FILED
October 12, 2023
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITION OF INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT)
COMPANY D/B/A AES INDIANA ("AES INDIANA") FOR)
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE RATES AND CHARGES FOR)
ELECTRIC UTILITY SERVICE, AND FOR APPROVAL	
OF RELATED RELIEF, INCLUDING (1) REVISED	
DEPRECIATION RATES, (2) ACCOUNTING RELIEF,	
INCLUDING DEFERRALS AND AMORTIZATIONS, (3)) CAUSE NO. 45911
INCLUSION OF CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, (4) RATE)
ADJUSTMENT MECHANISM PROPOSALS, INCLUDING)
NEW ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT RIDER, (5) REMOTE	
DISCONNECT/RECONNECT PROCESS, AND (6) NEW)
SCHEDULES OF RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS	
FOR SERVICE.)

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 13
TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS
DEREK J. LEADER

OCTOBER 12, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

T. Jason Haas

Attorney No. 34983-29

Deputy Consumer Counselor

TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS DEREK J. LEADER CAUSE NO. 45911 INDIANAPOLIS POWER & LIGHT COMPANY D/B/A AES INDIANA

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q:	Please state your name, business address, and employment capacity.
2	A:	My name is Derek J. Leader, and my business address is 115 West Washington St.,
3		Suite 1500 South, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204. I am employed by the Indiana
4		Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") as a Utility Analyst. My
5		qualifications are set forth in Appendix A of this document.
6	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
7	A:	I discuss Indianapolis Power & Light Company d/b/a AES Indiana's ("AES
8		Indiana" or "Petitioner") request for a new Economic Development Rider ("EDR").
9		Ultimately, I conclude the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission")
10		should approve the EDR subject to Petitioner providing a completed internal policy
11		guide for stakeholder and IURC review, along with Commission approval of that
12		guide. I also recommend the Commission require AES Indiana to annually report
13		to the IURC and OUCC the names of customers receiving service under the EDR
14		and the incentive amount provided.
15 16	Q:	Please describe the review and analysis you conducted to prepare your testimony.
17	A:	I reviewed AES Indiana's petition, prefiled testimony, exhibits, and workpapers in
18		this proceeding. I met with other OUCC staff and discussed this and other issues in
19		the case. On August 22, I participated in an informal "tech-to-tech" meeting with
20		AES Indiana's staff and consultants.

- 1 Q: To the extent you do not address a specific item, issue, or adjustment, does this mean you agree with those portions of AES Indiana's proposals?
- A: No. Excluding any specific adjustments or issues AES Indiana proposes does not indicate my approval of those adjustments or issues. Rather, the scope of my testimony is limited to the specific items addressed herein.
- 6 Q: Are you sponsoring any attachments?
- 7 A: Yes, I am sponsoring the following attachments:
- OUCC Attachment DJL-1: AES Indiana's response to OUCC DR01 Q38
- OUCC Attachment DJL-2: AES Indiana's response to OUCC DR01 Q43

II. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT RIDER

Q: Please describe the EDR.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A:

The EDR is Petitioner's proposed Rider 27 - Economic Development Rider. AES Indiana seeks to improve its competitiveness by supporting economic development and encouraging growth in the communities it serves. The OUCC agrees this is a reasonable endeavor. The EDR will be available to large commercial and industrial customers who bring material economic development to the Company's service territory in exchange for a five-year reduction in utility charges. Discounts through the proposed EDR will start at 40 percent of base rate charges in year 1 and decrease by 5 percent each year until the final year when the discount will equal 15 percent of base rate charges. The customer requesting the rider must meet certain minimums, have local support, affirm that the rider was a reason for locating the

¹ Direct Testimony of Jim Staton, p. 5, ll. 17-18.

² Direct Testimony of Austin Baker p. 16, ll.17-21.

³ *Id.* at 18, Table 2.

1 project in the service area and, importantly, "[e]xpected revenue must exceed incremental cost to serve." Petitioner's witness Jim Staton states that "[i]n order to 2 3 benefit the other AES Indiana retail customers, the EDR requires that the 4 incremental revenue from the participating customer over the eight-year contract must exceed the incremental cost to serve and make a contribution to fixed costs."5 5 6 Q: Why is AES Indiana proposing the EDR now? 7 A: AES Indiana states it is the only investor-owned utility in Indiana without an EDR. 8 Petitioner's witness Jim Staton testifies this puts AES Indiana at a competitive disadvantage. 6 AES Indiana currently has the similar Rate CSC (Customer Specific 9 10 Contracts); however, that rate has a significantly higher threshold of 2,000 kW 11 before a discount can be applied, which would be much lower under the EDR of 500 kW. The Company correctly notes that this approach, while useful for large 12 13 customers, is not as appropriate for smaller customers where a more standardized approach could be more useful.⁸ 14 15 O: Does AES Indiana have an estimate for how many customers might use the 16 EDR? No.9 17 A: 18 How would AES Indiana decide the customer's individual incentive? 0: 19 A: AES Indiana testifies it will develop an internal policy guide to inform decisions, 20 on a case-by-case basis, to determine the incentive amount appropriate for each

