FILED
January 20, 2022
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

STATE OF INDIANA

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITION OF NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC)
SERVICE COMPANY LLC FOR (1) AUTHORITY TO)
MODIFY ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR GAS)
UTILITY SERVICE THROUGH A PHASE IN OF)
RATES; (2) APPROVAL OF NEW SCHEDULES OF)
RATES AND CHARGES, GENERAL RULES AND)
REGULATIONS, AND RIDERS; (3) APPROVAL OF)
REVISED DEPRECIATION RATES APPLICABLE TO)
ITS GAS PLANT IN SERVICE; (4) APPROVAL OF) CAUSE NO. 45621
MECHANISM TO MODIFY RATES PROSPECTIVELY)
FOR CHANGES IN FEDERAL OR STATE INCOME)
TAX RATES, UTILITY RECEIPTS TAX RATES, AND)
PUBLIC UTILITY FEE RATES; (5) APPROVAL OF)
NECESSARY AND APPROPRIATE ACCOUNTING)
RELIEF; AND (6) AUTHORITY TO IMPLEMENT)
TEMPORARY RATES CONSISTENT WITH THE)
PROVISIONS OF IND. CODE § 8-1-2-42.7.)

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR'S

PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 3 – TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS HEATHER R. POOLE

January 20, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Scott C. Franson

Attorney No. 27839-49

Deputy Consumer Counselor

NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LLC CAUSE NO. 45621 TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS HEATHER R. POOLE

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is Heather R. Poole, and my business address is 115 West Washington
3		Street, Suite 1500 South, Indianapolis, IN 46204.
4	Q:	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A:	I am employed by the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor ("OUCC") as
6		the Director of the Natural Gas Division. I have worked as a member of the OUCC's
7		Natural Gas Division since December of 2010. For a summary of my educational
8		and professional experience, as well as my preparation for this case, please see the
9		Appendix attached to my testimony.
10	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
11	A:	I address certain elements of Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC's
12		("NIPSCO" or "Petitioner") request for a rate increase through a phase-in of rates
13		utilizing a forward-looking test year. I sponsor and address OUCC adjustments
14		relating to the Corporate Incentive Plan ("CIP") expense and amortization expenses
15		for rate case expense and the COVID regulatory asset. I also address NIPSCO's
16		Unaccounted For Gas ("UAFG") in the Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA").
17	Q:	What are your recommendations?
18	A:	I recommend reductions to Petitioner's CIP expense. I recommend reductions to
19		Petitioner's rate case expense, and changes to the amortization periods for the
20		COVID regulatory asset and rate case expense amortization. I also recommend a
21		reduction in the UAFG cap included within the GCA.

1 Q: To the extent you do not address a specific item or adjustment, should that be 2 construed to mean you agree with Petitioner's proposal? 3 A: No. Not addressing a specific item or adjustment NIPSCO proposes does not indicate 4 my agreement or approval. Rather, the scope of my testimony is limited to the 5 specific items addressed herein. II. CORPORATE INCENTIVE PLAN EXPENSE 6 Q: What is Petitioner's proposed forward test year amount for CIP expense? 7 NIPSCO's 2022 CIP ratemaking expense for the twelve months ending December A: 8 31, 2002 is \$6,231,262. (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 19-S2, Workpaper OM 12, Page 9 [.1].) NIPSCO's witness Cartella states: 10 As it is an important piece to overall total rewards earned by NIPSCO 11 employees, the Company has included in the cost of service and is 12 requesting recovery of the "target" level of expense for all employees. 13 Target is most representative of an expected, normal level of on-going 14 CIP expense. The target level represents the annual CIP expense as if 15 there were no adjustments for achieving results above or below expected level of performance. 16 17 (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 12, page 17, line 17 to page 18, line 5.) 18 Q: Do you agree with including the target level of annual CIP expense in base 19 rates? 20 No. In response to OUCC DR 9-001, NIPSCO indicated the level of payout for the A: 21 period of 2018 to 2020 ranged from 50% to 75%, which is well below the target 22 100% level included in NIPSCO's case-in-chief. (Attachment HRP-1, page 4; 23 NIPSCO Response to OUCC Data Request ("DR") 9-001.) 24 Q: Has the Commission indicated what level of incentive plan should be included 25 in base rates? 26 A: Yes. In Cause No. 45235, the Commission stated: "I&M's evidence is that only the

target level of incentive compensation is included in its revenue requirement, and all

27

1		incentive compensation in excess of target is effectively allocated to shareholders."
2		In re Indiana Michigan Power Company, Cause No. 45235, Final Order page 63
3		(Ind. Util. Regulatory Comm'n March 11, 2020.) However, based on history,
4		NIPSCO's employees have not hit a target level of CIP expense for the last 3 years.
5		Including a target amount of CIP expense in rates does not reflect a representative
6		amount of ongoing expense.
7	Q:	What is your recommendation for CIP Expense to be included in base rates?
8	A:	I recommend NIPSCO recover CIP expenses based on the historical average. A
9		percentage of 60.5% should be used to calculate CIP Expenses included in base rates.
10		This is the average percentage paid to employees for 2018-2020. (Attachment HRP-
11		1, page 3.) Applying a percentage of 60.5% to NIPSCO's calculation of annual CIP
12		expense results in total CIP expense of \$3,769,820. (Attachment HRP-1, page 2.)
13		Subtracting the OUCC's 2022 ratemaking amount of \$3,769,820 from the 2022
14		budget amount of \$5,762,119 results in the OUCC's ratemaking adjustment of
15		(\$1,992,299). (Attachment HRP-1, page 1.)
16 17	Q:	What happens if the Commission includes CIP expense in base rates at target, and NIPSCO continues to pay out CIP expense at less than target?
18	A:	NIPSCO's customers will pay for expenses that are not being paid to NIPSCO
19		employees.

III. AMORTIZATION EXPENSE

A. COVID Regulatory Asset

1 2	Q:	What is Petitioner's proposed forward test year amount for the amortization of the COVID regulatory asset?
3	A:	NIPSCO's 2022 amortization expense for the COVID regulatory asset for the twelve
4		months ending December 31, 2002 is \$1,368,690. (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 19-S2,
5		Workpaper AMTZ 6, Page [.1].) NIPSCO's witness Newcomb states: "Adjustment
6		AMTZ 6-22R is to increase amortization expense in the amount of \$1,368,690 to
7		recover the COVID Regulatory Asset balance for the Forward Test Year NIPSCO
8		is proposing to amortize this asset over a 2-year period consistent with the period of
9		time over which these amounts will have been deferred." (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3,
10		page 52, lines 1-9.)
11 12	Q:	Do you agree with the amount Petitioner has proposed to include in base rates for the COVID Regulatory Asset?
13	A:	Yes. The amounts included in the regulatory asset comply with the Commission's
14		June 29, 2020 Order and August 12, 2020 Order in Cause No. 45380 authorizing the
15		use of regulatory accounting for these COVID-19 related impacts.
16 17	Q:	Do you agree with the amortization period Petitioner has proposed associated with the COVID Regulatory Asset?
18	A:	No. I do not agree with the two-year amortization period Petitioner used to determine
19		the COVID Regulatory Asset for the twelve months ended December 31, 2022.
20		There is no rule dictating a regulatory asset must be amortized over the same period
21		over which it was accumulated. For administrative efficiency, I recommend NIPSCO
22		amortize the COVID Regulatory Asset over the same period as the remaining rate

case expense left over from Cause No. 44988. NIPSCO witness Newcomb states: "Cause No. 44988 Amortization was approved with a 7-year amortization period, and NIPSCO is proposing to continue amortizing over the remaining 33 months of that period as of December 31, 2022." (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3, page 50, lines 15-18.)

