# IURC CAUSE NO. 38707-FAC138 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES J. McCLAY, III FILED OCTOBER 31, 2023

# TESTIMONY OF JAMES J. McCLAY, III MANAGING DIRECTOR OF NATURAL GAS TRADING DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC CAUSE NO. 38707-FAC 138 BEFORE THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

FILED
October 31, 2023
INDIANA UTILITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

# I. INTRODUCTION

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	A.	My name is James J. McClay, III, and my business address is 526 South Church
3		Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28202.
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
5	A.	I am employed as Managing Director of Natural Gas Trading for Duke Energy
6		Corporation ("Duke Energy").
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
8		BACKGROUND.
9	A.	I received a Bachelor's degree in Business Administration, majoring in Finance
10		from St. Bonaventure University. After 14 years as a fixed income bond trader
11		specializing in government securities, I joined Progress Energy in 1998 as an
12		Energy Trader, was promoted to Manager of Power Trading and held that position
13		through early 2003. I then became the Director of Power Trading and Portfolio
14		Management for Progress Energy Ventures through February 2007. From March
15		2007 through late 2008, I was the Director of Power Trading for Arclight Energy
16		Marketing. From March 2009 through the present, I've been employed in various

1		managerial roles at Progress Energy and Duke Energy overseeing Natural Gas
2		Trading and Origination, Pipeline Transportation, Power Trading, Oil
3		procurement, and various jurisdictions' hedging programs.
4	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES AS MANAGING
5		DIRECTOR OF NATURAL GAS TRADING, AS THEY RELATE TO
6		DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC ("DUKE ENERGY INDIANA" OR
7		"COMPANY")?
8	A.	As Managing Director of Natural Gas Trading, I manage the organization
9		responsible for the natural gas trading, optimization and scheduling functions, gas
10		supply and pipeline transportation origination, oil procurement and emissions
11		management for the regulated gas-fired generation assets in the Carolinas (Duke
12		Energy Carolinas and Duke Energy Progress), Duke Energy Florida, Duke Energy
13		Indiana and Duke Energy Kentucky (collectively, the "Utilities"), as well as the
14		organization responsible for power trading for Duke Energy Indiana and Duke
15		Energy Kentucky. Additionally, I oversee the execution of the Utilities' financial
16		hedging programs, fuel oil procurement, and emissions compliance trading.
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
18		PROCEEDING?
19	A.	I will provide an update on the Company's gas and power hedging activities that
20		have been described in previous FAC proceedings.

1		II. REALIZED NATIVE NATURAL GAS HEDGING RESULTS
2	Q.	DO YOU BELIEVE THAT IT IS REASONABLE FOR THE COMPANY
3		TO ENTER INTO HEDGES AGAINST GAS PRICES?
4	A.	Yes, I do.
5	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU BELIEVE THAT SUCH ACTIONS ARE
6		REASONABLE.
7	A.	Duke Energy Indiana continues to rely on a portfolio of natural gas to support its
8		combined cycle and combustion turbine generation, and natural gas prices have
9		historically been volatile. From March 2018 through August 2023, prompt month
10		Henry Hub natural gas prices have settled between \$1.50 and \$9.35 per MMBtu.
11		As of October 17, 2023, prompt month natural gas contracts settled at
12		\$3.601/Mmbtu. This is an increase of more than 50% from a recent low price of
13		\$1.991/Mmbtu, reached on March 29, 2023, but it's still low in the historical price
14		range. In addition, in the past ten years, spot daily market supply/demand
15		imbalances have created occasional significant short-term price spikes in some
16		locations during high demand seasons. Furthermore, because Duke Energy
17		Indiana's natural gas demand is somewhat linked to weather, the Company is
18		further exposed to such fluctuations in natural gas prices. Forward natural gas
19		market prices are highly visible and liquid and there are a number of hedging
20		tools available to help protect against such price fluctuations. In my opinion, it
21		makes sense for the Company to take advantage of these tools.

