FILED April 25, 2018 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 3

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS DIRECTOR, RATES & REGULATORY PLANNING DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 BEFORE THE INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.	
3	A.	My name is Diana L. Douglas, and my business address is 1000 East Main Street,	
4		Plainfield, Indiana.	
5	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?	
6	A.	I am employed by Duke Energy Indiana, LLC ("Duke Energy Indiana," "Petitioner" or	
7		"Company") as Director, Rates & Regulatory Planning.	
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DUTIES AS DIRECTOR, RATES & REGULATORY	
9		PLANNING.	
10	A.	As Director, Rates & Regulatory Planning, I am responsible for the preparation of	
11		financial and accounting data used in Company rate filings.	
12	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL	
13		BACKGROUND.	
14	A.	I am a graduate of Indiana University, holding a Bachelor of Science Degree in Business,	
15		with a major in Accounting, with additional post-graduate course-work within the MBA	
16		program of Indiana University. Since my employment as a permanent employee in 1980	
17		with the Company (then known as Public Service Company of Indiana, Inc.), I have held	
18		various financial and accounting positions supporting the Company and its affiliates. My	

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

	position prior to Director, Rates & Regulatory Planning, was that of manager responsible
	for fuel and joint ownership accounting. I have also had management responsibility for
	emission allowance accounting, general accounting for the Commercial Business Unit,
	and power marketing and trading settlements and back office operations. I have also held
	positions in Corporate Accounting, Budgets and Forecasts, and Payroll. I am a Certified
	Public Accountant ("CPA") and a member of the Indiana CPA Society.
Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
A.	The purpose of my testimony is to explain the Company's proposed timely recovery of
	Transmission, Distribution and Storage Infrastructure Improvement Charge ("TDSIC")
	costs associated with the 7-Year Transmission and Distribution ("T&D") Infrastructure
	Improvement Plan ("T&D Plan"), covering calendar years 2016 – 2022, that was
	approved by the Commission on June 29, 2016, in its Order in Cause No. 44720 ("TDSIC
	Order") and updates to which were approved by the Commission on March 22, 2017, in
	its Order in Cause No. 44720 TDSIC-1 ("TDSIC-1" or "TDSIC-1 Order"), on October
	17, 2017 in its Order in Cause No. 44720 TDSIC-2 ("TDSIC-2" or "TDSIC-2 Order"),
	and on April 11, 2018 in its Order in Cause No. 44720 (TDSIC-3" or "TDSIC-3 Order").
	My testimony shows calculations used to develop the Company's proposed Transmission
	and Distribution Infrastructure Improvement Cost Rate Adjustment Factors ("TDSIC
	Factors") and includes updated retail electric Tariff pages applicable to Standard Contract
	Rider No. 65 - Transmission and Distribution Infrastructure Improvement Cost Rate
	Adjustment ("Rider 65" or "TDSIC Rider"). The calculations are based on Company
	data using a December 31, 2017 Cutoff Date ("Cutoff Date"). The pre-filed direct

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

testimonies of Mr. William H. (Howard) Fowler and Mr. Donald E. Broadhurst discuss the project costs, including capital and O&M costs, which were included in the rate calculations, in more detail.

II. RIDER 65 RATEMAKING AND PROPOSED RATES

Q. WHAT SPECIFIC RATEMAKING TREATMENT WAS APPROVED BY THE

COMMISSION FOR USE IN THE TDSIC RIDER?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

The ratemaking treatment approved by the Commission was presented in the direct and settlement testimony and exhibits of Mr. Brian P. Davey in Cause No. 44720 and included: (1) the timely recovery via a newly established tracking mechanism (Rider 65) of eighty percent (80%) of the retail jurisdictional share of the T&D Plan costs, subject to annual and cumulative caps on T&D Plan capital investment as set forth in the Settlement Agreement approved in the TDSIC Order, ¹ including recovery of return on capital investments using the Company's weighted cost of capital at each Cutoff Date, using a 10% cost of equity, recovery of the costs of the investments via depreciation, and recovery of O&M and property tax costs; (2) the accrual and recovery of related post-inservice carrying costs at the Company's most recently approved overall weighted average cost of capital, using the 10% return on common equity approved in the TDSIC Order, until the T&D Plan projects are included in retail rates; (3) the Company's proposed use of forecasted depreciation, O&M, and property tax expenses in establishing the TDSIC Factors, with subsequent reconciliation to actual amounts; (4) the Company's proposed

The caps on capital investment set forth in the Settlement Agreement (dollars are in millions) (p. 2) are as follows:

²⁰¹⁶ 2017 2018 2022 2019 2020 2021 Annual Cap \$91.8 \$213.7 \$211.4 \$197.5 \$213.7 \$227.3 \$252.9 \$91.8 \$305.5 \$517.0 \$714.4 \$928.1 \$1,155.4 \$1,408.3 Cumulative Cap

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

reconciliation of Rider 65 revenue requirements, including return, to amounts collected
from customers; (5) the recovery via Rider 65 of Black & Veatch fees to be amortized
over a three-year period; 6) the Company's proposal to allocate the Rider 65
transmission and distribution revenue requirements to rate groups based on the revenue
requirement percentage by rate group from the Company's last retail base rate case,
Cause No. 42359, using the respective delivery voltage revenue levels for the HLF and
LLF rate groups; ² and (7) the use in Rider 65 of kwh billing determinants for all rate
groups except for High Load Factor ("HLF") and the use of non-coincident KW demand
as the billing determinant for the HLF rate group.
For the twenty percent (20%) of approved jurisdictional capital expenditures and
TDSIC costs not to be recovered in the TDSIC Rider, the Commission approved deferral
for subsequent recovery in the Company's next retail base rate case, with carrying costs
to be accrued using the overall weighted average cost of capital rate as most recently
approved by the Commission. ³

Q. HAVE YOU USED THIS APPROVED RATEMAKING TREATMENT IN DEVELOPING YOUR PROPOSED TDSIC FACTORS?

17 A. Yes.

² In other words,

_

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

¹⁾ All TDSIC costs are identified by FERC function using FERC accounts and placed into Transmission or Distribution buckets before allocation.

²⁾ The Transmission costs will then be allocated to rate groups based on each rate group's Transmission revenue requirement percentages from the last approved cost of service study. The Distribution costs will be similarly allocated using each rate group's Distribution revenue requirement percentages from the last approved cost of service study.

³ The Company intends to use the 10% ROE approved for use in the T&D Plan Rider for both post-in-service carrying costs to be included in the Rider and for the post-in-service carrying costs on the 20% of capital expenditures and for carrying costs on the 20% of other TDSIC costs to be deferred until the next retail rate case.

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

1	Q.	WHAT SPECIFIC RATEMAKING APPROVAL ARE YOU SEEKING IN THIS
2		PROCEEDING?
3	A.	Duke Energy Indiana is requesting that the Commission approve: (1) the amounts
4		included in the TDSIC Rider for recovery of the T&D Plan costs; (2) the value of the
5		T&D Plan investment on which the Company is authorized to earn a return; (3) the
6		adjustment of Petitioner's retail electric rates via the proposed Rider 65 TDSIC Factors to
7		include the revenue effect of such investment and cost recovery; and (4) the deferral of
8		the remaining twenty percent (20%) of the expenditures with carrying costs as approved
9		in the Order in Cause No. 44720, until the Company's next electric base rate case. The
10		Company is also requesting the Commission adjust Duke Energy Indiana's authorized
11		return for purposes of Ind. Code § 8-1-2-42(d)(3) to reflect the incremental earnings that
12		will result from this TDSIC rider filing upon Commission approval.
13	Q.	WHAT SPECIFIC COSTS WERE INCLUDED IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF
14		THE PROPOSED TDSIC FACTORS FOR WHICH YOU ARE REQUESTING
15		COMMISSION APPROVAL?
16	A.	The following costs have been included in the development of the TDSIC Factors:
17		Eighty percent (80%) of the retail jurisdictional costs of (1) Duke Energy Indiana's
18		capital investment in the T&D Plan projects that are in-service as of the Cutoff Date,
19		which will be recovered via depreciation; (2) twelve (12) months of return on the net
20		book value (original investment less accumulated depreciation) of the included in-service
21		projects; (3) depreciation incurred for the in-service projects during calendar year 2017;
22		(4) O&M expenses, including fringe benefits and payroll taxes, incurred through the

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

	Cutoff Date for the in-service T&D Plan projects, less amounts already included in the			
	development of TDSIC-1 and TDSIC-2 rates; (5) the forecasted depreciation, O&M a			
	property tax expenses for the twelve-month July 2018 through June 2019 period related			
	to the in-service projects included in the updated T&D Plan; and (6) post-in-service			
	carrying costs accrued for the in-service projects during calendar year 2017. In addition,			
	an amount has been included in rate development for twelve (12) months of amortization			
	of amounts incurred for plan development costs, to be amortized over three years. These			
	plan development costs include Black & Veatch costs as approved by the Commission in			
	the TDSIC Order. The forecasted depreciation, O&M and property tax expense will be			
	trued up to actual expense in a future TDSIC rider filing.			
Q.	HAVE COSTS RELATED TO WHOLESALE CUSTOMERS BEEN REMOVED			
	FROM COSTS FOR RATE DEVELOPMENT?			
A.	Yes, their share of costs were removed using the appropriate allocation factors for			
	transmission costs and distribution costs from the cost of service study in the Company's			
	last retail rate case in Cause No. 42359. These calculations can be seen on the rate			
	development schedules of Petitioner's Exhibit 3-B.			
Q.	HAVE COSTS RELATED TO JOINT OWNERS BEEN REMOVED FROM			
	COSTS FOR RATE DEVELOPMENT?			
A.	Yes. Work for projects owned by the Company's Joint Owners under the Transmission			
	and Local Facilities ("T&LF") Agreement were specifically identified with unique			
	project codes. These project codes (and their costs) were excluded from rate			
	development workpapers, exhibits, and rate development.			