Q: Please explain why administrative efficiency is important.

A:

A:

When an amortization period included in base rates expires, the utility should file a compliance filing removing those costs from base rates. NIPSCO is proposing to amortize the TDSIC Regulatory Asset over 4 years, the FMCA Regulatory Asset over 4 years, the remaining amortization from Cause No. 44988 over 33 months, the COVID Regulatory Asset over 2 years, and the rate case expense over 2 years. When a utility has multiple amortization periods, administrative efficiency is lost when multiple compliance filings are made with the Commission, as the OUCC's and the Commission's resources are tied up reviewing multiple compliance filings. For administrative efficiency, I recommend combining some of the amortization periods so less compliance filings are needed. OUCC witness Mark Grosskopf also discusses amortization periods in his testimony. (Public's Exhibit No. 1.)

Q: What is your recommendation for the COVID Regulatory Asset?

I recommend amortizing the COVID Regulatory Asset over 33 months for an annual amortization expense of \$995,411. (Attachment HRP-2, page 1.) This amortization amount of \$995,411 was calculated by taking the total COVID Regulatory Asset of \$2,737,381 divided by thirty-three months, multiplied by twelve months to arrive at an annual amount to include in base rates. (Attachment HRP-2, page 2.) This

amortization period matches the remaining rate case expense amortization from Cause No. 44988. At the end of 33 months, Petitioner can make one compliance filing to remove both of these amortization expirations.

If Petitioner files a general rate case before the expiration of the amortization period of 33 months, any unamortized portion of the COVID Regulatory Asset can be rolled into Petitioner's next rate case. Therefore, Petitioner will be ensured to collect the entire amount of the COVID Regulatory Asset. If Petitioner does not file a general rate case before the expiration of the amortization period of 33 months, Petitioner should file a revised tariff to remove the annual amortization portion from base rates. Therefore, NIPSCO's customers will not be required to pay more than the total amount of \$2,737,381 for the COVID Regulatory Asset.

B. Rate Case Expense

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 Q: What is Petitioner's proposed forward test year amount for rate case expense? 13 A: NIPSCO's 2022 amortization expense for rate case expense for the twelve months 14 ending December 31, 2022 is \$807,549. (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 19-S2, Workpaper 15 AMTZ 7, Page [.1].) NIPSCO's witness Newcomb states: "Adjustment AMTZ 7-16 22R is to increase amortization expense in the amount of \$807,549 to recover gas 17 rate case expense for the Forward Test Year. NIPSCO is proposing to amortize this 18 asset over a 2-year period consistent with the period over which these amounts will 19 have been deferred." (Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3, page 52, lines 14-17.) 20 Q: Do you agree with the amount Petitioner has proposed to include in base rates for rate case expense? 21 22 No, for two reasons. First, as shown on Petitioner's workpaper AMTZ 7-22R, page A:

[.2], Petitioner has included 9 different costs in rate case expense. These include the cost-of-service study, depreciation study, legal counsel, weather normalization study, return on equity study, customer notification, billing system new rate implementation, witness travel and employee travel. I do not agree with the amount included for the billing system new rate implementation of 4,523 hours for a total cost of \$200,000, or \$44.22 per hour. In response to OUCC DR 1-008, Petitioner indicated the number of hours for the billing system new rate implementation were reflective of the number of hours used in the last gas rate case, Cause No. 44988. (Attachment HRP-3, page 4; NIPSCO Response to OUCC DR 1-008.) In response to OUCC DR 8-004, Petitioner stated the actual number of hours expended for the billing system rate implementation in Cause No. 44988 was 3,097 hours. (Attachment HRP-3, page 5; NIPSCO Response to OUCC DR 8-004.) Petitioner has based the estimated cost for the billing system new rate implementation in this case off the estimated number of hours in Cause No. 44988 instead of the actual hours expended in Cause No. 44988. The OUCC understands the number of hours for the billing system implementation might increase in this case, but Petitioner has not justified an increase of 46% over the actual hours in the last rate case. NIPSCO's last rate case in Cause No. 44988 is similar to this rate case in that it utilized a forwardlooking test year and had a 2-step rate implementation. Therefore, the number of actual hours in the last case should be a good indication of how many hours it will take in this case for the rate implementation. I recommend a reduction of 1,426 hours from NIPSCO's estimate. (4,523 hours estimated in this case less 3,097 actual hours from the last case.) Using the same hourly rate as NIPSCO used of \$44.22 as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

discussed above, results in a billing system new rate implementation cost of \$136,945. (Attachment HRP-3, page 3.) This results in total pro forma rate case expense of \$1,552,043. (Attachment HRP-3, page 2.)

A:

Second, OUCC witness Courter reduces rate case expense by 50%. Pro forma rate case expense of \$1,552,043 referenced above multiplied by 50% results in total rate case expense of \$776,021. (Attachment HRP-3, page 2.)

Q: Do you agree with the amortization period Petitioner has proposed associated with rate case expense?

No. I do not agree with the two-year amortization period Petitioner used to determine the ratemaking expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2022. Petitioner implemented its first TDSIC Plan filing in Cause No. 44403 in 2014 and implemented its second TDSIC Plan filing in Cause No. 45330 in 2020. Ind. Code § 8-1-39-9(3) states: "A public utility that implements a TDSIC under this chapter shall, before the expiration of the public utility's approved TDSIC plan, petition the commission for review and approval of the public utility's basic rates and charges with respect to the same type of utility service." NIPSCO's last rate case was approved in 2018, in the middle of its first TDSIC Plan. NIPSCO's pending rate case was filed in 2021, in the middle of its second TDSIC Plan. NIPSCO's current TDSIC Plan in Cause No. 45330 runs through the end of 2025.

NIPSCO proposes a two-year amortization period consistent with the amount of time over which the assets have been accumulated. There is no rule dictating expenses or regulatory assets must be amortized over the same period over which they were accumulated. Because these costs were incurred for the filing of a rate case, it makes sense to amortize these regulatory assets over the life of the new rates.

NIPSCO has already fulfilled its duty of filing a rate case before the expiration of its current TDSIC Plan that runs through the end of 2025. Therefore, there is no requirement that NIPSCO file another rate case within 2 years. NIPSCO's history of filing rate cases indicates a much longer time frame between cases. Cause No. 43894 was filed on May 3, 2010. Cause No. 44988 was filed on September 27, 2017. This pending case was filed on September 29, 2021. History indicates the average amount of time between NIPSCO's rate cases is 5.5 years. NIPSCO's customers shouldn't bear the burden of an expedited recovery of these costs when these rates will likely be in effect for a much longer period. I recommend a 5-year amortization period for rate case expense.

What is your recommendation for rate case expense?

Q:

A:

I recommend amortizing rate case expense over five (5) years for an annual amortization expense of \$155,204. (Attachment HRP-3, page 1.) This amortization amount of \$155,204 was calculated by taking total OUCC rate case expense of \$776,021 and amortizing over 5 years. (Attachment HRP-3, page 2.)