1	Q.	HAS THE COMPANY COMPLETED ANY GAS HEDGING
2		TRANSACTIONS SINCE THE LAST UPDATE TO THE COMMISSION
3		IN THE FAC137 PROCEEDING?
4	A.	Yes. The Company used hedging products available on InterContinental
5		Exchange ("ICE") and purchased hedges based on forecasted forward expected
6		native gas burns for the period from July 2023 through December 2025. In
7		addition to Henry Hub future contracts that the Company uses to hedge gas
8		exposure, Duke Energy Indiana uses two types of financial future contracts to
9		convert Henry Hub hedging trades to a hedging position that settles at Chicago
10		Citygate daily gas index. These financial products help manage the price
11		separation between Henry Hub and Chicago Citygate gas price that may occur,
12		due to locational differences and source of gas production. The cost of natural
13		gas the Company pays for its gas generation units now moves more closely with
14		Chicago Citygate daily gas index and sometimes disconnects from Henry Hub
15		price.
16	Q.	WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THE GAS HEDGING APPLICABLE
17		TO THE RECONCILIATION PERIOD FOR THIS FAC PROCEEDING?
18	A.	Natural gas purchases made to hedge June through August 2023 native gas burn
19		realized a loss of \$5,379,565. These gas hedges were purchased prior to the
20		summer 2023 high demand season to reduce volatility and lock in certainty of
21		price, following the Duke Energy Indiana hedge plan. During this FAC
22		reconciliation period, market prices for gas realized lower than the hedged prices

# IURC CAUSE NO. 38707-FAC138 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES J. McCLAY, III FILED OCTOBER 31, 2023

primarily due to improved domestic gas production, above average U.S. storage balances and relatively mild weather.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A.

# **Realized Native Natural Gas Hedging Results**

June 2023	July 2023	August 2023
(\$3,861,728)	(\$1,189,529)	(\$328,308)

As with our past practice, the Company will evaluate forecasted gas burn needs regularly and may purchase gas hedges as needed and when it is prudent to do so.

# III. REALIZED NATIVE POWER HEDGING RESULTS

# Q. DOES THE COMPANY CONDUCT OTHER HEDGING ACTIVITIES?

Yes, Duke Energy Indiana also hedges the costs of purchased power. Power prices have been volatile since the beginning of the Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. ("MISO") energy markets in April of 2005. Through the end of August 2023, the average peak daily Indiana Hub Day Ahead LMP was \$44.95/MWH. For the same period, average daily Indiana Hub Real Time LMP was \$43.74/MWH. However, there was a wide range of prices. Day Ahead daily price settled between \$17.83 and \$398.63 while Real Time price went from as low as \$15.57/MWH to as high as \$924.46/MWH. There were 136 days where Day Ahead daily price exceeded \$100/MWH and 138 days in the same period that daily Real Time peak power prices reached above \$100/MWH. To help hedge against this market volatility, if the position warrants, the Company enters into

# IURC CAUSE NO. 38707-FAC138 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES J. McCLAY, III FILED OCTOBER 31, 2023

1		forward power purchase contracts that are financially settled on a specific future
2		date at MISO Indiana Hub Day-Ahead or Real Time LMPs. 1 The applicable
3		LMPs on the settlement date for these contracts may be higher or lower than the
4		price the Company paid for the forward contract and the Company will either pay
5		or be refunded the difference.
6	Q.	WHAT PRICE DOES THE COMPANY PAY FOR THESE POWER
7		CONTRACTS?
8	A.	The Company paid the then current market price for the June 2023 on-peak
9		monthly forward contracts in the amount of \$48.25/MWH, and June 2023 off-
10		peak contracts at \$47/MWH. In addition, the Company put on short-term hedges
11		and paid the then market prices between \$28.50/MWH and \$65/MWH to hedge
12		portfolio imbalances in daily and weekly markets.
13	Q.	HOW IS IT DETERMINED WHETHER TO ENTER INTO FORWARD
14		POWER HEDGING TRANSACTIONS?
15	A.	Duke Energy Indiana uses a forward power forecast generated by analytics to
16		determine a monthly forward power position. When entering into a hedge
17		transaction, Duke Energy Indiana measures the purchase price for the forward
18		power purchase contract against the expected cost of operating the incremental
19		Company generation units needed to meet the forecasted load. For example, if
20		our forecasted native load would require the Company to operate a gas turbine