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

1	Q.	GOING FORWARD, WHAT IS THE PLANNED FREQUENCY OF RIDER 65			
2		FILINGS?			
3	A.	The Company plans to continue to make Rider 65 rate update filings annually in the			
4		Spring, covering in-service projects through December 31st of the prior calendar year,			
5		with the resulting rates planned to be billed to customers over a twelve-month period.			
6		The Company believes that using calendar year data for ongoing filings to set TDSIC			
7		Rider rates is administratively efficient for all parties, particularly in light of the calendar			
8		year caps that were included in the Settlement Agreement approved by the Commission			
9		in its TDSIC Order.			
10	Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE DOCUMENT THAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR			
11		PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION AS PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 3-A.			
12	A.	Petitioner's Exhibit 3-A represents the pages of Duke Energy Indiana's Rider 65 Tariff			
13		sheets containing the second revisions to the original Tariff sheets last approved by the			
14		Commission in Cause No. 44720 TDSIC-2 on October 17, 2017. The TDSIC-4 Factors			
15		contained in the Tariff revision are proposed by the Company to be billed to customers			
16		upon Commission approval beginning with bills rendered on or after the first billing			
17		Cycle 1 following Commission approval.			
18	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT IS SHOWN ON PAGES 1 AND 2 OF PETITIONER'S			
19		EXHIBIT 3-A.			
20	A.	Pages 1 and 2 of this Exhibit show the two out of six Rider 65 Tariff sheets that require			
21		adjustment to support the rates proposed in this proceeding. Pages 3 and 6 of the Tariff,			
22		containing the revenue adjustment factors by retail rate group and the annual billing cycle			

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

	kWh and/or non-coincident peak demands for the twelve months ended December 31,		
	2017, which were used to develop the TDSIC Factors, respectively, are the pages that		
	require adjustment and are included in Exhibit 3-A. The other four Tariff pages do not		
	require revision at this time, including the pages that cover the definitions of the various		
	components of the formula that is used to develop the TDSIC Factors consistent within		
	the provisions of I. C. 8-1-39 (Pages 1 and 2 of the Tariff) and the listings of retail		
	allocation factors used to allocate the jurisdictional transmission and distribution revenue		
	requirements to rate groups based on data from the Company's cost of service study		
	approved by the Commission in Cause No. 42359, as adjusted to reflect the impact of the		
	May 2014 customer migration between certain lighting rate classes (Pages 4 and 5).		
Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE DOCUMENT THAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR		
	PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION AS PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 3-B.		
A.	Petitioner's Exhibit 3-B includes a series of schedules that support and develop the		
	proposed TDSIC Factors. ⁴ Pages 1 – 7 support the investment and TDSIC costs included		
	in the revenue requirement calculations on Page 8 (for Transmission) and Page 9 (for		
	Distribution). Pages 1 and 3 through 7 show amounts for Transmission and Distribution		
	investments and costs, retail jurisdictional amounts, the eighty percent (80%) included for		
	current recovery and used in development of the proposed TDSIC Factors, and the twenty		
	percent (20%) deferred for future recovery.		

_

⁴ Petitioner's Exhibit 3-B is supported by Public Workpapers that will be filed as a part of this proceeding and also by certain Confidential Workpapers that will be filed upon issuance of a preliminary Order for Protection of Confidential Information.

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

Page 1 presents the capital investment in the Company's approved T&D Plan projects that were in-service by the Cutoff date. As shown on Page 1, the total capital investment for in-service TDSIC projects as of the December 31, 2017 is \$276,530,079. As shown on Page 2, this amount is below the cumulative 2017 capital cost cap of \$305,500,000, per the terms of the Settlement Agreement approved in the TDSIC Order, so no adjustments are needed to the investment amount to be included in the rider. The testimony, exhibits and workpapers of Mr. Fowler and Mr. Broadhurst provide additional support for these amounts.

Page 3 provides the amount of accumulated depreciation as of the Cutoff date, associated with the projects included in the investment amounts on Page 1, using the most recent Commission-approved depreciation rates (as approved in the Commission's Order in Cause No. 43114 IGCC 4S1) based on the FERC property accounts associated with the property to determine depreciation amounts. Page 4 provides total T&D amounts for the actual depreciation expense incurred in calendar year 2017 and the depreciation expense forecasted to be incurred for the July 2018 through June 2019 period over which the Company expects the TDSIC Factors to be billed. The forecasted depreciation was calculated using weighted average depreciation rates for transmission and distribution plant based on depreciation rates associated with in-service TDSIC investment as of December 2017. I will be filing supporting public and confidential workpapers with project detail by FERC account and depreciation calculations to support the amounts on Pages 3 and 4 of Exhibit 3-B.

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

Page 5 presents the actual amounts of project-related O&M expense incurred through the Cutoff Date in relation to the in-service projects included in the investment amounts from Page 1, less amounts already included in TDSIC-1 and TDSIC-2, and the O&M expense associated with additional in-service T&D Plan projects that is forecasted for the July 2018 through June 2019 period over which the Company expects the TDSIC Factors to be billed. The testimony, exhibits and workpapers of Mr. Fowler and Mr. Broadhurst provide additional support for these amounts. Page 6 presents the property tax expense incurred in calendar year 2017 associated with in-service projects and the property tax forecasted to be incurred for the July 2018 through June 2019 period over which the Company expects the TDSIC Factors to be billed, using an average property tax rate for the Company's T&D property. Page 7 presents the post-in-service carrying costs incurred during calendar year 2017 on the in-service projects included in the investment from Page 1. PLEASE EXPLAIN PAGES 8 THROUGH 14 OF PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 3-B. Page 8 supports the development of the Transmission improvements revenue requirement, and Page 9 supports the development of the Distribution improvements revenue requirement. Both pages include the return on net capital investment using the investment in in-service TDSIC projects (from Page 1) less the accumulated depreciation

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q.

workpaper filed to support the amortization amount included). The calculation also

(from Page 3), as well as the revenue requirements associated with depreciation expense

(from Page 4), O&M expense (from Page 5), property tax expense (from Page 6), post-in-

service carrying costs (from Page 7), and amortization of plan development costs (with a

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

expense incurred in calendar year 2017 to amounts previously forecasted in TDSIC-1 and TDSIC-2 for the same period. The return is calculated using the Company's weighted average cost of capital as of the Cutoff Date, as shown on Page 10, which was computed consistent with 170 Ind. Admin. Code 4-6-14, using the 10% return on equity rate approved for use in the TDSIC rider in Cause No. 44720. Page 11 presents the AFUDC rates that were used by the Company during January through December 2017 to accrue financing costs before the projects included in the investment from Page 1 were placed in-service.

Page 12 presents the Company's calculations for purposes of the statutory 2% revenue cap, as required by I. C. § 8-1-39-14. As shown on Page 12, the incremental revenue requirement increase that will result from implementation of TDSIC-4 rates will be less than the 2% statutory cap, so no additional revenue requirement reductions or cost deferrals are required. Page 13 presents the reconciliation of the approved January through December 2017 revenue requirements, as approved in the TDSIC-1 and TDSIC-2 Orders, to actual customer billings for calendar year 2017. This is the first time a revenue reconciliation has been included in the TDSIC Rider. Page 14 develops the TDSIC Factors using the revenue requirement amounts from Pages 8, 9, 12, and 13.

.

⁵ Consistent with the most recent weighted average cost of capital calculations in the Company's other capital cost recovery riders, including most recently in Cause No. 43114 IGCC-17, the deferred income tax amount in the weighted average cost of capital calculation on Page 10 excludes the net impact of any tax benefits or liabilities associated with the portion of the IGCC plant shareholders will pay for under the terms of the 2012 Settlement in Cause No. 43114 IGCC 4S1.

O. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DETERMINATION OF THE REVENUE

CONVERSION FACTORS USED TO DEVELOP THE REVENUE

REQUIREMENTS FOR THIS FILING.

Α.

For the return component, separate revenue conversion factors are developed for the debt and equity components of the after-tax return to reflect the different tax treatments for each component. An effective rate is computed for each component, including effective rates for applicable state and federal taxes, public utility fees, and uncollectible accounts expense.