If Petitioner files a general rate case before the expiration of the amortization period of five years, any unamortized portion of the rate case expense can be rolled into Petitioner's next rate case. Therefore, Petitioner will be ensured to collect the entire amount of rate case expense. If Petitioner does not file a general rate case before the expiration of the amortization period of five years, Petitioner should file a revised tariff to remove the annual amortization portion from base rates. Therefore, NIPSCO's customers will not be required to pay more than the total amount of \$776,021 for rate case expense. Further, OUCC witness Grosskopf recommends

1 changing the amortization periods for TDSIC and FMCA expenses to 5 years. As 2 explained above, having the amortization periods for the rate case, TDSIC, and 3 FMCA expense recoveries end at the same time would provide administrative 4 efficiency for any future compliance filings. IV. UNACCOUNTED FOR GAS CAP IN THE GAS COST ADJUSTMENT 5 What unaccounted for gas ("UAFG") percentage does NIPSCO include in its Q: 6 Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA"?) 7 In the settlement for Cause No. 43894: A: 8 The cost of UAFG will be fully recoverable within the GCA 9 mechanism consistent with the methodology approved in the 10 Commission Order for Vectren Energy Delivery of Indiana (North 11 and South) (Cause Nos. 43298 and 43112, respectively) and Citizens 12 Gas & Coke Utility (Cause No. 37399-GCA-95), based on a 13 maximum system-wide UAFG rate of 1.04%. Customers served 14 directly from the transmission system will pay the system-wide 15 UAFG percentage rate less .10, and the rate for other customers, 16 including Choice customers, PPS and DependaBill will be set at an 17 amount in order for NIPSCO to recover the system-wide percentage. 18 The Parties agree that NIPSCO's UAFG percentage shall be updated 19 annually, capped at the 1.04% maximum. 20 (Cause No. 43894 Settlement Agreement, page 13.) 21 0: Is the cap included in NIPSCO's current GCA the same 1.04% maximum as 22 was approved in Cause No. 43894? 23 Yes. NIPSCO's current UAFG cap in the GCA filings (Cause No. 43629) is the same A: 24 1.04% cap as was agreed to in the settlement agreement and approved by the 25 Commission in Cause No. 43894. In re Northern Indiana Public Service Company, 26 Cause No. 43894, Final Order p. 48 (Ind. Util. Regulatory Comm'n Nov. 4, 2010). 27 Q: Did NIPSCO propose any changes to its UAFG cap within the GCA in this case? 28 A: No. NIPSCO did not propose any changes to its UAFG cap within the GCA in this 1 case.

Q:

A:

Do you agree with NIPSCO continuing to use 1.04% as the cap in the GCA?

No. The UAFG percentage of 1.04% was approved in Cause No. 43894 when Petitioner did not have a TDSIC or FMCA Plan in place. However, Cause No. 43894 was a settled case, and Petitioner agreed that none of the terms of the settlement would be considered precedential. (Cause No. 43894, Settlement Agreement, page 13.) Therefore, previous approval of 1.04% UAFG does not automatically make the percentage reasonable in this case. According to Ind. Code § 8-1-39-2, an eligible TDSIC program "means new or replacement electric or gas transmission, distribution, or storage utility projects that: (1) a public utility undertakes for purposes of safety, reliability, system modernization, or economic development, including the extension of gas service to rural areas." The implementation of TDSIC projects is designated to improve and modernize the transmission, distribution, and storage system, and reduce the overall change of gas leakage, leading to a lower percentage of UAFG.

In Cause No. 44988, Petitioner's witness Andrew Campbell stated:

In addition to regular maintenance and inspection programs, the recent and planned investments to NIPSCO's gas system approved in Cause No. 44403 as part of its Gas TDSIC Plan are intended to increase long term reliability by replacing infrastructure and allowing access to gas in more rural areas...He stated that reducing a gas utility's priority pipe percentage increases safety and reliability of the system...Priority pipe is more likely to develop leaks that require repair and lead to higher unaccounted for gas ("UAFG") costs. Gas companies aspire for a low percentage of priority pipe to achieve these benefits. He explained that UAFG is the portion of gas that is delivered to the distribution system which cannot be accounted for through sales or other known uses. UAFG is a cost of providing gas service to customers because all gas systems, regardless of how well maintained, have some level of UAFG. A well maintained system will

1 reduce this expense because less gas is lost through leaks. NIPSCO's 2 low percentage of priority pipe helps NIPSCO maintain a low UAFG. 3 In re Northern Indiana Public Service Company, Cause No. 44988, 4 Final Order pp. 32-33 (Ind. Util. Regulatory Comm'n Sep. 19, 2018). 5 Petitioner has made TDSIC filings since 2014 and the UAFG percentage has 6 consistently been lower than the cap since 2012. (Attachment HRP-4, page 1.) The 7 10-Year UAFG percentage summary shows NIPSCO's annual UAFG percentage for 8 the period of August 2011 to July 2021. (*Id.*) The implementation of on-going TDSIC 9 projects have resulted in a lower UAFG percentage than the cap set in Cause No. 10 43894. Therefore, I recommend lowering the maximum annual UAFG percentage 11 from 1.04% to the 10-year average of 0.69%. (*Id.*) V. **RECOMMENDATIONS** 12 Q: What is your recommendation regarding NIPSCO's CIP expense? 13 I recommend a total CIP expense of \$3,769,820, a reduction of \$1,992,299 from A: 14 NIPSCO's 2022 budget. 15 What are your recommendations relating to amortization expense? Q: 16 A: I recommend the COVID Regulatory Asset be amortized over 33 months to arrive at 17 an annual amount of \$995,411 to be included in base rates. I recommend rate case 18 expense be reduced to \$776,021 and amortized over 5 years to arrive at an annual 19 amount of \$155,204 to be included in base rates. 20 Q: What is your recommendation relating to the UAFG cap in the GCA? 21 A: I recommend NIPSCO's UAFG cap in the GCA be lowered from 1.04% to 0.69%. 22 Does this conclude your testimony? Q: 23 A: Yes.

APPENDIX TO TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS HEATHER R. POOLE

Q: Describe your educational background and experience.

A:

I graduated from the School of Business at Ball State University in Muncie, Indiana with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting in May 2001, and a Master of Science Degree in Accounting in May 2002. From September 2002 through September 2010, I worked for London Witte Group, LLC, a CPA firm in Indianapolis, Indiana, as a Senior Staff Accountant. I prepared and reviewed individual, corporate, not-for-profit, property and payroll tax returns; prepared compilations, reviews, and audit reports in compliance with GAAP for a variety of utility companies and not-for-profit organizations; prepared depreciation schedules; and guided clients through year-end accounting processes, including preparation and review of adjusting entries. I prepared and reviewed Gas Cost Adjustment ("GCA") petitions, as well as annual reports filed with the Commission for natural gas companies within the State of Indiana. I also prepared rate case exhibits and schedules filed with the Commission on behalf of various gas utility clients.