⁴ Baker Direct, Attachment AJB-1, p. 124.

⁵ Staton Direct, p. 9, 1. 21 to p. 10, 1. 1.

⁶ Staton Direct, p. 5, ll. 1-3.

⁷ Staton Direct, p. 6, ll. 10-13.

⁸ Staton Direct, p. 6, 11. 2-9.

⁹ OUCC Attachment DJL-1.

interested and eligible customer. 10 AES Indiana witness Mr. Staton provides a list 1 2 of criteria which will be included in the guide: 3 Peak monthly demand. • 4 Average monthly load factor. 5 Interruptible characteristics. 6 The Customer locates in a qualified "brownfield" redevelopment 7 area as defined by Indiana or federal law located adjacent to an 8 electric transmission or distribution line of company that is 9 adequate and suitable for supplying the service requested. 10 Hosting location capacity to serve. 11 Cost to serve. 12 New full-time equivalent employees. 13 New average wage versus county average wage. 14 New capital investment. 15 County unemployment rate. Existing Customer attributes (annual bill, current full-time 16 17 equivalent employees). Regional economic multipliers. 18 19 Local support documentation including the amount and the 20 funding source. Alignment with relative State and local economic and community 21 development strategies. 11 22 23 Was the OUCC able to review the internal policy guide? Q: No. AES Indiana has not completed the guide. 12 24

A:

¹⁰ Staton Direct, p. 8, ll. 8-10

¹¹ Staton Direct, p. 8-9, ll. 12-23, 1-5

¹² OUCC Attachment DJL-2

- 1 Q: Was the OUCC able to review a draft, outline, or notes of the internal policy guide?
- 3 A: No. AES Indiana advises through discovery it has not created a draft, outline, or notes pertaining to developing the internal policy guide. 13
- Why is the internal policy guide necessary for the Commission and OUCC to fully evaluate the EDR??

A: The internal policy guide is a necessary part of evaluating the EDR. Without viewing the internal policy guide, the OUCC cannot ensure customers are covering all variable costs and trackers nor subsidizing the new customer at the expense of other customers. Failure to establish sound procedures for evaluating future interest may result in unnecessary ratepayer exposure. Importantly, Petitioner does not propose to provide cost effectiveness information to the Commission ensuring that revenue provided by accepted customers exceed incremental costs to service, and thus are cost-effective, even with the presence of the EDR discounts. Likewise, the Company does not propose to report information on the participating customer's business sector of operations such as applicable North American Industry Classification System ("NAICS") code. If the proposed EDR is designed to promote economic development and growth, the Commission, and ratepayers supporting the program, deserve to have complete information about the types of industries and economic growth they are financially supporting. It is important for the Commission and OUCC to have this information to ensure that all ratepayers benefit from the implementation of this proposal.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

¹³ OUCC Attachment DJL-2

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Approve the EDR subject to Commission approval of AES Indiana's

1 Q :	What	do	you	recommend?
--------------	------	----	-----	------------

- 2 A: I recommend the Commission:
- completed internal policy guide (Original Form or as modified by the Commission). The internal policy guide should be provided to the Commission within 60 days of the final order in this Cause, with the Commission, OUCC,
- 7 and intervening parties then having 30 days to review and comment on the
- 8 policy guide; and
- 9 2. Require AES Indiana to provide annual reports to the IURC and OUCC
- documenting the EDR's cost effectiveness, and also including the names and
- business sectors of operations of customers receiving the EDR and the incentive
- 12 amounts provided.
- 13 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?
- 14 A: Yes.

3

APPENDIX A QUALIFICATIONS OF DEREK J. LEADER

1 Q: Please describe your educational background and experience.

A:

I graduated with a Bachelor of Art degree in Economics from Trinity University in San Antonio, Texas, in 2012, and acquired a second bachelor's degree in Math from Western Governors University out of Salt Lake City, Utah in 2016. I worked as an accountant for Defense Finance and Accounting from May 2011 to August 2011. I was a student trainee at Fort Carson's U.S. Army Dental Activity from July 2012 to September 2012. I worked at Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology as a recreation assistant from August 2013 to November 2013.