For the operating expense items other than depreciation and the amortization of post-in-service carrying costs, the revenue requirement was developed using a revenue conversion factor that assumes the expenses are deductible for both state and federal income tax purposes and, therefore, includes only Indiana's utility receipts tax, public utility fees, and uncollectible accounts expense.

Total depreciation and amortization of post-in-service carrying costs were separated into two components before converting to revenue requirements: (1) the portion related to equity return (equity AFUDC for depreciation and the equity portion of post-in-service carrying costs); and (2) the portion related to all other costs (debt AFUDC and the investment being depreciated for depreciation and the debt portion of post-in-service carrying costs). The portion of depreciation and post-in-service carrying costs related to equity AFUDC/equity return was converted to revenue requirements using the same revenue conversion factor as was used for the equity component of return on net investment value. This treatment includes a provision for both state and federal income

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

1		taxes, reflecting that under current tax regulations, equity return does not have an		
2		offsetting tax deduction when computing income taxes and the equity AFUDC		
3		component of depreciation expense is not a deductible item. Therefore, utility revenues		
4		representing the recovery of equity return or the equity AFUDC component of		
5		depreciation expense are not offset by a deductible expense item, which causes the utility		
6		to incur an income tax liability. The remainder of the depreciation and post-in-service		
7		carrying costs was converted to revenue requirements using the same revenue conversion		
8		factor as for other operating expenses, because these debt interest and other investment		
9		costs are assumed to be deductible for state and federal income tax purposes. The		
10		determination of the revenue conversion factors can be found on the bottom of Pages 8		
11		and 9 of Petitioner's Exhibit 3-B.		
12	Q.	DO THE PROPOSED RATES REFLECT THE PASSAGE OF THE 2017 TAX		
13		CUTS AND JOBS ACT?		
14	A.	Yes. On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("Tax Act") was signed into		
15		law. We have used the new, lower 21.0% federal income tax rate in the development of		
16		the revenue conversion factors used in this filing. This can be seen on the bottom of		
17		Pages 8 and 9 of Petitioner's Exhibit 3-B. The new lower tax rates affected the amount		
18		of revenue requirements included for return on investment, as well as for depreciation		
19		and post-in-service carrying costs.		
20	Q.	WERE THERE ANY CHANGES MADE TO THE COST OF CAPITAL		
21		CALCULATION OR PRESENTATION SHOWN ON PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT		

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

2		JOBS ACT OF 2017?
3	A.	Yes. As a result of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, which reduced the federal income
4		tax rate for the Company from 35% to 21%, the amounts collected from customers when
5		the federal income tax rate was 35% that were included in the deferred income tax
6		accounts were recalculated using the new, lower 21% federal rate, with the difference
7		reclassified into a separate regulatory liability account ("excess deferred income taxes").
8		To ensure customers will not be harmed by the reclassification from deferred income tax
9		accounts, which are shown as a zero cost source of capital in the cost of capital
10		calculation, to a regulatory liability, we have included the balance of the excess deferred
11		income tax regulatory liability account in the deferred income tax amount shown on line
12		5 as a zero cost source of capital. This is a transparent way to show that our customers
13		will continue to get the benefit for return calculation purposes in this rider of this excess
14		deferred income tax regulatory liability resulting from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017
15		until the excess deferred income taxes are returned to customers. The timing of returning
16		the excess deferred income taxes to customers is currently the subject of Cause No.
17		45032. Workpaper 23 (DLD) supports the amount included on line 5 of Petitioner's
18		Exhibit 3-B, Page 10.
19	Q.	WHEN WILL TDSIC RIDER RATEMAKING TREATMENT CEASE FOR THE
20		T&D PLAN PROJECTS?
21	A.	Pursuant to I. C. § 8-1-39-15 and the Settlement Agreement approved in the TDSIC
22		Order, Duke Energy Indiana will continue to collect revenues through Rider 65 for the

3-B, PAGE 10 AS A RESULT OF CHANGES DUE TO THE TAX CUTS AND

1

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

1		jurisdictional costs associated with the approved TDSIC projects until the costs of the		
2		T&D improvements that are in-service by the rate base cut-off date for a future retail base		
3		rate case are included in base rates. Amounts deferred related to the T&D improvement		
4		will also be included in base rates at the time of the future retail base rate case. If there		
5		remain years in the 7-year plan (or a new T&D plan) after the future retail base rate case		
6		order, the TDSIC rider would be adjusted to use the new ROE and allocation factors		
7		approved in the subsequent retail base rate case and to reflect the inclusion of the costs		
8		related to the T&D improvements in base rates.		
9	Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE DOCUMENT THAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR		
10		PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION AS PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 3-C.		
11	A.	Page 1 of Petitioner's Exhibit 3-C compares the proposed TDSIC factors to the current		
12		TDSIC factors. Page 2 of Petitioner's Exhibit 3-C shows the impact of the proposed		
13		TDSIC ratemaking, should the Commission approve it, on the monthly bill of a typical		
14		residential customer using 1,000 kilowatt-hours. Upon approval of the proposed factors,		
15		the monthly bill of a residential customer using 1,000 kilowatt-hours will increase by		
16		\$1.61 or approximately 1.32% from their current total bill (using rates effective as of		
17		April 2018 Bill Cycle 1.) For total retail, the average increase in revenue requirements is		
18		0.96%, relative to revenue for the twelve months ended December 2017, as shown on		
19		Petitioner's Exhibit 3-B, Page 12.		
20	Q.	WHAT AMENDMENTS TO DUKE ENERGY INDIANA'S RATE SCHEDULES		
21		ARE BEING PROPOSED TO REFLECT THE RIDER 65 RATEMAKING		
22		TREATMENT REQUESTED IN THIS PROCEEDING?		

IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-4 DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DIANA L. DOUGLAS FILED APRIL 25, 2018

1	A.	Duke Energy Indiana is proposing to update its Rider 65, Sheet No. 65, First Revised			
2		Pages 3 and 6 (shown in Petitioner's Exhibit 3-A, Pages 1 and 2) should the Commission			
3		approve the proposed rates. Upon approval and upon Duke Energy Indiana's filing of the			
4		updated Rider 65 with the Commission's Electricity Division, the factors are proposed to			
5		be billed to customers beginning with bills rendered on or after the first billing Cycle 1			
6		following Commission approval.			
7	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DOCUMENT THAT HAS BEEN MARKED FOR			
8		PURPOSES OF IDENTIFICATION AS PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT 3-D.			
9	A.	Petitioner's Exhibit 3-D is an exhibit showing the 20% deferral amounts from each			
10		TDSIC filing and the cumulative 20% amount deferred for future recovery in the			
11		Company's next base rate case for in-service projects as of the Cutoff Date.			
12		III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>			
13	Q.	WERE PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS 3-A THROUGH 3-D PREPARED BY YOU			
14		OR UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?			
15	A.	Yes.			
16	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PREPARED TESTIMONY?			
17	A.	Yes.			

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC 1000 E. Main Street Plainfield, IN 46168 IURC No. 14
Sheet No. 65
Second Revised Page 3 of 6
Cancels and Supersedes
First Revised Page 3 of 6

STANDARD CONTRACT RIDER NO. 65 TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT COST RATE ADJUSTMENT APPLICABLE TO RETAIL RATE GROUPS

Line No.	Rate Groups	Transmission and Distribution Infrastructure Improvement Cost Rate Adjustment Factor Per KWH (A)	Transmission and Distribution Infrastructure Improvement Cost Rate Adjustment Factor Per Non-Coincident KW (B)	Line No.
1	Rate RS	\$0.003667		1
2	Rate CS	\$0.003560		2
3	Rate LLF - Secondary	\$0.001871		3
4	Rate LLF - Primary	\$0.000596		4
5	Rate LLF - Primary Direct	\$0.000574		5
6	Rate LLF - Transmission	\$0.000754		6
7	Rate HLF - Secondary		\$0.987476	7
8	Rate HLF - Primary		\$0.900776	8
9	Rate HLF - Primary Direct		\$0.491840	9
10	Rate HLF - Common Transmission		\$0.266470	10
11	Rate HLF - Bulk Transmission		\$0.147451	11
12	Customer L	\$0.000290		12
13	Customer D	\$0.00000		13
14	Customer O	\$0.002231		14
15	Rate WP	\$0.001615		15
16	Rate SL	\$0.003273		16
17	Rate MHLS	\$0.003889		17
18	Rates MOLS and UOLS	\$0.002867		18
19	Rates TS, FS and MS	\$0.003180		19

Issued: Effective:

DUKE ENERGY INDIANA, LLC 1000 E. Main Street Plainfield, IN 46168 IURC No. 14
Sheet No. 65
Second Revised Page 6 of 6
Cancels and Supersedes
First Revised Page 6 of 6

STANDARD CONTRACT RIDER NO. 65 TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT COST RATE ADJUSTMENT APPLICABLE TO RETAIL RATE GROUPS