In December 2010, I began my employment with the OUCC as a Utility Analyst II. In October 2012, I was promoted to Senior Utility Analyst. In February 2017, I was promoted to Assistant Director of the Natural Gas Division. In December 2021, I was promoted to Director of the Natural Gas Division. My current responsibilities include reviewing and analyzing rate cases filed by Indiana natural gas, electric and water utilities with the Commission. I also review GCAs,

1 special contracts, tariff, financing, certificate of public necessity, pipeline safety 2 adjustment, gas demand side management, alternative regulatory plan, 7-Year Plan, 3 Federal Mandated Cost Adjustment Tracker, and Transmission, Distribution, and 4 Storage System Improvement Charge ("TDSIC") Tracker cases for natural gas 5 utilities. 6 In May 2016, I passed the Certified Public Accountant ("CPA") Exam and 7 obtained my CPA license in June 2016. While employed at the OUCC, I completed 8 NARUC's Utility Rate School hosted by the Institute of Public Utilities at Michigan 9 State University and the Institute of Public Utilities Advanced Regulatory Studies 10 Program at Michigan State University. I am also a member of the Indiana CPA 11 Society. 12 Q: Have you previously testified before the Commission? Yes. I have testified in GCAs, rate cases, TDSIC tracker cases, Federally Mandated 13 A: 14 Cost Adjustment cases, 7-Year Plan cases, tariff, gas demand side management, 15 decoupling, and special contract cases involving gas and water utilities. I also 16 provided extensive testimony in the Commission's investigation into the existing 17 GCA procedures and schedules. 18 Q: What review and analysis have you conducted to prepare your testimony? 19 A: I reviewed the petition, testimony, exhibits, and supporting documentation 20 submitted in this Cause. I reviewed NIPSCO's responses to discovery from the 21 OUCC and Intervenors.

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Pro forma Adjustment to Operations and Maintenance Expense Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2022

This pro forma adjusts the twelve months ended December 31, 2020, gas O&M expenses for CIP to reflect normalization adjustment(s), budget changes for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021, and December 31, 2022, and ratemaking adjustment(s) for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022, as described below.

Line				
No.	Description	Adjustment	Amount	Page Reference
	A	В	С	D
1	Actual Expense - December 31, 2020		\$ 3,753,005	
2	Normalization adjustment to Increase / (Decrease) expense to normalize the corporate incentive compensation expenses.		2,902,974	
3	Normalized expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2020		\$ 6,655,979	
4	Pro Forma adjustment to Increase/(Decrease) expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021		(1,061,688)	
5	Budgeted expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021		\$ 5,594,291	
6	Pro Forma adjustment to Increase/(Decrease) expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022		167,828	
7	Budgeted expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022		\$ 5,762,119	
8	Pro Forma adjustment to Increase / (Decrease) CIP expense for Ratemaking based on 2020 actual transfers and gas allocation		(1,992,299)	
9	Ratemaking expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022		\$ 3,769,820	Attachment HRP-1, page 2

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC 12/31/22 Ratemaking Expense O&M: CIP

Line			
No.	Description	2022R	Reference
	NIPSCO Total		
1	Gross Annual CIP Expense	\$ 23,710,523	Per NIPSCO
2	Payout Ratio	60.50%	Attachment HRP-1, page 3
3	Adjusted Annual CIP Expense	\$ 14,344,866	•
4	Less CIP Transfers	(4,086,852)	28.49% per NIPSCO
5	Total Annual CIP Expense Net of Transfers	\$ 10,258,014	
	NIPSCO Gas		
6	Gas % Allocation	 36.75%	Per NIPSCO
7	Total Annual CIP - Gas (Line 5 X Line 8)	\$ 3,769,820	To Attachment HRP-1, page 1

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC O&M: CIP 3-Year Average of CIP Payouts

Year	Officers %	Non-Officers %	Average %	
2018	50.00%	75.00%	62.50%	Attachment HRP-1, page 4
2019	65.00%	73.00%	69.00%	Attachment HRP-1, page 4
2020	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	Attachment HRP-1, page 4
		3-Year Average	60.50%	

Cause No. 45621

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC's Objections and Responses to

Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's Ninth Set of Data Requests

OUCC Request 9-001:

On page 18, lines 2-3 of Ms. Cartella's testimony, she states: "Target is most representative of an expected, normal level of on-going CIP expense." Please provide the actual percentage of payout levels of CIP (between 50% and 150%) for calendar years 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020.

Objections:

Response:

See table below for actual percentage of payout levels of CIP for incentive plan years 2016 (paid in 2017), 2017 (paid in 2018), 2018, 2019 and 2020.

Incentive Plan Year	Payout as % of Target- Officers	Payout as % of Target- Non-Officers
2016	117%	117%
2017	146%	149%
2018	50%	75%
2019	65%	73%
2020	50%	50%

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Pro forma Adjustment to Depreciation and Amortization Expense Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2022

This pro forma adjusts the twelve months ended December 31, 2020, gas amortization expenses for the COVID Regulatory Asset to reflect normalization adjustment(s), projected changes for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021, and December 31, 2022, and ratemaking adjustment(s) for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022, as described below.

Line				Page
No.	Description	Adjustment	Amount	Reference
	Α	В	С	D
1	Actual Expense - December 31, 2020		\$	
2	Normalization adjustment N/A			<u>. </u>
3	Normalized expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2020		\$	· <u> </u>
4	Pro Forma adjustment to Increase/(Decrease) expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021			<u>. </u>
5	Projected expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021		\$	<u>. </u>
6	Pro Forma adjustment to Increase/(Decrease) expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022			. <u> </u>
7	Projected expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022		\$	<u>. </u>
8	Pro Forma adjustment to Increase / (Decrease) amortization expense for Ratemaking to recover the COVID Regulatory Asset balance		995,4	Attachment HRP-2, page 2
9	Ratemaking expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022		\$ 995,4	<u>.11</u>

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC December 31, 2022 Ratemaking Expense Amortization: Deferred Gas Covid Expense Balance

Line No.	Description	2022R	
	A	В	•
1	Covid Reg Asset	\$ 2,737,381	Per NIPSCO
2	Amortization Period (Months)	33	
3	Monthly Amortization Expense	82,951	
4	1 12 Months	12	
5	5 Annual Amortization Expense	\$ 995,411	To Attachment HRP-2, page 1

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Pro forma Adjustment to Depreciation and Amortization Expense Twelve Months Ending December 31, 2022

This pro forma adjusts the twelve months ended December 31, 2020, gas amortization expenses for the current gas rate case to reflect normalization adjustment(s), projected changes for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021, and December 31, 2022, and ratemaking adjustment(s) for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022, as described below.