 $^1$  Since the onset of MISO energy markets, almost all bi-lateral contracts have been "financial" rather than "physical" contracts.

# IURC CAUSE NO. 38707-FAC138 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES J. McCLAY, III FILED OCTOBER 31, 2023

peaking plant at a cost of \$100/MWH and we could purchase a forward power purchase contract at a cost of \$80/MWH, Duke Energy Indiana would make that purchase, essentially fixing a price for purchased power at a cost lower than the expected cost of operating our own generation. The Company never makes a forward power purchase unless the cost of such purchase is less than the cost of running the incremental generating unit needed to meet the forecasted load. If, on the settlement date, the LMP is higher than the forward contract price, the Company would be credited the difference from the counterparty. On the other hand, if the LMP is lower than the forward contract price, the Company would have to pay the difference to the counterparty. The actual purchase of power or dispatch of units to serve native load would still be done on an economic basis. WHEN DID THE COMPANY BEGIN THIS HEDGING PROGRAM? Q. A. Duke Energy Indiana started making such purchases for January 2006, and made forward power purchases for each month of 2006, and have generally continued that practice to the present.<sup>2</sup> WHAT WERE THE RESULTS FOR JUNE THROUGH AUGUST 2023? Q. A. The final realized value of the native power hedges for this period was \$1,450,787

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

<sup>2</sup> As noted later in my testimony, Duke Energy Indiana's power hedging practices subsequent to the effectiveness of a settlement with the Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor and the Commission's

negative, resulting from forward monthly transactions, intra-month transactions,

# IURC CAUSE NO. 38707-FAC138 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES J. McCLAY, III FILED OCTOBER 31, 2023

as well as any MISO virtual trades. The negative result was driven by low realized power prices resulting from mild weather this past spring and market fundamentals including increased natural gas production, improved U.S. natural gas storage inventories, and improvement in coal delivery.

# **Realized Native Power Hedging Results**

June 2023	July 2023	August 2023
(\$1,381,912)	(\$21,665)	(\$47,210)

As noted in the pre-filed testimony of Ms. Christa L. Graft, the net realized results for the reconciliation period from the power hedging activity exclusive of MISO virtual trades, and including prior period adjustments, was a loss of \$1,453,756.

Including net realized results from native natural gas hedging mentioned above, total hedging losses for this FAC filing are \$6,833,321.

# Q. IS THE COMPANY CONTINUING ITS POWER HEDGING

# PRACTICES?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

Yes. Though Duke Energy Indiana didn't make new forward native purchases during this reporting period because its forward positions were expected to be economically long based on the prevailing market prices, the Company made intra-month purchases for September and October 2023 to mitigate short-term position imbalances. The Company's methodology for making purchases has remained consistent. If the forward purchase price of power is less than the cost of running the incremental generating units required to meet the forecasted load,