BILLING CYCLE KWH SALES AND HLF MONTHLY NON-COINCIDENT PEAKS FOR THE COMPANY'S RETAIL CUSTOMERS BY RATE GROUP BASED ON THE TWELVE-MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

Line No.	Rate Groups	KWH Sales (A)	Sum Of Monthly Non-Coincident Peak Demands (B)	Line No.
		. ,	, ,	
1	Rate RS	8,550,451,791		1
2	Rate CS	1,031,535,953		2
3	Rate LLF - Secondary	4,060,910,075		3
4	Rate LLF - Primary	451,746,245		4
5	Rate LLF - Primary Direct	214,638,759		5
6	Rate LLF - Transmission	167,165,596		6
7	Rate HLF - Secondary	4,046,223,440	8,315,814	7
8	Rate HLF - Primary	2,065,555,308	3,841,365	8
9	Rate HLF - Primary Direct	2,372,539,933	4,121,227	9
10	Rate HLF - Common Transmission	1,183,687,980	2,185,811	10
11	Rate HLF - Bulk Transmission	1,238,584,095	2,133,697	11
12	Customer L	114,806,810		12
13	Customer D	0		13
14	Customer O	157,645,853		14
15	Rate WP	147,089,202		15
16	Rate SL	39,648,920		16
17	Rate MHLS	5,624,930		17
18	Rates MOLS and UOLS	109,646,147		18
19	Rates TS, FS and MS	9,607,314		19
20	Total Retail	25,967,108,351		20

Issued: Effective:

INVESTMENT IN TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION PROJECTS IN-SERVICE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2017 TO BE REFLECTED IN THE TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT COST RATE ADJUSTMENT ("TDSIC RIDER")

nt Investment (1) 7 Dec 2017 (B)	Line No.
(B)	
, ,	1
)41 -	1
)41 -	1
- -	-
	2
\$103,438,396	3
20.687.679	4
	5
)38	6
<u>- </u>	7
)38 \$167,909,547	8
33,581,909	9
\$134,327,638	10
)79	11
-	12
)/9 \$271,347,943	13
54,269,588	14
\$217,078,355	15
	20,687,679 \$ 82,750,717 038 - 038 \$167,909,547 33,581,909 \$134,327,638 079 - 079 \$271,347,943 54,269,588

(1) Retail amount based on separation study from IURC Cause No. 42359:

Transmission: 96.582% Distribution: 99.102%

(2) See Workpaper 26-DLD for project detail support by FERC account and for functional totals:

	Transmission	Distribution
Operational Functional Totals per Exhibit 1-B (WHF)	\$ 107,673,097	\$168,856,982
Re-classified amount (See Workpaper 26-DLD)	(574,056)	574,056
FERC Plant Account Functional Totals used for Rate Making	\$ 107,099,041	\$169,431,038

(3) See Exhibit3-B (DLD) page 2 of 14.

COMPARISON OF TDSIC RIDER CAPITAL COST CAP AMOUNTS TO INVESTMENT IN-SERVICE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2017

1 !		Total			1 !
Line No.	Description	Investment In-Service	Percentage	Total	Line No.
NO.	Description	(A)	(B)	(C)	NO.
		(A)	(B)	(0)	
	Cumulative Capital Cost Caps per Settlement	Agreement (1)			
1	December 31, 2016	-		\$ 91,800,000	1
2	December 31, 2017			305,500,000	2
3	December 31, 2018			517,000,000	3
4	December 31, 2019			714,400,000	4
5	December 31, 2020			928,100,000	5
6	December 31, 2021			1,155,400,000	6
7	December 31, 2022			1,408,300,000	7
	Over (Under) the Settlement Cap Calculation				
8	Total Capital Investment In-Service as of Dece	ember 31, 2017 (2)		\$ 276,530,079	8
_					_
9	Cumulative Capital Cost Cap as of December	31, 2017		305,500,000	9
10	Amount Over (Under) Settlement Cap			\$ (28,969,921)	10
	(+ (- / / - /	
11	Adjustment to Investment In-Service due to Se	ettlement Cap (3)		\$ -	11
	Allocation of Adjustment to FERC Function:				
12	Transmission (4)	\$ 107,099,041	38.73%	\$ -	12
13	Distribution (5)	169,431,038	61.27%	-	13
14	Total	\$ 276,530,079	100.00%	\$ -	14

⁽¹⁾ Per IURC 44720 - Petitioner's Exhibit 12 - Settlement Testimony of Brian P. Davey Page 3. Caps are total in-service investment amounts, not retail amounts.

⁽²⁾ See Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 1 of 14, line 11, column (A).

⁽³⁾ If line 10 is negative, then zero. Otherwise use line 10 amount.

⁽⁴⁾ See Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 1 of 14, line 1, column (A).

⁽⁵⁾ See Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 1 of 14, line 6, column (A).

SUMMARY OF ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION FOR QUALIFYING IN-SERVICE TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2017

Line No.	Description	De	cumulated preciation c 2016 (1)	E	epreciation expense (2) Jan 2017- Dec 2017	ccumulated epreciation Dec 2017	Dep	Retail cumulated reciation (3) Dec 2017	Line No.
			(A)		(B)	(C)		(D)	
1 2	Transmission Total Transmission Projects Accumulated Depreciation Transmission Accumulated Depreciation Deferred for Future Recovery (20)	\$ %)	132,413	\$	1,363,081	\$ 1,495,494	\$	1,444,378 288,876	1 2
3	Transmission Accumulated Depreciation Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)						\$	1,155,502	3
4	<u>Distribution</u> Total Distribution Projects Accumulated Depreciation	\$	537,368	\$	2,762,856	\$ 3,300,224	\$	3,270,588	4
5 6	Distribution Accumulated Depreciation Deferred for Future Recovery (20%) Distribution Accumulated Depreciation Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)						•	654,118	5 6
7	Total Transmission and Distribution Total Accumulated Depreciation Total Accumulated Depreciation	\$	669,781	\$	4,125,937	\$ 4,795,718	\$	2,616,470 4,714,966	7
8 9	Accumulated Depreciation Deferred for Future Recovery (20%) Accumulated Depreciation Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)						\$	942,994 3,771,972	8 9

- (1) See TDSIC-2 Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 3.
- (2) See Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 4 of 14.
- (3) Retail amount based on separation study from IURC Cause No. 42359:

Transmission: 96.582% Distribution: 99.102%

SUMMARY OF DEPRECIATION EXPENSE FOR QUALIFYING IN-SERVICE TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2017 AND FORECASTED DEPRECIATION EXPENSE FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2018 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2019

Line			n Expense (1) - Dec 2017	Forecasted Retail Depreciation Jul 2018 -	Line
No.	Description	Total	Retail (2)	Jun 2019 (3)	No.
		(A)	(B)	(C)	
	<u>Transmission</u>				
1	Total Transmission Projects Depreciation Expense	\$ 1,363,081	\$ 1,316,491	\$ 5,218,050	1
2	Transmission Depreciation Deferred for Future Recovery (20%)		263,298	1,043,610	2
3	Transmission Depreciation Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)		\$ 1,053,193	\$ 4,174,440	3
	<u>Distribution</u>				
4	Total Distribution Projects Depreciation Expense	\$ 2,762,856	\$ 2,738,046	\$ 9,153,224	4
5	Distribution Depreciation Deferred for Future Recovery (20%)		547,609	1,830,645	5
6	Distribution Depreciation Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)		\$ 2,190,437	\$ 7,322,579	6
	Total Transmission and Distribution				
7	Total Depreciation Expense	\$ 4,125,937	\$ 4,054,537	\$ 14,371,274	7
8	Depreciation Expense Deferred for Future Recovery (20%)		810,907	2,874,255	8
9	Depreciation Expense Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)		\$ 3,243,630	\$ 11,497,019	9

(1) See Workpaper 8-DLD.

(2) Retail amount based on separation study from IURC Cause No. 42359:

Transmission: 96.582% Distribution: 99.102%

(3) Forecast based on projected retail plant in-service. See Workpaper 9-DLD.