Line					Page
No.	Description	Adjustment		Amount	Reference
	A	В		С	D
1	Actual Expense - December 31, 2020		\$	-	
2	Normalization adjustment N/A		_	-	-
3	Normalized expense for the twelve months ended December 31, 2020		\$	-	
4	Pro Forma adjustment to Increase/(Decrease) expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021			-	
5	Projected expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021		\$	-	
6	Pro Forma adjustment to Increase/(Decrease) expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022			-	-
7	Projected expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022		\$	-	
8	Pro Forma adjustment to Increase / (Decrease) amortization expense for Ratemaking to recover gas rate case expense			155,204	Attachment HRP-3, page 2
9	Ratemaking expense for the twelve months ending December 31, 2022		\$	155,204	

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Gas Rate Case Expense

Line No.	Vendor	 s Rate Case Estimate	
1	A Atrium - COS Study	B 224,900	Per NIPSCO
2	Gannett Fleming LLC - Depreciation Study	50,000	Per NIPSCO
3	BT Law - Legal Counsel	966,000	Per NIPSCO
4	Concentric (Melissa Bartos/Weather)	50,000	Per NIPSCO
5	ROE and Vince Rea	77,000	Per NIPSCO
6	Customer Notification - Print & Postage	10,000	Per NIPSCO
7	Billing System New Rate Implementation (4,523 hrs)	136,945	Attachment HRP-3, page 3
8	Witness Travel	17,340	Per NIPSCO
9	Employee Travel (ERS)	 19,858	Per NIPSCO
10	TOTAL PRO FORMA RATE CASE EXPENSES	\$ 1,552,043	
11	Divided by 2 (OUCC Witness Courter Recommendation)	 2	Public's Exhibit No. 5
12	Total OUCC Rate Case Expense	\$ 776,021	
13	Amortization Period	 5	
14	Amortization Expense	\$ 155,204	To Attachment HRP-3, page 1

Northern Indiana Public Service Company Billing System New Rate Implementation Cost

Line	Description	A	Amount	Reference
1 2	Total Cost per NIPSCO NIPSCO Estimate of Hours	\$		Petitioner's Exhibit 19-S2, Workpaper AMTZ 7, Page [.2] Petitioner's Exhibit 19-S2, Workpaper AMTZ 7, Page [.2]
3 4	Average Cost per Hour OUCC Estimate of Hours	\$	44.22 3,097	
5	New Cost per OUCC	\$	136,945	To Attachment HRP-3, page 2

Cause No. 45621

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC's Objections and Responses to

Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's First Set of Data Requests

OUCC Request 1-008:

In relation to the billing system rate implementation included in rate case expense, Petitioner's workpaper AMTZ 7-22R, Page [.2] indicates the estimated costs are based on 4,523 estimated hours. Please answer the following:

- a. Please indicate how this number of hours was derived.
- b. Please indicate when work will begin on the new rate implementation.
- c. If work has already begun on the new rate implementation, please explain how many hours have been completed, and a description of the work that has been completed.

Objections:

Response:

- a. The number of hours were reflective of the number of hours used in the last gas rate case, Cause No. 44988.
- b. Work on the new rate implementation began on March 29, 2021.
- c. The project and project management has begun in terms of gathering requirements for changes to the customer information system (CIS) and the gas transportation system (EASy). Following the gathering of these requirements, the project's next steps include detailed functional design, coding, testing, implementation and post implementation for both systems, which also includes interface, on line views, reporting and bill print changes. Please see OUCC Request 1-008 Attachment A for details on billing system work.

Cause No. 45621

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC's Objections and Responses to

Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor's Eighth Set of Data Requests

OUCC Request 8-004:

In response to OUCC DR 1-008, subpart a. relating to the billing system rate implementation, Petitioner stated: "The number of hours were reflective of the number of hours used in the last gas rate case, Cause No. 44988." Per a review of the workpapers from Cause No. 44988, 4,523 hours were the estimated number of hours used in the rate case expense calculation in that Cause.

- a. Please provide the actual number of hours expended for the billing system rate implementation in Cause No. 44988.
- b. Please provide the supporting documentation for the actual number of hours expended.

Objections:

Response:

Please note that NIPSCO will only include actual number of hours expended for the billing system rate implementation in Cause No. 45621.

- a. The actual number of hours expended for the billing system rate implementation in Cause No. 44988 was 3,097 hours.
- b. Please see OUCC Request 8-004 Attachment A for the supporting documentation for the actual number of hours expended.

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC UAFG Cap in GCA Cause No. 45621

Period	Actual UAFG Percentage	Reference
August 2011 - July 2012	0.68%	HRP Attachment-4, page 11; Cause No. 43629 GCA 24, Schedule 11A recalculation
August 2012 - July 2013	0.58%	HRP Attachment-4, page 10; Cause No. 43629 GCA 28, Schedule 11A recalculation
August 2013 - July 2014	0.77%	HRP Attachment-4, page 9; Cause No. 43629 GCA 32, Schedule 11A recalculation
August 2014 - July 2015	0.66%	HRP Attachment-4, page 8; Cause No. 43629 GCA 36, Schedule 11A
August 2015 - July 2016	0.64%	HRP Attachment-4, page 7; Cause No. 43629 GCA 40, Schedule 11A
August 2016 - July 2017	0.82%	HRP Attachment-4, page 6; Cause No. 43629 GCA 44, Schedule 11A
August 2017 - July 2018	0.60%	HRP Attachment-4, page 5; Cause No. 43629 GCA 48, Schedule 11A
August 2018 - July 2019	0.60%	HRP Attachment-4, page 4; Cause No. 43629 GCA 52, Schedule 11A
August 2019 - July 2020	0.62%	HRP Attachment-4, page 3; Cause No. 43629 GCA 56, Schedule 11A
August 2020 - July 2021	0.89%	HRP Attachment-4, page 2; Cause No. 43629 GCA 60, Schedule 11A
	0.69%	10-Year Average

				With Unbilled				
				Volume	Percent		Cost of	
Line		Volume	Total	Unaccounted	Unaccounted	Net Cost	Unaccounted	
#	Period	Available	Sales	For	For	of Gas	for Gas	
		(Sch 11 Line 16)	(Sch 11 Line 23)	(Sch 11 Line 25)	0.15	(Sch 11 Line 27)		
		Col A	Col B	Col C	Col D	Col E	Col F	
				(Col A - Col B)	(Col C / Col A)		(Col D * Col E)	
1	Aug 2020	222,263,969	218,604,608	3,659,361		\$7,102,528		
2	Sep 2020	234,024,715	226,880,971	7,143,744		7,310,010		
3	Oct 2020	294,763,967	288,742,783	6,021,184		17,650,709		
4	Nov 2020	326,656,014	323,924,052	2,731,962		18,720,299		
5	Dec 2020	422,227,789	411,585,395	10,642,394		39,831,403		
6	Jan 2021	448,564,683	442,044,124	6,520,559		46,017,919		
7	Feb 2021	427,009,517	414,453,125	12,556,392		137,864,742		
8	Mar 2021	351,156,348	359,335,047	(8,178,699)		28,023,326		
9	Apr 2021	298,174,391	305,022,190	(6,847,799)		16,817,874		
10	May 2021	268,544,701	267,623,189	921,512		13,682,350		
11	Jun 2021	231,278,047	232,809,045	(1,530,998)		7,774,856		
12	Jul 2021	233,561,888	233,716,577	(154,689)		6,972,795		
13		3,758,226,028	3,724,741,106	33,484,922	0.89%	\$347,768,811	\$3,095,142	
10		3,700,220,020	5,124,141,100	00,707,022	1 0.0070	φο-1,100,011	ψο,οσο, 1-72	
14	Max Allowa	ble Cost of Unaccoun	ited for Gas		1.04%	\$347,768,811	\$3,616,796	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
15	Dollars to b	e Refunded to GCA C	Customers (Max(Line	e13-Line14;0))			\$0	

¹ If the total in Column C is positive, then sales did not exceed gas available. If the total in Column C is negative, then the amount in Column F Line 13 will represent dollars to be refunded to the GCA customers.

