FILED April 30, 2024 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

IURC CAUSE NO. 38707-FAC140 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KRISTA K. MARKEL FILED APRIL 30, 2024

TESTIMONY OF KRISTA K. MARKEL ACCOUNTING MANAGER II DUKE ENERGY BUSINESS SERVICES LLC ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC CAUSE NO. 38707-FAC140 BEFORE THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Krista K. Markel, and my business address is 525 S. Tryon Street,
4		Charlotte, NC 28202.
5	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
6	A.	I am employed as Accounting Manager II by Duke Energy Business Services
7		LLC, a service company subsidiary of Duke Energy Corporation and a non-utility
8		affiliate of Duke Energy Indiana, LLC ("Duke Energy Indiana" or "Company").
9	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND
10		AND BUSINESS EXPERIENCE.
11	A.	I received a Bachelor's Degree in Accounting and Marketing from Carthage
12		College in 2002. I started full time with Duke Energy Business Services, LLC in
13		2013 working in Wholesale and Asset Accounting and assumed my role as
14		Accounting Manager II in 2021.
15	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

KRISTA K. MARKEL - 1 -

1	A.	The purpose of my testimony is two-fold: (1) to provide an overview of the
2		Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO") settlements process
3		for those charges and credits that Duke Energy Indiana incurs as a result of its
4		participation in MISO's day-ahead and real-time electric energy and ancillary
5		services markets; and (2) to describe how Duke Energy Indiana diligently
6		monitors such charges and credits and takes actions to assure that such charges
7		and credits are accurate.
8		II. MISO SETTLEMENTS PROCESS
9	Q.	MS. MARKEL, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE SETTLEMENTS
10		PROCESS UTILIZED BY MISO TO SETTLE CHARGES AND CREDITS
11		WITH THE COMPANY?
12	А.	Yes. As Accounting Manager, I share responsibility for verifying the accuracy of
13		the charges and credits applicable to Duke Energy Indiana as a participant in the
14		MISO markets. I also share responsibility for disputing any errors related to
15		MISO's settlement of those charges and credits pursuant to MISO's dispute
16		resolution procedures.
17	Q.	PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE THE SETTLEMENTS PROCESS
18		UTILIZED BY MISO TO SETTLE CHARGES AND CREDITS WITH
19		THE COMPANY.
20	А.	The Company retrieves from the MISO website portal a settlement statement for
21		each operating day for its participation in the energy and ancillary services
22		markets that sets forth day-ahead market charges and credits, real-time market

1		charges and credits, and charges and credits related to Financial Transmission
2		Rights ("FTRs"). Charges and credits applicable to Duke Energy Indiana are set
3		forth separately on those settlement statements. The settlement statements set
4		forth each charge type along with the underlying billing determinants used to
5		calculate the charge. MISO's settlements process is described in detail in MISO's
6		Business Practices Manual for Market Settlements, which is available at
7		https://www.misoenergy.org/legal/rules-manuals-and-agreements/business-
8		practice-manuals/.
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE TIMELINE FOR ISSUANCE OF THE MISO
10		SETTLEMENT STATEMENTS?
11	A.	Charges and credits for the day-ahead market, the real-time market (for both
12		energy and ancillary services), and FTRs are settled for each operating day a
13		minimum of four times. MISO issues a preliminary settlement statement
14		(commonly referred to as the "S7") for an operating day seven calendar days after
15		that operating day. Market participants are expected to verify the accuracy of the
16		S7 prior to the issuance of the second settlement statement, which is issued 14
17		calendar days after the operating day (commonly referred to as the "S14"). The
18		S14 fully recalculates the charges and credits for the applicable operating day and
19		displays differences between the charges and credits as calculated on the
20		applicable S7. Additional settlement statements that again fully recalculate an
21		operating day's charges and credits are issued 55 and 105 days after the operating

1		day to reflect updates to meter data submitted by market participants. Those
2		settlement statements are referred to as the "S55" and "S105," respectively.
3		As mentioned above, I share responsibility for verifying the accuracy of
4		the charges and credits set forth on all of the settlement statements described
5		above that are applicable to the Company and, if necessary, disputing any errors
6		pursuant to MISO's dispute resolution procedures. As a general rule, the further
7		out in time that a daily settlement statement is issued from the trading date in
8		question, the fewer the changes from the previous settlement statement for the
9		same trading date. However, regardless of the timing of the issuance of the
10		statement, every daily settlement statement received from MISO pertaining to
11		Duke Energy Indiana is reviewed utilizing the computer software tools described
12		below.
13	Q.	HOW DOES THE COMPANY VERIFY THE ACCURACY OF THE MISO
14		SETTLEMENT STATEMENTS FOR THE ENERGY AND ANCILLARY
15		SERVICES MARKETS?
16	A.	Trading information for each trading day is input into a software program (called
17		"CXL") on behalf of the Company. The Company data is then compared with the
18		applicable MISO settlement statement for discrepancies. Duke Energy Indiana
19		utilizes sophisticated software tools to essentially "shadow" the MISO settlements
20		systems and verify the charges and credits that appear on MISO's settlement
21		statements and invoices. The primary tool utilized is Adapt 2.

1		Using this tool, analysts in the Settlements group are able to download
2		MISO settlements data and perform a detailed comparison by charge type and
3		charge component against Duke Energy Indiana's internal data. The tool
4		highlights discrepancies that are immediately corrected or disputed depending on
5		the source of the error. For charges and credits that are based solely on Duke
6		Energy Indiana data, analysts can identify errors at the lowest level of granularity.
7		The ancillary services market started on January 6, 2009. The Company
8		continues to use the same processes and tools to settle the charges and credits
9		under the ancillary services markets as it does with the energy markets.
10	Q.	ARE THERE EVER ANY CHARGES OR CREDITS IN THE MISO
11		SETTLEMENT STATEMENTS THAT ARE DISPUTED BY DUKE
12		ENERGY INDIANA?
12 13	A.	ENERGY INDIANA? Yes, there are. Because changes in what one market participant in MISO pays or
	A.	
13	A.	Yes, there are. Because changes in what one market participant in MISO pays or
13 14	A.	Yes, there are. Because changes in what one market participant in MISO pays or receives can affect what another market participant pays or receives, disputes
13 14 15	A.	Yes, there are. Because changes in what one market participant in MISO pays or receives can affect what another market participant pays or receives, disputes frequently give rise to changes in the daily settlement statements for a particular
13 14 15 16	A.	Yes, there are. Because changes in what one market participant in MISO pays or receives can affect what another market participant pays or receives, disputes frequently give rise to changes in the daily settlement statements for a particular day, such as changes between the S55 and S105 statement dates. Because market
13 14 15 16 17	A.	Yes, there are. Because changes in what one market participant in MISO pays or receives can affect what another market participant pays or receives, disputes frequently give rise to changes in the daily settlement statements for a particular day, such as changes between the S55 and S105 statement dates. Because market participants have up to 120 days from the operating day to submit disputes,
 13 14 15 16 17 18 	А. Q.	Yes, there are. Because changes in what one market participant in MISO pays or receives can affect what another market participant pays or receives, disputes frequently give rise to changes in the daily settlement statements for a particular day, such as changes between the S55 and S105 statement dates. Because market participants have up to 120 days from the operating day to submit disputes, sorting out the net result for all interested parties with respect to these charges can
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 		Yes, there are. Because changes in what one market participant in MISO pays or receives can affect what another market participant pays or receives, disputes frequently give rise to changes in the daily settlement statements for a particular day, such as changes between the S55 and S105 statement dates. Because market participants have up to 120 days from the operating day to submit disputes, sorting out the net result for all interested parties with respect to these charges can take some time.

1	A.	Given the extended period of the settlements process, adjustments to charges and
2		credits incurred during a particular period may not be known or reflected in the
3		Company's books and records at the time of the quarterly fuel cost adjustment
4		filing for that period. Indeed, adjustments could occur even after the S105 is
5		issued. Consequently, it would be impractical to retroactively apply the effects of
6		settlement statement adjustments to past customer bills. For those reasons,
7		settlement statement adjustments that affect the cost of fuel or purchased power
8		are reflected as soon as practicable in a subsequent fuel cost adjustment filing.
9	Q.	HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES IN SETTLEMENT STATEMENTS
10		FROM MISO IN RECENT MONTHS THAT IMPACT THE OUCC'S
11		AUDIT OF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED FUEL COST ADJUSTMENT
12		FACTOR?
13	А.	No.
14	Q.	ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY NEW MISO CHARGE TYPES
15		INTRODUCED BY MISO THAT MAY IMPACT THE FUEL
16		ADJUSTMENT FACTOR IN THIS PROCEEDING?
17	А.	No.
18	Q.	ARE THERE ANY CHANGES WITH THIS FAC?
19	А.	No.
20	Q.	WHY IS THE COMPANY INCLUDING PJM INTERCONNECTION LLC
21		("PJM") SETTLEMENT STATEMENTS IN THE FAC?

IURC CAUSE NO. 38707-FAC140 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KRISTA K. MARKEL FILED APRIL 30, 2024

1	А.	In Cause No. 45253, the Commission approved the inclusion of PJM charges and
2		credits related to its Madison Generating Station in the Company's FAC Rider, its
3		RTO Rider, or Rider 70, as appropriate.
4	Q.	PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE THE SETTLEMENTS PROCESS
5		FOR PJM TO SETTLE CHARGES AND CREDITS WITH THE
6		COMPANY.
7	A.	The Company retrieves from the PJM website the weekly and monthly invoices.
8		The process is similar to MISO where trading information for each trading day is
9		input into a software program (as mentioned above, called "CXL") on behalf of
10		the Company. The Company data is then compared with the PJM invoices for
11		discrepancies.
12	Q.	WHAT IS THE TIMELINE FOR ISSUANCE OF THE PJM INVOICES?
13	A.	Duke Energy Indiana receives weekly invoices on Tuesdays for the previous
14		Thursday-Wednesday operating days. For example, on October 20th, the
15		Company received an invoice for operating days of October 8 th – October 14 th .
16		The Company then receives a monthly invoice on the fifth business day of the
17		next month.
18	Q.	HOW DOES THE COMPANY VERIFY THE ACCURACY OF THE PJM
19		INVOICES?
20	А.	Duke Energy Indiana utilizes sophisticated software tools to essentially "shadow"
21		the PJM settlements systems and verify the charges and credits that appear on the
22		PJM invoices. The primary tool utilized is Adapt2.

KRISTA K. MARKEL - 7 -

1		Using this tool, analysts in the Settlements group can download PJM
2		settlements data and perform a detailed comparison by charge type and charge
3		component against Duke Energy Indiana's internal data. The tool highlights
4		discrepancies that are immediately corrected or disputed depending on the source
5		of the error. For charges and credits that are based solely on Duke Energy Indiana
6		data, analysts can identify errors at the lowest level of granularity.
7		III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
8	Q.	ARE YOU CONFIDENT THAT THE AMOUNTS PAID BY DUKE
9		ENERGY INDIANA TO MISO AND PJM, NET OF ANY CREDITS, ARE
10		PROPER AND THAT SUCH AMOUNTS BILLED TO CUSTOMERS
11		THROUGH THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE ARE PROPER?
12	A.	Yes. I am confident that, at the conclusion of the process for any particular trading
13		day, the amount paid on behalf of the Company to MISO and PJM will be proper.
14	Q.	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY?
15	A.	Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Signed: Krista K. Markel

...

Dated: April 30, 2024

~