SUMMARY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE RELATED TO QUALIFYING TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS PLACED IN-SERVICE THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017 AND FORECASTED OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2018 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2019

Line		Operation and	l Maintenance	Forecasted Retail O&M Jul 2018 -	Line
No.	Description		Retail (3)		No.
NO.	Description	Total (1)(2) (A)	(B)	Jun 2019 (4) (C)	NO.
		()	()	(-)	
	<u>Transmission</u>				
1	Total Transmission Projects Operation and Maintenance Expense	\$ 4,694,682	\$ 4,534,218	\$ 3,598,000	1
2	Transmission O&M Expense Deferred for Future Recovery (20%)		906,844	719,600	2
3	Transmission O&M Expense Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)		\$ 3,627,374	\$ 2,878,400	3
	Distribution				
4	Total Distribution Projects Operation and Maintenance Expense	\$ 13,633,863	\$ 13,511,431	\$ 14,908,000	4
5	Distribution O&M Expense Deferred for Future Recovery (20%)		2,702,286	2,981,600	5
6	Distribution O&M Expense Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)		\$ 10,809,145	\$ 11,926,400	6
	Total Transmission and Distribution				
7	Total Operation and Maintenance Expense	\$ 18,328,545	\$ 18,045,649	\$ 18,506,000	7
8	Operation and Maintenance Expense Deferred for Future Recovery (20%)		3,609,130	3,701,200	8
9	Operation and Maintenance Expense Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)		\$ 14,436,519	\$ 14,804,800	9
	(1) Operation and Maintenance Expense includes fringe benefits and payroll taxes ass	ociated with Comr	nany lahor		
	(2) See Workpaper 27-DLD project detail support by FERC account and for functional	-	arry labor.		
	(_/, = = p-s)	Transmission	Distribution	Total	
	Operational Functional Totals per Exhibit 1-B (WHF)	\$ 5,918,766	\$ 23,965,816	\$ 29,884,582	
	Less: Amounts included in prior TDSIC Filings	(1,059,556)	(10,496,481)	(11,556,037)	
	Re-classified amounts (See Confidential Workpaper 33-DLD)	(164,528)	164,528	<u> </u>	
	FERC Expense Account Functional Totals used for Rate Making	\$ 4,694,682	\$ 13,633,863	\$ 18,328,545	
	(3) Retail amount based on separation study from IURC Cause No. 42359:				

Distribution: 99.102% (4) Forecasted O&M based on 7-year Plan update in TDSIC-3 Workpaper 6-DLD.

Transmission: 96.582%

SUMMARY OF PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE RELATED TO QUALIFYING TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS PLACED IN-SERVICE THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017 AND FORECASTED PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2018 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2019

Line		Property Tax Expense Jan 2017 - Dec 2017					orecasted iil Prop. Tax lul 2018-	Line
No.	Description		Total (1)	R	etail (2)	Ju	Jun 2019 (3)	
		(A) (B)				(C)		
	Transmission							
1	Transmission Property Tax Expense	\$	78,472	\$	75,790	\$	395,943	1
2	Transmission Property Tax Expense Deferred for Future Recovery (20%)				15,158		79,189	2
3	Transmission Property Tax Expense Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)			\$	60,632	\$	316,754	3
	<u>Distribution</u>							
4	Distribution Property Tax Expense	\$	126,054	\$	124,922	\$	592,460	4
5	Distribution Property Tax Expense Deferred for Future Recovery (20%)				24,984		118,492	5
6	Distribution Property Tax Expense Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)			\$	99,938	\$	473,968	6
	Total Transmission and Distribution							
7	Total Transmission and Distribution Property Tax Expense	\$	204,526	\$	200,712	\$	988,403	7
8	Property Tax Expense Deferred for Future Recovery (20%)				40,142		197,681	8
9	Property Tax Expense Eligible for Rider Recovery (80%)			\$	160,570	\$	790,722	9

⁽¹⁾ See Workpaper 10-DLD.

(2) Retail amount based on separation study from IURC Cause No. 42359:

Transmission: 96.582% Distribution: 99.102%

(3) Forecasted Property Taxes based on 7-year Plan update projected plant in-service. See Workpaper 10-DLD.

SUMMARY OF POST IN-SERVICE CARRYING COSTS INCURRED JANUARY 1, 2017 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017 FOR QUALIFYING TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS IN-SERVICE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2017

Line No.	Description	Debt Related (1)(2) (A)	Equity Related (1)(2) (B)	Total (C)	Line No.
	Post la Comissa Commissa Cost Polate dels 2007 les sosterant Filiable for Pilla Post	, ,	()	(-)	
1 2	Post In-Service Carrying Cost Related to 80% Investment Eligible for Rider Recovery: Transmission Distribution	\$ 494,885 851,573	\$ 1,097,648 1,893,382	\$ 1,592,533 2,744,955	1 2
3	Total Transmission and Distribution	\$ 1,346,458	\$ 2,991,030	\$ 4,337,488	3
4 5	Post In-Service Carrying Costs Related to 20% Investment Deferred for Future Recovery: Transmisison Distribution	\$ 188,403 338,783	\$ 418,803 753,118	\$ 607,206 1,091,901	4 5
6	Total Transmission and Distribution	\$ 527,186	\$ 1,171,921	\$ 1,699,107	6
7 8	Total Post In-Service Carrying Costs: Transmisison Distribution	\$ 683,288 1,190,356	\$ 1,516,451 2,646,500	\$ 2,199,739 3,836,856	7 8
9	Total Transmission and Distribution	\$ 1,873,644	\$ 4,162,951	\$ 6,036,595	9

- (1) Post In-Service Carrying Costs are accrued on the retail portion of investment only. See Workpaper 29-DLD.
- (2) The most recently approved weighted average cost of capital for the periods during which post in-service carrying costs were accrued are:
 - For January 2017 the December 31, 2015 calculation approved in IURC Cause No. 42601 ECR 27 (approved by the Commission on August 31, 2016).
 - For February through August 2017 the June 30, 2016 calculation approved in IURC Cause No. 42061 ECR 28 (approved by the Commission on February 8, 2017).
 - For September through December 2017 the December 31, 2016 calculation approved in IURC Cause No. 42061 ECR 29 (approved by the Commission on August 30, 2017).

The calculations were modified to replace the 10.5% equity cost of capital approved in IURC Cause No. 42359 with the 10.0% equity cost of capital approved for use in the TDSIC rider in IURC Cause No. 44720. See Workpaper 25-DLD for this weighted average cost of capital calculation supporting the rate used for the post in-service carrying costs.

DETERMINATION OF THE JURISDICTIONAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO QUALIFYING TRANSMISSION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS TO BE RECOVERED IN THE TDSIC RIDER FOR THE PROJECTED TWELVE MONTH PERIOD JULY 2018 THROUGH JUNE 2019

Line			Applicable to I	Retail Customers		Line
No.	Description	Debt	Equity	Amount	Total	No.
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
	Return on Investment:					
1	Investment in Plant as of December 31, 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 1)			\$ 82,750,717		1
2	Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 3)			1,155,502		2
3	Net Investment as of December 31, 2017				\$ 81,595,215	3
4	Rate of Return (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 10)	1.81%	4.12%		5.93%	4
5	Return on Investment	1.0170	7.1270		4,838,596	5
6	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)	1.02112	1.37140		1.26449	6
7	Annual Return on Investment Revenue Requirement				\$ 6,118,356	7
			Applicable to			
		All Other	Equity AFUDC			
	Depreciation Expense:	98.096%		(workpaper 7-DLD)		
	Reconciliation of Actual Depreciation Expense					
8	Depreciation Expense Incurred Jan 2017 - Dec 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 4)			\$ 1,053,193		8
9 10	Less: Projected Depr.Exp. Incl. in previous filings for Jan 2017 - Dec 2017 (2) Depreciation Expense Reconciliation			1,522,382 (469,189)		9 10
11	Forecasted Depreciation Expense Jul 2018-Jun 2019 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 4)			4,174,440		11
12	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$ 3,634,703	\$ 70,548	3,705,251		12
13	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)	1.02112	1.37140			13
14	Depreciation Expense Revenue Requirement	3,711,468	96,750		3,808,218	14
	Operation and Maintenance Expense:					
	Reconciliation of Actual Operation and Maintenance Expense					
15	O&M Expense for Projects In-Service through December 31, 2017 (Exh 3-B (DLD) page 5)			3,627,374		15
16	Less: Projected O&M Exp. Incl. in previous filings for Jan 2017 - Dec 2017 (2)			4,481,502		16
17 18	O&M Expense Reconciliation Forecasted O&M Expense Jul 2018-Jun 2019 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 5)			(854,128)		17 18
19	Total Operation and Maintenance Expense			2,878,400 2,024,272		19
20	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)			1.02112		20
21	Operation and Maintenance Expense Revenue Requirement				2,067,025	21
	Dran anti- Tay Cymana					
	Property Tax Expense Reconciliation of Actual Property Tax Expense					
22	Property Tax Expense through December 31, 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 6)			60,632		22
23	Less: Projected Property Tax Exp. Incl. in previous filings for Jan 2017 - Dec 2017 (2)			79,437		23
24	Property Tax Expense Reconciliation			(18,805)		24
25 26	Forecasted Property Tax Expense Jul 2018-Jun 2019 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 6) Total Property Tax Expense			316,754 297,949		25 26
27	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)			1.02112		27
28	Property Tax Expense Revenue Requirement				304,242	28
20	Post In-Service Carrying Costs Expense: Post In Service Carrying Costs Insurred Ion 2017 Dec 2017 (Exhibit 3 B (DLD) norgan)	Debt Costs	Equity Costs 1,097,648	1 500 500		20
29 30	Post In-Service Carrying Costs Incurred Jan 2017-Dec 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 7) Revenue Conversion Factor (1)	494,885 1.02112	1,097,646	1,592,533		29 30
31	Post In-Service Carrying Costs Revenue Requirement	505,337	1,505,314		2,010,651	31
20	Plan Development Costs Amortization:			454.004		00
32 33	Plan Development Costs Amortization (Workpaper 6-DLD) (12 months of 36 months) Revenue Conversion Factor (1)			151,284 1.02112		32 33
34	Plan Development Costs Amortization Revenue Requirement			1.02112	154,479	34
35	Total 12 Month Transmission Revenue Requirement				\$ 14,462,971	35
36 37	Less: Prior Approved Transmission Revenue Requirements (annualized) Incremental 12 Month Transmission Revenue Requirement Increase / (Decrease)				9,358,622 \$ 5,104,349	36 37
31	incremental 12 Month Hansmission Nevenue Nequirement increase? (Decrease)				\$ 3,104,349	31
		Statutory		ve Rates		
	(1) Components of Revenue Conversion Factor:	Rates	Debt 1 400%	Equity		
	Utility Receipts Tax Uncollectible Accounts Expense	1.400% 0.450%	1.400% 0.450%	1.400% 0.450%		
	Public Utility Fee	0.430%	0.430%	0.430%		
	State Income Tax (a)	5.750%	0.085%	5.716%		
	Federal Income Tax (b)	21.000%	0.000%	19.383%		
	Total Effective Rate		2.068%	27.082% 1.37140	Weighted	(c)
	Revenue Conversion 1/(1- effective rate)		1.02112	1.37 140	1.26449	(c)

