-	\$	-	979	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 34	53	\$ -
86.31		16	\$ 13,921.6	1 154.41	0 \$ 9	90.16	\$ 13,327.59	\$	594.02	Cullou 2 Cullou 2 and Marriols 4	755	-	\$	-	755	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 3	85	\$ -
86.31	Oct 12		\$ 16,522.0				\$ 14,516.12		2,005.88	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4 were on outage, Brown 2 was offline	755	-	\$	-	755	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 11	93	\$ -
86.31	Out 12		\$ 22,701.7				\$ 22,519.92		181.86	for repair	842	-	\$	-	842	138.71	YES	100	-			\$ -
86.31		19	\$ 29,955.4	2 316.66	0 \$ 9	94.60	\$ 27,331.87	\$	2,623.55	•	979	-	\$	-	979	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 8	29	\$ -
88.31		6	\$ 3,681.7				\$ 3,424.78	\$	256.99		734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 6	63	\$ -
88.31		7	\$ 6,905.1				\$ 5,821.59		1,083.53	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4	734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-			\$ -
88.31	Oct 13		\$ 2,572.6				\$ 2,078.89		493.80	were on outage	734	•	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 20		\$ -
88.31 88.31			\$ 3,570.1 \$ 1,520.0				\$ 2,937.29 \$ 1,410,36		632.84 109.66	-	734 734	-	\$ \$	-	734 734	138.71	YES	100	-			\$ -
00.31		21	\$ 1,520.0	2 15.97	J 3 9:	95.18	\$ 1,410,36	Þ	109.66		734	-	3	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 6	87	\$ -
82.75	Oct 18	19	\$ 1,179.1		0 \$ 9				139.02	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4 were on outage	734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 11	06	\$ -
82.50 82.50	Oct 19	1 19	\$ 2,708.7 \$ 2,002.7		0 \$ 9 0 \$ 9		\$ 2,283.60 \$ 1,760.55		425.16 242.21	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4 were on outage	734 734	-	\$ \$	-	734 734	138.71 138.71	YES YES	100 100	-			\$ - \$ -
76.19		6	\$ 715.1	6 9.34	0 \$ 7	76.57	\$ 711.60	\$	3.56		734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 0	38	\$ -
76.19	Oct 20	7	\$ 5,621.1		0 \$ 9	99.19	\$ 4,317.57	\$	1,303.53	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4	734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 23	.00	\$ -
76.19	Ou 20	8	\$ 3,187.8				\$ 3,001.05		186.78	were on outage	684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-			\$ -
76.19		19	\$ 843.7	8 9.07	0 \$ 9	93.03	\$ 691.03	\$	152.75		734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 16	84	\$ -
62.88		15	\$ 1,819.4	9 28.55	0 \$ 6:	3.73	\$ 1,795.08	\$	24.41		684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 0	85	\$ -
62,88		16	\$ 1,417.1				\$ 1,285.17		131.95		684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-			\$ -
62.88	Oct 24	17	\$ 1,831.6				\$ 1,639.15		192.53	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4	684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-			\$ -
62.88		18	\$ 3,458.6				\$ 2,609.31		849.30	were on outage	684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-	\$ 20		\$ -
62.88 62.88		19 20	\$ 5,107.5 \$ 3,720.2				\$ 3,459.38 \$ 3,161,36		1,648.13 558.86		734 734	-	\$ 5	-	734 734	138.71 138.71	YES YES	100 100	-	\$ 29 \$ 11		\$ - \$ -
02.00		20	÷ 0,720.2	_ 55.26	J J /	0.33	ψ 3,101,30	•	JJ0.05		/34	-	3	-	134	130.71	160	100	-	ψ 11	12	-

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1
Attachment WDG-1
CEI South
Schedule 3
Page 5 of 6

### CenterPoint Energy Indiana - South Market Settlements Group Purchased Power Over Benchmark Explanations - October - Cause No. 38708 FAC 138