				With Unbilled					
				Volume	Percent		Cost of		
Line		Volume	Total	Unaccounted	Unaccounted	Net Cost	Unaccounted		
#	Period	Available	Sales	For	For	of Gas	for Gas		
		(Sch 11 Line 16)	(Sch 11 Line 23)	(Sch 11 Line 25)		(Sch 11 Line 27)			
		Col A	Col B	Col C	Col D	Col E	Col F		
				(Col A - Col B)	(Col C / Col A)		(Col D * Col E)		
	A 0040	004 770 044	000 000 000	0.540.440		CE 740 704			
1	Aug 2019	231,776,041	228,233,898	3,542,143		\$5,749,724			
2	Sep 2019	218,507,599	216,778,659	1,728,940		6,128,983			
2	3ep 2019	210,307,399	210,770,039	1,720,940		0,120,903			
3	Oct 2019	255,663,766	245,047,775	10,615,991		14,125,403			
3	0012010	200,000,700	2-10,0-17,770	10,010,001		14,120,400			
4	Nov 2019	377,109,905	359,875,853	17,234,052		32,812,521			
		, , , , , , , , ,	,,	, - ,		- ,- ,-			
5	Dec 2019	391,487,395	402,700,027	(11,212,632)		34,166,461			
6	Jan 2020	423,432,111	403,037,770	20,394,341		41,144,432			
7	Feb 2020	416,751,990	413,426,147	3,325,843		42,504,766			
		0.50 100 000	0======	(4.440.054)					
8	Mar 2020	353,168,626	357,581,477	(4,412,851)		29,066,560			
0	Apr 2020	260 002 406	207 020 064	(10 OFF 270)		16 022 600			
9	Apr 2020	269,883,486	287,938,864	(18,055,378)		16,923,699			
10	May 2020	236,291,018	236,633,655	(342,637)		12,697,860			
10	Way 2020	230,291,010	230,033,033	(342,037)		12,097,000			
11	Jun 2020	203,112,356	203,576,139	(463,783)		5,979,410			
	0411 2020	200,112,000	200,070,100	(100,100)		0,070,110			
12	Jul 2020	212,408,369	212,485,509	(77,140)		5,790,418			
		, ,	, ,	(, ,		, ,			
13		3,589,592,662	3,567,315,773	22,276,889	0.62%	\$247,090,237	\$1,531,959 ¹		
						*			
14	Max Allowa	ble Cost of Unaccoun	ited for Gas		1.04%	\$247,090,237	\$2,569,738		
	Della 4- 1	a Datumala dita OCA C	Nucleus and Alternati	-40 Line44:0\\			\$0		
15	Dollars to be Refunded to GCA Customers (Max(Line13-Line14;0))								

¹ If the total in Column C is positive, then sales did not exceed gas available. If the total in Column C is negative, then the amount in Column F Line 13 will represent dollars to be refunded to the GCA customers.

Cause No. 43629-GCA52 Schedule 11A

				With Unbilled			
1.2		Malama	T-4-1	Volume	Percent	Net Ceet	Cost of
Line #	Period	Volume Available	Total Sales	Unaccounted For	Unaccounted For	Net Cost of Gas	Unaccounted for Gas
#	Fellou	(Sch 11 Line 16)	(Sch 11 Line 23)	(Sch 11 Line 25)	101	(Sch 11 Line 27)	ioi Gas
		Col A	Col B	Col C	Col D	Col E	Col F
				(Col A - Col B)	(Col C / Col A)		(Col D * Col E)
1	Aug 2018	233,249,726	233,359,231	(109,505)		\$6,247,145	
•	Can 2019	224 000 550	222 502 196	1 200 272		\$6,589,160	
2	Sep 2018	224,880,558	223,592,186	1,288,372		Ф Ф,569,160	
3	Oct 2018	256,373,251	249,570,834	6,802,417		\$17,443,273	
4	Nov 2018	367,831,464	358,779,068	9,052,396		\$42,572,234	
4	1100 2010	307,031,404	338,779,000	9,032,390		φ42,372,23 4	
5	Dec 2018	398,937,810	399,354,795	(416,985)		\$45,041,722	
6	Jan 2019	473,429,690	461,492,419	11,937,271		\$63,788,067	
Ü	0an 2013	470,420,000	401,402,410	11,507,271		φου, του, συτ	
7	Feb 2019	403,228,712	392,638,271	10,590,441		\$50,259,090	
8	Mar 2019	410,406,005	413,106,521	(2,700,516)		\$48,245,674	
9	Apr 2019	308,176,226	318,237,559	(10,061,333)		\$19,293,791	
10	May 2019	265,842,672	267,840,631	(1,997,959)		\$11,468,397	
		045 070 457	040 047 500	4 450 054		00 007 705	
11	Jun 2019	215,276,157	213,817,506	1,458,651		\$6,687,785	
12	Jul 2019	228,407,588	231,553,022	(3,145,434)		\$5,963,822	
13		3,786,039,859	3,763,342,043	22,697,816	0.60%	\$323,600,160	\$1,941,601
					:		
14	Max Allowa	ble Cost of Unaccoun	ted for Gas		1.04%	\$323,600,160	\$3,365,442
15	Dollars to be	e Refunded to GCA C	ustomers (Max(Line	e13-Line14;0))			\$0

¹ If the total in Column C is positive, then sales did not exceed gas available. If the total in Column C is negative, then the amount in Column F Line 13 will represent dollars to be refunded to the GCA customers.

				With Unbilled					
				Volume	Percent		Cost of		
Line		Volume	Total	Unaccounted	Unaccounted	Net Cost	Unaccounted		
#	Period	Available	Sales	For	For	of Gas	for Gas		
		(Sch 11 Line 16)	(Sch 11 Line 23)	(Sch 11 Line 25)		(Sch 11 Line 27)			
		Col A	Col B	Col C	Col D	Col E	Col F		
				(Col A - Col B)	(Col C / Col A)		(Col D * Col E)		
4	Aug 2017	224,409,778	220,910,578	3,499,200		\$7,437,567			
1	Aug 2017	224,409,776	220,910,576	3,499,200		φ1,431,301			
2	Sep 2017	227,945,214	227,569,588	375,626		\$6,923,197			
3	Oct 2017	256,457,256	244,580,644	11,876,612		\$15,148,291			
4	Nov 2017	339,782,363	333,843,101	5,939,262		\$31,591,062			
4	1NOV 2017	339,762,303	333,643,101	5,939,202		\$31,391,002			
5	Dec 2017	438,064,723	438,448,047	(383,324)		\$50,711,401			
6	Jan 2018	465,290,127	452,650,480	12,639,647		\$63,687,590			
7	Feb 2018	386,444,076	392,488,107	(6,044,031)		\$45,166,434			
,	1 CD 2010	300,444,070	332,400,107	(0,044,001)		ψ+3,100,+3+			
8	Mar 2018	393,408,995	387,543,207	5,865,788		\$42,653,559			
9	Apr 2018	337,255,366	337,206,097	49,269		\$27,242,134			
10	May 2018	243,111,678	257,085,956	(13,974,278)		\$7,177,964			
10	Way 2010	243,111,076	237,003,930	(13,974,270)		\$7,177,904			
11	Jun 2018	220,828,429	222,148,591	(1,320,162)		\$6,603,176			
12	Jul 2018	231,884,029	227,721,734	4,162,295		\$5,947,007			
13		3,764,882,034	3,742,196,130	22,685,904	0.60%	\$310,289,382	\$1,861,736		
		-, - , ,	-, ,,	,,		+,,	+ / /		
14	Max Allowa	ble Cost of Unaccoun	ited for Gas		1.04%	\$310,289,382	\$3,227,010		
15	Dollars to be	e Refunded to GCA C	Customers (Max(Line	e13-Line14:0))			\$0		
10	Dollars to be Refunded to GCA Customers (Max(Line13-Line14;0))								

¹ If the total in Column C is positive, then sales did not exceed gas available. If the total in Column C is negative, then the amount in Column F Line 13 will represent dollars to be refunded to the GCA customers.

			With Unbilled				
Line #	Period	Volume Available (Sch 11 Line 16)	Total Sales (Sch 11 Line 23)	Volume Unaccounted For (Sch 11 Line 25)	Percent Unaccounted For	Net Cost of Gas (Sch 11 Line 27)	Cost of Unaccounted for Gas
		Col A	Col B	Col C	Col D	Col E	Col F
				(Col A - Col B)	(Col C / Col A)		(Col D * Col E)
1	Aug 2016	214,195,043	210,805,413	3,389,630		\$6,367,527	
2	Sep 2016	205,739,788	203,235,602	2,504,186		\$7,320,780	
3	Oct 2016	216,707,819	215,510,952	1,196,867		\$12,605,723	
		, ,					
4	Nov 2016	276,476,307	271,870,285	4,606,022		\$20,502,865	
5	Dec 2016	423,737,279	404,688,407	19,048,872		\$55,726,039	
J	D00 2010	420,707,270	404,000,407	10,040,072		ψου,720,000	
6	Jan 2017	414,217,290	409,555,626	4,661,664		\$49,740,572	
7	Feb 2017	328,160,531	336,187,008	(8,026,477)		\$33,781,396	
,	1 00 2017	320,100,331	330, 107,000	(0,020,411)		ψ55,761,556	
8	Mar 2017	360,709,874	350,160,660	10,549,214		\$38,589,517	
0	Apr 2017	250 452 242	264 024 000	(11 170 616)		\$46,422,252	
9	Apr 2017	250,453,342	261,931,988	(11,478,646)		\$16,132,353	
10	May 2017	234,416,882	231,431,844	2,985,038		\$14,104,599	
	l 0047	004.047.000	000 400 570	(0.044.004)		Φ 7 000 40 7	
11	Jun 2017	204,847,606	208,189,570	(3,341,964)		\$7,036,167	
12	Jul 2017	219,719,456	218,240,417	1,479,039		\$6,786,825	
13		3,349,381,217	3,321,807,772	27,573,445	0.82%	\$268,694,363	\$2,203,294
15		3,343,301,217	0,021,007,772	21,010,440	0.0270	Ψ200,004,000	ΨΖ,203,234
14	Max Allowa	ble Cost of Unaccour	nted for Gas		1.04%	\$268,694,363	\$2,794,421
15	Dollars to be	e Refunded to GCA (Customers (Max(Line	e13-Line14;0))			\$0
			, ,	• • •			

¹ If the total in Column C is positive, then sales did not exceed gas available. If the total in Column C is negative, then the amount in Column F Line 13 will represent dollars to be refunded to the GCA customers.

Cause No. 43629-GCA40 Schedule 11A

				With Unbilled			
Line #	Period	Volume Available (Sch 11 Line 16)	Total Sales (Sch 11 Line 23)	Volume Unaccounted For (Sch 11 Line 25)	Percent Unaccounted For	Net Cost of Gas (Sch 11 Line 27)	Cost of Unaccounted for Gas
		Col A	Col B	Col C (Col A - Col B)	Col D (Col C / Col A)	Col E	Col F (Col D * Col E)
1	Aug 2015	217,054,695	216,086,717	967,978		\$5,952,735	
2	Sep 2015	207,833,853	205,184,587	2,649,266		6,613,348	
3	Oct 2015	247,687,977	245,492,261	2,195,716		12,191,334	
4	Nov 2015	284,511,812	279,058,186	5,453,626		24,771,648	
5	Dec 2015	329,527,209	322,290,889	7,236,320		32,234,542	
6	Jan 2016	427,731,678	409,915,615	17,816,063		52,077,909	
7	Feb 2016	380,722,060	388,395,750	(7,673,690)		42,012,463	
8	Mar 2016	317,191,943	324,643,178	(7,451,235)		24,662,372	
9	Арг 2016	285,730,787	285,469,304	261,483		15,877,755	
10	May 2016	242,245,668	242,096,851	148,817		8,997,324	
11	Jun 2016	222,128,398	223,439,675	(1,311,277)		6,077,483	
12	Jul 2016	219,253,519	217,849,691	1,403,828		6,138,213	
13		3,381,619,599	3,359,922,704	21,696,895	0.64%	\$237,607,126	\$1,520,686
14	Max Allowa	ble Cost of Unaccou	nted for Gas		1.04%	\$237,607,126	\$2,471,114
15	Dollars to b	e Refunded to GCA	Customers (Max(Lin	e13-Line14;0))			\$0

¹ If he total in Column C is positive, then sales did not exceed gas available. If the total in Column C is negative, then the amount in Column F Line 13 will represent dollars to be refunded to the GCA customers.

Cause No. 43629-GCA36 Revised Schedule 11A

				With Unbilled			
				Volume	Percent		Cost of
Line		Volume	Total	Unaccounted	Unaccounted	Net Cost	Unaccounted
#	Period	Available	Sales	For	For	of Gas	for Gas
		(Sch 11 Line 16)	(Sch 11 Line 23)	(Sch 11 Line 25)		(Sch 11 Line 27)	
		Col A	Col B	Col C	Col D	Col E	Col F
				(Col A - Col B)	(Col C / Col A)		(Col D * Col E)
	A	224 702 020	220 042 022	2.050.244		0.402.050	
1	Aug 2014	231,792,936	228,842,622	2,950,314		8,183,958	
2	Sep 2014	235,846,697	234,300,093	1,546,604		11,006,959	
2	3ep 2014	233,040,037	234,300,093	1,540,004		11,000,939	
3	Oct 2014	261,703,303	255,116,558	6,586,745		22,128,948	
				2,222,1		,, .	
4	Nov 2014	366,803,751	352,672,020	14,131,731		53,820,520	
5	Dec 2014	390,839,832	395,186,652	(4,346,820)		56,053,070	
6	Jan 2015	455,222,170	438,236,882	16,985,288		67,740,322	
_	Fab 2045	400 040 750	440 000 750	(004 000)		77.004.005	
7	Feb 2015	439,842,753	440,826,753	(984,000)		77,961,635	
8	Mar 2015	365,833,641	365,604,172	229,469		49,042,918	
O	Wai 2013	303,033,041	303,004,172	225,405		43,042,310	
9	Apr 2015	254,697,079	267,964,703	(13,267,624)		15,950,507	
		,,,,,,,,		(:-,:,:)		, ,	
10	May 2015	229,160,374	228,436,096	724,278		8,811,562	
	•						
11	Jun 2015	204,037,708	204,353,391	(315,683)		7,332,379	
12	Jul 2015	213,358,712	213,651,338	(292,626)		6,217,365	
40		2 640 120 056	2 625 101 200	22 047 676	0.66%	204 250 142	\$2.526.0 51 .1
13		3,649,138,956	3,625,191,280	23,947,676	0.00%	384,250,143	\$2,536,051
14	Max Allowa	ble Cost of Unaccoun	ted for Gas		1.04%	384,250,143	\$3,996,201
17	max / mowa	Sic Cool of Officeouth	100 101 000		1.0-7/0	004,200,140	ψ0,000,201
15	Dollars to be	e Refunded to GCA C	Customers (Max(Line	e13-Line14;0))			\$0
			, ,	. ,,			• -

¹ If the total in Column C is positive, than sales did not exceed gas available. If the total in Column C is negative, than the amount in Column F Line 13 will represent dollars to be refunded to the GCA customers.

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Determination of Annual Unaccounted for Gas Level Cause No. 45621

Line #	Period	Volume Available (Sch. 11, Line 5)	Total Sales (Sch 11, Line 8)	Volume UAFG (Sch 11 Line 9)	Percent UAFG	Source
LITTE #	renou	(3cm. 11, time 3)	(SCII II, LIIIe 6)	(Sch II Line 9)	reiteilt OAFG	Source
1	August 2013	21,125,062	21,017,305	107,757	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 28, Schedule 11
2	September 2013	21,926,585	21,814,770	111,815	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 29, Schedule 11
3	October 2013	25,172,002	25,043,590	128,412	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 29, Schedule 11
4	November 2013	32,718,805	32,551,919	166,886	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 29, Schedule 11
5	December 2013	42,058,158	41,843,614	214,544	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 30, Schedule 11
6	January 2014	46,772,552	46,337,553	434,999	0.93%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 30, Schedule 11
7	February 2014	42,220,376	41,827,715	392,661	0.93%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 30, Schedule 11
8	March 2014	37,519,674	37,170,749	348,925	0.93%	Cause No. 43269 GCA 31, Schedule 11
9	April 2014	29,295,751	29,023,283	272,468	0.93%	Cause No. 43269 GCA 31, Schedule 11
10	May 2014	24,823,882	24,592,971	230,911	0.93%	Cause No. 43269 GCA 31, Schedule 11
11	June 2014	21,827,823	21,624,834	202,988	0.93%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 32, Schedule 11
12	July 2014	22,362,717	22,154,724	207,994	0.93%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 32, Schedule 11
13	Total	367,823,386	365,003,026	2,820,361	0.77%	

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Determination of Annual Unaccounted for Gas Level Cause No. 45621

Line #	Period	Volume Available (Sch. 11, Line 5)	Total Sales (Sch 11, Line 8)	Volume UAFG (Sch 11 Line 9)	Percent UAFG	Source
1	August 2012	20,369,010	20,228,493	140,517	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 24, Schedule 11
2	September 2012	19,731,681	19,595,564	136,117	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 25, Schedule 11
3	October 2012	24,390,008	24,221,671	168,337	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 25, Schedule 11
4	November 2012	30,063,913	29,856,472	207,442	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 25, Schedule 11
5	December 2012	33,561,749	33,330,181	231,568	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 26, Schedule 11
6	January 2013	38,646,861	38,449,789	197,072	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 26, Schedule 11
7	February 2013	36,980,682	36,792,081	188,601	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 26, Schedule 11
8	March 2013	37,264,286	37,074,241	190,045	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 27, Schedule 11
9	April 2013	26,496,254	26,361,165	135,089	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 27, Schedule 11
10	May 2013	21,978,216	21,866,091	112,125	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 27, Schedule 11
11	June 2013	20,451,374	20,347,046	104,328	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 28, Schedule 11
12	July 2013	21,167,735	21,059,781	107,954	0.51%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 28, Schedule 11
13	Total	331,101,770	329,182,575	1,919,195	0.58%	:

Northern Indiana Public Service Company LLC Determination of Annual Unaccounted for Gas Level Cause No. 45621

Line #	Period	Volume Available (Sch. 11, Line 5)	Total Sales (Sch 11, Line 8)	Volume UAFG (Sch 11 Line 9)	Percent UAFG	Source
1	August 2011	18,079,359	17,959,985	119,373	0.66%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 20, Schedule 11
2	September 2011	20,070,976	19,938,522	132,453	0.66%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 21, Schedule 11
3	October 2011	22,972,298	22,820,673	151,624	0.66%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 21, Schedule 11
4	November 2011	28,031,688	27,846,713	184,975	0.66%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 21, Schedule 11
5	December 2011	34,793,473	34,563,849	229,624	0.66%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 22, Schedule 11
6	January 2012	37,251,524	36,994,452	257,073	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 22, Schedule 11
7	February 2012	35,037,008	34,795,229	241,780	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 22, Schedule 11
8	March 2012	28,616,791	28,419,292	197,499	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 23, Schedule 11
9	April 2012	23,721,810	23,558,174	163,637	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 23, Schedule 11
10	May 2012	22,055,860	21,903,639	152,221	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 23, Schedule 11
11	June 2012	20,644,488	20,502,041	142,446	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 24, Schedule 11
12	July 2012	20,589,175	20,447,088	142,086	0.69%	Cause No. 43629 GCA 24, Schedule 11
13	Total	311,864,450	309,749,658	2,114,792	0.68%	:

AFFIRMATION

I affirm, under the penalties for perjury, that the foregoing representations are true.

Director - Natural Gas Division

Indiana Office of Utility Consumer

Counselor

Cause No. 45621

Northern Indiana Public Service Company

LLC

1-20-22 Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing OUCC'S TESTIMONY OF HEATHER R.

POOLE has been served upon the following counsel of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic service on January 20, 2022.

Nicholas K. Kile Hillary J. Close Lauren M. Box

Barnes & Thornburg LLP Email: Nicholas.kile@btlaw.com Email: Hillary.close@btlaw.com Email: Lauren.box@btlaw.com

Jennifer A. Washburn Citizens Action Coalition jwashburn@citact.org

Todd A. Richardson Aaron A. Schmoll Ellen Tennant

LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.

Email: TRichardson@lewis-kappes.com ASchmoll@lewis-kappes.com

ETennant@Lewis-kappes.com

Joseph P. Rompala Tabitha L. Balzer

LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.

Email: JRompala@lewis-kappes.com

TBalzer@lewis-kappes.com

Robert E. Heidorn Kathryn A. Bryan

NiSource Corporate Services - Legal

Email: rheidorn@nisource.com Email: kbryan@nisource.com

Robert K. Johnson, Esq. **Steel Dynamics Inc.**

Email: rjohnson@utilitylaw.us

Robert C. Sears Erin E. Whitehead

Northern Indiana Public Service Company

LLC

Email: rsears@nisource.com Email: ewhitehead@nisource.com

Copy to: Reagan Kurtz

Citizens Action Coalition

rkurtz@citact.org

Debi McCall

NiSource Corporate Services - Legal

Email: demccall@nisource.com

Scott C. Franson

Attorney No. 27839-49

Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

115 West Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204 infomgt@oucc.in.gov

317/232-2494 – Telephone 317/232-5923 – Facsimile