I did my student teaching at Northview High School of Clay County from August to November of 2015. I taught high school math at Shiloh CUSD#1 in Hume, Illinois from August 2016 to July 2017. I taught math, science, and social studies at George W. Julian 57 from August 2017 to July 2018. I taught math and robotics at Woodrow Wilson Middle School from August 2018 to January 2019. I taught math at Marion High School from August 2019 to July 2021. I taught math at Riverton Parke Jr/Sr High School from August 2021 to July 2022.

I began my career with the OUCC in August 2022. As part of my continuing education, I attended the Michigan State University Institute of Public Utilities Annual Regulatory Studies Program - Fundamentals Course on August 8-12, 2022, and the Advanced Cost Allocation and Rate Design Course on November 14-17, 2022. I also attended the Fundamentals of Utility Law presented by Scott Hempling, and NARUC Regulatory Training Initiative.

Cause No. 45911 OUCC Attachment DJL-1 Page 1 of 1 AES Indiana Cause No. 45911 AES Indiana Responses to OUCC DR Set 1

Data Request OUCC DR 1 - 38

How many customers does AES Indiana anticipate will use the Economic Development Rider each year?

Objection:

Response:

AES Indiana has not estimated of the number of customers that would participate in the Economic Development Rider. However, based upon Indiana Economic Development Corporation project location data, the Indianapolis Metro area is a common location for project development. The majority of these projects have chosen a location in a service territory where an Economic Development Rider is available (historically, has been outside of AES Indiana service territory).

Data Request OUCC DR 1 - 43

Refer to the direct testimony of Jim Staton, page 8, line 7-8, which states: "When determining a customer's individual incentive, AES Indiana will develop an internal policy guide to inform decisions."

- a. Has AES Indiana begun developing such a guide?
- b. Please provide the most recent and inclusive draft or discussion regarding such a guide.

Objection:

Response:

- a) No, a formal policy guide has not yet been developed but will include the evaluation criteria presented in AES Indiana Attachment AJB-1 page 125.
- b) No drafts have been developed regarding such guide.

AFFIRMATION

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the foregoing representations are true.

Derek J. Leader

Utility Analyst II

Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

Cause No. 45911

AES Indiana

October 12, 2023

Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the *Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's Testimony of Derek J. Leader* has been served upon the following parties of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic service on October 12, 2023.

Petitioner

Teresa Morton Nyhart T. Joseph Wendt Jeffrey M. Peabody Lauren Aguilar Janet Nichols

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

tnyhart@btlaw.com jwendt@btlaw.com jpeabody@btlaw.com laguilar@btlaw.com Janet.Nichols@btlaw.com

COURTESY COPIES TO: Nicholas M. Grimmer AES US SERVICES LLC nick.grimmer@aes.com

Kristi Figg Austin Baker AES INDIANA kristi.figg@aes.com austin.baker@aes.com

CAC

Jennifer A. Washburn Reagan Kurtz CAC OF IN, INC. jwashburn@citact.org rkurtz@citact.org

Industrial Group

Joseph P. Rompala
Aaron A. Schmoll
LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.
JRompala@lewis-kappes.com
ASchmoll@lewis-kappes.com
Courtesy copy to:
ATyler@lewis-kappes.com
ETennant@lewis-Kappes.com

City of Indianapolis

Anne E. Becker

LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.

ABecker@Lewis-Kappes.com

Courtesy copy to:

ATyler@lewis-kappes.com

Kroger

Kurt J. Boehm, Esq.
Jody Kyler Cohn, Esq.
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
KBoehm@BKLlawfirm.com
JKylerCohn@BKLlawfirm.com

John P. Cook, Esq.

JOHN P. COOK & ASSOCIATES

john.cookassociates@earthlink.net

Justin Bieber ENERGY STRATEGIES, LLC jbieber@energystrat.com

Walmart

Eric E. Kinder
Barry A. Naum
Steven W. Lee
SPILMAN THOMAS & BATTLE, PLLC
ekinder@spilmanlaw.com
bnaum@spilmanlaw.com

slee@spilmanlaw.com

T. Jason Haas

Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR PNC Center

115 West Washington Street, Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204

infomgt@oucc.in.gov

thaas@oucc.in.gov

317.232.2494 – Telephone

317.232.3315 - Direct

317.232.5923 - Facsimile