- (a) The effective tax rate for debt for state income tax reflects tax on the utility receipts tax portion of revenues. The effective tax rate for equity for state income tax reflects the deductibility of uncollectible accounts expense and public utility fee.
- (b) The effective tax rate for equity for federal income tax reflects the deductibility of utility receipts tax, uncollectible accounts expense, public utility fee and state income tax.
- (c) See calculation on Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 10 of 14.

DETERMINATION OF THE JURISDICTIONAL REVENUE REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO QUALIFYING DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS TO BE RECOVERED IN THE TDSIC RIDER FOR THE PROJECTED TWELVE MONTH PERIOD JULY 2018 THROUGH JUNE 2019

Line				Retail Customers		Line
No.	Description	Debt	Equity	Amount	Total	No.
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
	Return on Investment:					
1	Investment in Plant as of December 31, 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 1)			\$ 134,327,638		1
2	Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 3)			2,616,470		2
3	Net Investment as of December 31, 2017				\$ 131,711,168	3
	D (((((((((((((((((((4.040/	4.400/		5.00 0/	
4 5	Rate of Return (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 10) Return on Investment	1.81%	4.12%		5.93% 7,810,472	4 5
5 6	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)	1.02112	1.37140		1.26449	6
7	Annual Return on Investment Revenue Requirement	1.02112	1.57 140		\$ 9,876,264	7
	4				, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
			Applicable to			
		All Other	Equity AFUDC			
	Depreciation Expense:	99.192%	0.808%	(workpaper 7-DLD)		
0	Reconciliation of Actual Depreciation Expense			¢ 2400427		0
8 9	Depreciation Expense Incurred Jan 2017 - Dec 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 4) Less: Projected Depr.Exp. Incl. in previous filings for Jan 2017 - Dec 2017 (2)			\$ 2,190,437 2,827,684		8 9
10	Depreciation Reconciliation Expense			(637,247)		10
11	Forecasted Depreciation Expense Jul 2018-Jun 2019 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 4)			7,322,579		11
12	Total Depreciation Expense	\$ 6,631,315	\$ 54,017	6,685,332		12
13	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)	1.02112	1.37140			13
14	Depreciation Expense Revenue Requirement	6,771,368	74,079	•	6,845,447	14
	Operation and Maintenance Expense:					
15	Reconciliation of Actual Operation and Maintenance Expense O&M Expense for Projects In-Service through December 31, 2017 (Exh 3-B (DLD) page	5)		10,809,145		15
16	Less: Projected O&M Exp. Incl. in previous filings for Jan 2017 - Dec 2017 (2)	3)		9,357,604		16
17	O&M Expense Reconciliation			1,451,541		17
18	Forecasted O&M Expense Jul 2018-Jun 2019 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 5)			11,926,400		18
19	Total Operation and Maintenance Expense			13,377,941		19
20	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)			1.02112		20
21	Operation and Maintenance Expense Revenue Requirement				13,660,483	21
	December To Francis					
	Property Tax Expense Reconciliation of Actual Property Tax Expense					
22	Property Tax Expense through December 31, 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 6)			99,938		22
23	Less: Projected Property Tax Exp. Incl. in previous filings for Jan 2017 - Dec 2017 (2)			118,322		23
24	Property Tax Expense Reconciliation			(18,384)		24
25	Forecasted Property Tax Expense Jul 2018-Jun 2019 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 6)			473,968		25
26	Total Property Tax Expense			455,584		26
27	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)			1.02112		27
28	Property Tax Expense Revenue Requirement				465,206	28
	Post In-Service Carrying Costs Expense:	Debt Costs	Equity Costs			
29	Post In-Service Carrying Costs Expense. Post In-Service Carrying Costs Incurred Jan 2017-Dec 2017 (Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 7)	851,573	Equity Costs 1,893,382	2,744,955		29
30	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)	1.02112	1.37140	2,7 44,000		30
31	Post In-Service Carrying Costs Revenue Requirement	869,558	2,596,584	•	3,466,142	31
	Plan Development Costs Amortization:					
32	Plan Development Costs Amortization (Workpaper 6-DLD) (12 months of 36 months)			188,820		32
33	Revenue Conversion Factor (1)			1.02112	400,000	33
34	Plan Development Costs Amortization Revenue Requirement				192,808	34
35	Total 12 Month Distribution Revenue Requirement				\$ 34,506,350	35
36	Less: Prior Approved Distribution Revenue Requirements (annualized)				25,301,127	36
37	Incremental 12 Month Distribution Revenue Requirement Increase / (Decrease)				\$ 9,205,223	37
	(1) 0	Statutory		tive Rates		
	(1) Components of Revenue Conversion Factor:	Rates	Debt	Equity		
	Utility Receipts Tax Uncollectible Accounts Expense	1.400% 0.450%	1.400% 0.450%	1.400% 0.450%		
	Public Utility Fee	0.450% 0.133%	0.450% 0.133%	0.450% 0.133%		
	State Income Tax (a)	5.750%	0.133%	5.716%		
	Federal Income Tax (b)	21.000%	0.000%	19.383%		
	Total Effective Rate	-	2.068%	27.082%	Weighted	
	Revenue Conversion 1/(1- effective rate)		1.02112	1.37140	1.26449	(c)

- (a) The effective tax rate for debt for state income tax reflects tax on the utility receipts tax portion of revenues. The effective tax rate for equity for state income tax reflects the deductibility of uncollectible accounts expense and public utility fee.
- (b) The effective tax rate for equity for federal income tax reflects the deductibility of utility receipts tax, uncollectible accounts expense, public utility fee and state income tax.
- (c) See calculation on Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 10 of 14.

WEIGHTED COST OF CAPITAL AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2017 REFLECTING THE 10.00% RETURN ON COMMON EQUITY APPROVED IN IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			Capital Stru	cture Ratio		We	ighted Cost R	ate	
Line			Financial	Regulatory	Cost	Financial	Regulatory	Synch.	Line
No.		Capitalization	Concept	Concept	Rate	Concept	Concept	Interest	No.
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	
1	Common Equity	\$4,099,984	52.30%	40.13%	10.00%	5.23%	4.01%		1
2	Preferred Stock	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		2
3	Long-Term Debt	3,739,600	47.70%	36.61%	4.87%	2.32%	1.78%	1.81%	3
4	Total Financial Capitalization	7,839,584	100.00%	76.74%		7.55%			4
5	Deferred Income Taxes Including Excess Deferred Taxes (1)	2,183,512		21.38%	0.00%		0.00%		5
6	Unamortized ITC - Crane Solar	10,698		0.10%	7.55%		0.01%		6
7	Unamortized ITC - 1971 & Later	3,156		0.03%	7.55%		0.00%		7
8	Unamortized ITC - Advanced Coal (IGCC)	133,500		1.31%	7.55%		0.10%		8
9	Customer Deposits	44,667		0.44%	6.00%		0.03%		9
10	Total Regulatory Capitalization	\$10,215,117		100.00%			5.93%	1.81%	10
			Revenue Re	equirement Conv	version Factor				
					Revenue				
			Weighted	Revenue	Requirement				
			Cost Rate	Conversion	Rate				
11		Debt	1.81%	1.02112 (2	2) 1.8482%				11
12		Equity	4.12%	1.37140 (2	,				12
13		Total	5.93%	1.26449	7.4984%				13

⁽¹⁾ Excess deferred taxes are recorded as a regulatory asset/liability.

⁽²⁾ See calculation in footnote on Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 8 of 14.