S55's through	h 10/31														Test for Outage							
Oct Benchmark Costs	Trade Date	HE.	Cost of Purchased Power	Purchases Volume	Pric	æ	Purchases Volume @ Benchmark \$	Amount Over Benchmark \$	Reason for Purchasing Power	Available Capacity of Units Not Selected	MISO Economic Dispatch / Purchased MWs above Capacity	Po Pow	urchase wer Costs at Risk	MWs Out of Service	11% of Winter Rated Capacity	Are Unit MWs Out of Service > 11% Winter Capacity?	Recoverable @ 0%, 85%, or 100%	MWs Subject to 85%-15%	Ber	Over nchmark Price	Unre	Total coverable lollars
															1261							
67.63		10	\$ 14,904.2	9 36.080	\$ 413	3.09	\$ 2,439.91	\$ 12,464.38	0.45-0.00	684	_	\$	_	684	138,71	YES	100	-	\$	345.47	\$	-
67.63	Oct 25	18	\$ 4,533.5	3 61.530	5 73	3.68	\$ 4,160.97	\$ 372.56	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4 were on outage	734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	6.05	\$	-
67.63		19	\$ 4,440.8	0 61.000	\$ 72	2.80	\$ 4,125.13	\$ 315.68	were on outage	734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	5.18	\$	-
72.50		18	\$ 858.	7 10.800	) S 79	9.46	\$ 783.00	\$ 75.17		684	_	\$	_	684	138,71	YES	100	_	s	6.96	s	_
72.50	Oct 26	19	\$ 4,001.4	9 44.900	\$ 89	9.12	\$ 3,255.25		Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4	734		\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	16.62		-
72.50		22	\$ 769.4	1 10.080	\$ 76	3.33	\$ 730.80	\$ 38.61	were on outage	734	-	\$	-	734	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	3.83	\$	-
73.25		7	\$ 4,040.0	4 48.090	\$ 84	4.01	\$ 3,522.59	\$ 517.45		734	-	\$	_	734	138.71	YES	100	_	\$	10.76	\$	-
73.25	Oct 27	8	\$ 4,398.9	7 59.110	\$ 74	4.42	\$ 4,329.81	\$ 69.16	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Warrick 4	684		\$	_	684	138.71	YES	100	_	\$	1.17	\$	_
73.25		18	\$ 819.	7 10.690	\$ 76	5.63	\$ 783.04	\$ 36.13	were on outage	684	-	\$	-	684	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	3.38	\$	-
73.69	Oct 28	7	\$ 1,871.6	2 22.490	) \$ 8:	3.22	\$ 1,657.24	\$ 214.38	Culley 2 and Culley 3 were on outage	584	-	\$	-	584	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	9.53	\$	-
Total			\$ 601,887.	4,587.450	-		\$ 381,048.58	\$ 220,838.68		47,287.000		\$	-	47,287.000							\$	

Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 Attachment WDG-1 CEI South Schedule 3 Page 6 of 6

### CenterPoint Energy Indiana - South Market Settlements Group Purchased Power Over Benchmark Explanations - November - Cause No. 38708 FAC 138

S55's	through	11/30

S55's throug	h 11/30											Available	MISO Economic			Test for Outage	s and Derates Are Unit MWs						
Nov Benchmark Costs	Trade Date	HE	P	Cost of urchased Power	Purchases Volume		Price	٧	urchases olume @ nchmark \$	ount Over	Reason for Purchasing Power	Capacity of Units Not Selected	Dispatch / Purchased MWs above Capacity	Purchase ower Costs at Risk	MWs Out of Service	11% of Winter Rated Capacity 1261	Out of Service > 11% Winter Capacity?	Recoverable @ 0%, 85%, or 100%	MWs Subject to 85%-15%	Ben	Over schmark Price	Unrec	otal overable bliars
56.69	Nov 6	18	\$	1,606.26	27.580	5	58.24	\$	1,563.46	\$ 42.80	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Brown 1 were	779	-	\$ -	779	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	1.55	\$	-
56.69	NOV 6	19	\$	2,396.84	38.990	\$	61.47	\$	2,210.27	\$ 186.57	on outage	829	-	\$ -	829	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	4.79	\$	-
56.69	Nov 7	11	\$	637.55	8.460		75.36	\$	479.58	\$ 157.97	Culley 3 and Brown 1 were on outage	689	-	\$ -	689	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	18,67	\$	-
56.69		12	\$	1,300.19	15.680	\$	82.92	\$	888.87	\$ 411.32	,,	689	-	\$ -	689	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	26.23	\$	-
56.25	Nov 9	7	\$	8,022.68	56.260		142.60	\$	3,164.63	\$ 4,858.06	Culley 2, Culley 3, and Brown 1 were	829	-	\$ -	829	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	86.35	\$	-
56.25	1107 0	18	\$	1,185.90	20.700	. 5	57.29	\$	1,164.38	\$ 21.53	on outage	605	-	\$ -	605	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	1.04	\$	-
88.25	Nov 27	17	\$	2,432.32	17.370		140.03	\$	1,532.90	\$ 899.42	Culley 3 and Warrick 4 were on	644	-	\$ -	644	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	51.78	\$	-
88.25	1407 27	18	\$	1,014.38	11.440	\$	88.67	\$	1,009.58	\$ 4.80	outage	557	-	\$ -	557	138.71	YES	100	-	\$	0.42	\$	-
Total			\$	18,596.12	196.480	-		\$	12,013,67	\$ 6,582.47		5,621.000	<del></del>	 -	5,621.000				-			\$	