1		then Duke Energy Indiana may purchase a forward power hedge. Of course,
2		forward power prices, gas prices, emission allowance prices, weather conditions,
3		expected load, and availability of generating units, among other factors, are
4		constantly changing. As conditions change, the Company would evaluate these
5		conditions and adapt. Duke Energy Indiana constantly assesses the Company's
6		forward power positions using similar outputs as the fuel procurement team on a
7		monthly, daily and even intra-day basis. The goal is to maintain forward power
8		hedges only in an amount necessary to economically cover our forecasted load.
9	Q.	HOW DID THE COMMISSION'S JUNE 25, 2008 ORDER IN CAUSE
10		NO. 38707 FAC68-S1 AFFECT THE COMPANY'S CURRENT HEDGING
11		METHODOLOGY?
12	A.	The Company's hedging methodology is consistent with the Settlement
13		Agreement with the OUCC and the Commission order. Accordingly, beginning
14		on August 1, 2008, Duke Energy Indiana has not utilized its flat hedging
15		methodology. Rather, Duke Energy Indiana will hedge up to approximately flat
16		minus 150 MW on a forward, monthly and intra-month basis, and up to
17		approximately flat on a Day Ahead/Real-Time basis. This methodology will
18		leave the Company with at least approximately 150 MW of expected load
19		unhedged on a forward forecasted basis.
20	Q.	WHAT RECENT CHANGES WERE MADE TO APPLICANT'S POWER
21		AND GAS HEDGING PLANS, AS APPROVED IN THE COMMISSION'S
22		MARCH 29, 2023 ORDER IN CAUSE NO. 38707 FAC 135?

1	A.	Duke Energy Indiana extended the rolling native power hedging horizon to cash
2		month plus twelve months and the native gas hedging term limit to cash month
3		plus three years, with target ranges for the new horizon periods for natural gas
4		adjusting over time to allow the Company to layer in hedges.
5	Q.	WHY WAS POWER HEDGING EXTENDED TO 12 MONTHS WHILE
6		THE GAS HEDGING TIME HORIZON WAS EXTENDED TO 3 YEARS?
7	A.	The hedge horizon variance is mostly driven by liquidity differential in these two
8		markets. Natural gas has a robust futures market that is active and transparent for
9		several years out. In addition, there are many active players in the over-the-
10		counter gas bilateral market to provide more liquidity. On the other hand, power
11		forward markets are not as active as natural gas and have much lower trading
12		volumes. The MISO Indiana Hub market, where the Company obtains most of its
13		native hedges, has a fair number of active players that provide adequate liquidity
14		in the next 12 months. There are market quotes for time periods beyond 12
15		months from time to time but there is not enough competition for market price
16		discovery function to work well. Therefore, the Company believes it's necessary
17		to keep a more realistic shorter-term limit for power hedges.
18	Q.	WHEN WILL THE COMPANY BEGIN HEDGING WITH THE NEW
19		COMMISSION APPROVED POWER AND GAS LIMITS?
20	A.	Subsequent to the Commission's March 29, 2023 Order in Cause No. 38707 FAC
21		135, the Company began the internal process of approving the updated Duke
22		Energy Indiana Risk Management Guidelines with the new power and gas limits.

1		The updated Duke Energy Indiana Risk Management Guidelines were approved
2		June 15, 2023. The Company began the process to layer in additional power and
3		gas hedges over time toward the new target ranges.
4	Q.	DO YOU BELIEVE THE COMPANY'S GAS AND POWER HEDGING
5		PRACTICES ARE REASONABLE?
6	A.	Yes, I do. The Company never speculates on future prices, but rather uses a
7		sophisticated model to determine when it is economic to purchase and sell on a
8		forward basis. The practice is economic at the time the decision is made and
9		reduces volatility because Duke Energy Indiana is transacting in a less volatile
10		forward market, as opposed to more volatile spot markets (i.e., the MISO day
11		ahead and real-time markets).
12		Just as an electric reserve margin reduces risk that capacity may not be
13		available when it is needed, Duke Energy Indiana believes its gas and power
14		hedging practices benefits customers by reducing customers' risk of paying
15		potentially higher spot market prices. Further, as stated above, our practices
16		going forward will be consistent with the Commission Order in Cause No. 38707
17		FAC 135.
18	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?
19	A.	Yes, it does.

# **VERIFICATION**

I hereby verify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signed:

Date: October 31, 2023