AFUDC RATES APPLICABLE TO QUALIFIED TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION PROJECTS

Line			AFUDC Rates		Line
No.	Month	Debt	Equity	Total	No.
		(A)	(B)	(C)	
1	January 2017	2.27%	5.44%	7.71%	1
2	February 2017	2.25%	5.48%	7.73%	2
3	March 2017	2.25%	5.48%	7.73%	3
4	April 2017	2.24%	5.50%	7.74%	4
5	May 2017	2.29%	5.42%	7.71%	5
6	June 2017	2.28%	5.44%	7.72%	6
7	July 2017	2.26%	5.48%	7.74%	7
8	August 2017	2.25%	5.50%	7.75%	8
9	September 2017	2.24%	5.53%	7.77%	9
10	October 2017	2.27%	5.45%	7.72%	10
11	November 2017	2.27%	5.47%	7.74%	11
12	December 2017	2.26%	5.50%	7.76%	12

TDSIC RIDER 2% REVENUE CAP TEST FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

Line No.	Description		Total	Line No.
			(A)	
1 2 3	Retail Revenue Cap for 12 Months Retail Revenue for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2017 (1) Multiplied by 2% Limit Total Retail Cap	\$ 2	2,525,252,086 2.00% 50,505,042	1 2 3
4	TDSIC-4 Annual Revenue Requirement Before 2% Cap (2)	\$	58,853,486	4
5	Revenue Requirement TDSIC-2		34,659,749	5
6	Incremental TDSIC Revenue Requirement (line 4 - line 6)	\$	24,193,737	6
7	Amount in Excess of 2% Retail Revenue Cap (line 7 - line 3 [zero if below cap])	\$		7
8	Incremental Annual TDSIC Revenue Requirement Adjusted for Cap (line 7 - line 8)	\$	24,193,737	8
9	Average Retail Rate Increase from TDSIC-1 (Line 7 / Line 1)		0.96%	9

⁽¹⁾ See Workpaper 1-DLD

⁽²⁾ See Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 14 of 14 column (F).

DETERMINATION OF TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT COST RATE RECONCILIATION ADJUSTMENT BY RATE SCHEDULE FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2017

		Revenue Requirement for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2017										Twelve Months		Twelve Months				Adjusted	
			TDS	IC-1		TDSIC	C-2	Tw	elve Months	Adj	justed	Ended	Dec 2017	Ende	ed Dec 2017	Tw	elve Months	Twelve Months	ڎ
		Jan-Jun	2017	100.00%	Jul 2017-	Jun 2018	50.00%	End	ded Dec 2017	Twelve	e Months	Recon	ciliation		TDSIC	End	led Dec 2017	Ended Dec 201	7
			nue	of TDSIC-1	Reve	enue	of TDSIC-2	Revenue		Ended Dec 2017		Adjustment		Revenues		TDSIC		TDSIC	
		Require	ment	Related to the	Requir	rement	Related to the	R	equirement	TI	DSIC	Incl	uded		Billed		Revenues	Revenues	
Line		Exclud	ling	Twelve Months	Exclu	uding	Twelve Months	ı	Excluding	Rev	enues/	In E	Billed	E	xcluding	(C	ver)/Under	(Over)/Under	Line
No.	Rate Schedule	Reconcilia	ation (2)	Ended Dec 2017	' Reconcil	liation (3)	Ended Dec 2017	Re	Reconciliation		led (4)	Reven	ues (2)(3)	Rec	conciliation	Collected		Collected (5)	No.
•		(A)		(B)	((C)	(D)		(E)		(F)	(G)		(H)		(I)	(J)	
1	Rate RS	\$ 6,6	612,826	\$ 6,612,826	\$ 18	8,339,446	\$ 9,169,723	\$	15,782,549	\$ 9	,960,576	\$	-	\$	9,960,576	\$	5,821,973	\$ 5,703,913	1
2	Rate CS	7	783,578	783,578	2	2,170,401	1,085,201		1,868,779	1	,241,540		-		1,241,540		627,239	614,520	2
3	Rate LLF - Secondary	1,6	668,104	1,668,104	4	4,617,364	2,308,682		3,976,786	2	2,888,786		-		2,888,786		1,088,000	1,065,938	3
4	Rate LLF - Primary (1)		54,985	54,985		152,042	76,021		131,006		77,101		-		77,101		53,905	52,812	4
5	Rate LLF - Primary Direct		35,079	35,079		96,764	48,382		83,461		100,116		-		100,116		(16,655)	(16,317) 5
6	Rate LLF - Transmission		24,490	24,490		66,727	33,364		57,854		34,389		-		34,389		23,465	22,989	
7	Rate HLF - Secondary	1,7	752,134	1,752,134	4	4,844,690	2,422,345		4,174,479	2	2,830,538		-		2,830,538		1,343,941	1,316,689	7
8	Rate HLF - Primary	7	746,785	746,785	2	2,061,381	1,030,691		1,777,476	1	,269,598		-		1,269,598		507,878	497,579	8
9	Rate HLF - Primary Direct	4	423,861	423,861	•	1,165,046	582,523		1,006,384		688,343		-		688,343		318,041	311,592	9
10	Rate HLF - Common Transmission	•	115,407	115,407		314,450	157,225		272,632		174,137		-		174,137		98,495	96,498	10
11	Rate HLF - Bulk Transmission		64,889	64,889		176,922	88,461		153,350		110,269		-		110,269		43,081	42,207	11
12	Customer L		6,979	6,979		19,136	9,568		16,547		11,743		-		11,743		4,804	4,707	12
13	Customer D (1)		-	-		-	-		-		-		-		-		0	-	13
14	Customer O		71,271	71,271		194,191	97,096		168,367		115,777		-		115,777		52,590	51,524	14
15	Rate WP		50,898	50,898		140,816	70,408		121,306		82,695		-		82,695		38,611	37,828	
16	Rate SL		28,067	28,067		78,032	39,016		67,083		44,380		-		44,380		22,703	22,243	
17	Rate MHLS		4,760	4,760		13,240	6,620		11,380		7,640		-		7,640		3,740	3,664	
18	Rates MOLS and UOLS		68,714	68,714		191,032	95,516		164,230		112,188		-		112,188		52,042	50,987	18
19	Rates TS, FS and MS	-	6,537	6,537	_	18,069	9,035		15,572		10,681				10,681		4,891	4,792	19
20	Total Retail	\$ 12,5	519,364	\$ 12,519,364	\$ 34	4,659,749	\$ 17,329,877	\$	29,849,241	\$ 19	,760,497	\$		\$	19,760,497	\$	10,088,744	\$ 9,884,165	20
21	Equity Related Percentage (6)																11.294%		21
22	Equity Portion of Reconciliation Adjus	stment															1,139,423		22
23	All Other Portion of Reconciliation Ad	djustment															8,949,321		23
24	Total Reconciliation Before Adjusting	for Changes	in Reven	ue Conversion							_					\$	10,088,744		24
25	Equity Portion - Change in Revenue	Conversion Fa	actors							2017 F	1.67328		actors (7) 1.37140	Cha	ange Impact 81.959%		933,860		25
26	All Other Portion - Change in Revenu										1.02101		1.02112		100.011%		8,950,305		26
27	Total Reconciliation After Adjusting for			e Conversion												\$	9,884,165		27
	. Star (Coordination) / trop / tajaoting i	o. Onangoo II														<u> </u>	0,001,100		

- (1) Adjusted to reflect Customer D moving to LLF Primary.(2) See IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-1 Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 12.(3) See IURC CAUSE NO. 44720 TDSIC-2 Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 13.
- (4) See Workpaper 28-DLD.
- (5) Allocated to Rate Schedule based on Column (I)
- (6) See Workpaper 34-DLD
- (7) See Exhibit 3-B (DLD) Page 8.

DETERMINATION OF TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT COST RATE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS BY RATE SCHEDULE TO BE APPLIED TO CUSTOMERS' BILLS BEGINNING WITH THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THE COMMISSION'S FINAL ORDER

Line No.		Percent of Transmission Revenue Requirement from IURC Cause No. 42359 (1)(2)	Transmission Revenue Requirement	Percent of Distribution Revenue Requirement from IURC Cause No. 42359 (1)(2)	Distribution Revenue Requirement	Reconciliation Adjustment For Prior TDSIC Riders	Total Transmission and Distribution Revenue Requirement	Reduction in Revenue Requirement Due to 2% Cap (3)	Adjusted Total Revenue Requirement	Kilowatt-Hour Sales For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2017	Transmission and Distribution Infrastructure Improvement Cost Rate Adjustment Factors Per KWH	Sum Of Monthly Non-Coincident Peak Demands For The Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2017	Transmission and Distribution Infrastructure Improvement Cost Rate Adjustment Factor Per KW	t
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	
1	Rate RS	37.432%	\$ 5,413,779	58.639%	\$ 20,234,179	\$ 5,703,913	\$ 31,351,871	\$ -	\$ 31,351,871	8,550,451,791	\$0.003667			1
2	Rate CS	5.743%	830,608	6.454%	2,227,040	614,520	3,672,168	Ψ -	3,672,168	1,031,535,953	\$0.003560			2
_	rate 00	0.1 4070	000,000	0.40470	2,227,040	014,020	0,072,100		0,072,100	1,001,000,000	ψυ.υυυυυ			_
3	Rate LLF - Secondary	13.695%	1,980,704	13.184%	4,549,317	1,065,938	7,595,959	-	7,595,959	4,060,910,075	\$0.001871			3
4	Rate LLF - Primary (1)	0.527%	76,220	0.406%	140,096	52,812	269,128	-	269,128	451,746,245	\$0.000596			4
5	Rate LLF - Primary Direct	0.450%	65,083	0.216%	74,534	(16,317)	123,300	-	123,300	214,638,759	\$0.000574			5
6	Rate LLF - Transmission	0.713%	103,121	0.000%	-	22,989	126,110	-	126,110	167,165,596	\$0.000754			6
7	Rate HLF - Secondary	16.927%	2,448,147	12.887%	4,446,833	1,316,689	8,211,669	-	8,211,669	4,046,223,440		8,315,814	\$0.987476	7
8	Rate HLF - Primary	8.901%	1,287,349	4.855%	1,675,283	497,579	3,460,211	-	3,460,211	2,065,555,308		3,841,365	\$0.900776	8
9	Rate HLF - Primary Direct	7.442%	1,076,334	1.852%	639,058	311,592	2,026,984	-	2,026,984	2,372,539,933		4,121,227	\$0.491840	9
10	Rate HLF - Common Transmission	3.360%	485,956	0.000%	-	96,498	582,454	-	582,454	1,183,687,980		2,185,811	\$0.266470	10
11	Rate HLF - Bulk Transmission	1.831%	264,817	0.022%	7,591	42,207	314,615	-	314,615	1,238,584,095		2,133,697	\$0.147451	11
12	Customer L	0.145%	20,971	0.022%	7,591	4,707	33,269	-	33,269	114,806,810	\$0.000290			12
13	Customer D (1)	0.000%	-	0.000%	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.000000			13
14	Customer O	2.075%	300,107	0.000%	-	51,524	351,631	-	351,631	157,645,853	\$0.002231			14
15	Rate WP	0.453%	65,517	0.389%	134,230	37,828	237,575	-	237,575	147,089,202	\$0.001615			15
16	Rate SL	0.066%	9,546	0.284%	97,998	22,243	129,787	-	129,787	39,648,920	\$0.003273			16
17	Rate MHLS	0.009%	1,302	0.049%	16,908	3,664	21,874	-	21,874	5,624,930	\$0.003889			17
18	Rates MOLS and UOLS (2)	0.165%	23,864	0.694%	239,474	50,987	314,325	-	314,325	109,646,147	\$0.002867			18
19	Rates TS, FS and MS	0.066%	9,546	0.047%	16,218	4,792	30,556		30,556	9,607,314	\$0.003180			19
20	Total Retail	100.000%	\$ 14,462,971	100.000%	\$ 34,506,350	\$ 9,884,165	\$ 58,853,486	\$ -	\$ 58,853,486	25,967,108,351	:			20
	Sources:		Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 8 of 14		Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 9 of 14	Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 13 of 14		Exhibit 3-B (DLD) page 12 of 14		Workpaper 1-DLD and Workpaper 3-DLD		Workpaper 3-DLD		

⁽¹⁾ Adjusted to reflect Customer D moving to LLF Primary.

⁽²⁾ As adjusted for migrations of AL and OL rate groups to UOLS rate group.

⁽³⁾ Allocated to Rate Schedule based on total revenue requirement before 2% cap.

Line								Line
No.	Description	TDSIC	-4	TDSIC	-2	Chang	je	No.
		(A)		(B)		(C)		
1	Rate RS	\$0.003667	/KWH	\$0.002062	/KWH	\$0.001605	/KWH	1
2	Rate CS	0.003560	/KWH	0.002016	/KWH	0.001544	/KWH	2
3	Rate LLF - Secondary	0.001871	/KWH	0.001141	/KWH	0.000730	/KWH	3
4	Rate LLF - Primary (1)	0.000596	/KWH	0.000209	/KWH	0.000387	/KWH	4
5	Rate LLF - Primary Direct	0.000574	/KWH	0.000741	/KWH	(0.000167)	/KWH	5
6	Rate LLF - Transmission	0.000754	/KWH	0.000352	/KWH	0.000402	/KWH	6
7	Rate HLF - Secondary	0.987476	/KW	0.559489	/KW	0.427987	/KW	7
8	Rate HLF - Primary	0.900776	/KW	0.531675	/KW	0.369101	/KW	8
9	Rate HLF - Primary Direct	0.491840	/KW	0.272841	/KW	0.218999	/KW	9
10	Rate HLF - Common Transmission	0.266470	/KW	0.140312	/KW	0.126158	/KW	10
11	Rate HLF - Bulk Transmission	0.147451	/KW	0.085414	/KW	0.062037	/KW	11
12	Customer L	0.000290	/KWH	0.000169	/KWH	0.000121	/KWH	12
13	Customer D (1)	-	/KWH	0.001690	/KWH	(0.001690)	/KWH	13
14	Customer O	0.002231	/KWH	0.001229	/KWH	0.001002	/KWH	14
15	Rate WP	0.001615	/KWH	0.000967	/KWH	0.000648	/KWH	15
16	Rate SL	0.003273	/KWH	0.001923	/KWH	0.001350	/KWH	16
17	Rate MHLS	0.003889	/KWH	0.002436	/KWH	0.001453	/KWH	17
18	Rates MOLS and UOLS	0.002867	/KWH	0.001742	/KWH	0.001125	/KWH	18
19	Rates TS, FS and MS	0.003180	/KWH	0.001912	/KWH	0.001268	/KWH	19

⁽¹⁾ TDSIC-4 reflects Customer D moving to LLF Primary.

COMPARISON OF THE EFFECT OF A CHANGE IN THE TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT COST RATE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR (RIDER NO. 65) ON THE TOTAL BILL OF A TYPICAL RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER USING 1,000 KWHS AS OF APRIL 2018 CYCLE 1

Line No.	Description	Revenue Adjustment Factor for TDSIC Rider No. 65	Base Bill for Typical Residential Customer (1)	All Other Riders Excluding TDSIC Rider (2)	Total Bill for Typical Residential Customer Excl. TDSIC Rider	TDSIC Rider Rider No. 65 Revenue Adjustment for 1,000 KWHs	Total Bill Including TDSIC Rider No. 65 Revenue Adjustment	Increase/ (Decrease) in Total Bill From Current Factor	% Increase/ (Decrease) in Total Bill From Current Factor	Line No.
	•	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	
1 Pr	roposed TDSIC-4 Factor	\$0.003667	\$75.20	\$45.09	\$120.29	\$3.67	\$123.96	\$1.61 ⁽³	1.32%	1
2 TI	OSIC-2 Factor	\$0.002062	\$75.20	\$45.09	\$120.29	\$2.06	\$122.35	N/A	N/A	2

⁽¹⁾ Reflects rates approved in Cause No. 42359.

⁽²⁾ Rates in effect as of April 2018 Cycle 1.

⁽³⁾ Line 1, Column F less Line 2, Column F.

20% AMOUNTS DEFERRED FOR TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT

Line No.	Filing	Investment	Accum. Depreciation		Net Book Value	Expense		Oper. & Mtc. and Payroll Tax		Property Taxes		Post In-Serv. Carrying Cost		Carrying Cost on O&M and Prop. Taxes (3)		Total	Line No.
		(A)		(B)	(C) ((A)-(B))		(D) (E)		(F) (G)			(H)	(I) (Sum (C) through (H))				
1	TDSIC-1 June 30, 2016 (1)	\$ 4,512,461	\$	19,157	\$ 4,493,304	\$	19,157	\$	609,234	\$	-	\$	46,617	\$	-	\$ 5,168,312	1
2	TDSIC-2 December 31, 2016 (1)	11,291,625		112,928	11,178,697		112,928		1,675,879		-		238,861		-	13,206,365	2
3	TDSIC-4 December 31, 2017 (2)	38,465,502		810,909	37,654,593		810,907		3,609,130		40,142		1,699,107		286,182	44,100,061	3
4	TDSIC-6	=		-	-		-		-		=		-		-	=	4
5	TDSIC-8	-		-	-		-		-		-		-		-	-	5
6	TDSIC-10	-		-	-		-		-		-		-		-	-	6
7	TDSIC-12	-		-	-		-		-		-		-		-	-	7
8	TDSIC-14			<u>-</u>													8
9	Cumulative	\$ 54,269,588	\$	942,994	\$ 53,326,594	\$	942,992	\$	5,894,243	\$	40,142	\$	1,984,585	\$	286,182	\$ 62,474,738	9

⁽¹⁾ IURC Cause No. 44720 TDSIC-2 Exhibit 3-D

⁽²⁾ Columns A and B are cumulative amounts shown on Exhibit 3-B (DLD) pages 1 and 3 less TDSIC-1 and TDSIC-2 amounts. Columns D through H are current period amounts shown on Exhibit 3-B (DLD) pages 4-6 in column B, and on page 7 in column C.

⁽³⁾ Prior to 12/31/2016, Duke Energy Indiana had not yet recorded these carrying costs.

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing representations are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Signed:

Diana L. Dougla

Dated: